Chapter 2 Multiple Regression (Part 3)

1 Further decomposition of sums of squares

Consider general model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p X_{ip} + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

and a series of sub-models (or reduced models)

$$\begin{array}{ll} (X_1): & Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, ..., n \\ (X_1, X_2): & Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, ..., n \\ & \dots \\ (X_1, X_2, ..., X_p): & Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + ... + \beta_p X_{ip} + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, ..., n \end{array}$$

For each model, say $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \ldots + \beta_p X_{ik} + \varepsilon_i$, we can calculate its SST (which is the same for all models) and

$$SSR(X_1, ..., X_k), SSE(X_1, ..., X_k).$$

We have the sum of squares of regressions as follows

models	SSR	SSE	extra SS
(X_1)	$\mathrm{SSR}(X_1)$	$SSE(X_1)$	—
(X_1, X_2)	$SSR(X_1, X_2)$	$SSE(X_1, X_2)$	$SSR(X_2 X_1) = SSR(X_1, X_2) - SSR(X_1)$
			$=$ SSE (X_1) -SSE (X_1, X_2)
:	:	:	:
	:		
:	:	:	:
(X_1, \dots, X_p)	$SSR(X_1,, X_p)$	$SSE(X_1,, X_p)$	$SSR(X_p X_1,, X_{p-1})$
			$=$ SSR $(X_1,, X_p)$ -SSR $(X_1,, X_{p-1})$
			$= \operatorname{SSE}(X_1,, X_{p-1}) \operatorname{-SSE}(X_1,, X_p)$

It is easy to see that

• for any model

$$SST = SSE(X_1, X_2, ..., X_k) + SSR(X_1, X_2, ..., X_k), \qquad k = 1, 2, ..., p$$

•

$$SSR(X_1, ..., X_k) = SSR(X_1) + SSR(X_2|X_1) + ... + SSR(X_k|X_1, ..., X_{k-1}) \qquad k = 1, 2, ..., p$$

•

$$SST = SSE(X_1, ..., X_p) + SSR(X_1) + SSR(X_1|X_2) + ... + SSR(X_k|X_1, ..., X_{k-1}), \qquad k = 1, 2, ..., p$$

• Degree of freedom (D.F.)

source	D.F.
$SSR(X_1)$:	1
$SSR(X_2 X_1)$:	1
÷	
$SSR(X_p X_1,, X_{p-1}):$	1
Total $SSR(X_1,, X_p)$:	р

In multiple regression, the ANOVA table is (sometimes)

source of variateion	\mathbf{SS}	D.F.	MS	F-value	P-value
X_1	$\mathrm{SSR}(X_1)$	1	$MSR(X_1)$	$MSR(X_1)/MSE$	
$X_2 X_1$	$\mathrm{SSR}(X_2 X_1)$	1	$\mathrm{MSR}(X_2 X_1)$	$MSR(X_2 X_1)/MSE$	
		÷			
$X_p (X_1,, X_{p-1})$	$SSR(X_p (X_1,, X_{p-1}))$	1	$MSR(X_p (X_1,, X_{p-1}))$	$\frac{MSR(X_p (X_1,\dots,X_{p-1}))}{MSE}$	
Error	$SSE(X_1,, X_p)$	n-p-1	$MSE = \frac{SSE(X_1, \dots, X_p)}{n - n - 1}$		

where P - value is the probability P(F(1, n - p - 1) > F-value)

1.1 Interpretation of SSE and SSR

- SSE(X₁) SSE of model 1: variation of Y unexplained by X₁
 SSR(X₁) SSR of model 1: variation of Y explained by X₁
- SSE(X₁, X₂) SSE of model 3: variation of Y unexplained by X₁ and X₂
 SSR(X₁, X₂) SSR of model 2: variation of Y explained by X₁ and X₂
 SSR(X₂|X₁)= SSR(X₁, X₂)-SSR(X₁) additional/extra sum of square (extra variation explained) due to introducing X₂ after X₁ is introduced.

- SSE(X₁, X₂, X₃) SSE of model 4: variation of Y unexplained by X₁, X₂ and X₃
 SSR(X₁, X₂, X₃) SSR of model 4: variation of Y explained by X₁, X₂ and X₃
 SSR(X₃|X₁, X₂)= SSR(X₁, X₂, X₃)-SSR(X₁, X₂) additional/extra sum of square (extra variation explained) due to introducing X₃ after X₁ and X₂ are introduced.
- Therefore, $SSR(X_{k+1}|X_1, X_2, ..., X_k)$ can be used to check whether we need to introduce more variables after $X_1, ..., X_k$ are introduced.

1.2 model testing and extension

Testing hypothesis about the whole model (see lecture notes Part 2 of Chapter 2.) Testing hypothesis about parts of the model We use one example to explain the idea. Consider two models

Full model:
$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \varepsilon_i$$

and

Reduced model:
$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon_i$$

Then the extra sum of squares (variation) explained by adding/introducing X_4, X_5 to the "reduced model" is

$$SSR(X_4, X_5 | X_1, X_2, X_3) = SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5) - SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)$$
$$= SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$$

with degree of freedom:

DF of
$$SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3) - DF$$
 of $SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$
= $(n - 3 - 1) - (n - 5 - 1) = 2.$

where 2 is the difference of numbers of variables in the tow models. We write

$$df(F) = DF \text{ of } SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5), \quad df(R) = DF \text{ of } SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3),$$

If the extra sum of squares (extra variation explained) is "big", it is necessary need to introduce X_4, X_5 . Otherwise, it is not necessary. Consider hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_4 = \beta_5 = 0, \quad v.s. \quad H_1: \text{not all of them are } 0$$

We consider the F-statstic

$$F = \frac{SSR(X_4, X_5 | X_1, X_2, X_3) / (df(R) - df(F))}{SSE(F) / df(F)}$$

Under H_0 ,

$$F \sim F(df(R) - df(F), df(F))$$

For significant level α and calculated F-value, denoted by F^* ,

- If $F^* > F(1 \alpha, df(R) df(F), df(F))$, we reject H_0 .
- If $F^* \leq F(1-\alpha, df(R) df(F), df(F))$, we accept H_0 .

2 An example: Body fat

- Response variable: Y amount of body fat
- X_1 : triceps skinfold thickness
- X_2 : thigh circumference
- X_3 : midarm circumference
- Data

20 healthy females 25-34 years old					
individual	X_1	X_2	X_3	Y	
1	19.5	43.1	29.1	11.9	
2	24.7	49.8	28.2	22.8	
:	:	:	:	•	
19	22.7	48.2	27.1	14.8	
20	25.2	51.0	27.5	21.1	

• models and ANOVA tables

Model 1: regression of Y on X_1 :	$\hat{Y} = -1$.496 +	$-0.8572X_1$
Source of variation	\mathbf{SS}	df	
Regression	352.27	1	
Error	143.12	18	
Total	495.39	19	

Model 2: r	egression of Y on X_2 :	$\hat{Y} = -23$	8.634 -	$-0.8565X_2$
	Source of variation	\mathbf{SS}	df	
	Regression	381.97	1	
	Error	113.42	18	
	Total	495.39	19	

Model 3: regression of	f Y on X_1 and X_2 : \hat{Y}	= -19.1	74 +	$0.2224X_1 + 0.6594X_2$
	Source of variation	\mathbf{SS}	df	
	Regression	385.44	2	
	Error	109.95	17	
	Total	495.39	19	

Model 4: re	egression	of Y	on	X_1, X_2	and	X_3 :
-------------	-----------	--------	----	------------	-----	---------

Mode	1 4: regression of Y	on X_1, X_2	and X_3 :
$\hat{Y} = 1$	$117.08 + 4.334X_1 - 2$	$2.857X_2 -$	$2.186X_3$
_	Source of variation	\mathbf{SS}	df
	Regression	396.98	3
	Error	98.41	16
_	Total	495.39	19

- Extra sums of squares
 - the additional/extra sum of square (extra variation explained) by adding X_2 to model 1:

$$SSR(X_2|X_1) = SSR(X_1, X_2) - SSR(X_1) = SSE(X_1) - SSE(X_1, X_2)$$
$$= 143.12 - 109.95 = 33.17$$

- the additional/extra sum of square (extra variation explained) by adding X_3 to model 3:

$$SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2) = SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3) - SSR(X_1, X_2)$$
$$= SSE(X_1, X_2) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)$$
$$= 109.95 - 98.41 = 11.54$$

2.1 ANOVA for the body fat example

```
Analysis of Variance Table
Response:
           у
                                F value
                                           Pr(>F)
           DF
               Sum Sq Mean Sq
                                          1.131e-06
           1
               352.27
                        352.27
                                 57.2768
   x1
                                                          ***
   x2
                33.17
                                 5.3931
                                           0.03373
           1
                        33.17
                                                           *
                11.55
                        11.55
                                 1.8773
                                           0.18956
   xЗ
           1
Residuals
           16
                98.40
                         6.15
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

2.2 Tests for regression coefficients

Assume Y_i = β₀ + β₁X₁ + β₂X₂ + β₃X₃ + ε_i
 Test H₀ : β₃ = 0 versus H_a : β₃ ≠ 0

 $1000 \text{ II}0 \cdot \beta 5 = 0 \text{ volsus II}a \cdot \beta 5 \neq$

• General linear test approach:

Full model (under H_a): $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$

Reduced model (under H_0): $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \varepsilon$

Let df(F) be the degree of freedom of SSE for the full model

Let df(R) be the degree of freedom of SSE for the reduced model

$$F^* = \frac{(SSE(R) - SSE(F))/(df(R) - df(F))}{SSE(F)/df(F)}$$

=
$$\frac{(SSE(X_1, X_2) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3))/1}{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)/(20 - 4)}$$

=
$$\frac{SSE(X_3|X_1, X_2)}{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)/16}$$

=
$$\frac{11.54/1}{98.41/16}$$

 $1.88 \leq F(0.99, 1, 16) = 8.53$, we accept H_0 and the reduced model with $\alpha = 0.01$

2.3 Tests for regression coefficients

• Assume $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$

Test $H_0: \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ versus $H_a: \beta_2 \neq 0$ or $\beta_3 \neq 0$

• General linear test approach:

Full model: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$

Reduced model: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \varepsilon$

$$\begin{split} F^* &= \frac{(SSE(R) - SSE(F))/(df(R) - df(F))}{SSE(F)/df(F)} \\ &= \frac{(SSE(X_1) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3))/2}{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)/(20 - 4)} \\ &= \frac{SSE(X_2, X_3|X_1)}{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)/16} \\ &= ((143.120 - 98.41)/2)/(98.41/16) = 3.6346 > F(0.95, 2, 16) = 3.63 \end{split}$$

So, we reject H_0 , that is at least one of β_2 and β_3 are 0. Or introducing (X_2, X_3) is necessary.

2.4 Other Tests for regression coefficients

• We might want to test

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2, \quad H_a: \beta_1 \neq \beta_2$$

Full model: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$

Reduced model: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1(X_1 + X_2) + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$

Test statistic

$$F = \frac{(SSE(R) - SSE(F))/(df(R) - df(F))}{SSE(F)/df(F)}$$

with

$$df(R) - df(F) = 1$$

and

$$df(F) = n - 4$$

How to make conclusion?

• We might want to test

 $H_0: \beta_1 = 3, \beta_2 = 5, \quad H_a:$ not all equalities in H_0 hold

Full model: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$

Reduced model: $Y = \beta_0 + 3X_1 + 5X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \varepsilon$

Test statistic

$$F = \frac{(SSE(R) - SSE(F))/(df(R) - df(F))}{SSE(F)/df(F)}$$

with

$$df(R) - df(F) = 2,$$

and

df(F) = n - 4

How to make conclusion?

2.5 Coefficient of Partial determination [advanced topics]

Recall that for the simple linear regression model, the slop coefficient is strongly related with the linear correlation coefficients. But this relationship does not hold for multiple regression model.

A Coefficient of partial determination measure the marginal contribution of one X variable when all the others are already included in the model.

The definition is as follows

• Given X_1 is included, the partial R^2 of X_2 , denoted by $R^2_{Y_2|1}$

$$R_{Y2|1}^2 = \frac{SSR(X_2|X_1)}{SSE(X_1)} = \frac{SSE(X_1) - SSE(X_1, X_2)}{SSE(X_1)}$$

• Given X_1, X_2 is included, the partial R^2 of X_3 , denoted by $R^2_{Y_3|_{12}}$

$$R_{Y3|12}^2 = \frac{SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2)}{SSE(X_1, X_2)} = \frac{SSE(X_1, X_2) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)}{SSE(X_1, X_2)}$$

• Given X_1, X_3 is included, the partial R^2 of X_2 , denoted by $R^2_{Y2|13}$

$$R_{Y2|13}^2 = \frac{SSR(X_2|X_1, X_3)}{SSE(X_1, X_3)} = \frac{SSE(X_1, X_3) - SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)}{SSE(X_1, X_3)}$$

For the Body fat example

- SST=495.39, SSR (X_1) =352.27, SSR (X_2) =381.97
- coefficient of determination \mathbb{R}^2 measures the proportion of variation explained by X

$$R_{Y1}^2 = \frac{SSR(X_1)}{SST} = 0.71$$

• Coefficient of Partial determination measures the proportion explained by one additional X

$$R_{Y1|2}^2 = \frac{SSR(X_1|X_2)}{SSE(X_2)} = 0.031$$

$$R_{Y3|12}^2 = \frac{SSR(X_3|X_1, X_2)}{SSE(X_1, X_2)} = 0.105$$