Lecture 21

Frequency Response of Amplifiers (I) COMMON-SOURCE AMPLIFIER

Outline

- 1. Intrinsic Frequency Response of MOSFETs
- 2. Frequency Response of Common-Source Amplifier
- 3. Miller Effect

Reading Assignment:

Howe and Sodini, Chapter 10, Sections 10.1-10.4

Summary of Key Concepts

- f_T (short-circuit current-gain cut-off frequency)
 - figure of merit to assess intrinsic frequency response of transistors
- In MOSFET, to first order

$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{T}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\tau_{\mathbf{T}}}$$

- where $\tau_{\rm T}$ is the *transit time* of electrons through the channel

- In common-source amplifier, voltage gain rolls off at high frequency because C_{gs} and C_{gd} short circuit the input
- In common-source amplifier, effect of C_{gd} on bandwidth is amplified by amplifier voltage gain.
- *Miller Effect* is the effect of capacitance across voltage gain nodes magnified by the voltage gain

- trade-off between gain and bandwidth

1. Intrinsic Frequency Response of MOSFET

How does one assess the intrinsic frequency response of a transistor?

 $f_{\tau} \equiv$ short-circuit current-gain cut-off frequency [GHz]

Consider a MOSFET biased in saturation regime with small-signal source applied to gate:



 v_s at input $\Rightarrow i_{out}$ at output : transistor effect $\Rightarrow i_{in}$ at input : due to gate capacitance

Frequency dependence : $\mathbf{f} \uparrow \Rightarrow \mathbf{i}_{in} \uparrow \Rightarrow \left| \frac{\mathbf{i}_{out}}{\mathbf{i}_{in}} \right| \downarrow$

 $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \equiv \text{frequency at which} \left| \frac{\mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{out}}}{\mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{in}}} \right| = 1$



Current Gain

$$\mathbf{h}_{21} = \frac{\mathbf{i}_{out}}{\mathbf{i}_{in}} = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{m} - \mathbf{j}\omega\mathbf{C}_{gd}}{\mathbf{j}\omega(\mathbf{C}_{gs} + \mathbf{C}_{gd})}$$

Magnitude of h_{21} :

$$\left|\mathbf{h}_{21}\right| = \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{m}}^2 + \boldsymbol{\omega}^2 \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{gd}}^2}}{\boldsymbol{\omega} \left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{gs}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{gd}}\right)}$$

Low Frequency,

$$\omega << \frac{g_m}{C_{gd}}$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{21} \middle| \approx \frac{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{m}}}{\omega \bigl(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{gs}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{gd}} \bigr)}$$

High Frequency,
$$\omega >> \frac{\mathbf{g}_{m}}{\mathbf{C}_{gd}}$$

$$|\mathbf{h}_{21}| \approx \frac{\mathbf{C}_{gd}}{\mathbf{C}_{gs} + \mathbf{C}_{gd}}$$



|h₂₁| becomes unity at:

$$\omega_{\rm T} = 2\pi \mathbf{f}_{\rm T} = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{\rm m}}{\mathbf{C}_{\rm gs} + \mathbf{C}_{\rm gd}}$$

Then:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{m}}}{2\pi (\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{gs}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{gd}})}$$

Current Gain (contd...)

Phase of h₂₁:



Physical Interpretation of f_T:

Consider:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{T}}} = \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{gs}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{gd}}}{\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{m}}} \approx \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{gs}}}{\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{m}}}$$

Plug in device physics expressions for C_{gs} and g_m :

$$\frac{1}{2\pi \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{T}}} \approx \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{gs}}}{\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{m}}} = \frac{\frac{2}{3}\mathbf{LWC}_{\mathrm{ox}}}{\frac{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{L}}\mu \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{ox}}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{GS}} - \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{T}})} = \frac{\mathbf{L}}{\mu \frac{3}{2}\frac{\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{GS}} - \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathbf{L}}}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2\pi \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{T}}} \approx \frac{\mathbf{L}}{\boldsymbol{\mu} \langle \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{channel}} \rangle} = \frac{\mathbf{L}}{\langle \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{channel}} \rangle} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mathrm{T}}$$

 $\tau_{\rm T} \equiv transit time$ from source to drain [s]

Then:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{T}} \approx \frac{1}{2\pi\tau_{\mathbf{T}}}$$

 f_T gives an idea of the *intrinsic delay* of the transistor: Good first order figure of merit fro frequency response

Frequency Response of MOSFET How do we reduce τ_T and increase f_T ?

- $L\downarrow$: trade-off cost
- $(V_{GS} V_T) \uparrow \Rightarrow I_D \uparrow$: trade-off power
- μ^{\uparrow} : hard to do
- Note: f_T is independent of W

Impact of bias point on f_T:



2. Frequency Response of the Common-Source Amplifier



Vss

Small-signal equivalent circuit model:



Low-frequency voltage gain:

$$A_{v,LF} = \frac{V_{out}}{V_s} = -g_m (r_o // r_{oc} // R_L) = -g_m R_{out}$$



Node 1:
$$\frac{\mathbf{v}_{s} - \mathbf{v}_{gs}}{\mathbf{R}_{s}} - \mathbf{j}\omega\mathbf{C}_{gs}\mathbf{v}_{gs} - \mathbf{j}\omega\mathbf{C}_{gd}(\mathbf{v}_{gs} - \mathbf{v}_{out}) = 0$$

Node 2:
$$-\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{gs}} + \mathbf{j}\omega\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{gd}}(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{gs}} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{out}}) - \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{out}}}{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{out}}} = 0$$

Solve for v_{gs} in 2:

$$\mathbf{v}_{gg} = \mathbf{v}_{out} \frac{\mathbf{j}\omega \mathbf{C}_{gd} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{R}'_{out}}}{\mathbf{j}\omega \mathbf{C}_{gd} - \mathbf{g}_{m}}$$

Plug v_{gs} in **1** and solve for v_{out}/v_s :

$$\mathbf{A}_{v} = \frac{-(\mathbf{g}_{m} - \mathbf{j}\omega\mathbf{C}_{gd})\mathbf{R}'_{out}}{1 + \mathbf{j}\omega\mathbf{R}_{s}\left\{\mathbf{C}_{gs} + \mathbf{C}_{gd}\left[1 + \mathbf{R}'_{out}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{s}} + \mathbf{g}_{m}\right)\right]\right\} - \omega^{2}\mathbf{R}_{s}\mathbf{R}'_{out}\mathbf{C}_{gs}\mathbf{C}_{gd}}$$

Check that for
$$\omega = 0$$
, $A_{v,LF} = -g_m R'_{out}$

Simplify

1. Operate at
$$\omega \ll \omega_{\rm T} = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{\rm m}}{\mathbf{C}_{\rm gs} + \mathbf{C}_{\rm gd}}$$

 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{g}_{\rm m} \gg \omega (\mathbf{C}_{\rm gs} + \mathbf{C}_{\rm gd}) > \omega \mathbf{C}_{\rm gs}, \omega \mathbf{C}_{\rm gd}$

2. Assume g_m high enough so that

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{s}} + \mathbf{g}_{m} \approx \mathbf{g}_{m}$$

3. Compare ω^2 term in the denominator of A_v with a portion of the ω term:

$$\frac{\omega^2 \mathbf{R}_{s} \mathbf{R}'_{out} \mathbf{C}_{gs} \mathbf{C}_{gd}}{\omega \mathbf{R}_{s} \mathbf{C}_{gd} \mathbf{R}'_{out} \mathbf{g}_{m}} = \frac{\omega \mathbf{C}_{gs}}{\mathbf{g}_{m}} << 1$$

Then:

$$\mathbf{A}_{v} = \frac{-\mathbf{g}_{m}\mathbf{R}'_{out}}{1 + \mathbf{j}\omega\mathbf{R}_{s}\left\{\mathbf{C}_{gs} + \mathbf{C}_{gd}\left[1 + \mathbf{g}_{m}\mathbf{R}'_{out}\right]\right\}}$$

This has the form:

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathrm{LF}}}{1 + \mathbf{j}\frac{\mathbf{W}}{\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{H}}}}$$



$$\left|\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{H}})\right| = \frac{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{LF}}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

 $\omega_{\rm H}$ gives an idea of frequency beyond which $|A_v|$ starts rolling off quickly \Rightarrow *bandwidth*

For the common source amplifier

$$\omega_{\rm H} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{\rm S} \left[\mathbf{C}_{\rm gs} + \mathbf{C}_{\rm gd} \left(1 + \mathbf{g}_{\rm m} \mathbf{R}_{\rm out}' \right) \right]}$$

Frequency response of common-source amplifier limited by C_{gs} and C_{gd} shorting out the input.

Amplifier Frequency Response (Contd.)

We can re-write as:

$$\omega_{\mathrm{H}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{S}} \left[\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{gs}} + \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{gd}} \left(1 + \left| \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{v,LF}} \right| \right) \right]}$$

To improve bandwidth,

- C_{gs}, C_{gd} ↓ ⇒ small transistor with low parasitics
 |A_{v,LF}| ↓ ⇒ do not use more gain than necessary

But... Effect of C_{gd} on ω_{H} is being magnified by 1+ | $|A_{v,LF}|$

Why???

3. Miller Effect

In common-source amplifier, C_{gd} looks much bigger than it really is.

Consider a simple voltage-gain stage:



What is the input impedance?

$$\mathbf{i}_{in} = (\mathbf{v}_{in} - \mathbf{v}_{out})\mathbf{j}\omega\mathbf{C}$$

But

$$\mathbf{v}_{out} = -\mathbf{A}_{v}\mathbf{v}_{in}$$

Then:

$$\mathbf{i}_{in} = \mathbf{j}\boldsymbol{\omega}\mathbf{C}(1 + \mathbf{A}_{v})\mathbf{v}_{in}$$

Miller Effect (contd.)

or

$$\mathbf{Z}_{in} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_{in}}{\mathbf{i}_{in}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{j}\boldsymbol{\omega}\mathbf{C}(1 + \mathbf{A}_{v})}$$

Looking in from the input, C appears bigger than it really is. This is called *Miller Effect*.

When a capacitor is located across nodes where there is a voltage gain, its effect on bandwidth is amplified by the voltage gain \Rightarrow *Miller Capacitance*

Why?

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{in}} \uparrow \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{out}} = -\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{in}} \uparrow \uparrow \Rightarrow \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{in}} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{out}}\right) \uparrow \uparrow \Rightarrow \mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{in}} \uparrow \uparrow$$

In amplifier stages with voltage gain, it is critical to have small capacitance across nodes that have voltage gain.

As a result of the Miller effect, there is a fundamental *gain-bandwidth trade-off* in amplifiers.

What did we learn today?

Summary of Key Concepts

- f_T (short-circuit current-gain cut-off frequency)
 - frequency at which the short circuit current gain becomes 1.
 - figure of merit to assess intrinsic frequency response of transistors
- In MOSFET, to first order

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{T}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\tau_{\mathbf{T}}}$$

- where $\tau_{\rm T}$ is the *transit time* of electrons through the channel
- In common-source amplifier, voltage gain rolls off at high frequency because C_{gs} and C_{gd} short circuit the input
- In common-source amplifier, effect of C_{gd} on bandwidth is amplified by amplifier voltage gain.
- *Miller Effect* is the effect of capacitance across voltage gain nodes magnified by the voltage gain
 - *trade-off between gain and bandwidth*