Prime factors of Mersenne numbers

Ady Cambraia Jr, Michael P. Knapp, Abílio Lemos*,

B. K. Moriya^{*} and Paulo H. A. Rodrigues[‡] ady.cambraia@ufv.br mpknapp@loyola.edu abiliolemos@ufv.br bhavinkumar@ufv.br paulo_rodrigues@ufg.br

February 1, 2021

Abstract

Let $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the Mersenne sequence defined by $M_n = 2^n - 1$. Let $\omega(n)$ be the number of distinct prime divisors of n. In this short note, we present a description of the Mersenne numbers satisfying $\omega(M_n) \leq 3$. Moreover, we prove that the inequality, given $\epsilon > 0$, $\omega(M_n) > 2^{(1-\epsilon)\log\log n} - 3$ holds for almost all positive integers n. Besides, we present the integer solutions (m, n, a) of the equation $M_m + M_n = 2p^a$ with $m, n \geq 2$, p an odd prime number and a a positive integer.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A99, 11K65, 11A41. Keywords: Mersenne numbers, arithmetic functions, prime divisors.

1 Introduction

Let $(M_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be the Mersenne sequence (sequence <u>A000225</u> in the OEIS) given by $M_0 = 0, M_1 = 1, M_2 = 3, M_3 = 7, M_4 = 15$ and $M_n = 2^n - 1$, for $n \geq 0$. A simple calculation shows that if M_n is a prime number, then n is a prime number. When M_n is a prime number, it is called a Mersenne prime. Throughout history, many researchers sought to find Mersenne primes. Some tools are very important for the search for Mersenne primes, mainly the Lucas-Lehmer test. There are papers (see for example [1, 3, 12]) that seek to describe the prime factors of M_n , where M_n is a composite number and n is a prime number.

^{*}Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa-MG 36570-900, Brazil

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Loyola University Maryland, 4501 North Charles Street, Baltimore MD 21210-2699, USA

[‡]Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Campus Samambaia, CP 131, CEP 74001-970, Goiânia, Brazil

Besides, some papers seek to describe prime divisors of Mersenne number M_n , where n cannot be a prime number (see for example [4, 8, 9, 10, 11]). In this paper, we propose to investigate the function $\omega(n)$, which refers to the number of distinct prime divisors of n, applied to M_n . Moreover, for a given odd prime p, we study the solutions of $M_m + M_n = 2p^a$, which as per our knowledge has not been studied anywhere in the literature.

2 Preliminary results

We start by stating some well-known facts. The first result is the well-known Theorem XXIII of [2], obtained by Carmichael.

Theorem 1. If $n \neq 1, 2, 6$, then M_n has a prime divisor which does not divide any M_m for 0 < m < n. Such prime is called a primitive divisor of M_n .

We also need the following results:

$$d = \gcd(m, n) \Rightarrow \gcd(M_m, M_n) = M_d \tag{1}$$

Proposition 2. If 1 < m < n, gcd(m, n) = 1 and $mn \neq 6$, then $\omega(M_{mn}) > \omega(M_m) + \omega(M_n)$.

Proof. As gcd(m,n) = 1, it follows that $gcd(M_m, M_n) = 1$ by (1). Now, according to Theorem 1, we have a prime number p such that p divides M_{mn} and p does not divide $M_m M_n$. Therefore, the proof of proposition is completed.

Mihăilescu [7] proved the following result.

Theorem 3. The only solution of the equation $x^m - y^n = 1$, with m, n > 1 and x, y > 0 is x = 3, m = 2, y = 2, n = 3.

For x = 2, Theorem 3 ensures that there is no m > 1, such that $2^m - 1 = y^n$ with n > 1. Lemma 4. Let p, q be prime numbers. Then,

- (i) $M_p \nmid (M_{pq}/M_p)$, if $2^p 1 \nmid q$;
- (*ii*) $M_p \nmid (M_{p^3}/M_p)$.

Proof. (i) We note that $M_{pq} = (2^p - 1)(\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} 2^{kp})$. Thus, if $(2^p - 1)|(\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} 2^{kp})$, then

$$(2^{p}-1)\Big|\left(\sum_{k=0}^{q-1}2^{kp}+2^{p}-1\right)=2^{p+1}\left(2^{pq-2p-1}+\cdots+2^{p-1}+1\right).$$

Since $2^{pq-2p-1} + \dots + 2^{p-1} + 1 \equiv (q-2)2^{p-1} + 1 \pmod{2^p-1}$, we have $(2^p-1)|((q-2)2^{p-1}+1)$. Therefore,

$$(2^{p}-1)|((q-2)2^{p-1}+1+(2^{p}-1)) = 2^{p-1}q$$

i.e., $2^p - 1|q$. Therefore, the proof of (i) is completed. The proof of (ii) is analogous to the proof of (i).

Remark 5. It is known that all divisors of M_p have the form q = 2lp + 1, where p, q are odd prime numbers and $l \equiv 0$ or $-p \pmod{4}$.

3 Mersenne numbers with $\omega(M_n) \leq 3$

In this section, we will characterize n for given value of $\omega(M_n)$. Moreover, as a consequence of Manea's Theorem – which we shall state next – we shall get the multiplicity $v_q(M_n)$ for a given odd prime q and a positive integer n.

Definition 6. Let *n* be a positive integer. The *q*-adic order of *n*, denoted by $v_q(n)$, is defined to be the natural *l* such that $q^l || n$, i. e., $n = q^l m$ with gcd(q, m) = 1.

Theorem 7 (Theorem 1 [6]). Let a and b be two distinct integers, p be a prime number that does not divide ab, and n be a positive integer. Then

1. if $p \neq 2$ and p|a - b, then

$$v_p(a^n - b^n) = v_p(n) + v_p(a - b);$$

2. if n is odd, $a + b \neq 0$ and p|a + b, then

$$v_p(a^n + b^n) = v_p(n) + v_p(a+b).$$

Theorem 8. Let $q \neq 2$ be a prime number. Define $m = ord_q(2)$ and $w = v_q(2^m - 1)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and write $n = q^l n_0$, with $gcd(q, n_0) = 1$. Then

$$v_q(M_n) = v_q(2^n - 1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \nmid n \\ l + w & \text{if } m | n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By elementary number theory, we know that $2^n \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ if and only if $\operatorname{ord}_q(2)|n$. This proves the first line of the formula.

Now, suppose that m|n and write n = mt. Then we have

$$M_n = (2^m)^t - 1^t.$$

By Theorem 7 (with $a = 2^m$ and b = 1), we have

$$v_q(M_n) = v_q(t) + v_q(2^m - 1)$$

= $l + w$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 9. The only solutions of the equation

$$\omega(M_n) = 1$$

are given by n, where either n = 2 or n is an odd prime for which M_n is a prime number of the form 2ln + 1, where $l \equiv 0$ or $-n \pmod{4}$.

Proof. The case n = 2 is obvious. For n odd, the equation implied is $M_n = q^m$, with $m \ge 1$. However, according to Theorem 3, $M_n \ne q^m$, with $m \ge 2$. Thus, if there is a unique prime number q that divides M_n , then $M_n = q$, and q = 2ln + 1, where $l \equiv 0$ or $-n \pmod{4}$, according to Remark 5.

Proposition 10. Let p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s be distinct prime numbers and n a positive integer such that $n \neq 2, 6$. If $p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s} | n$, where the α'_i s are positive integers and $\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i = t$, then

$$\omega(M_n) \ge \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } s = 1\\ t + \sum_{i=2}^s \binom{s}{i}, & \text{if } s > 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. According to Theorem 1, we have

$$\omega\left(M_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}\right) > w\left(M_{p_i^{\alpha_i-1}}\right) > \dots > \omega\left(M_{p_i}\right) \ge 1,$$

for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Therefore, $\omega(M_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}) \ge \alpha_i$ and this proves the case s = 1. Now, we observe that $\gcd\left(\prod_{i \in I} p_i, \prod_{j \in J} p_j\right) = 1$, for each pair $\emptyset \ne I, J \subset \{1, \ldots, s\}$ with $I \cap J = \emptyset$. Then follows from Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 that

$$\omega(M_n) \ge \sum_{i=2}^{s} {s \choose i} + t$$
, if $s > 1$.

Now we are ready to prove some theorems.

Theorem 11. The only solutions of the equation

$$\omega(M_n) = 2$$

are given by n = 4, 6 or $n = p_1$ or $n = p_1^2$, for some odd prime number p_1 . Furthermore,

- (i) if $n = p_1^2$, then $M_n = M_{p_1}q^t$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) if $n = p_1$, then $M_n = p^s q^t$, where p, q are distinct odd prime numbers and $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with gcd(s,t) = 1. Moreover, p, q satisfy $p = 2l_1p_1 + 1, q = 2l_2p_1 + 1$, where l_1, l_2 are distinct positive integers and $l_i \equiv 0$ or $-p_1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. This first part is an immediate consequence of Proposition 10.

(i) If $\omega(M_n) = 2$, with $n = p_1^2$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t$, with $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 1 $\omega(M_{p_1^2}) > \omega(M_{p_1}) \ge 1$, i.e., $M_{p_1} = p$, by Theorem 3. Thus, according to Lemma 4, $M_n = M_{p_1}q^t = pq^t$, with $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

(*ii*) If $\omega(M_n) = 2$, with $n = p_1$, then $M_n = p^s q^t$, with $t, s \in \mathbb{N}$. However, according to Theorem 3, we have gcd(s, t) = 1. The remainder of the conclusion is a direct consequence of Remark 5.

Theorem 12. The only solutions of the equation

$$\omega(M_n) = 3$$

are given by n = 8 or $n = p_1$ or $n = 2p_1$ or $n = p_1p_2$ or $n = p_1^2$ or $n = p_1^3$, for some distinct odd prime numbers $p_1 < p_2$. Furthermore,

- (i) if $n = 2p_1$ and $p_1 \neq 3$, then $M_n = 3M_{p_1}k^r = 3qk^r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and q, k are prime numbers.
- (ii) if $n = p_1 p_2$, then $M_n = (M_{p_1})^s M_{p_2} k^r = p^s q k^r$, with $s, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and p, q, k are prime numbers.
- (iii) if $n = p_1^2$, then $M_n = M_{p_1}q^t k^r$ or $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$, with $M_{p_1} = p^s q^t$ and (s,t) = 1, and p, q, k are prime numbers.
- (iv) if $n = p_1^3$, then $M_n = M_{p_1}q^tk^r = pq^tk^r$, with $t, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and p, q, k are prime numbers.
- (v) if $n = p_1$, then $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$ and $p = 2l_1p_1 + 1$, $q = 2l_2p_1 + 1$, $k = 2l_3p_1 + 1$, where l_1, l_2, l_3 are distinct positive integers and $l_i \equiv 0$ or $-p_1 \pmod{4}$, and gcd(s, t, r) = 1, with $s, t, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. This first part is an immediate consequence of the Proposition 10.

(i) If $\omega(M_n) = 3$, with $n = 2p_1$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, according to Proposition 2, $\omega(M_{2p_1}) > \omega(M_{p_1}) + \omega(M_2)$, i.e., $M_{p_1} = q$, according to Theorem 3. We noted that $M_{2p_1} = (2^{p_1} - 1)(2^{p_1} + 1)$ and q does not divide $2^{p_1} + 1$, because if $q|(2^{p_1} + 1)$, then $q|2^{p_1} + 1 - (2^{p_1} - 1) = 2$. This is a contradiction, since q is an odd prime. Thus, $M_n = (M_2)^s M_{p_1} w^r = 3^s q k^r$. Moreover, according to Lemma 4, we have s = 1 if $p_1 \neq 2^2 - 1 = 3$. Therefore, $M_n = M_2 M_{p_1} w^r = 3qk^r$.

(*ii*) If $\omega(M_n) = 3$, with $n = p_1 p_2$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, according to Proposition 2, $\omega(M_{p_1p_2}) > \omega(M_{p_1}) + \omega(M_{p_2})$, i.e., $M_{p_1} = p$ and $M_{p_2} = q$, according to Theorem 3. Thus, $M_n = (M_{p_1})^s (M_{p_2})^t k^r = p^s q^t k^r$ and $\gcd(s, t, r) = 1$ if s, t, r > 1, according to Theorem 3. However, $2^{p_2} - 1 \nmid p_1$, because $p_1 < p_2$. According to Lemma 4, we have t = 1. Thus, $M_n = M_{p_1}^s M_{p_2} k^r = p^s q k^r$.

(*iii*) If $\omega(M_n) = 3$, with $n = p_1^2$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t w^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, according to Lemma 4, we have $M_{p_1} = p^s q^t$, with (s, t) = 1 or $M_{p_1} = p$.

(iv) If $\omega(M_n) = 3$, with $n = p_1^3$, then on one hand $M_n = p^s q^t w^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, according to Theorem 1, $\omega(M_{p_1^3}) > \omega(M_{p_1^2}) > \omega(M_{p_1}) \ge 1$, i.e., $M_{p_1} = p^s$. According to Theorem 3, we have s = 1. Thus, $M_n = M_{p_1} q^t k^r = p q^t k^r$.

(v) If $n = p_1$, then $M_n = p^s q^t k^r$, with $t, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$. However, according to Theorem 3, gcd(s, t, r) = 1. The form of p, q and k is given by Remark 5.

We present some examples of solutions for Theorems 9, 11 and 12.

(i) $\omega(M_n) = 1$, where *n* is a prime number: $M_2 = 3, M_3 = 7, M_5 = 31, M_7 = 127, \dots$

- (ii) $\omega(M_n) = 2$, where *n* is a prime number: $M_{11} = 2047 = 23 \times 89, M_{23} = 8388607 = 47 \times 178481, \ldots$ and $M_6 = (M_2)^2 M_3$; with $n = p^2$, where *p* is a prime number: $M_4 = 15 = M_2 \times 5, M_9 = 511 = M_3 \times 73, M_{49} = M_7 \times 4432676798593, \ldots$
- (iii) $\omega(M_n) = 3$, where *n* is a prime number: $M_{29} = 536870911 = 233 \times 1103 \times 2089$, $M_{43} = 8796093022207 = 431 \times 9719 \times 2099863$,...; with n = 2p, where *p* is a prime number: $M_{10} = M_2 \times M_5 \times 11$, $M_{14} = M_2 \times M_7 \times 43$...; with $n = p^3$, *p* is a prime number: $M_8 = 255 = M_2 \times 5 \times 17$, $M_{27} = M_3 \times 73 \times 262657$,...; with $n = p_1 p_2$, where p_1 and p_2 are distinct prime numbers: $M_{15} = M_3 \times M_5 \times 151$, $M_{21} = (M_3)^2 \times M_7 \times 337$,...; with $n = p^2$, where *p* is a prime number: $M_{25} = M_5 \times 601 \times 1801$,....

Remark 13. Using Theorem 8 together with Theorem 11, 12, one can say something more about the structure of n.

4 Mersenne numbers rarely have few prime factors.

We observe that Proposition 10 provides a lower bound for $\omega(M_n)$. Of course, this lower bound depends on n, but it is necessary to obtain the factorization of n. The theorem below provided a lower bound that depends directly on n. To prove this theorem, we need the following lemma.

Theorem 14 (Theorem 432, [5]). Let d(n) be the total number of divisors of n. If ϵ is a positive number, then

 $2^{(1-\epsilon)\log\log n} < d(n) < 2^{(1+\epsilon)\log\log n}$

for almost all positive integer n.

Theorem 15. Let ϵ be a positive number. The inequality

$$\omega(M_n) > 2^{(1-\epsilon)\log\log n} - 3$$

holds for almost all positive integer n.

Proof. According to Theorem 1, we know that if h|n and $h \neq 1, 2, 6$, then M_h has a prime primitive factor. This implies that

$$\omega(M_n) \ge d(n) - 3$$

Consequently, by Theorem 14, we have

$$\omega(M_n) > 2^{(1-\epsilon)\log\log n} - 3$$

for almost all positive integer n.

5 Study of the Equation $M_m + M_n = 2p^a$

We consider the equation $M_m + M_n = 2p^a$ with $m, n \ge 2$, p an odd prime number and a a positive integer. We present two results on the solutions to this equation.

Lemma 16. For every $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have $p^a + 1 = 2k$, where gcd(k, 2) = 1

Proof. We have

$$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \implies p^a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$
$$\implies p^a + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$
$$\implies p^a + 1 \equiv 4a + 2, \text{ for some } a \in \mathbb{Z}$$
$$\implies p^a + 1 = 2k; \ \gcd(k, 2) = 1.$$

Theorem 17. Let p be a prime number with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$M_m + M_n = 2p^a \tag{2}$$

has an integer solution only if $p = 2^{2^b} + 1$. More precisely, such solutions are given by $(m, n, a) = (2, 2^b + 1, 1)$.

Proof. Suppose that (2) has a solution, say (m, n, a). Without loss of generality, we can assume $m \leq n$. Notice that

$$2^m + 2^n = 2p^a + 2 = 4k,$$

by Lemma 16.

From which one can observe that m = 2 or n = 2, since otherwise k would be even.

Case 1. If n = 2 then $m \in \{1, 2\}$. Hence we get 4 + 2 = 4k or 4 + 4 = 4k. Since 6 is not a multiple of 4, we are left with the later case, which implies k = 2. Therefore, $2k = 4 = p^a + 1$, which is absurd, since $p^a + 1 \ge 5$.

Case 2. m = 2 and n > 2. By definition of Mersenne numbers we have the following

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 4(1+2^{n-2}) & = & 2p^a+2=4k\\ 2(1+2^{n-2}) & = & p^a+1=2k\\ p^a+1 & = & 2^{n-1}+2. \end{array}$$

Subcase 1. a = 1. So we have, $p = 2^{n-1} + 1$. We know that if $2^N + 1$ is a prime number

then N is a power of 2. Hence there exists b such that $n - 1 = 2^b$, i. e., $2 + 2^{2^b} = 2k$. Hence, if (m, n, 1) is a solution of (2) then m = 2 and $n = 2^b + 1$ such that $2^{2^b} + 1$ is a prime number.

Subcase 2. $a \ge 2$. Suppose that there exists $a \ge 2$ satisfying the equation (2). This implies that $p^a = 2^{n-1} + 1$. Let us study when a is even and odd separately:

Subcase 2.1. a is even. The equation $p^a = 2^{n-1} + 1$ implies

$$\left(p^{\frac{a}{2}} - 1\right)\left(p^{\frac{a}{2}} + 1\right) = 2^{n-1}.$$
(3)

Let x and y be positive integers such that $p^{\frac{a}{2}} - 1 = 2^x$ and $p^{\frac{p}{2}} + 1 = 2^y$, then y > x and x + y = n - 1. Thus $2^y - 2^x = 2^x(2^{y-x} - 1) = 2$ which implies x = 1, y = 2, and consequently n = 4. Therefore $p^a = 9$, i.e., p = 3 and a = 2, which is absurd, because $3 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Subcase 2.2. a is odd. There exists a natural number l such that a = 2l + 1. Thus

$$p^{a} = 2^{n-1} + 1 \Rightarrow p^{a} - 1 = 2^{n-1} \Rightarrow (p-1)\left(1 + p + p^{2} + \dots p^{2l-1} + p^{2l}\right) = 2^{n-1}.$$

Thus, there exist positive integers x and y such that $p-1 = 2^x$ and $1+p+p^2+\cdots p^{2l-1}+p^{2l}=2^y$. Clearly y > x and x+y=n-1. Notice that $2^y-2^x=2+p^2+\cdots +p^{2l-1}+p^{2l}=k$, where k is odd, since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. This only occurs when x = 0, that is a contradiction. \Box

Observation 18. Since we know that Fermat primes are very rare, from Theorem 17 we can conclude that solutions are also very rare.

The Theorem 17 explores solution in case prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. The next theorem will explore the solutions in case $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 19. Let p be a prime number with $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$M_m + M_n = 2p^a \tag{4}$$

has an integer solution only if $p^a = 2^k(1 + 2^{n-(k+1)}) - 1$ with $2^k \parallel (p^a + 1)$. More precisely, such solutions are given by

- (i) (m, n, a) = (2, 4, 2);
- (ii) (m, n, a) = (k, k, 1) if $2^k = p^a + 1$. In that case $M_k = p$ is a Mersenne prime;
- (iii) (m, n, a) = (k + 1, n, a) if $p^a + 1 > 2^k$.

Proof. Since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$ such that $2^k \mid (p^a + 1)$. Note that, if a is even, then k = 1. If a is odd, then $k \geq 2$, since $4 \mid (p^a + 1)$. Suppose (m, n, a) is a solution of (4). Without loss of generality we can assume $m \leq n$

Case 1. a is even.

As mentioned earlier, we can write $p^a + 1 = 2b$; where b is an odd integer. Since $p \ge 3$, we have $b \ge 5$. Observe that,

$$M_m + M_n = 2p^a 2^m + 2^n = 2(p^a + 1) 2^m + 2^n = 2^2b$$

Hence, m = 2, which together with the fact that $b \ge 5$ implies, $n \ge 3$. Therefore, we have

$$4(1+2^{n-2}) = 2^{2}b$$

$$1+2^{n-2} = b$$

Therefore, we can conclude that, $b = 1 + 2^{n-2}$, which in turn implies $p^a + 1 = 2(1 + 2^{n-2})$ iff (m, n, a) = (2, n, a) is the only solution of the equation (4). But, $p^a + 1 = 2(1 + 2^{n-2})$, then $p^a - 2^{n-1} = 1$. According to Theorem 3, the only solution, with a an even number is n = 4 and a = 2. Hence p = 3.

Case 2. a is odd. As mentioned earlier, we can write $p^a + 1 = 2^k b$; where b is an odd integer and $k \ge 2$. Observe that,

$$M_m + M_n = 2p^a$$

$$2^m + 2^n = 2(p^a + 1)$$

$$2^m + 2^n = 2^{k+1}b.$$

Note that, b = 1 iff m = n = k. Therefore, $p^a + 1 = 2^k$ iff (m, n, a) = (k, k, a) is the only solution. But, if $p^a + 1 = 2^k$, then $2^k - p^a = 1$. By Theorem 3 a = 1. Thus the only solution is (m, n, a) = (k, k, 1), where $M_k = p$ is a Mersenne prime.

From here on let us assume $b \ge 3$. Since $2^m + 2^n = 2^{k+1}b, b \ge 3$ we get m = k + 1. Since b is odd $n \ge k + 2$. Therefore,

$$2^{k+1}(1+2^{n-(k+1)}) = 2^{k+1}b$$

$$1+2^{n-(k+1)} = b$$

Therefore, we conclude that, $b = 1 + 2^{n-(k+1)}$, which in turn implies $p^a + 1 = 2^k(1 + 2^{n-(k+1)})$ iff (m, n, a) = (k+1, n, a).

Observation 20. Theorem 19 tells us that the equation (4) has solution only for the primes of the form $p^a + 1 = 2^k(1 + 2^{n-(k+1)})$. For example (4) with p = 3, a = 4 has no solution.

References

- J. Brillhart, On the factors of certain Mersenne numbers. II, Math. Comp. 18 (1964), no. 87–92.
- [2] R. D. Carmichael, On the numerical factors of arithmetic forms $\alpha^n \pm \beta^n$, Ann. of Math. **15** (2) (1913/14), no. 1–4, 30–48.
- [3] J. R. Ehrman, The number of prime divisors of certain Mersenne numbers, *Math. Comp.* 21 (1967), no. 700–704.
- [4] K. Ford, F. Luca, I. E. Shparlinski, On the largest prime factor of the Mersenne numbers, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. 79 (3) (2009), 455–463.

- [5] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, Editors (D. R. Heath-Brown, Joseph H. Silverman). An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Sixth Edition, *Oxford University Press* (2008).
- [6] M. Manea, Some $a^n \pm b^n$ problems in number theory. *Mathematics Magazine* **79**, no. 2 (2006), 140–145.
- [7] P. Mihăilescu, Primary Cyclotomic Units and a Proof of Catalan's Conjecture. J. Reine Angew. Math. 572 (2004), 167–195.
- [8] L. Murata, C. Pomerance, On the largest prime factor of a Mersenne number, Number Theory 36 (2004), 209–218.
- [9] C. Pomerance, On primitive dvivisors of Mersenne numbers, Acta Arith. 46 (1986), 355– 367.
- [10] A. Schinzel, On primitive prime factors of $a^n b^n$, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 58 (1962), 555–562.
- [11] C. L. Stewart, The greatest prime factor of $a^n b^n$, Acta Arith. 26 (1974/75), 427–433.
- [12] S. S. Wagstaff, Jr., Divisors of Mersenne numbers. Math. Comp. 40 (1983), 385–397.