On prime factors of Mersenne numbers

Ady Cambraia Jr^{*}, Michael P. Knapp[†], Abílio Lemos^{*},

B. K. Moriya^{*} and Paulo H. A. Rodrigues[‡] ady.cambraia@ufv.br mpknapp@loyola.edu abiliolemos@ufv.br bhavinkumar@ufv.br paulo_rodrigues@ufg.br

April 29, 2021

Abstract

Let $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the Mersenne sequence defined by $M_n = 2^n - 1$. Let $\omega(n)$ be the number of distinct prime divisors of n. In this short note, we present a description of the Mersenne numbers satisfying $\omega(M_n) \leq 3$. Moreover, we prove that the inequality, given $\epsilon > 0$, $\omega(M_n) > 2^{(1-\epsilon)\log\log n} - 3$ holds for almost all positive integers n. Besides, we present the integer solutions (m, n, a) of the equation $M_m + M_n = 2p^a$ with $m, n \geq 2$, p an odd prime number and a a positive integer.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A99, 11K65, 11A41. Keywords: Mersenne numbers, arithmetic functions, prime divisors.

1 Introduction

Let $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the Mersenne sequence defined by $M_n = 2^n - 1$, for $n \geq 0$. A simple argument shows that if M_n is a prime number, then n is a prime number. When M_n is a prime number, it is called a Mersenne prime. Throughout history, many researchers sought to find Mersenne primes. Some tools are very important for the search for Mersenne primes, mainly the Lucas-Lehmer test. There are papers (see for example [1, 5, 21]) that seek to describe the prime factors of M_n , where M_n is a composite number and n is a prime number.

^{*}Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa-MG 36570-900, Brazil

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Loyola University Maryland, 4501 North Charles Street, Baltimore MD 21210-2699, USA

[‡]Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Campus Samambaia, CP 131, CEP 74001-970, Goiânia, Brazil

Additionally, some papers seek to describe prime divisors of the Mersenne number M_n , where *n* is a composite number (see for example [7, 16, 18, 19, 20]). In this paper, we propose to investigate the function $\omega(n)$, which refers to the number of distinct prime divisors of *n*, applied to M_n . We prove that if $n \neq 2, 6$ and $p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s} | n$, where the α'_i s are positive integers, p_1, \ldots, p_s are distinct prime numbers and $\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i = t$, then $\omega(M_n) \geq t$ if s = 1, and

$$\omega(M_n) \ge t + \sum_{i=2}^s \binom{s}{i}, \text{ if } s > 1.$$

This lower bound is sharp for some cases. For example, $\omega(M_4) = \omega(M_9) = \omega(M_{49}) = 2$ and $\omega(M_8) = \omega(M_{27}) = \omega(M_{10}) = \omega(M_{14}) = \omega(M_{15}) = \omega(M_{21}) = 3.$

The equations $F_n = y^q$ and $L_n = y^q$, where q is a prime number, F_n is a Fibonacci number and L_n is a Lucas number, have been studied by several authors. One may see, for example, [4, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17]. The complete solution for this problem was obtained by Bugeaud, Mignotte, and Siksek [2]), by combining the classical approach to exponential Diophantine equations (linear forms in logarithms, Thue equations, etc.) with a modular approach based on some of the ideas of the proof of Fermat's Last Theorem. When we consider $M_n = y^q$, we see that this is equivalent to the equation $2^n - y^q = 1$. The solution in this case is much simpler, since the Catalan Conjecture (proved by Mihailescu [15] in 2002) tells us that the only solution of the equation $x^m - y^n = 1$, with m, n > 1 and x, y > 0 is x = 3, m = 2, y = 2, n = 3. This motivates us to raise the next question in the flavour of these studies. We believe that the next step is to study equations of the form $M_m + M_n = 2y^q$. Here we consider the equation $M_m + M_n = 2p^a$, where p is an odd prime and $a \in \mathbb{N}$. To the best of our knowledge, this equation has not been studied anywhere in the literature. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we prove that the only solutions of the underlined equation are of the form $(m, n, a) = (2, 2^b + 1, 1)$, where $p = 2^{2^b} + 1$. If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, there is more than one possible form of the solution. Among others, one possible case could be (m, n, a) = (k + 1, n, a) if $p^a + 1 > 2^k$.

2 Preliminary results

We investigate $\omega(M_n)$, the number of distinct prime divisors of M_n . We start by starting some well-known facts and results. The first result is the well-known Theorem XXIII of [3], obtained by Carmichael.

Theorem 1. If $n \neq 1, 2, 6$, then M_n has a prime divisor which does not divide any M_m for 0 < m < n. Such a prime is called a primitive divisor of M_n .

We also need the following results:

$$d = \gcd(m, n) \Rightarrow \gcd(M_m, M_n) = M_d \tag{1}$$

Proposition 2. If 1 < m < n, gcd(m, n) = 1 and $mn \neq 6$, then $\omega(M_{mn}) > \omega(M_m) + \omega(M_n)$.

Proof. As gcd(m,n) = 1, it follows that $gcd(M_m, M_n) = 1$ by (1). Now, according to Theorem 1, we have a prime number p such that p divides M_{mn} and p does not divide $M_m M_n$. Therefore, the proof of proposition is completed.

Mihăilescu [15] in his proof of the famous Catalan Conjecture proved the following.

Theorem 3. The only solution of the equation $x^m - y^n = 1$, with m, n > 1 and x, y > 0 is x = 3, m = 2, y = 2, n = 3.

For x = 2, Theorem 3 ensures that there is no m > 1, such that $2^m - 1 = y^n$ with n > 1. Lemma 4. Let p, q be prime numbers. Then,

- (i) $M_p \nmid (M_{pq}/M_p)$, if $2^p 1 \nmid q$;
- (*ii*) $M_p \nmid (M_{p^3}/M_p)$.

Proof. (i) We note that $M_{pq} = (2^p - 1)(\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} 2^{kp})$. Thus, if $(2^p - 1)|(\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} 2^{kp})$, then

$$(2^{p}-1)\Big|\left(\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} 2^{kp} + 2^{p} - 1\right) = 2^{p+1} \left(2^{pq-2p-1} + \dots + 2^{p-1} + 1\right).$$

Since $2^{pq-2p-1} + \dots + 2^{p-1} + 1 \equiv (q-2)2^{p-1} + 1 \pmod{2^p-1}$, we have $(2^p-1)|((q-2)2^{p-1}+1)$. Therefore,

$$(2^{p}-1)|((q-2)2^{p-1}+1+(2^{p}-1)) = 2^{p-1}q,$$

i.e., $2^p - 1|q$. Therefore, the proof of (i) is completed. The proof of (ii) is analogous to the proof of (i).

Remark 5. It is known that all divisors of M_p have the form q = 2lp + 1, where p is an odd prime number and $l \equiv 0$ or $-p \pmod{4}$.

The first part of Remark 5 was first obtained by Euler (see Theorema 9 and Corollarium 5 in [6]). Euler does not actually write the coefficient 2, but clearly as the expression must be odd we may do so without loss of generality.

The modern proof of this result is as follows. Let q_1 be a prime divisor of q. By Fermat's little theorem, q_1 is a factor of $2^{q_1-1} - 1$. Since q_1 is a factor of $2^p - 1$, and p is prime, it follows that p is a factor of $q_1 - 1$ so $q_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Furthermore, since q_1 is a factor of $2^p - 1$, which is odd, we know that q_1 is odd. Therefore, $q_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2p}$. But, since this result is true for all prime divisors of q, i.e., for $q_1 = 2l_1p + 1$ and $q_2 = 2l_2p + 1$ prime divisors of q not necessarily distinct, we have $q_1q_2 = 2l_{12}p + 1$, where $l_{12} = 2l_1l_2p + l_1 + l_2$. Applying recursively for all prime divisors of q, we get q = 2pl + 1, for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, the second statement is a consequence of the fact that all prime divisors of q are congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{8}$. Let us prove it here. We have $2^{p+1} \equiv 2 \pmod{q_1}$, where q_1 is a prime divisor of q. Thus, $2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)}$ is a square root of 2 modulo q_1 . By the theory of quadratic residues, we have $q_1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. Since this result is true for all prime divisors of q, we have $q = 2lp + 1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, if $2lp + 1 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, then $lp \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $lp \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. The first case implies $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, since p is odd. The second case implies $l \equiv -p \pmod{4}$, since $-p^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

3 Mersenne numbers rarely have few prime factors.

We present below a result which is a consequence of Manea's Theorem, which we shall state next. We shall get the multiplicity $v_q(M_n)$ for a given odd prime q and a positive integer n.

Definition 6. Let *n* be a positive integer. The *q*-adic order of *n*, denoted by $v_q(n)$, is defined to be the natural number *l* such that $q^l || n$, i.e., $n = q^l m$ with gcd(q, m) = 1.

Lemma 7 (Theorem 1 [14]). Let a and b be two distinct integers, p be a prime number that does not divide ab, and n be a positive integer. Then

1. if $p \neq 2$ and p|a - b, then

$$v_p(a^n - b^n) = v_p(n) + v_p(a - b);$$

2. if n is odd, $a + b \neq 0$ and p|a + b, then

$$v_p(a^n + b^n) = v_p(n) + v_p(a+b).$$

Definition 8. For a given prime number q and an integer $a \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ the number $\operatorname{ord}_{q}(a)$ is defined to be the smallest positive integer t such that $a^{t} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

Proposition 9. Let $q \neq 2$ be a prime number. Define $m = ord_q(2)$ and $w = v_q(2^m - 1)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and write $n = q^l n_0$, with $gcd(q, n_0) = 1$. Then

$$v_q(M_n) = v_q(2^n - 1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \nmid n \\ l + w & \text{if } m | n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By elementary number theory, we know that $2^n \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ if and only if $\operatorname{ord}_q(2)|n$. This proves the first line of the formula.

Now, suppose that m|n and write n = mt. Then we have

$$M_n = (2^m)^t - 1^t.$$

By Theorem 7 (with $a = 2^m$ and b = 1), we have

$$v_q(M_n) = v_q(t) + v_q(2^m - 1)$$

= $l + w$.

This completes the proof.

We will prove the following result for a lower bound of $\omega(M_n)$.

Theorem 10. Let ϵ be a positive number. The inequality

$$\omega(M_n) > 2^{(1-\epsilon)\log\log n} - 3$$

holds for almost all positive integer n.

Theorem 11 (Theorem 432, [8]). Let d(n) be the total number of divisors of n. If ϵ is a positive number, then

$$2^{(1-\epsilon)\log\log n} < d(n) < 2^{(1+\epsilon)\log\log n}$$

for almost all positive integer n.

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 10). According to Theorem 1, we know that if h|n and $h \neq 1, 2, 6$, then M_h has a prime primitive factor. This implies that

$$\omega(M_n) \ge d(n) - 3$$

Consequently, by Theorem 11, we have

$$\omega(M_n) > 2^{(1-\epsilon)\log\log n} - 3$$

for almost all positive integer n.

4 Mersenne numbers with $\omega(M_n) \leq 3$

In this section, we will characterize n for $\omega(M_n) = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 12. The only solutions of the equation

$$\omega(M_n) = 1$$

are given by n, where either n = 2 or n is an odd prime for which M_n is a prime number of the form 2ln + 1, where $l \equiv 0$ or $-n \pmod{4}$.

Proof. The case n = 2 is obvious. For n odd, the equation implied is $M_n = q^m$, with $m \ge 1$. However, according to Theorem 3, $M_n \ne q^m$, with $m \ge 2$. Thus, if there is a unique prime number q that divides M_n , then $M_n = q$, and q = 2ln + 1, where $l \equiv 0$ or $-n \pmod{4}$, according to Remark 5.

Proposition 13. Let p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s be distinct prime numbers and n a positive integer such that $n \neq 2, 6$. If $p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s} | n$, where the α'_i s are positive integers and $\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i = t$, then

$$\omega(M_n) \ge \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } s = 1\\ t + \sum_{i=2}^s \binom{s}{i}, & \text{if } s > 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. According to Theorem 1, we have

$$\omega\left(M_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}\right) > w\left(M_{p_i^{\alpha_i-1}}\right) > \dots > \omega\left(M_{p_i}\right) \ge 1,$$

for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Therefore, $\omega(M_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}) \ge \alpha_i$ and this proves the case s = 1. Now, we observe that $\gcd\left(\prod_{i \in I} p_i, \prod_{j \in J} p_j\right) = 1$, for each pair $\emptyset \ne I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, s\}$ with $I \cap J = \emptyset$. Then it follows from Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 that

$$\omega(M_n) \ge \sum_{i=2}^s \binom{s}{i} + t, \text{ if } s > 1.$$

Theorem 14. The only solutions of the equation

$$\omega(M_n) = 2$$

are given by n = 4, 6 or $n = p_1$ or $n = p_1^2$, for some odd prime number p_1 . Furthermore,

- (i) if $n = p_1^2$, then $M_n = M_{p_1}q^t$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) if $n = p_1$, then $M_n = p^s q^t$, where p, q are distinct odd prime numbers and $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with gcd(s,t) = 1. Moreover, p, q satisfy $p = 2l_1p_1 + 1, q = 2l_2p_1 + 1$, where l_1, l_2 are distinct positive integers and $l_i \equiv 0$ or $-p_1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. This first part is an immediate consequence of Proposition 13.

(i) If $\omega(M_n) = 2$, with $n = p_1^2$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t$, with $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 1 $\omega(M_{p_1^2}) > \omega(M_{p_1}) \ge 1$, i.e., $M_{p_1} = p$, by Theorem 3. Thus, according to Lemma 4, $M_n = M_{p_1}q^t = pq^t$, with $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

(*ii*) If $\omega(M_n) = 2$, with $n = p_1$, then $M_n = p^s q^t$, with $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$. However, according to Theorem 3, we have gcd(s, t) = 1. The remainder of the conclusion is a direct consequence of Remark 5.

Theorem 15. The only solutions of the equation

$$\omega(M_n) = 3$$

are given by n = 8 or $n = p_1$ or $n = 2p_1$ or $n = p_1p_2$ or $n = p_1^2$ or $n = p_1^3$, for some distinct odd prime numbers $p_1 < p_2$. Furthermore,

- (i) if $n = 2p_1$ and $p_1 \neq 3$, then $M_n = 3M_{p_1}k^r = 3qk^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and q, k are prime numbers.
- (ii) if $n = p_1 p_2$, then $M_n = (M_{p_1})^s M_{p_2} k^r = p^s q k^r$, with $s, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and p, q, k are prime numbers.

- (iii) if $n = p_1^2$, then $M_n = M_{p_1}q^t k^r$ or $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$, with $M_{p_1} = p^s q^t$ and (s,t) = 1, and p, q, k are prime numbers.
- (iv) if $n = p_1^3$, then $M_n = M_{p_1}q^tk^r = pq^tk^r$, with $t, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and p, q, k are prime numbers.
- (v) if $n = p_1$, then $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$ and $p = 2l_1p_1 + 1, q = 2l_2p_1 + 1, k = 2l_3p_1 + 1$, where l_1, l_2, l_3 are distinct positive integers and $l_i \equiv 0$ or $-p_1 \pmod{4}$, and gcd(s, t, r) = 1, with $s, t, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. This first part is an immediate consequence of the Proposition 13.

(i) If $\omega(M_n) = 3$, with $n = 2p_1$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, according to Proposition 2, $\omega(M_{2p_1}) > \omega(M_{p_1}) + \omega(M_2)$, i.e., $M_{p_1} = q$, according to Theorem 3. We noted that $M_{2p_1} = (2^{p_1} - 1)(2^{p_1} + 1)$ and q does not divide $2^{p_1} + 1$, because if $q|(2^{p_1} + 1)$, then $q|2^{p_1} + 1 - (2^{p_1} - 1) = 2$. This is a contradiction, since q is an odd prime. Thus, $M_n = (M_2)^s M_{p_1} w^r = 3^s q k^r$. Moreover, according to Lemma 4, we have s = 1 if $p_1 \neq 2^2 - 1 = 3$. Therefore, $M_n = M_2 M_{p_1} w^r = 3q k^r$.

(*ii*) If $\omega(M_n) = 3$, with $n = p_1 p_2$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, according to Proposition 2, $\omega(M_{p_1p_2}) > \omega(M_{p_1}) + \omega(M_{p_2})$, i.e., $M_{p_1} = p$ and $M_{p_2} = q$, according to Theorem 3. Thus, $M_n = (M_{p_1})^s (M_{p_2})^t k^r = p^s q^t k^r$ and $\gcd(s, t, r) = 1$ if s, t, r > 1, according to Theorem 3. However, $2^{p_2} - 1 \nmid p_1$, because $p_1 < p_2$. According to Lemma 4, we have t = 1. Thus, $M_n = M_{p_1}^s M_{p_2} k^r = p^s q k^r$.

(*iii*) If $\omega(M_n) = 3$, with $n = p_1^2$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t w^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, according to Lemma 4, we have $M_{p_1} = p^s q^t$, with (s, t) = 1 or $M_{p_1} = p$.

(iv) If $\omega(M_n) = 3$, with $n = p_1^3$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t w^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, according to Theorem 1, $\omega(M_{p_1^3}) > \omega(M_{p_1^2}) > \omega(M_{p_1}) \ge 1$, i.e., $M_{p_1} = p^s$. According to Theorem 3, we have s = 1. Thus, $M_n = M_{p_1}q^t k^r = pq^t k^r$.

(v) If $n = p_1$, then $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. However, according to Theorem 3, gcd(s, t, r) = 1. The form of p, q and k is given by Remark 5.

We present some examples of solutions for Theorems 12, 14 and 15.

- (i) $\omega(M_n) = 1$, where *n* is a prime number: $M_2 = 3, M_3 = 7, M_5 = 31, M_7 = 127, \dots$
- (ii) $\omega(M_n) = 2$, where *n* is a prime number: $M_{11} = 2047 = 23 \times 89, M_{23} = 8388607 = 47 \times 178481, \ldots$; with $n = p^2$, where *p* is a prime number: $M_4 = 15 = M_2 \times 5, M_9 = 511 = M_3 \times 73, M_{49} = M_7 \times 4432676798593, \ldots$
- (iii) $\omega(M_n) = 3$, where *n* is a prime number: $M_{29} = 536870911 = 233 \times 1103 \times 2089, M_{43} = 8796093022207 = 431 \times 9719 \times 2099863, ...; with <math>n = 2p$, where *p* is a prime number: $M_{10} = M_2 \times M_5 \times 11, M_{14} = M_2 \times M_7 \times 43, ...;$ with $n = p^3$, *p* is a prime number: $M_8 = 255 = M_2 \times 5 \times 17, M_{27} = M_3 \times 73 \times 262657, ...;$ with $n = p_1 p_2$, where p_1 and p_2 are distinct odd prime numbers: $M_{15} = M_3 \times M_5 \times 151, M_{21} = (M_3)^2 \times M_7 \times 337, ...;$ with $n = p^2$, where *p* is a prime number: $M_{25} = M_5 \times 601 \times 1801, ...$

5 Study of the Equation $M_m + M_n = 2p^a$

We consider the equation $M_m + M_n = 2p^a$ with $m, n \ge 2$, p an odd prime number and a a positive integer. We present two results on the solutions to this equation.

Lemma 16. For every $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have $p^a + 1 = 2k$, where k is an odd integer.

Proof. We have

$$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \implies p^a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$
$$\implies p^a + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$
$$\implies p^a + 1 \equiv 4a + 2, \text{ for some } a \in \mathbb{Z}$$
$$\implies p^a + 1 = 2k; \ \gcd(k, 2) = 1.$$

Theorem 17. Let p be a prime number with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$M_m + M_n = 2p^a, \text{ with } m \le n, \tag{2}$$

has an integer solution only if $p = 2^{2^b} + 1$. Moreover, given such a prime, the solutions are given by $(m, n, a) = (2, 2^b + 1, 1)$.

Proof. Suppose that (2) has a solution, say (m, n, a), with $m \leq n$. Notice that

$$2^m + 2^n = 2p^a + 2 = 4k,$$

by Lemma 16.

Since k is odd, it follows that m = 2 or n = 2.

Case 1. If n = 2 then $m \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence we get 4 + 2 = 4k or 4 + 4 = 4k. Since 6 is not a multiple of 4, we are left with the later case, which implies k = 2. Therefore, $2k = 4 = p^a + 1$, which is absurd, since $p^a + 1 \ge 5$.

Case 2. m = 2 and n > 2. From the definition of Mersenne numbers it follows that

$$4(1+2^{n-2}) = 2p^{a} + 2 = 4k$$

$$2(1+2^{n-2}) = p^{a} + 1 = 2k$$

$$p^{a} + 1 = 2^{n-1} + 2.$$

Case 2.1. a = 1. So we have, $p = 2^{n-1} + 1$. We know that if $2^N + 1$ is a prime number then

N is a power of 2. Hence there exists *b* such that $n - 1 = 2^b$, i. e., $2 + 2^{2^b} = 2k$. Hence, if (m, n, 1) is a solution of (2) then m = 2 and $n = 2^b + 1$ such that $2^{2^b} + 1$ is a prime number. *Case 2.2.* $a \ge 2$. Suppose that there exists $a \ge 2$ satisfying the equation (2). This implies that $p^a = 2^{n-1} + 1$. Let us study when *a* is even and odd separately: *Case 2.2.1. a* is even. The equation $p^a = 2^{n-1} + 1$ implies

$$\left(p^{\frac{a}{2}} - 1\right)\left(p^{\frac{a}{2}} + 1\right) = 2^{n-1}.$$
(3)

Let x and y be positive integers such that $p^{\frac{a}{2}} - 1 = 2^x$ and $p^{\frac{p}{2}} + 1 = 2^y$, then y > x and x + y = n - 1. Thus $2^y - 2^x = 2^x(2^{y-x} - 1) = 2$ which implies x = 1, y = 2, and consequently n = 4. Therefore $p^a = 9$, i.e., p = 3 and a = 2, which is absurd, because $3 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. **Case 2.2.2.** a is odd. There exists a natural number l such that a = 2l + 1. Thus

$$p^{a} = 2^{n-1} + 1 \Rightarrow p^{a} - 1 = 2^{n-1} \Rightarrow (p-1)\left(1 + p + p^{2} + \dots + p^{2l-1} + p^{2l}\right) = 2^{n-1}$$

Thus, there exist positive integers x and y such that $p-1 = 2^x$ and $1+p+p^2+\cdots p^{2l-1}+p^{2l}=2^y$. Clearly y > x and x+y=n-1. Notice that $2^y-2^x=2+p^2+\cdots +p^{2l-1}+p^{2l}=k$, where k is odd, since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. This only occurs when x = 0, that is a contradiction. \Box

Observation 18. Since we know that Fermat primes are very rare, from Theorem 17 we can conclude that solutions are also very rare.

Theorem 17 explores the solution in the case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. The next theorem will explore the solutions in the case $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 19. Let p be a prime number with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$M_m + M_n = 2p^a, \text{ with } m \le n, \tag{4}$$

has an integer solution only if $p^a = 2^k(1 + 2^{n-(k+1)}) - 1$ with $2^k \parallel (p^a + 1)$. More precisely, such solutions are given by

- (i) (m, n, a) = (2, 4, 2);
- (ii) (m, n, a) = (k, k, 1) if $2^k = p^a + 1$. In that case $M_k = p$ is a Mersenne prime;
- (iii) (m, n, a) = (k + 1, n, a) if $p^a + 1 > 2^k$.

Proof. Since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$ such that $2^k \mid (p^a + 1)$. Note that, if a is even, then k = 1. If a is odd, then $k \geq 2$, since $4 \mid (p^a + 1)$. Suppose (m, n, a) is a solution of (4). Without loss of generality we can assume $m \leq n$

Case 1. a is even.

As mentioned earlier, we can write $p^a + 1 = 2b$, where b is an odd integer. Since $p \ge 3$, we have $b \ge 5$. Observe that,

$$M_m + M_n = 2p^a$$

$$2^m + 2^n = 2(p^a + 1)$$

$$2^m + 2^n = 2^2b.$$

Hence, m = 2, which together with the fact that $b \ge 5$, implies $n \ge 3$. Therefore, we have

$$4(1+2^{n-2}) = 2^{2}b$$

$$1+2^{n-2} = b.$$

Therefore, we can conclude that, $b = 1 + 2^{n-2}$, which in turn implies $p^a + 1 = 2(1 + 2^{n-2})$ iff (m, n, a) = (2, n, a) is the only solution of the equation (4). But, $p^a + 1 = 2(1 + 2^{n-2})$, then $p^a - 2^{n-1} = 1$. According to Theorem 3, the only solution, with a an even number is n = 4 and a = 2. Hence p = 3.

Case 2. a is odd. As mentioned earlier, we can write $p^a + 1 = 2^k b$; where b is an odd integer and $k \ge 2$. Observe that,

$$M_m + M_n = 2p^a$$

$$2^m + 2^n = 2(p^a + 1)$$

$$2^m + 2^n = 2^{k+1}b.$$

Note that, b = 1 iff m = n = k. Therefore, $p^a + 1 = 2^k$ iff (m, n, a) = (k, k, a) is the only solution. But, if $p^a + 1 = 2^k$, then $2^k - p^a = 1$. By Theorem 3, a = 1. Thus the only solution is (m, n, a) = (k, k, 1), where $M_k = p$ is a Mersenne prime.

From here on let us assume $b \ge 3$. Since $2^m + 2^n = 2^{k+1}b, b \ge 3$ we get m = k + 1. Since b is odd $n \ge k + 2$. Therefore,

$$2^{k+1}(1+2^{n-(k+1)}) = 2^{k+1}b$$
$$1+2^{n-(k+1)} = b$$

Therefore, we conclude that, $b = 1 + 2^{n-(k+1)}$, which in turn implies $p^a + 1 = 2^k (1 + 2^{n-(k+1)})$ iff (m, n, a) = (k+1, n, a).

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the referees for all their suggestions, which improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- J. Brillhart, On the factors of certain Mersenne numbers. II, Math. Comp. 18 (1964), no. 87–92.
- [2] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte, S. Siksek. Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers. Ann. of Math., (2006), 969–1018.

- [3] R. D. Carmichael, On the numerical factors of arithmetic forms $\alpha^n \pm \beta^n$, Ann. of Math. **15** (1/4) (1913), 49–70.
- [4] J. H. E. Cohn. Lucas and Fibonacci numbers and some Diophantine equations, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 7 (1965), 24–28.
- [5] J. R. Ehrman, The number of prime divisors of certain Mersenne numbers, *Math. Comp.* 21 (1967), no. 700–704.
- [6] L. Euler, "Theoremata circa divisores numerorum," Novi Commentarii Academiæ Scientiarum Petropolitanæ 1 (for 1747–48; published 1750): 20–48. Available online at https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1133&context=euler-works.
- [7] K. Ford, F. Luca, I. E. Shparlinski, On the largest prime factor of the Mersenne numbers, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. 79 (3) (2009), 455–463.
- [8] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, Editors (D. R. Heath-Brown, Joseph H. Silverman). An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Sixth Edition, *Oxford University Press* (2008).
- [9] W. Ljunggren. Über die unbestimmte Gleichung $Ax^2 By^4 = C$, Arch. f. Mat. og Naturvid. 41 (1938), No. 10, 18 pp.
- [10] W. Ljunggren. On the diophantine equation $x^2 + 4 = Ay^2$, Norske Vid. Selsk. Forh., Trondheim. 24 (1951), 82–84.
- [11] On the diophantine equation $Ax^4 By^2 = C(C = 1, 4)$, Math. Scand. 21 (1967), 149–158.
- [12] W. Ljunggren, Collected Papers of Wilhelm Ljunggren, Vol. 1, 2 (Paulo Ribenboim, ed.), Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Math. 115, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, 2003.
- [13] H. London and R. Finkelstein, On Fibonacci and Lucas numbers which are perfect powers, *Fibonacci Quart.* 5 (1969), 476–481.
- [14] M. Manea, Some $a^n \pm b^n$ problems in number theory. *Mathematics Magazine* **79**, no. 2 (2006), 140–145.
- [15] P. Mihăilescu, Primary Cyclotomic Units and a Proof of Catalan's Conjecture. J. Reine Angew. Math. 572 (2004), 167–195.
- [16] L. Murata, C. Pomerance, On the largest prime factor of a Mersenne number, in Number Theory, CNTA Proceedings, Montreal, 2002, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 209–218.
- [17] A. Pethö. Diophantine properties of linear recursive sequences. II, Acta Math. Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis 17 (2001), 81–96.

- [18] C. Pomerance, On primitive dvivisors of Mersenne numbers, Acta Arith. 46 (1986), 355–367.
- [19] A. Schinzel, On primitive prime factors of $a^n b^n$, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 58 (1962), 555–562.
- [20] C. L. Stewart, The greatest prime factor of $a^n b^n$, Acta Arith. 26 (1974/75), 427–433.
- [21] S. S. Wagstaff, Jr., Divisors of Mersenne numbers. Math. Comp. 40 (1983), 385–397.