


"This is one of the most useful books you'll ever read."

—WILLIAM URY, *Getting to Yes*

Nonviolent
COMMUNICATION
A Language of Life



2nd Edition

Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.

Endorsed by

Arun Gandhi, Deepak Chopra, Marianne Williamson, John Gray, Jack Canfield,
Anthony Robbins, Dr. Thomas Gordon, Riane Eisler, and more

Nonviolent
COMMUNICATION™

A Language of Life



Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.



PuddleDancer
P R E S S

2240 Encinitas Blvd., Ste. D-911, Encinitas, CA 92024
email@PuddleDancer.com • www.PuddleDancer.com

Nonviolent Communication: A Language of Life

© 2003 PuddleDancer Press

A PuddleDancer Press Book

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other mechanical or electronic methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher, except for use as brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other noncommercial uses as permitted by copyright law. To request permission, contact the publisher at the following address, "Attention: Permissions Coordinator."

PuddleDancer Press, Permissions Dept.
2240 Encinitas Blvd., Ste. D-911, Encinitas, CA 92024
Tel: 1-858-759-6963 Fax: 1-858-759-6967
www.NonviolentCommunication.com Email@PuddleDancer.com

Ordering Information

Please contact Independent Publishers Group, Tel: 312-337-0747; Fax: 312-337-5985;
Email: frontdesk@ipgbook.com or visit www.IPGbook.com for other contact information and details about ordering online

Nonviolent Communication: A Language of Life

2nd Edition Printing, August, 2003

Author: Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.

Editor: Lucy Leu

Copyeditor: Kyra Freestar

Project director: Jeanne Iler

Cover and interior design: Lightbourne (www.lightbourne.com)

Indexer: Phyllis Linn, INDEXPRESS

Cover photograph of Jerusalem artichoke: Eric Dresser

Manufactured in the United States of America

2nd Edition, 1st Printing, August 2003

20 19 18 17

ISBN: 978-1-892005-03-8

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Rosenberg, Marshall B.

Nonviolent communication : a language of life / by Marshall B.

Rosenberg. -- 2nd ed.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN: 978-1-892005-03-8

1. Interpersonal communication. 2. Interpersonal relations. I.

Title.

BF637.C45R67 2003

153.6--dc21

2003010831

What People Are Saying About Nonviolent Communication™:

RELATIONSHIPS:

“Marshall Rosenberg’s dynamic communication techniques transform potential conflicts into peaceful dialogues. You’ll learn simple tools to defuse arguments and create compassionate connections with your family, friends, and other acquaintances.”

—JOHN GRAY, author, *Men Are From Mars, Women Are From Venus*

“*Nonviolent Communication* can change the world. More importantly, it can change your life. I cannot recommend it highly enough.”

—JACK CANFIELD, author, *Chicken Soup for the Soul Series*

“Marshall Rosenberg provides us with the most effective tools to foster health and relationships. *Nonviolent Communication* connects soul to soul, creating a lot of healing. It is the missing element in what we do.”

—DEEPAK CHOPRA, author, *How to Know God and Ageless Body and Timeless Mind*

“I have taken conversations that were headed for the dumps, starting using these techniques, and ended up with a very useful bonding experience.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“If you want to be heard, and to hear what your loved ones are truly saying behind what they’re saying, read this book! It will change your life.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“Rosenberg has developed a simple method of communicating that helps to avoid triggering a defensive reaction, and instead stimulate understanding and agreement. It is invaluable in all your relationships, and definitely should be required reading for everyone.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

CONFLICT TRANSFORMATION:

“*Nonviolent Communication* is one of the most useful processes you will ever learn.”

—WILLIAM URY, coauthor, *Getting to Yes*

“In this book, you will find an amazingly effective language for saying what’s on your mind and in your heart. Like so many essential and elegant systems, it’s simple on the surface, challenging to use in the heat of the moment and powerful in its results.”

—VICKI ROBIN, coauthor, *Your Money or Your Life*

“As far as nonviolence and spiritual activism, Marshall Rosenberg is it! Applying the concepts within these books will guide the reader towards a fostering more compassion in the world.”

—MARIANNE WILLIAMSON, author, *Everyday Grace*
and honorary chairperson, Peace Alliance

“Like Noam Chomsky, Rosenberg’s work is intrinsically radical, it subverts our whole status-quo system of power: between children and adults, the sane and the psychotic, the criminal and the law. Rosenberg’s distinction between punitive and protective force should be required reading for anyone making foreign policy or policing our streets.”

—D. KILLIAN, reporter, *On The Front Line*, *Cleveland Free Times*

“We have lived traumatic moments over and over again—moments of fear and panic, incomprehension, frustrations, disappointment, and injustice of all sorts, with no hope of escape—which made it even worse. *Nonviolent Communication* offers us a peaceful alternative for ending this interminable Rwandan conflict.”

—THEODORE NYILIDANDI, Rwandan Department of
Foreign Affairs; Kigali, Rwanda

“In our present age of uncivil discourse and mean-spirited demagoguery, the principles and practices of *Nonviolent Communication* are as timely as they are necessary to the peaceful resolution of conflicts, personal or public, domestic or international.”

—MIDWEST BOOK REVIEW, *Taylor’s Shelf*

“Rosenberg describes how, in numerous conflicts, once ‘enemies’ have been able to hear each other’s needs, they are able to connect compassionately and find new solutions to previously ‘impossible’ impasses. If you want to learn ways of more skillful speech I highly recommend this clear, easy-to-read book.”

—DIANA LION, Buddhist Peace Fellowship, *Turning Wheel Magazine*

“A simple communication process that eliminates the competitive, adversarial, and violence provocative style of communication that has infected most of our lives. This is not about the meek inheriting the world or being nice docile cogs in our power-over, hierarchical system. It is about the ‘protective use of force,’ vulnerability, heart-to-heart dialogue, and getting our needs meet in a way we will less likely regret.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“As a professional in the field, I can say that this book practices what it preaches, and I found the step-by-step approach, exercises, and examples to be clear and easy to practice.”

—A reader in Maryland

“I have never read a clearer, more straightforward, insightful book on communication. Amazingly easy to read, great examples, and challenging to put into practice—this book is a true gift to all of us.”

—A reader in Washington

PERSONAL GROWTH:

“*Nonviolent Communication* by Marshall Rosenberg is a great book teaching a compassionate way to talk to people—even if you (or they) are angry.”

—JOE VITALE, author, *Spiritual Marketing, The Power of Outrageous Marketing*

“Changing the way the world has worked for 5,000 years sounds daunting, but *Nonviolent Communication* helps liberate us from ancient patterns of violence.”

—FRANCIS LEFKOWITZ, reporter, *Body & Soul*

“A revolutionary way of looking at language. If enough people actually make use of the material in *Nonviolent Communication* we may soon live in a more peaceful and compassionate world.”

—WES TAYLOR, *Progressive Health*

“The single toughest, most dangerous opponent I’d ever faced—the one that truly hurt me the most, causing me to spend 30 years of my life behind bars—was my own anger and fear. I write these words now, a gray-haired old man, hoping to God—before you suffer what I’ve suffered—that it will cause you to listen and learn *Nonviolent Communication*. It will teach you how to recognize anger before it becomes violence, and how to understand, deal with, and take control of the rage you may feel.”

—A prisoner writing to fellow inmates

“This is the most concise, most clearly written manual on interpersonal communication I’ve ever come across. I’ve been challenged by this book to be the change I want to see in my world.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“Literally, anyone who speaks could benefit from reading this book! It helps us to realize not only the power of words, but how to choose our words better and ultimately enhance both communication and relationships! Highly recommended!”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“By taking a step back from daily frustrations, disappointments, and stressors, and re-examining the purpose of my own and others’ needs, this book has helped me listen more deeply, act more genuinely, and find acceptance in difficult situations. Well done!”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“I am one of those people who is highly critical of myself. This book is teaching me to love myself so I can truly care for others. It can pave the way for peace between people, different ethnic groups, countries, etc. and I believe our world really needs this.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

PARENTING AND FAMILY COMMUNICATION:

“With the growth in today’s dysfunctional families and the increase of violence in our schools, *Nonviolent Communication* is a godsend.”

—LINDA C. STOEHR, Los Colinas Business News

“This book is essential reading for anyone seeking to end the unfulfilling cycles of argument in their relationship, and for parents who wish to influence their children’s’ behavior by engendering compassion rather than simply achieving obedience.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“In addition to saving our marriage, *Nonviolent Communication* is helping us repair our relationships with our grown children and to relate more deeply with our parents and siblings. If angels do manifest in physical form here on this earth, then Marshall Rosenberg must be one.”

—A reader in Arizona

“My relationship with my husband, which was good already, has become even better. I’ve taught NVC to many parents who have since gained a deeper understanding of their children, thus enhancing their relationship and decreasing tension and conflict.”

—A reader in Illinois

“*Nonviolent Communication* allowed me to overcome my toxic conditioning and find the loving parent and person that was locked inside. Dr. Rosenberg has created a way to transform the violence in the world.”

—A nurse in California

“Using *Nonviolent Communication* was vital to healing my relationship with my sister; and for me, it serves as a guide for applying Buddhist practice to communication.”

—JANE LAZAR, Zen Student in Residence / NVC Trainer

“What began as a search for a better discipline system for our six-year-old has turned out to be a philosophical approach and communication tool that is transforming how we relate to each other and ourselves.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“*Nonviolent Communication: A Language of Life* has allowed me to overcome my toxic conditioning and find the loving parent and person that was locked inside.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“I spent 40 years of my life trying to receive empathy from my dad. After only reading half of this book, I was able to express myself in a way that he was able to finally hear me and give me what I needed. It was a gift beyond words.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

SPIRITUALITY:

“In my estimation, *Nonviolent Communication* is as radical and change-making as the Eight-Fold Path. I predict that active use of NVC in our sanghas would significantly cut through frustrations and growing pains.”

—JOAN STARR WARD, member, Spirit Rock Center, California, and the Buddhist Peace Fellowship

“Buddhism and *Nonviolent Communication* are rooms in the same house. I strongly recommend NVC as a highly effective practice for developing clarity and genuine compassion.”

—LEWIS RHAMES, Vipassana Insight Meditation, Minimal Security Unit, Monroe Correctional Complex

“For convicts immersed in an environment which intensifies and reinforces conflict, discovering this step-by-step methodology advocating compassion through communication is enormously liberating.”

—DOW GORDON, Vipassana (Insight) Meditation, Minimum Security Unit of the Monroe Correctional Complex, Monroe, Washington

“The consciousness of *Nonviolent Communication* and Buddhism feed each other, deepen each other and support each other in a beautiful, mutual dance of deepening love.”

—MARK J. GOODMAN, Vipassana Meditation and a heart connection connection to Thich Nhat Hanh and his lineage, Seattle, Washington

EDUCATION:

“Brilliant. This book is an excellent educational tool to help all people learn to really listen to others so they feel acknowledged, and in turn respond with confidence and respect. This process helps foster communication skills that are useful and needed by everyone to build healthy, respectful, satisfying relationships. A must read!”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“Marshall’s strategies for active listening really work. I teach middle school, and it has worked both at work and with my family. A good step along the way to transformation.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“Through compelling, real life examples, Rosenberg brings the NVC process to life. My college students, especially the older ones, share with me that reading this book has changed their life. Trying to practice the steps myself in daily interactions, at meetings, and in the classroom, has also had a powerful effect on me.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“NVC has made a huge difference in my life with my children, relatives, teachers of schools, work, and the list goes on. When I discovered this book, I was really doubtful that anything could help me change the nature of my relationships with others and I am astonished at the depth and simplicity of *Nonviolent Communication*.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

PROFESSIONAL THERAPY AND MEDIATION:

“The quality of empathy I now am able to provide has enlivened my therapy practice. This book gives me hope that I can contribute to the well being of my clients, and also connect deeply with my friends and family. The step-by-step empathy skills in this book are learnable by anyone.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“As a therapist, I have found this book to be helpful to clients with anger management difficulties, and problems with conflict in relationships because it promotes self-awareness and self-acceptance. NVC takes practice, but once you understand and internalize the general attitude promoted in this book, it sticks. And then it seeps into your life like a soothing balm.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

“I have never read a clearer, more straightforward, insightful book on communication. After studying and teaching assertiveness since the 70s, this book is a breath of fresh air. Rosenberg adds the brilliant insight into the linkage of feelings and needs and taking responsibility and creates a true tool.”

—An Amazon.com reviewer

Contents



Foreword • xiii

Acknowledgments • xvii

Chapter 1: Giving From the Heart • 1

Introduction • 1

A Way to Focus Attention • 3

The NVC Process • 6

Applying NVC in Our Lives and World • 8

NVC in Action: Murderer, Assassin, Child-Killer! • 12

Chapter 2: Communication That Blocks Compassion • 15

Moralistic Judgments • 15

Making Comparisons • 18

Denial of Responsibility • 19

Other Forms of Life-Alienating Communication • 22

Chapter 3: Observing Without Evaluating • 25

The Highest Form of Human Intelligence • 28

Distinguishing Observations From Evaluations • 30

NVC in Action: The Most Arrogant Speaker We've Ever Had! • 32

Exercise 1: Observation or Evaluation? • 34

Chapter 4: Identifying and Expressing Feelings • 37

The Heavy Cost of Unexpressed Feelings • 37

Feelings versus Non-Feelings • 41

Building a Vocabulary for Feelings • 43

Exercise 2: Expressing Feelings • 47

Chapter 5: Taking Responsibility for Our Feelings • 49

- Hearing a Negative Message: Four Options • 49
- The Needs at the Roots of Feelings • 52
- The Pain of Expressing Our Needs versus
the Pain of Not Expressing Our Needs • 55
- From Emotional Slavery to Emotional Liberation • 57
- NVC in Action: Bring Back the Stigma of Illegitimacy! • 61
- Exercise 3: Acknowledging Needs • 65

Chapter 6: Requesting That Which Would Enrich Life • 67

- Using Positive Action Language • 67
- Making Requests Consciously • 72
- Asking for a Reflection • 74
- Requesting Honesty • 76
- Making Requests of a Group • 77
- Requests versus Demands • 79
- Defining Our Objective When Making Requests • 81
- NVC in Action: Sharing Fears About a Best Friend's Smoking • 85
- Exercise 4: Expressing Requests • 88

Chapter 7: Receiving Empathically • 91

- Presence: Don't Just Do Something, Stand There • 91
- Listening for Feelings and Needs • 94
- Paraphrasing • 96
- Sustaining Empathy • 101
- When Pain Blocks Our Ability to Empathize • 103
- NVC in Action: A Wife Connects With Her Dying Husband • 105
- Exercise 5: Receiving Empathically versus Non-Empathically • 109

Chapter 8: The Power of Empathy • 113

- Empathy That Heals • 113
- Empathy and the Ability to Be Vulnerable • 115
- Using Empathy to Defuse Danger • 117

- Empathy in Hearing Someone's "No!" • 120
- Empathy to Revive a Lifeless Conversation • 121
- Empathy for Silence • 123

Chapter 9: Connecting Compassionately With Ourselves • 129

- Remembering the Specialness of What We Are • 129
- Evaluating Ourselves When We've Been Less Than Perfect • 130
- Translating Self-Judgments and Inner Demands • 132
- NVC Mourning • 132
- Self-Forgiveness • 133
- The Lesson of the Polka-Dotted Suit • 134
- Don't Do Anything That Isn't Play! • 135
- Translating *Have to* to *Choose to* • 136
- Cultivating Awareness of the Energy Behind Our Actions • 138

Chapter 10: Expressing Anger Fully • 141

- Distinguishing Stimulus from Cause • 141
- All Anger Has a Life-Serving Core • 144
- Stimulus versus Cause: Practical Implications • 145
- Four Steps to Expressing Anger • 148
- Offering Empathy First • 149
- Taking Our Time • 152
- NVC in Action: Parent and Teen Dialogue
A Life-Threatening Issue • 154

Chapter 11: The Protective Use of Force • 161

- When the Use of Force Is Unavoidable • 161
- The Thinking Behind the Use of Force • 161
- Types of Punitive Force • 162
- The Costs of Punishment • 164
- Two Questions That Reveal the Limitations of Punishment • 165
- The Protective Use of Force in Schools • 166

Chapter 12: Liberating Ourselves and Counseling Others • 171

- Freeing Ourselves From Old Programming • 171
- Resolving Internal Conflicts • 172
- Caring for Our Inner Environment • 173
- Replacing Diagnosis With NVC • 175
- NVC in Action: Dealing With Resentment and Self-Judgment • 180

Chapter 13: Expressing Appreciation in Nonviolent Communication • 185

- The Intention Behind the Appreciation • 185
- The Three Components of Appreciation • 186
- Receiving Appreciation • 188
- The Hunger for Appreciation • 190
- Overcoming the Reluctance to Express Appreciation • 191

Epilogue • 193

Bibliography • 197

Index • 201

The Four-Part Nonviolent Communication Process • 209

Some Basic Feelings and Needs We All Have • 210

About Nonviolent Communication • 211

About PuddleDancer Press • 212

About the Center for Nonviolent Communication • 213

Trade Books From PuddleDancer Press • 214

Trade Booklets From PuddleDancer Press • 218

About the Author • 220

Foreword

Arun Gandhi

Founder and President, M.K. Gandhi Institute for Nonviolence

As a person of color, growing up in apartheid South Africa in the 1940s was not something anyone relished. Especially not if you were brutally reminded of your skin color every moment of every day. To be beaten up at the age of ten by white youths because they consider you too black and then by black youths because they consider you too white is a humiliating experience that would drive anyone to vengeful violence.

I was so outraged that my parents decided to take me to India and leave me for some time with Grandfather, the legendary M.K. Gandhi, so that I could learn from him how to deal with the anger, the frustration, the discrimination, and the humiliation that violent color prejudice can evoke in you. In the eighteen months I learned more than I anticipated. My only regret now is that I was just thirteen years old and a mediocre student at that. If only I had been older, a bit wiser, and a bit more thoughtful, I could have learned so much more. But, one must be happy with what one has received and not be greedy, a fundamental lesson in nonviolent living. How can I forget this?

One of the many things I learned from Grandfather is to understand the depth and breadth of nonviolence and to acknowledge that one is violent and that one needs to bring about a qualitative change in one's attitude. We often don't acknowledge our violence because we are ignorant about it; we assume we are not violent because our vision of violence is one of fighting, killing, beating, and wars—the types of things that average individuals don't do.

To bring this home to me, Grandfather made me draw a family tree of violence using the same principles as for a genealogical tree. His argument was that I would have a better appreciation of nonviolence if I understood and acknowledged the violence that

exists in the world. He assisted me every evening to analyze the day's happenings—everything that I experienced, read about, saw, or did to others—and put them down on the tree either under “physical,” if it was violence where physical force was used, or under “passive,” if it was the type of violence where the hurt was more emotional.

Within a few months I covered one wall in my room with acts of “passive” violence which Grandfather described as being more insidious than “physical” violence. He then explained that passive violence ultimately generated anger in the victim who, as an individual or as a member of a collective, responded violently. In other words, it is passive violence that fuels the fire of physical violence. It is because we don't understand or appreciate this that either all our efforts to work for peace have not fructified or that each peace has been temporary. How can we extinguish a fire if we don't first cut off the fuel that ignites the inferno?

Grandfather always vociferously stressed the need for nonviolence in communications—something that Marshall Rosenberg has been doing admirably for several years through his writings and his seminars. I read with considerable interest Mr. Rosenberg's book *Nonviolent Communication: A Language of Life* and am impressed by the depth of his work and the simplicity of the solutions.

As Grandfather would say, unless “we become the change we wish to see in the world,” no change will ever take place. We are all, unfortunately, waiting for the other person to change first.

Nonviolence is not a strategy that can be used today and discarded tomorrow; nonviolence is not something that makes you meek or a pushover. Nonviolence is about inculcating positive attitudes to replace the negative attitudes that dominate us. Everything that we do is conditioned by selfish motives—what's in it for me. More so in an overwhelmingly materialistic society that thrives on rugged individualism. None of these negative concepts are conducive to building a homogeneous family, community, society, or nation.

It is not important that we come together in a moment of crisis and show our patriotism by flying the flag; it is not enough that we become a superpower by building an arsenal that can destroy this earth several times over; it is not enough that we subjugate the rest of the world through our military might—because peace cannot be built on the foundations of fear.

Nonviolence means allowing the positive within you to emerge. Be dominated by love, respect, understanding, appreciation, compassion, and concern for others rather than the self-centered and selfish, greedy, hateful, prejudiced, suspicious, and aggressive attitudes that dominate our thinking. We often hear people say: This world is ruthless, and if you want to survive you must become ruthless too. I humbly disagree with this contention.

This world is what we have made of it. If it is ruthless today it is because we have made it ruthless by our attitudes. If we change ourselves we can change the world, and changing ourselves begins with changing our language and methods of communication. I highly recommend reading this book and applying the Nonviolent Communication process it teaches. It is a significant first step toward changing our communication and creating a compassionate world.

—Arun Gandhi

Acknowledgments

I'm grateful that I was able to study and work with Professor Carl Rogers at a time when he was researching the components of a helping relationship. The results of this research played a key role in the evolution of the process of communication that I will be describing in this book.

I will be forever grateful that Professor Michael Hakeem helped me to see the scientific limitations and the social and political dangers of practicing psychology in the way that I had been trained: with a pathology-based understanding of human beings. Seeing the limitations of this model stimulated me to search for ways of practicing a different psychology, one based on a growing clarity about how we human beings were meant to live.

I'm grateful, too, for George Miller's and George Albee's efforts to alert psychologists to the need to find better ways for "giving psychology away." They helped me see that the enormity of suffering on our planet requires more effective ways of distributing much-needed skills than can be offered by a clinical approach.

I would like to thank Lucy Leu for editing this book and creating the final manuscript; Rita Herzog and Kathy Smith for their editing assistance; and, for their additional help, Darold Milligan, Sonia Nordenson, Melanie Sears, Bridget Belgrave, Marian Moore, Kittrell McCord, Virginia Hoyte, and Peter Weismiller.

Finally, I would like to express gratitude to my friend Annie Muller. Her encouragement to be clearer about the spiritual foundation of my work has strengthened that work and enriched my life.



Words Are Windows (or They're Walls)

*I feel so sentenced by your words,
I feel so judged and sent away,
Before I go I've got to know,
Is that what you mean to say?*

*Before I rise to my defense,
Before I speak in hurt or fear,
Before I build that wall of words,
Tell me, did I really hear?*

*Words are windows, or they're walls,
They sentence us, or set us free.
When I speak and when I hear,
Let the love light shine through me.*

*There are things I need to say,
Things that mean so much to me,
If my words don't make me clear,
Will you help me to be free?*

*If I seemed to put you down,
If you felt I didn't care,
Try to listen through my words,
To the feelings that we share.*

—Ruth Bebermeyer



Giving From the Heart

The Heart of Nonviolent Communication

*What I want in my life is compassion,
a flow between myself and others based
on a mutual giving from the heart.*

—Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.

Introduction

Believing that it is our nature to enjoy giving and receiving in a compassionate manner, I have been preoccupied most of my life with two questions: What happens to disconnect us from our compassionate nature, leading us to behave violently and exploitatively? And conversely, what allows some people to stay connected to their compassionate nature under even the most trying circumstances?

My preoccupation with these questions began in childhood, around the summer of 1943, when our family moved to Detroit, Michigan. The second week after we arrived, a race war erupted over an incident at a public park. More than forty people were killed in the next few days. Our neighborhood was situated in the center of the violence, and we spent three days locked in the house.

When the race riot ended and school began, I discovered that a name could be as dangerous as any skin color. When the teacher called my name during attendance, two boys glared at me and hissed, “Are you a kike?” I had never heard the word before and

didn't know some people used it in a derogatory way to refer to Jews. After school, the same two boys were waiting for me: they threw me to the ground and kicked and beat me.

Since that summer in 1943, I have been examining the two questions I mentioned. What empowers us, for example, to stay connected to our compassionate nature even under the worst circumstances? I am thinking of people like Etty Hillesum, who remained compassionate even while subjected to the grotesque conditions of a German concentration camp. As she wrote in her journal at the time,

I am not easily frightened. Not because I am brave but because I know that I am dealing with human beings, and that I must try as hard as I can to understand everything that anyone ever does. And that was the real import of this morning: not that a disgruntled young Gestapo officer yelled at me, but that I felt no indignation, rather a real compassion, and would have liked to ask, 'Did you have a very unhappy childhood, has your girlfriend let you down?' Yes, he looked harassed and driven, sullen and weak. I should have liked to start treating him there and then, for I know that pitiful young men like that are dangerous as soon as they are let loose on mankind.

—Etty Hillesum in *Etty: A Diary 1941–1943*

While studying the factors that affect our ability to stay compassionate, I was struck by the crucial role of language and our use of words. I have since identified a specific approach to communicating—both speaking and listening—that leads us to give from the heart, connecting us with ourselves and with each other in a way that allows our natural compassion to flourish. I call this approach Nonviolent Communication, using the term *nonviolence* as Gandhi used it—to refer to our natural state of compassion when violence has subsided from the heart. While we may not consider the

way we talk to be “violent,” words often lead to hurt and pain, whether for others or ourselves. In some communities, the process I am describing is known as Compassionate Communication; the abbreviation *NVC* is used throughout this book to refer to Nonviolent or Compassionate Communication.

NVC: a way of communicating that leads us to give from the heart.

A Way to Focus Attention

NVC is founded on language and communication skills that strengthen our ability to remain human, even under trying conditions. It contains nothing new; all that has been integrated into NVC has been known for centuries. The intent is to remind us about what we already know—about how we humans were meant to relate to one another—and to assist us in living in a way that concretely manifests this knowledge.

NVC guides us in reframing how we express ourselves and hear others. Instead of habitual, automatic reactions, our words become conscious responses based firmly on awareness of what we are perceiving, feeling, and wanting. We are led to express ourselves with honesty and clarity, while simultaneously paying others a respectful and empathic attention. In any exchange, we come to hear our own deeper needs and those of others. NVC trains us to observe carefully, and to be able to specify behaviors and conditions that are affecting us. We learn to identify and clearly articulate what we are concretely wanting in any given situation. The form is simple, yet powerfully transformative.

As NVC replaces our old patterns of defending, withdrawing, or attacking in the face of judgment and criticism, we come to perceive ourselves and others, as well as our intentions and relationships, in a new light. Resistance, defensiveness, and violent reactions are minimized. When we focus on clarifying what is being

We perceive relationships in a new light when we use NVC to hear our own deeper needs and those of others.

observed, felt, and needed rather than on diagnosing and judging, we discover the depth of our own compassion. Through its emphasis on deep listening—to ourselves as well as to others—NVC fosters respect, attentiveness, and empathy and engenders a mutual desire to give from the heart.

Although I refer to it as “a process of communication” or “a language of compassion,” NVC is more than a process or a language. On a deeper level, it is an ongoing reminder to keep our attention focused on a place where we are more likely to get what we are seeking.

There is a story of a man on all fours under a street lamp, searching for something. A policeman passing by asked what he was doing. “Looking for my car keys,” replied the man, who appeared slightly drunk. “Did you drop them here?” inquired the officer. “No,” answered the man, “I dropped them in the alley.” Seeing the policeman’s baffled expression, the man hastened to explain, “But the light is much better here.”

I find that my cultural conditioning leads me to focus attention on places where I am unlikely to get what I want. I developed NVC as a way to train my attention—to shine the light of consciousness—on places that have the potential to yield what I am seeking. What I want in my life is compassion, a flow between myself and others based on a mutual giving from the heart.

Let's shine the light of consciousness on places where we can hope to find what we are seeking.

This quality of compassion, which I refer to as “giving from the heart,” is expressed in the following lyrics by my friend Ruth Bebermeyer:

*I never feel more given to
 than when you take from me—
 when you understand the joy I feel
 giving to you.
 And you know my giving isn't done
 to put you in my debt,
 but because I want to live the love
 I feel for you.
 To receive with grace
 may be the greatest giving.
 There's no way I can separate
 the two.
 When you give to me,
 I give you my receiving.
 When you take from me, I feel so
 given to.*

—“Given To” (1978) by Ruth Bebermeyer
 from the album *Given To*.

When we give from the heart, we do so out of the joy that springs forth whenever we willingly enrich another person’s life. This kind of giving benefits both the giver and the receiver. The receiver enjoys the gift without worrying about the consequences that accompany gifts given out of fear, guilt, shame, or desire for gain. The giver benefits from the enhanced self-esteem that results when we see our efforts contributing to someone’s well-being.

The use of NVC does not require that the persons with whom we are communicating be literate in NVC or even motivated to relate to us compassionately. If we stay with the principles of NVC, stay motivated solely to give and receive compassionately, and do everything we can to let others know this is our only motive, they will join us in the process, and eventually we will be able to respond compassionately to one another. I’m not saying that this always happens quickly. I do maintain, however, that compassion

inevitably blossoms when we stay true to the principles and process of NVC.

The NVC Process

To arrive at a mutual desire to give from the heart, we focus the light of consciousness on four areas—referred to as the four components of the NVC model.

First, we observe what is actually happening in a situation: what are we observing others saying or doing that is either enriching or not enriching our life? The trick is to be able to articulate this observation

Four components of NVC:

1. observations
2. feelings
3. needs
4. requests

without introducing any judgment or evaluation—to simply say what people are doing that we either like or don't like.

Next, we state how we feel when we observe this action: are we hurt, scared, joyful, amused, irritated? And thirdly, we say what needs of ours are connected to the feelings we have identified. An

awareness of these three components is present when we use NVC to clearly and honestly express how we are.

For example, a mother might express these three pieces to her teenage son by saying, “Felix, when I see two balls of soiled socks under the coffee table and another three next to the TV, I feel irritated because I am needing more order in the rooms that we share in common.”

She would follow immediately with the fourth component—a very specific request: “Would you be willing to put your socks in your room or in the washing machine?” This fourth component addresses what we are wanting from the other person that would enrich our lives or make life more wonderful for us.

Thus, part of NVC is to express these four pieces of information very clearly, whether verbally or by other means. The other part of this communication consists of receiving the same four pieces of information from others. We connect with them by first sensing what they are observing, feeling, and needing; then we

discover what would enrich their lives by receiving the fourth piece—their request.

As we keep our attention focused on the areas mentioned, and help others do likewise, we establish a flow of communication, back and forth, until compassion manifests naturally: what I am observing, feeling, and needing; what I am requesting to enrich my life; what you are observing, feeling, and needing; what you are requesting to enrich your life . . .

NVC Process

The concrete actions we
observe that affect our well-being

How we *feel* in relation
to what we observe

The *needs*, values, desires, etc.
that create our feelings

The concrete actions we *request*
in order to enrich our lives

When we use this process, we may begin either by expressing ourselves or by empathically receiving these four pieces of information from others. Although we will learn to listen for and verbally express each of these components in Chapters 3–6, it is important to keep in mind that NVC is not a set formula, but something that adapts to various situations as well as personal and cultural styles. While I conveniently refer to NVC as a “process” or “language,” it is possible to experience all four pieces of the process without uttering a single word.

Two parts of NVC:

1. **expressing honestly through the four components**
2. **receiving empathically through the four components**

The essence of NVC is in our consciousness of the four components, not in the actual words that are exchanged.

Applying NVC in Our Lives and World

When we use NVC in our interactions—with ourselves, with another person, or in a group—we become grounded in our natural state of compassion. It is therefore an approach that can be effectively applied at all levels of communication and in diverse situations:

- intimate relationships
- families
- schools
- organizations and institutions
- therapy and counseling relationships
- diplomatic and business negotiations
- disputes and conflicts of any nature

Some people use NVC to create greater depth and caring in their intimate relationships:

When I learned how I can receive (hear), as well as give (express), through using NVC, I went beyond feeling attacked and ‘doormattish’ to really listening to words and extracting their underlying feelings. I discovered a very hurting man to whom I had been married for twenty-eight years. He had asked me for a divorce the weekend before the [NVC] workshop. To make a long story short, we are here today—together, and I appreciate the contribution [NVC has] made to our happy ending. . . . I learned to listen for feelings, to express my needs, to accept answers that I didn’t always want to hear. He is not here to make me happy, nor am I here to create happiness for him. We have both learned to grow, to accept, and to love, so that we can each be fulfilled.

—a workshop participant in San Diego, California

Others use it to build more effective relationships at work:

I have been using NVC in my special education classroom for about one year. It can work even with children who have language delays, learning difficulties, and behavior problems. One student in our classroom spits, swears, screams, and stabs other students with pencils when they get near his desk. I cue him with, ‘Please say that another way. Use your giraffe talk.’ [Giraffe puppets are used in some workshops as a teaching aid to demonstrate NVC.] He immediately stands up straight, looks at the person toward whom his anger is directed, and says calmly, ‘Would you please move away from my desk? I feel angry when you stand so close to me.’ The other students might respond with something like, ‘Sorry! I forgot it bothers you.’

I began to think about my frustration with this child and to try to discover what I needed from him (besides harmony and order). I realized how much time I had put into lesson planning and how my needs for creativity and contribution were being short-circuited in order to manage behavior. Also, I felt I was not meeting the educational needs of the other students. When he was acting out in class, I began to say, ‘I need you to share my attention.’ It might take a hundred cues a day, but he got the message and would usually get involved in the lesson.

—a teacher in Chicago, Illinois

A doctor writes:

I use NVC more and more in my medical practice. Some patients ask me whether I am a psychologist, saying that usually their doctors are not interested in the way they live their lives or deal with their diseases. NVC helps me understand what patients' needs are and what they need to hear at a given moment. I find this particularly helpful in relating to patients with hemophilia and AIDS because there is so much anger and pain that the patient/health care-provider relationship is often seriously impaired. Recently a woman with AIDS, whom I have been treating for the past five years, told me that what has helped her the most have been my attempts to find ways for her to enjoy her daily life. My use of NVC helps me a lot in this respect. Often in the past, when I knew that a patient had a fatal disease, I myself would get caught in the prognosis, and it was hard for me to sincerely encourage them to live their lives. With NVC, I have developed a new consciousness as well as a new language. I am amazed to see how much it fits in with my medical practice. I feel more energy and joy in my work as I become increasingly engaged in the dance of NVC.

—a physician in Paris, France

Still others use this process in the political arena. A French cabinet member visiting her sister remarked how differently the sister and her husband were communicating and responding to each other. Encouraged by their descriptions of NVC, she mentioned that she was scheduled the following week to negotiate some sensitive issues between France and Algeria regarding adoption procedures. Though time was limited, we dispatched a French-speaking trainer to Paris to work with the cabinet minister. The minister later attributed

much of the success of her negotiations in Algeria to her newly acquired communication techniques.

In Jerusalem, during a workshop attended by Israelis of varying political persuasions, participants used NVC to express themselves regarding the highly contested issue of the West Bank. Many of the Israeli settlers who have established themselves on the West Bank believe that they are fulfilling a religious mandate by doing so, and they are locked in conflict not only with Palestinians but also with other Israelis who recognize the Palestinian hope for national sovereignty in the region. During a session, one of my trainers and I modeled empathic hearing through NVC and then invited participants to take turns role-playing each other's position. After twenty minutes, a settler announced that she would be willing to consider relinquishing her land claims and moving out of the West Bank into internationally recognized Israeli territory if her political opponents could listen to her in the way she had just been listened to.

Worldwide, NVC now serves as a valuable resource for communities facing violent conflicts and severe ethnic, religious, or political tensions. The spread of NVC training and its use in mediation by people in conflict in Israel, the Palestinian Authority, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, and elsewhere have been a source of particular gratification for me. My associates and I were once in Belgrade for three highly charged days training citizens working for peace. When we first arrived, expressions of despair were visibly etched on the trainees' faces, for their country was then enmeshed in a brutal war in Bosnia and Croatia. As the training progressed, we heard the ring of laughter in their voices as they shared their profound gratitude and joy for having found the empowerment they were seeking. Over the next two weeks, during trainings in Croatia, Israel, and Palestine, we again saw desperate citizens in war-torn countries regaining their spirits and confidence from the NVC training they received.

I feel blessed to be able to travel throughout the world teaching people a process of communication that gives them power and

joy. Now, with this book, I am pleased and excited to be able to share the richness of Nonviolent Communication with you.

Summary

NVC helps us connect with each other and ourselves in a way that allows our natural compassion to flourish. It guides us to reframe the way we express ourselves and listen to others by focusing our consciousness on four areas: what we are observing, feeling, and needing, and what we are requesting to enrich our lives. NVC fosters deep listening, respect, and empathy and engenders a mutual desire to give from the heart. Some people use NVC to respond compassionately to themselves, some to create greater depth in their personal relationships, and still others to build effective relationships at work or in the political arena. Worldwide, NVC is used to mediate disputes and conflicts at all levels.

NVC in Action

Interspersed throughout the book are dialogues entitled NVC in Action. These dialogues intend to impart the flavor of an actual exchange in which a speaker is applying the principles of Nonviolent Communication. However, NVC is not simply a language or a set of techniques for using words; the consciousness and intent that it embraces may be expressed through silence, a quality of presence, as well as through facial expressions and body language. The NVC in Action dialogues you will be reading are necessarily distilled and abridged versions of real-life exchanges, where moments of silent empathy, stories, humor, gestures, and more would all contribute to a more natural flow of connection between the two parties than might be apparent when dialogues are condensed in print.

“Murderer, Assassin, Child-Killer!”

I was presenting Nonviolent Communication to about 170 Palestinian Muslim men in a mosque at Dheisheh Refugee Camp in Bethlehem. Attitudes toward Americans at that time were not favorable. As I was speaking, I suddenly noticed a wave of muffled commotion fluttering through the audience. “They’re whispering that you are American!” my translator alerted me, just as a gentleman in the audience leapt to his feet. Facing me squarely, he hollered at the top of his lungs, “Murderer!” Immediately a dozen other voices joined him in chorus: “Assassin!” “Child-killer!” “Murderer!”

Fortunately, I was able to focus my attention on what the man was feeling and needing. In this case, I had some cues. On the way into the refugee camp, I had seen several empty tear gas canisters that had been shot into the camp the night before. Clearly marked on each canister were the words *Made in U.S.A.* I knew that the refugees harbored a lot of anger toward the United States for supplying tear gas and other weapons to Israel.

I addressed the man who had called me a murderer:

MBR: Are you angry because you would like my government to use its resources differently? (*I didn’t know whether my guess was correct—what was critical was my sincere effort to connect with his feeling and need.*)

Man: Damn right I’m angry! You think we need tear gas? We need sewers, not your tear gas! We need housing! We need to have our own country!

MBR: So you’re furious and would appreciate some support in improving your living conditions and gaining political independence?

Man: Do you know what it’s like to live here for twenty-seven years the way I have with my family—children and all? Have you got the faintest idea what that’s been like for us?

MBR: Sounds like you're feeling very desperate and you're wondering whether I or anybody else can really understand what it's like to be living under these conditions. Am I hearing you right?

Man: You want to understand? Tell me, do you have children? Do they go to school? Do they have playgrounds? My son is sick! He plays in open sewage! His classroom has no books! Have you seen a school that has no books?

MBR: I hear how painful it is for you to raise your children here; you'd like me to know that what you want is what all parents want for their children—a good education, opportunity to play and grow in a healthy environment . . .

Man: That's right, the basics! Human rights—isn't that what you Americans call it? Why don't more of you come here and see what kind of human rights you're bringing here!

MBR: You'd like more Americans to be aware of the enormity of the suffering here and to look more deeply at the consequences of our political actions?

Our dialogue continued, with him expressing his pain for nearly twenty more minutes, and me listening for the feeling and need behind each statement. I didn't agree or disagree. I received his words, not as attacks, but as gifts from a fellow human willing to share his soul and deep vulnerabilities with me.

Once the gentleman felt understood, he was able to hear me explain my purpose for being at the camp. An hour later, the same man who had called me a murderer was inviting me to his home for a Ramadan dinner.



Communication That Blocks Compassion

*Do not judge, and you will not be judged.
For as you judge others, so you will yourselves be judged . . .*

—Holy Bible, Matthew 7:1

In studying the question of what alienates us from our natural state of compassion, I have identified specific forms of language and communication that I believe contribute to our behaving violently toward each other and ourselves. I use the term *life-alienating communication* to refer to these forms of communication.

Certain ways of communicating alienate us from our natural state of compassion.

Moralistic Judgments

One kind of life-alienating communication is the use of *moralistic judgments* that imply wrongness or badness on the part of people who don't act in harmony with our values. Such judgments are reflected in language: "The problem with you is that you're too selfish." "She's lazy." "They're prejudiced." "It's inappropriate." Blame, insults, put-downs, labels, criticism, comparisons, and diagnoses are all forms of judgment.

The Sufi poet Rumi once wrote, "Out beyond ideas of wrongdoing and right-doing, there is a field. I'll meet you there." Life-alienating communication, however, traps us in a world of ideas about rightness

In the world of judgments, our concern centers on "who is what."

and wrongness—a world of judgments. It is a language rich with words that classify and dichotomize people and their actions. When we speak this language, we judge others and their behavior while preoccupying ourselves with who’s good, bad, normal, abnormal, responsible, irresponsible, smart, ignorant, etc.

Long before I reached adulthood, I learned to communicate in an impersonal way that did not require me to reveal what was going on inside myself. When I encountered people or behaviors I either didn’t like or didn’t understand, I would react in terms of their wrongness. If my teachers assigned a task I didn’t want to do, they were “mean” or “unreasonable.” If someone pulled out in front of me in traffic, my reaction would be, “You idiot!” When we speak this language, we think and communicate in terms of what’s wrong with others for behaving in certain ways or, occasionally, what’s wrong with ourselves for not understanding or responding as we would like. Our attention is focused on classifying, analyzing, and determining levels of wrongness rather than on what we and others need and are not getting. Thus if my partner wants more affection than I’m giving her, she is “needy and dependent.” But if I want more affection than she is giving me, then she is “aloof and insensitive.” If my colleague is more concerned about details than I am, he is “picky and compulsive.” On the other hand, if I am more concerned about details than he is, he is “sloppy and disorganized.”

Analyses of others are actually expressions of our own needs and values.

It is my belief that all such analyses of other human beings are tragic expressions of our own values and needs. They are tragic because when we express our values and needs in this form, we increase defensiveness and resistance among the very people whose behaviors are of concern to us. Or, if people do agree to act in harmony with our values, they will likely do so out of fear, guilt, or shame because they concur with our analysis of their wrongness.

We all pay dearly when people respond to our values and needs not out of a desire to give from the heart, but out of fear, guilt, or shame. Sooner or later, we will experience the consequences of diminished goodwill on the part of those who comply with our values out of a sense of either external or internal coercion. They, too, pay emotionally, for they are likely to feel resentment and decreased self-esteem when they respond to us out of fear, guilt, or shame. Furthermore, each time others associate us in their minds with any of those feelings, the likelihood of their responding compassionately to our needs and values in the future decreases.

It is important here not to confuse *value judgments* and *moralistic judgments*. All of us make *value judgments* as to the qualities we value in life; for example, we might value honesty, freedom, or peace. Value judgments reflect our beliefs of how life can best be served. We make *moralistic judgments* of people and behaviors that fail to support our value judgments; for example, “Violence is bad. People who kill others are evil.” Had we been raised speaking a language that facilitated the expression of compassion, we would have learned to articulate our needs and values directly, rather than to insinuate wrongness when they have not been met. For example, instead of “Violence is bad,” we might say instead, “I am fearful of the use of violence to resolve conflicts; I value the resolution of human conflicts through other means.”

The relationship between language and violence is the subject of psychology professor O.J. Harvey’s research at the University of Colorado. He took random samples of pieces of literature from many countries around the world and tabulated the frequency of words that classify and judge people. His study shows a high correlation between frequent use of such words and frequency of incidents. It does not surprise me to hear that there is considerably less violence in cultures where people think in terms of human needs than in cultures where people label one another as “good” or “bad” and believe that the “bad” ones deserve to be punished. In 75 percent of the television programs shown during hours when American children are most likely to be watching, the hero either

Classifying and judging people promotes violence.

kills people or beats them up. This violence typically constitutes the “climax” of the show. Viewers, having been taught that bad guys deserve to be punished, take pleasure in watching this violence.

At the root of much, if not all, violence—whether verbal, psychological, or physical, whether among family members, tribes, or nations—is a kind of thinking that attributes the cause of conflict to wrongness in one’s adversaries, and a corresponding inability to think of oneself or others in terms of vulnerability—that is, what one might be feeling, fearing, yearning for, missing, etc. We saw this dangerous way of thinking during the Cold War. Our leaders viewed the U.S.S.R. as an “evil empire” bent on destroying the American way of life. Soviet leaders referred to the people of the United States as “imperialist oppressors” who were trying to subjugate them. Neither side acknowledged the fear lurking behind such labels.

Making Comparisons

Another form of judgment is the use of comparisons. In his book *How to Make Yourself Miserable*, Dan Greenburg demonstrates through humor the insidious power that comparative thinking

Comparisons are a form of judgment.

can exert over us. He suggests that if readers have a sincere desire to make life miserable for themselves, they might learn to compare themselves to other people. For those unfamiliar with this practice, he provides a few exercises. The first one displays full-length pictures of a man and a woman who embody ideal physical beauty by contemporary media standards. Readers are instructed to take their own body measurements, compare them to those superimposed on the pictures of the attractive specimens, and dwell on the differences.

This exercise produces what it promises: we start to feel miserable as we engage in these comparisons. By the time we’re as depressed as we think possible, we turn the page to discover that the first exercise was a mere warm-up. Since physical beauty is

relatively superficial, Greenburg next provides an opportunity to compare ourselves on something that matters: achievement. He turns to the phone book to give readers a few random individuals to compare themselves with. The first name he claims to have pulled out of the phone book is Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart. Greenburg lists the languages Mozart spoke and the major pieces he had composed by the time he was a teenager. The exercise then instructs readers to reflect on their own achievements at their current stage of life, to compare them with what Mozart had accomplished by age twelve, and to dwell on the differences.

Even readers who never emerge from the self-induced misery of this exercise might see how powerfully this type of thinking blocks compassion, both for oneself and for others.

Denial of Responsibility

Another kind of life-alienating communication is denial of responsibility. Communication is life-alienating when it clouds our awareness that we are each responsible for our own thoughts, feelings, and actions. The use of the common expression *have to*, as in “There are some things you have to do, whether you like it or not,” illustrates how personal responsibility for our actions can be obscured in speech. The phrase *makes one feel*, as in “You make me feel guilty,” is another example of how language facilitates denial of personal responsibility for our own feelings and thoughts.

Our language obscures awareness of personal responsibility.

In her book *Eichmann in Jerusalem*, which documents the war crimes trial of Nazi officer Adolf Eichmann, Hannah Arendt quotes Eichmann saying that he and his fellow officers had their own name for the responsibility-denying language they used. They called it *Amtssprache*, loosely translated into English as “office talk” or “bureaucratese.” For example, if asked why they took a certain action, the response would be, “I had to.” If asked why they “had to,” the answer would be, “Superiors’ orders.” “Company policy.” “It was the law.”

We deny responsibility for our actions when we attribute their cause to factors outside ourselves:

- Vague, impersonal forces—*“I cleaned my room because I had to.”*
- Our condition, diagnosis, or personal or psychological history—*“I drink because I am an alcoholic.”*
- The actions of others—*“I hit my child because he ran into the street.”*
- The dictates of authority—*“I lied to the client because the boss told me to.”*
- Group pressure—*“I started smoking because all my friends did.”*
- Institutional policies, rules, and regulations—*“I have to suspend you for this infraction because it’s the school policy.”*
- Gender roles, social roles, or age roles—*“I hate going to work, but I do it because I am a husband and a father.”*
- Uncontrollable impulses—*“I was overcome by my urge to eat the candy bar.”*

Once, during a discussion among parents and teachers on the dangers of a language that implies absence of choice, a woman objected angrily, “But there are some things you have to do whether you like it or not! And I see nothing wrong with telling my children that there are things they have to do, too.” Asked for an example of something she “had to do,” she retorted, “That’s easy! When I leave here tonight, I have to go home and cook. I hate cooking! I hate it with a passion, but I have been doing it every day for twenty years, even when I’ve been as sick as a dog, because it’s one of those things you just have to do.” I told her I was sad to hear her spending so much of her life doing something she hated, because she felt compelled to, and I just hoped that she might find happier possibilities by learning the language of NVC.

I am pleased to report that she was a fast learner. At the end of the workshop, she actually went home and announced to her family that she no longer wanted to cook. The opportunity for some feedback from her family came three weeks later when her two sons arrived at a workshop. I was curious to know how they

had reacted to their mother's announcement. The elder son sighed, "Marshall, I just said to myself, 'Thank God!'" Seeing my puzzled look, he explained, "I thought to myself, maybe finally she won't be complaining at every meal!"

Another time, when I was consulting for a school district, a teacher remarked, "I hate giving grades. I don't think they are helpful and they create a lot of anxiety on the part of students. But I have to give grades: it's the district policy." We had just been practicing how to introduce language in the classroom that heightens consciousness of responsibility for one's actions. I suggested that the teacher translate the statement "I have to give grades because it's district policy" to "I choose to give grades because I want . . ." She answered without hesitation, "I choose to give grades because I want to keep my job," while hastening to add, "But I don't like saying it that way. It makes me feel so responsible for what I'm doing."

"That's why I want you to do it that way," I replied.

I share the sentiments of French novelist and journalist George Bernanos when he says,

I have thought for a long time now that if, some day, the increasing efficiency for the technique of destruction finally causes our species to disappear from the earth, it will not be cruelty that will be responsible for our extinction and still less, of course, the indignation that cruelty awakens and the reprisals and vengeance that it brings upon itself . . . but the docility, the lack of responsibility of the modern man, his base subservient acceptance of every common decree. The horrors that we have seen, the still greater

We can replace language that implies lack of choice with language that acknowledges choice.

We are dangerous when we are not conscious of our responsibility for how we behave, think, and feel.

horrors we shall presently see, are not signs that rebels, insubordinate, untamable men are increasing in number throughout the world, but rather that there is a constant increase in the number of obedient, docile men.

—George Bernanos

Other Forms of Life-Alienating Communication

Communicating our desires as demands is yet another form of language that blocks compassion. A demand explicitly or implicitly threatens listeners with blame or punishment if they fail to comply. It is a common form of communication in our culture, especially among those who hold positions of authority.

My children gave me some invaluable lessons about demands. Somehow I had gotten it into my head that, as a parent, my job was to make demands. I learned, however, that I could make all the demands in the world but still couldn't make my children do anything. This is a humbling lesson in power for those of us who believe that, because we're a parent, teacher, or manager, our job is

We can never make people do anything.

to change other people and make them behave. Here were these youngsters letting me know that I couldn't make them do anything. All I could do was make them wish they had—through punishment. Then eventually they taught me that any time I was foolish enough to make them wish they had complied by punishing them, they had ways of making me wish that I hadn't!

We will examine this subject again when we learn to differentiate requests from demands—an important part of NVC.

The concept that certain actions merit reward while others merit punishment is also associated with life-alienating communication. This thinking is expressed by the word *deserve* as

Thinking based on “who deserves what” blocks compassionate communication.

in “He deserves to be punished for what he did.” It assumes “badness” on the part of people who behave in certain ways, and it calls for punishment to make them repent and

change their behavior. I believe it is in everyone's interest that people change, not in order to avoid punishment, but because they see the change as benefiting themselves.

Most of us grew up speaking a language that encourages us to label, compare, demand, and pronounce judgments rather than to be aware of what we are feeling and needing. I believe life-alienating communication is rooted in views of human nature that have exerted their influence for several centuries.

Life-alienating communication has deep philosophical and political roots.

These views stress humans' innate evil and deficiency, and a need for education to control our inherently undesirable nature. Such education often leaves us questioning whether there is something wrong with whatever feelings and needs we may be experiencing. We learn early to cut ourselves off from what's going on within ourselves.

Life-alienating communication both stems from and supports hierarchical or domination societies, where large populations are controlled by a small number of individuals to those individuals, own benefit. It would be in the interest of kings, czars, nobles, and so forth that the masses be educated in a way that renders them slavlike in mentality. The language of wrongness, *should*, and *have to* is perfectly suited for this purpose: the more people are trained to think in terms of moralistic judgments that imply wrongness and badness, the more they are being trained to look outside themselves—to outside authorities—for the definition of what constitutes right, wrong, good, and bad. When we are in contact with our feelings and needs, we humans no longer make good slaves and underlings.

Summary

It is our nature to enjoy giving and receiving compassionately. We have, however, learned many forms of life-alienating communication that lead us to speak and behave in ways that injure others and ourselves. One form of life-alienating

communication is the use of moralistic judgments that imply wrongness or badness on the part of those who don't act in harmony with our values. Another is the use of comparisons, which can block compassion both for others and for ourselves. Life-alienating communication also obscures our awareness that we are each responsible for our own thoughts, feelings, and actions. Communicating our desires in the form of demands is yet another characteristic of language that blocks compassion.



Observing Without Evaluating

*"OBSERVE!! There are few things as
important, as religious, as that."*

—Frederick Buechner, minister

*I can handle your telling me
what I did or didn't do.
And I can handle your interpretations,
but please don't mix the two.*

*If you want to confuse any issue,
I can tell you how to do it:
Mix together what I do
with how you react to it.*

*Tell me that you're disappointed
with the unfinished chores you see,
But calling me "irresponsible"
is no way to motivate me.*

*And tell me that you're feeling hurt
when I say "no" to your advances,
But calling me a frigid man
won't increase your future chances.*

*Yes, I can handle your telling me
what I did or didn't do,
And I can handle your interpretations,
but please don't mix the two.*

—Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.

The first component of NVC entails the separation of observation from evaluation. We need to clearly observe what we are seeing, hearing, or touching that is affecting our sense of well-being, without mixing in any evaluation.

Observations are an important element in NVC, where we wish to clearly and honestly express how we are to another person. When we combine observation with evaluation, we decrease the likelihood that others will hear our intended message. Instead, they are apt to hear criticism and thus resist whatever we are saying.

NVC does not mandate that we remain completely objective and refrain from evaluating. It only requires that we maintain a separation between our observations and our evaluations. NVC is a process language that discourages static generalizations; instead, evaluations are to be based on observations *specific to time and context*. Semanticist Wendell Johnson pointed out that we create

When we combine observation with evaluation, people are apt to hear criticism.

many problems for ourselves by using static language to express or capture a reality that is ever changing: “Our language is an imperfect instrument created by

ancient and ignorant men. It is an animistic language that invites us to talk about stability and constants, about similarities and normal and kinds, about magical transformations, quick cures, simple problems, and final solutions. Yet the world we try to symbolize with this language is a world of process, change, differences, dimensions, functions, relationships, growths, interactions, developing, learning, coping, complexity. And the mismatch of our ever-changing world and our relatively static language forms is part of our problem.”

A colleague of mine, Ruth Bebermeyer, contrasts static and process language in a song that illustrates the difference between evaluation and observation:

*I've never seen a lazy man;
 I've seen a man who never ran
 while I watched him, and I've seen
 a man who sometimes slept between
 lunch and dinner, and who'd stay
 at home upon a rainy day,
 but he was not a lazy man.
 Before you call me crazy,
 think, was he a lazy man or
 did he just do things we label "lazy"?*

*I've never seen a stupid kid;
 I've seen a kid who sometimes did
 things I didn't understand
 or things in ways I hadn't planned;
 I've seen a kid who hadn't seen
 the same places where I had been,
 but he was not a stupid kid.
 Before you call him stupid,
 think, was he a stupid kid or did he
 just know different things than you did?*

*I've looked as hard as I can look
 but never ever seen a cook;
 I saw a person who combined
 ingredients on which we dined,
 A person who turned on the heat
 and watched the stove that cooked the meat—
 I saw those things but not a cook.
 Tell me, when you're looking,
 Is it a cook you see or is it someone
 doing things that we call cooking?*

*What some of us call lazy
 some call tired or easy-going,
 what some of us call stupid
 some just call a different knowing,*

*so I've come to the conclusion,
it will save us all confusion
if we don't mix up what we can see
with what is our opinion.
Because you may, I want to say also;
I know that's only my opinion.*

—Ruth Bebermeyer

While the effects of negative labels such as “lazy” and “stupid” may be more obvious, even a positive or an apparently neutral label such as “cook” limits our perception of the totality of another person’s being.

The Highest Form of Human Intelligence

The Indian philosopher J. Krishnamurti once remarked that observing without evaluating is the highest form of human intelligence. When I first read this statement, the thought, “What nonsense!” shot through my mind before I realized that I had just made an evaluation. For most of us, it is difficult to make observations, especially of people and their behavior, that are free of judgment, criticism, or other forms of analysis.

I became acutely aware of this difficulty while working with an elementary school where the staff and principal had often reported communication difficulties. The district superintendent had requested that I help them resolve the conflict. First I was to confer with the staff, and then with the staff and principal together.

I opened the meeting by asking the staff, “What is the principal doing that conflicts with your needs?”

“He has a big mouth!” came the swift response. My question called for an observation, but while “big mouth” gave me information on how this teacher evaluated the principal, it failed to describe what the principal *said or did* that led to the interpretation that he had a “big mouth.”

When I pointed this out, a second teacher offered, “I know what he means: the principal talks too much!” Instead of a clear

observation of the principal's behavior, this was also an evaluation—of how much the principal talked. A third teacher then declared, “He thinks only he has anything worth saying.” I explained that inferring what another person is thinking is not the same as observing his behavior. Finally a fourth teacher ventured, “He wants to be the center of attention all the time.” After I remarked that this too was an inference—of what another person is wanting—two teachers blurted in unison, “Well, your question is very hard to answer!”

We subsequently worked together to create a list identifying *specific behaviors*, on the part of the principal, that bothered them, and made sure that the list was free of evaluation. For example, the principal told stories about his childhood and war experiences during faculty meetings, with the result that meetings sometimes ran twenty minutes overtime. When I asked whether they had ever communicated their annoyance to the principal, the staff replied that they had tried, but only through evaluative comments. They had never made reference to specific behaviors—such as his storytelling—and they agreed to bring these up when we were all to meet together.

Almost as soon as the meeting began, I saw what the staff had been telling me. No matter what was being discussed, the principal would interject, “This reminds me of the time . . .” and then launch into a story about his childhood or war experience. I waited for the staff to voice their discomfort around the principal's behavior. However, instead of Nonviolent Communication, they applied nonverbal condemnation. Some rolled their eyes; others yawned pointedly; one stared at his watch.

I endured this painful scenario until finally I asked, “Isn't anyone going to say something?” An awkward silence ensued. The teacher who had spoken first at our meeting screwed up his courage, looked directly at the principal, and said, “Ed, you have a big mouth.”

As this story illustrates, it's not always easy to shed our old habits and master the ability to separate observation from evaluation. Eventually, the teachers succeeded in clarifying for the principal the specific actions that led to their concern. The principal listened earnestly and then pressed, “Why didn't one of you tell me

before?” He admitted he was aware of his storytelling habit, and then began a story pertaining to this habit! I interrupted him, observing (good-naturedly) that he was doing it again. We ended our meeting by developing ways for the staff to let their principal know, in a gentle way, when his stories weren’t appreciated.

Distinguishing Observations From Evaluations

The following table distinguishes observations that are separate from evaluation from those that have evaluation mixed in.

Communication	Example of observation with evaluation mixed in	Example of observation separate from evaluation
1. Use of verb <i>to be</i> without indication that the evaluator takes responsibility for the evaluation	You are too generous.	When I see you give all your lunch money to others, I think you are being too generous.
2. Use of verbs with evaluative connotations	Doug procrastinates.	Doug only studies for exams the night before.
3. Implication that one's inferences about another person's thoughts, feelings, intentions, or desires are the only ones possible	She won't get her work in.	I don't think she'll get her work in. <i>or</i> She said, "I won't get my work in."
4. Confusion of prediction with certainty	If you don't eat balanced meals, your health will be impaired.	If you don't eat balanced meals, I fear your health may be impaired.
5. Failure to be specific about referents	Immigrants don't take care of their property.	I have not seen the immigrant family living at 1679 Ross shovel the snow on their sidewalk.

Communication	Example of observation with evaluation mixed in	Example of observation separate from evaluation
6. Use of words denoting ability without indicating that an evaluation is being made	Hank Smith is a poor soccer player.	Hank Smith has not scored a goal in twenty games.
7. Use of adverbs and adjectives in ways that do not indicate an evaluation has been made	Jim is ugly.	Jim's looks don't appeal to me.

Note: The words *always*, *never*, *ever*, *whenever*, etc. express observations when used in the following ways:

- Whenever I have observed Jack on the phone, he has spoken for at least thirty minutes.
- I cannot recall your ever writing to me.

Sometimes such words are used as exaggerations, in which case observations and evaluations are being mixed:

- You are always busy.
- She is never there when she's needed.

When these words are used as exaggerations, they often provoke defensiveness rather than compassion.

Words like *frequently* and *seldom* can also contribute to confusing observation with evaluation.

Evaluations	Observations
You seldom do what I want.	The last three times I initiated an activity, you said you didn't want to do it.
He frequently comes over.	He comes over at least three times a week.

Summary

The first component of NVC entails the separation of observation from evaluation. When we combine observation with evaluation, others are apt to hear criticism and resist what we are saying. NVC is a process language that discourages static generalizations. Instead, observations are to be made specific to time and context, for example, “Hank Smith has not scored a goal in twenty games,” rather than “Hank Smith is a poor soccer player.”

NVC in Action

“The Most Arrogant Speaker We’ve Ever Had!”

This dialogue occurred during a workshop I was conducting. About half an hour into my presentation, I paused to invite reactions from the participants. One of them raised a hand and declared, “You’re the most arrogant speaker we’ve ever had!”

I have several options open to me when people address me this way. One option is to take the message personally; I know I’m doing this when I have a strong urge to either grovel, defend myself, or make excuses. Another option (for which I am well-rehearsed) is to attack the other person for what I perceive as their attack upon me. On this occasion, I chose a third option by focusing on what might be going on behind the man’s statement.

MBR: (guessing at the observations being made) Are you reacting to my having taken thirty straight minutes to present my views before giving you a chance to talk?

Phil: No, you make it sound so simple.

MBR: (trying to obtain further clarification) Are you reacting to my not having said anything about how the process can be difficult for some people to apply?

Phil: No, not some people—you!

MBR: So you're reacting to my not having said that the process can be difficult for me at times?

Phil: That's right.

MBR: Are you feeling annoyed because you would have liked some sign from me that indicated that I have some problems with the process myself?

Phil: *(after a moment's pause)* That's right.

MBR: *(feeling more relaxed now that I am in touch with the person's feeling and need, I direct my attention to what he might be requesting of me)* Would you like me to admit right now that this process can be a struggle for me to apply?

Phil: Yes.

MBR: *(having gotten clear on his observation, feeling, need, and request, I check inside myself to see if I am willing to do as he requests)* Yes, this process is often difficult for me. As we continue with the workshop, you'll probably hear me describe several incidents where I've struggled . . . or completely lost touch . . . with this process, this consciousness, that I am presenting here to you. But what keeps me in the struggle are the close connections to other people that happen when I do stay with the process.

Exercise 1

OBSERVATION OR EVALUATION?

To determine your proficiency at discerning between observations and evaluations, complete the following exercise. Circle the number in front of each statement that is an observation only, with no evaluation mixed in.

1. “John was angry with me yesterday for no reason.”
2. “Yesterday evening Nancy bit her fingernails while watching television.”
3. “Sam didn’t ask for my opinion during the meeting.”
4. “My father is a good man.”
5. “Janice works too much.”
6. “Henry is aggressive.”
7. “Pam was first in line every day this week.”
8. “My son often doesn’t brush his teeth.”
9. “Luke told me I didn’t look good in yellow.”
10. “My aunt complains when I talk with her.”

Here are my responses for Exercise 1:

1. If you circled this number, we’re not in agreement. I consider “for no reason” to be an evaluation. Furthermore, I consider it an evaluation to infer that John was angry. He might have been feeling hurt, scared, sad, or something else. Examples of observations without evaluation might be: “John told me he was angry,” or “John pounded his fist on the table.”
2. If you circled this number, we’re in agreement that an observation was expressed without being mixed together with an evaluation.

3. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that an observation was expressed without being mixed together with an evaluation.
4. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I consider "good man" to be an evaluation. An observation without evaluation might be: "For the last twenty-five years, my father has given one-tenth of his salary to charity."
5. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I consider "too much" to be an evaluation. An observation without evaluation might be: "Janice spent more than sixty hours at the office this week."
6. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I consider "aggressive" to be an evaluation. An observation without evaluation might be: "Henry hit his sister when she switched the television channel."
7. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that an observation was expressed without being mixed together with an evaluation.
8. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I consider "often" to be an evaluation. An observation without evaluation might be: "Twice this week my son didn't brush his teeth before going to bed."
9. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that an observation was expressed without being mixed together with an evaluation.
10. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I consider "complains" to be an evaluation. An observation without evaluation might be: "My aunt called me three times this week, and each time talked about people who treated her in ways she didn't like."



The Mask

*Always a mask
Held in the slim hand whitely
Always she had a mask before her face—*

*Truly the wrist
Holding it lightly
Fitted the task:
Sometimes however
Was there a shiver,
Fingertip quiver,
Ever so slightly—
Holding the mask?*

*For years and years and years I wondered
But dared not ask
And then—
I blundered,
Looked behind the mask,
To find
Nothing—
She had no face.*

*She had become
Merely a hand
Holding a mask
With grace.*

—Author unknown



Identifying and Expressing Feelings

The first component of NVC is to observe without evaluating; the second component is to express how we are feeling. Psychoanalyst Rollo May suggests that “the mature person becomes able to differentiate feelings into as many nuances, strong and passionate experiences, or delicate and sensitive ones as in the different passages of music in a symphony.” For many of us, however, our feelings are, as May would describe it, “limited like notes in a bugle call.”

The Heavy Cost of Unexpressed Feelings

Our repertoire of words for calling people names is often larger than our vocabulary of words to clearly describe our emotional states. I went through twenty-one years of American schools and can't recall anyone in all that time ever asking me how I felt. Feelings were simply not considered important. What was valued was “the right way to think”—as defined by those who held positions of rank and authority. We are trained to be “other-directed” rather than to be in contact with ourselves. We learn to be “up in our head,” wondering, “What is it that others think is right for me to say and do?”

An interaction I had with a teacher when I was about nine years old demonstrates how alienation from our feelings can begin. I once hid myself in a classroom after school because some boys were waiting outside to beat me up. A teacher spotted me and

asked me to leave the school. When I explained I was afraid to go, she declared, “Big boys don’t get frightened.” A few years later I received further reinforcement through my participation in athletics. It was typical for coaches to value athletes willing to “give their all” and continue playing no matter how much physical pain they were in. I learned the lesson so well I once continued playing baseball for a month with an untreated broken wrist.

At an NVC workshop, a college student spoke about being kept awake by a roommate who played the stereo late at night and loudly. When asked to express what he felt when this happened, the student replied, “I feel that it isn’t right to play music so loud at night.” I pointed out that when he followed the word *feel* with the word *that*, he was expressing an opinion but not revealing his feelings. Asked to try again to express his feelings, he responded, “I feel, when people do something like that, it’s a personality disturbance.” I explained that this was still an opinion rather than a feeling. He paused thoughtfully, and then announced with vehemence, “I have no feelings about it whatsoever!”

This student obviously had strong feelings. Unfortunately, he didn’t know how to become aware of his feelings, let alone express them. This difficulty in identifying and expressing feelings is common, and in my experience, especially so among lawyers, engineers, police officers, corporate managers, and career military personnel—people whose professional codes discourage them from manifesting emotions. For families, the toll is severe when members are unable to communicate emotions. Country singer Reba McEntire wrote a song after her father’s death, and titled it “The Greatest Man I Never Knew.” In so doing, she undoubtedly expressed the sentiments of many people who were never able to establish the emotional connection they would have liked with their fathers.

I regularly hear statements like, “I wouldn’t want you to get the wrong idea—I’m married to a wonderful man—but I never know what he is feeling.” One such dissatisfied woman brought her spouse to a workshop, during which she told him, “I feel like I’m

married to a wall.” The husband then did an excellent imitation of a wall: he sat mute and immobile. Exasperated, she turned to me and exclaimed, “See! This is what happens all the time. He sits and says nothing. It’s just like living with a wall.”

“It sounds to me like you are feeling lonely and wanting more emotional contact with your husband,” I responded. When she agreed, I tried to show how statements such as “I feel like I’m living with a wall” are unlikely to bring her feelings and desires to her husband’s attention. In fact, they are more likely to be heard as criticism than as invitations to connect with our feelings. Furthermore, such statements often lead to self-fulfilling prophecies. A husband, for example, hears himself criticized for behaving like a wall; he is hurt and discouraged and doesn’t respond, thereby confirming his wife’s image of him as a wall.

The benefits of strengthening our feelings vocabulary are evident not only in intimate relationships but also in the professional world. I was once hired to consult with members of a technological department of a large Swiss corporation; they were troubled by the discovery that workers in other departments were avoiding them. When asked, employees from other departments responded, “We hate going there to consult with those people. It’s like talking to a bunch of machines!” The problem abated when I spent time with the members of the technological department, encouraging them to express more of their humanness in their communications with co-workers.

In another instance, I was working with hospital administrators who were anxious about a forthcoming meeting with the hospital’s physicians. The administrators were eager to have me demonstrate how they might use NVC when approaching the physicians for support for a project that had only recently been turned down by a vote of 17 to 1.

Assuming the voice of an administrator in a role-playing session, I opened with, “I’m feeling frightened to be bringing up this issue.” I chose to start this way because I sensed how frightened the administrators were as they prepared to confront the physicians

on this topic again. Before I could continue, one of the administrators stopped me to protest, “You’re being unrealistic! We could never tell the physicians that we were frightened.”

When I asked why an admission of fear seemed so impossible, he replied without hesitation, “If we admitted we’re frightened, then they would just pick us to pieces!” His answer didn’t surprise me; I have often heard people say they cannot imagine ever expressing feelings at their workplace. I was pleased to learn, however, that one of the administrators did decide to risk expressing his vulnerability at the dreaded meeting. Departing from his customary manner of appearing strictly logical, rational, and unemotional, he chose to state his feelings together with his reasons for wanting the physicians to change their position. He noticed how differently the physicians responded to him. In the

Expressing our vulnerability can help resolve conflicts.

end he was amazed and relieved when, instead of “picking him to pieces,” the physicians reversed their previous position and voted 17 to 1 to support the project instead. This dramatic turn-around helped the administrators realize and appreciate the potential impact of expressing vulnerability—even in the workplace.

Finally, let me share a personal incident that taught me the effects of hiding our feelings. I was teaching a course in NVC to a group of inner city students. When I walked into the room the first day, the students, who had been enjoying a lively conversation with each other, became quiet. “Good morning!” I greeted. Silence. I felt very uncomfortable, but was afraid to express it. Instead, I proceeded in my most professional manner: “For this class, we will be studying a process of communication that I hope you will find helpful in your relationships at home and with your friends.”

I continued to present information about NVC, but no one seemed to be listening. One girl, rummaging through her bag, fished out a file and began vigorously filing her nails. Students near the windows glued their faces to the panes as if fascinated by what was going on in the street below. I felt increasingly more uncomfortable, yet continued to say nothing about it. Finally, a

student who had certainly more courage than I was demonstrating, piped up, “You just hate being with black people, don’t you?” I was stunned, yet immediately realized how I had contributed to this student’s perception by trying to hide my discomfort.

“I *am* feeling nervous,” I admitted, “but not because you are black. My feelings have to do with my not knowing anyone here and wanting to be accepted when I came in the room.” My expression of vulnerability had a pronounced effect on the students. They started to ask questions about me, to tell me things about themselves, and to express curiosity about NVC.

Feelings versus Non-Feelings

A common confusion, generated by the English language, is our use of the word *feel* without actually expressing a feeling. For example, in the sentence, “I feel I didn’t get a fair deal,” the words *I feel* could be more accurately replaced with *I think*. In general, feelings are not being clearly expressed when the word *feel* is followed by:

1. Words such as *that, like, as if*:
 “I feel *that* you should know better.”
 “I feel *like* a failure.”
 “I feel *as if* I’m living with a wall.”
2. The pronouns *I, you, he, she, they, it*:
 “I feel *I* am constantly on call.”
 “I feel *it* is useless.”
3. Names or nouns referring to people:
 “I feel *Amy* has been pretty responsible.”
 “I feel *my boss* is being manipulative.”

Distinguish feelings from thoughts.

Conversely, in the English language, it is not necessary to use the word *feel* at all when we are actually expressing a feeling: we can say, “I’m feeling irritated,” or simply, “I’m irritated.”

Distinguish between what we feel and what we think we are.

In NVC, we distinguish between words that express actual feelings and those that describe *what we think we are*.

1. Description of what we *think* we are:

“I feel *inadequate* as a guitar player.”

In this statement, I am assessing my ability as a guitar player, rather than clearly expressing my feelings.

2. Expressions of actual feelings:

“I feel *disappointed* in myself as a guitar player.”

“I feel *impatient* with myself as a guitar player.”

“I feel *frustrated* with myself as a guitar player.”

The actual feeling behind my assessment of myself as “inadequate” could therefore be disappointment, impatience, frustration, or some other emotion.

Likewise, it is helpful to differentiate between words that describe what we think others are doing around us, and words that describe actual feelings. The following are examples of statements that are easily mistaken as expressions of feelings: in fact they reveal more *how we think others are behaving* than what we are actually feeling ourselves.

Distinguish between what we feel and how we think others react or behave toward us.

1. “I feel *unimportant* to the people with whom I work.”

The word *unimportant* describes how I think others are evaluating me, rather than an actual feeling, which in this situation might be “I feel *sad*” or “I feel *discouraged*.”

2. “I feel *misunderstood*.”

Here the word *misunderstood* indicates my assessment of the other person’s level of understanding rather than an actual feeling. In this situation, I may be feeling *anxious* or *annoyed* or some other emotion.

3. “I feel *ignored*.”

Again, this is more of an interpretation of the actions of others than a clear statement of how we are feeling. No doubt there have been times we thought we were being ignored and our feeling was *relief*, because we wanted to be left to ourselves. No doubt there were other times, however, when we felt *hurt* when we thought we were being ignored, because we had wanted to be involved.

Words like *ignored* express how we *interpret others*, rather than how we *feel*. Here is a sampling of such words:

abandoned	distrusted	put down
abused	interrupted	rejected
attacked	intimidated	taken for granted
betrayed	let down	threatened
boxed-in	manipulated	unappreciated
bullied	misunderstood	unheard
cheated	neglected	unseen
coerced	overworked	unsupported
co-opted	patronized	unwanted
cornered	pressured	used
diminished	provoked	

Building a Vocabulary for Feelings

In expressing our feelings, it helps to use words that refer to specific emotions, rather than words that are vague or general. For example, if we say, “I feel good about that,” the word *good* could mean happy, excited, relieved, or a number of other emotions. Words such as *good* and *bad* prevent the listener from connecting easily with what we might actually be feeling.

The following lists have been compiled to help you increase your power to articulate feelings and clearly describe a whole range of emotional states.

How we are likely to feel when our needs *are* being met

absorbed	engrossed	moved
adventurous	enlivened	optimistic
affectionate	enthusiastic	overjoyed
alert	excited	overwhelmed
alive	exhilarated	peaceful
amazed	expansive	perky
amused	expectant	pleasant
animated	exultant	pleased
appreciative	fascinated	proud
ardent	free	quiet
aroused	friendly	radiant
astonished	fulfilled	rapturous
blissful	glad	refreshed
breathless	gleeful	relaxed
buoyant	glorious	relieved
calm	glowing	satisfied
carefree	good-humored	secure
cheerful	grateful	sensitive
comfortable	gratified	serene
complacent	happy	spellbound
composed	helpful	splendid
concerned	hopeful	stimulated
confident	inquisitive	surprised
contented	inspired	tender
cool	intense	thankful
curious	interested	thrilled
dazzled	intrigued	touched
delighted	invigorated	tranquil
eager	involved	trusting
ebullient	joyous, joyful	upbeat
ecstatic	jubilant	warm
effervescent	keyed-up	wide-awake
elated	loving	wonderful
enchanted	mellow	zestful
encouraged	merry	
energetic	mirthful	

How we are likely to feel when our needs are *not* being met

afraid	disgusted	intense
aggravated	disheartened	irate
agitated	dismayed	irked
alarmed	displeased	irritated
aloof	disquieted	jealous
angry	distressed	jittery
anguished	disturbed	keyed-up
annoyed	downcast	lazy
anxious	downhearted	leery
apathetic	dull	lethargic
apprehensive	edgy	listless
aroused	embarrassed	lonely
ashamed	embittered	mad
beat	exasperated	mean
bewildered	exhausted	miserable
bitter	fatigued	mopey
blah	fearful	morose
blue	fidgety	mournful
bored	forlorn	nervous
brokenhearted	frightened	nettled
chagrined	frustrated	numb
cold	furious	overwhelmed
concerned	gloomy	panicky
confused	guilty	passive
cool	harried	perplexed
cross	heavy	pessimistic
dejected	helpless	puzzled
depressed	hesitant	rancorous
despairing	horrible	reluctant
despondent	horrified	repelled
detached	hostile	resentful
disaffected	hot	restless
disappointed	humdrum	sad
discouraged	hurt	scared
disenchanted	impatient	sensitive
disgruntled	indifferent	shaky

shocked	terrified	upset
skeptical	tired	uptight
sleepy	troubled	vexed
sorrowful	uncomfortable	weary
sorry	unconcerned	wistful
spiritless	uneasy	withdrawn
startled	unglued	woeful
surprised	unhappy	worried
suspicious	unnerved	wretched
tepid	unsteady	

Summary

The second component necessary for expressing ourselves is feelings. By developing a vocabulary of feelings that allows us to clearly and specifically name or identify our emotions, we can connect more easily with one another. Allowing ourselves to be vulnerable by expressing our feelings can help resolve conflicts. NVC distinguishes the expression of actual feelings from words and statements that describe thoughts, assessments, and interpretations.

Exercise 2

EXPRESSING FEELINGS

If you would like to see whether we're in agreement about the verbal expression of feelings, circle the number in front of each of the following statements in which feelings are verbally expressed.

1. "I feel you don't love me."
2. "I'm sad that you're leaving."
3. "I feel scared when you say that."
4. "When you don't greet me, I feel neglected."
5. "I'm happy that you can come."
6. "You're disgusting."
7. "I feel like hitting you."
8. "I feel misunderstood."
9. "I feel good about what you did for me."
10. "I'm worthless."

Here are my responses for Exercise 2:

1. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I don't consider "you don't love me" to be a feeling. To me, it expresses what the speaker thinks the other person is feeling, rather than how the speaker is feeling. Whenever the words *I feel* are followed by the words *I, you, he, she, they, it, that, like, or as if*, what follows is generally not what I would consider to be a feeling. An expression of feeling in this case might be: "I'm sad," or "I'm feeling anguished."
2. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that a feeling was verbally expressed.
3. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that a feeling was verbally expressed.

4. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I don't consider "neglected" to be a feeling. To me, it expresses what the speaker thinks the other person is doing to him or her. An expression of feeling might be: "When you don't greet me at the door, I feel lonely."
5. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that a feeling was verbally expressed.
6. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I don't consider "disgusting" to be a feeling. To me, it expresses how the speaker thinks about the other person, rather than how the speaker is feeling. An expression of feeling might be: "I feel disgusted."
7. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I don't consider "like hitting you" to be a feeling. To me, it expresses what the speaker imagines doing, rather than how the speaker is feeling. An expression of feeling might be: "I am furious at you."
8. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I don't consider "misunderstood" to be a feeling. To me, it expresses what the speaker thinks the other person is doing. An expression of feeling in this case might be: "I feel frustrated," or "I feel discouraged."
9. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that a feeling was verbally expressed. However, the word *good* is vague when used to convey a feeling. We can usually express our feelings more clearly by using other words, for example: *relieved*, *gratified*, or *encouraged*.
10. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. I don't consider "worthless" to be a feeling. To me, it expresses how the speaker thinks about himself or herself, rather than how the speaker is feeling. An expression of feeling in this case might be: "I feel skeptical about my own talents," or "I feel wretched."



Taking Responsibility for Our Feelings

*"People are disturbed not by things,
but by the view they take of them."*

—Epictetus

Hearing a Negative Message: Four Options

The third component of NVC entails the acknowledgment of the root of our feelings. NVC heightens our awareness that what others say and do may be the *stimulus*, but never the *cause*, of our feelings. We see that our feelings result from how we *choose* to receive what others say and do, as well as from our particular needs and expectations in that moment. With this third component, we are led to accept responsibility for what we do to generate our own feelings.

When someone gives us a negative message, whether verbally or nonverbally, we have four options as to how to receive it. One option is to take it personally by hearing blame and criticism. For example, someone is angry and says, "You're the most self-centered person I've ever met!" If choosing to take it personally, we might react: "Oh, I should've been more sensitive!" We accept the other person's judgment and blame ourselves. We choose this option at great cost to

What others do may be the stimulus of our feelings, but not the cause.

Four options for receiving negative messages:

1. blame ourselves.

our self-esteem, for it inclines us toward feelings of guilt, shame, and depression.

A second option is to fault the speaker. For example, in response to “You’re the most self-centered person I’ve ever met,”

2. blame others.

we might protest: “You have no right to say that! I am always considering your needs. You’re the one who is really self-centered.”

When we receive messages this way, and blame the speaker, we are likely to feel anger.

When receiving negative messages, our third option would be to shine the light of consciousness on our own feelings and needs.

3. sense our own feelings and needs.

Thus, we might reply, “When I hear you say that I am the most self-centered person you’ve ever met, I feel hurt, because I need some recognition of my efforts to be considerate of your preferences.” By focusing attention on our own feelings and needs, we become conscious that our current feeling of hurt derives from a need for our efforts to be recognized.

Finally, a fourth option on receiving a negative message is to shine the light of consciousness on the *other* person’s feelings and

4. sense others’ feelings and needs.

needs as they are currently expressed. We might for example ask, “Are you feeling hurt because you need more consideration for your preferences?”

We accept responsibility for our feelings, rather than blame other people, by acknowledging our own needs, desires, expectations, values, or thoughts. Note the difference between the following expressions of disappointment:

Example 1

A: “You disappointed me by not coming over last evening.”

B: “I was disappointed when you didn’t come over, because I wanted to talk over some things that were bothering me.”

Speaker A attributes responsibility for his disappointment solely to another person's action. Speaker B traces his feeling of disappointment to his own unfulfilled desire.

Example 2

A: "Their cancelling the contract really irritated me!"

B: "When they cancelled the contract, I felt really irritated because I was thinking to myself that it was an awfully irresponsible thing to do."

Speaker A attributes her irritation solely to the behavior of the other party, whereas Speaker B accepts responsibility for her feeling by acknowledging the thought behind it. She recognizes that her blaming way of thinking has generated her irritation. In NVC, however, we would urge this speaker to go a step further by identifying what she is wanting: what need, desire, expectation, hope, or value of hers has not been fulfilled? As we shall see, the more we are able to connect our feelings to our own needs, the easier it is for others to respond compassionately. To relate her feelings to what she is wanting, Speaker B might have said: "When they cancelled the contract, I felt really irritated because I was hoping for an opportunity to rehire the workers we laid off last year."

It is helpful to recognize a number of common speech patterns that tend to mask accountability for our own feelings:

1. Use of impersonal pronouns such as *it* and *that*:
"It really infuriates me when spelling mistakes appear in our public brochures." "That bugs me a lot."
2. The use of the expression "I feel (an emotion) because . . ." followed by a person or personal pronoun other than *I*:
"I feel hurt because you said you don't love me." "I feel angry because the supervisor broke her promise."
3. Statements that mention only the actions of others:
"When you don't call me on my birthday, I feel hurt."
"Mommy is disappointed when you don't finish your food."

Connect your feeling with your need: "I feel . . . because I need . . ."

In each of these instances, we can deepen our awareness of our own responsibility by substituting the phrase, "I feel . . . because I . . ." For example:

1. "*I feel* really infuriated when spelling mistakes like that appear in our public brochures, *because I* want our company to project a professional image."
2. "*I feel* angry that the supervisor broke her promise, *because I* was counting on getting that long weekend to visit my brother."
3. "*Mommy feels* disappointed when you don't finish your food, *because I* want you to grow up strong and healthy."

Distinguish between giving from the heart and being motivated by guilt.

The basic mechanism of motivating by guilt is to attribute the responsibility for one's own feelings to others. When parents say, "It hurts Mommy and Daddy when you get poor grades at school," they are

implying that the child's actions are the cause of the parents' happiness or unhappiness. On the surface, taking responsibility for the feelings of others can easily be mistaken for positive caring. It may appear that the child cares for the parent and feels bad because the parent is suffering. However, if children who assume this kind of responsibility change their behavior in accordance with parental wishes, they are not acting from the heart, but acting to avoid guilt.

The Needs at the Roots of Feelings

Judgments, criticisms, diagnoses, and interpretations of others are all alienated expressions of our needs. If someone says, "You never understand me," they are really telling us that their need to be understood is not being fulfilled. If a wife says, "You've been working late every night this week; you love your work more than you love

Judgments of others are alienated expressions of our own unmet needs.

me,” she is saying that her need for intimacy is not being met.

When we express our needs indirectly through the use of evaluations, interpretations, and images, others are likely to hear criticism. And when people hear anything that sounds like criticism, they tend to invest their energy in self-defense or counterattack. If we wish for a compassionate response from others, it is self-defeating to express our needs by interpreting or diagnosing their behavior. Instead, the more directly we can connect our feelings to our own needs, the easier it is for others to respond to us compassionately.

Unfortunately, most of us have never been taught to think in terms of needs. We are accustomed to thinking about what’s wrong with other people when our needs aren’t being fulfilled. Thus, if we want coats to be hung up in the closet, we may characterize our children as lazy for leaving them on the couch. Or we may interpret our co-workers as irresponsible when they don’t go about their tasks the way we would prefer them to.

I was once invited to Southern California to mediate between some landowners and migrant farm workers whose conflicts had grown increasingly hostile and violent. I began the meeting by asking these two questions: “What is it that you are each needing? And what would you like to request of the other in relation to these needs?”

“The problem is that these people are racist!” shouted a farm worker. “The problem is that these people don’t respect law and order!” shouted a landowner even more loudly. As is often the case, these groups were more skilled in analyzing the perceived wrongness of others than in clearly expressing their own needs.

In a comparable situation, I once met with a group of Israelis and Palestinians who wanted to establish the mutual trust necessary to bring peace to their homelands. I opened the session with the same questions, “What is it you are needing and what would you like to request from one another in relation to those needs?” Instead of directly stating his needs, a Palestinian mukhtar (who is

If we express our needs, we have a better chance of getting them met.

like a village mayor) answered, “You people are acting like a bunch of Nazis.” A statement like that is not likely to get the cooperation of a group of Israelis! Almost immediately, an Israeli woman jumped up and countered, “Mukhtar, that was a totally insensitive thing for you to say!”

Here were people who had come together to build trust and harmony, but after only one interchange, matters were worse than before they began. This happens often when people are used to analyzing and blaming one another rather than clearly expressing what they need. In this case, the woman could have responded to the mukhtar in terms of her own needs and requests by saying, for example, “I am needing more respect in our dialogue. Instead of telling us how you think we are acting, would you tell us what it is we are doing that you find disturbing?”

It has been my experience over and over again that from the moment people begin talking about what they need rather than what’s wrong with one another, the possibility of finding ways to meet everybody’s needs is greatly increased. The following are some of the basic human needs we all share:

Autonomy

- to choose one’s dreams, goals, values
- to choose one’s plan for fulfilling one’s dreams, goals, values

Celebration

- to celebrate the creation of life and dreams fulfilled
- to celebrate losses: loved ones, dreams, etc. (mourning)

Integrity

- authenticity
- creativity
- meaning
- self-worth

Interdependence

- acceptance
- appreciation
- closeness
- community
- consideration
- contribution to the enrichment of life (to exercise one’s power by giving that which contributes to life)

Interdependence (continued)

- emotional safety
- empathy
- honesty (the empowering honesty that enables us to learn from our limitations)
- love
- reassurance
- respect
- support
- trust
- understanding
- warmth

Play

- fun
- laughter

Spiritual Communion

- beauty
- harmony
- inspiration
- order
- peace

Physical Nurturance

- air
- food
- movement, exercise
- protection from life-threatening forms of life: viruses, bacteria, insects, predatory animals
- rest
- sexual expression
- shelter
- touch
- water

The Pain of Expressing Our Needs versus the Pain of Not Expressing Our Needs

In a world where we're often judged harshly for identifying and revealing our needs, doing so can be very frightening. Women, in particular, are susceptible to criticism. For centuries, the image of the loving woman has been associated with sacrifice and the denial of one's own needs to take care of others. Because women are socialized to view the caretaking of others as their highest duty, they often learn to ignore their own needs.

At one workshop, we discussed what happens to women who internalize such beliefs. These women, if they ask for what they want, will often do so in a way that both reflects and reinforces the beliefs that they have no genuine right to their needs and that their

needs are unimportant. For example, because she is fearful of asking for what she needs, a woman may fail to simply say that she's had a busy day, is feeling tired, and wants some time in the evening to herself; instead, her words come out sounding like a legal case: "You know I haven't had a moment to myself all day. I ironed all the shirts, did the whole week's laundry, took the dog to the vet, made dinner, packed the lunches, and called all the neighbors about the block meeting, so [imploringly] . . . so how about if you . . . ?"

"No!" comes the swift response. Her plaintive request elicits resistance rather than compassion from her listeners. They have difficulty hearing and valuing the needs behind her pleas, and furthermore react negatively to her weak attempt to argue from a position of what she "should" get or "deserves" to get from them. In the end the speaker is again persuaded that her needs don't matter, not realizing that they were expressed in a way unlikely to draw a positive response.

If we don't value our needs, others may not either.

My mother was once at a workshop where other women were discussing how frightening it was to be expressing their needs. Suddenly she got up and left the room, and didn't return for a long time. She finally reappeared, looking very pale. In the presence of the group, I asked, "Mother, are you all right?"

"Yes," she answered, "but I just had a sudden realization that's very hard for me to take in."

"What's that?"

"I've just become aware that for thirty-six years, I was angry with your father for not meeting my needs, and now I realize that I never once clearly told him what I needed."

My mother's revelation was accurate. Not one time, that I can remember, did she clearly express her needs to my father. She'd hint around and go through all kinds of convolutions, but never would she ask directly for what she needed.

We tried to understand why it was so hard for her to have done so. My mother grew up in an economically impoverished family. She recalled asking for things as a child and being admonished by her brothers and sisters, "You shouldn't ask for that! You know

we're poor. Do you think you are the only person in the family?" Eventually she grew to fear that asking for what she needed would only lead to disapproval and judgment.

She related a childhood anecdote about one of her sisters who had had an appendix operation and afterwards had been given a beautiful little purse by another sister. My mother was fourteen at the time. Oh, how she yearned to have an exquisitely beaded purse like her sister's, but she dared not open her mouth. So guess what? She feigned a pain in her side and went the whole way with her story. Her family took her to several doctors. They were unable to produce a diagnosis and so opted for exploratory surgery. It had been a bold gamble on my mother's part, but it worked—she was given an identical little purse! When she received the coveted purse, my mother was elated despite being in physical agony from the surgery. Two nurses came in and one stuck a thermometer in her mouth. My mother said, "Ummm, ummm," to show the purse to the second nurse, who answered, "Oh, for me? Why, thank you!" and took the purse! My mother was at a loss, and never figured out how to say, "I didn't mean to give it to you. Please return it to me." Her story poignantly reveals how painful it can be when people don't openly acknowledge their needs.

From Emotional Slavery to Emotional Liberation

In our development toward a state of emotional liberation, most of us experience three stages in the way we relate to others.

Stage 1: In this stage, which I refer to as *emotional slavery*, we believe ourselves responsible for the feelings of others. We think we must constantly strive to keep everyone happy. If they don't appear happy, we feel responsible and compelled to do something about it. This can easily lead us to see the very people who are closest to us as burdens.

Taking responsibility for the feelings of others can be very detrimental to intimate relationships. I routinely hear variations on the following theme: "I'm really scared to be in a relationship.

First stage: Emotional slavery. We see ourselves responsible for others' feelings.

Every time I see my partner in pain or needing something, I feel overwhelmed. I feel like I'm in prison, that I'm being smothered—and I just have to get out of the relationship as fast as possible.” This response is common among those who experience love as denial

of one's own needs in order to attend to the needs of the beloved. In the early days of a relationship, partners typically relate joyfully and compassionately to each other out of a sense of freedom. The relationship is exhilarating, spontaneous, wonderful. Eventually, however, as the relationship becomes “serious,” partners may begin to assume responsibility for each other's feelings.

If I were a partner who is conscious of doing this, I might acknowledge the situation by explaining, “I can't bear it when I lose myself in relationships. When I see my partner's pain, I lose me, and then I just have to break free.” However, if I have not reached this level of awareness, I am likely to blame my partner for the deterioration of the relationship. Thus I might say, “My partner is so needy and dependent it's really stressing out our relationship.”

In such a case, my partner would do well to reject the notion that there is anything wrong with her needs. It would only make a bad situation worse to accept that blame. Instead, she could offer an empathic response to the pain of my emotional slavery: “So you find yourself in panic. It's very hard for you to hold on to the deep caring and love we've had without turning it into a responsibility, duty, obligation. . . . You sense your freedom closing down because you think you constantly have to take care of me.” If, however, instead of an empathic response, she says, “Are you feeling tense because I have been making too many demands on you?” then both of us are likely to stay enmeshed in emotional slavery, making it that much more difficult for the relationship to survive.

Stage 2: In this stage, we become aware of the high costs of assuming responsibility for others' feelings and trying to accommodate them at our own expense. When we notice how much of our lives we've missed and how little we have responded to the

call of our own soul, we may get angry. I refer jokingly to this stage as the *obnoxious stage* because we tend toward obnoxious comments like, “That’s *your* problem! *I’m* not responsible for your feelings!” when presented with another person’s pain. We are clear what we are not responsible *for*, but have yet to learn how to be responsible *to* others in a way that is not emotionally enslaving.

Second stage: The obnoxious stage. We feel angry; we no longer want to be responsible for others’ feelings.

As we emerge from the stage of emotional slavery, we may continue to carry remnants of fear and guilt around having our own needs. Thus it is not surprising that we end up expressing our needs in ways that sound rigid and unyielding to the ears of others. For example, during a break in one of my workshops, a young woman expressed appreciation for the insights she’d gained into her own state of emotional enslavement. When the workshop resumed, I suggested an activity to the group. The same young woman then declared assertively, “I’d rather do something else.” I sensed she was exercising her newfound right to express her needs—even if they ran counter to those of others.

To encourage her to sort out what she wanted, I asked, “Do you want to do something else even if it conflicts with my needs?” She thought for a moment, and then stammered, “Yes. . . . er . . . I mean, no.” Her confusion reflects how, in the obnoxious stage, we have yet to grasp that emotional liberation entails more than simply asserting our own needs.

I recall an incident during my daughter Marla’s passage toward emotional liberation. She had always been the “perfect little girl” who denied her own needs to comply with the wishes of others. When I became aware of how frequently she suppressed her own desires in order to please others, I talked to her about how I’d enjoy hearing her express her needs more often. When we first broached the subject, Marla cried. “But, Daddy, I don’t want to disappoint anybody!” she protested helplessly. I tried to show Marla how her honesty would be a gift more precious to others

than accommodating them to prevent their upset. I also clarified ways she could empathize with people when they were upset without taking responsibility for their feelings.

A short time later, I saw evidence that my daughter was beginning to express her needs more openly. A call came from her school principal, apparently disturbed by a communication he'd had with Marla, who had arrived at school wearing overalls. "Marla," he'd said, "young women do not dress this way." To which Marla had responded, "Bug off!"

Hearing this was cause for celebration: Marla had graduated from emotional slavery to obnoxiousness! She was learning to express her needs and risk dealing with the displeasure of others. Surely she had yet to assert her needs comfortably and in a way that respected the needs of others, but I trusted this would occur in time.

Stage 3: At the third stage, *emotional liberation*, we respond to the needs of others out of compassion, never out of fear, guilt, or shame. Our actions are therefore fulfilling to us, as well as to those who receive our efforts. We accept full responsibility for our own intentions and actions, but not for the feelings of others. At this stage, we are aware that we can never meet our own needs at the expense of others. Emotional liberation involves stating clearly what we need in a way that communicates we are equally concerned that the needs of others be fulfilled. NVC is designed to support us in relating at this level.

Third stage: Emotional liberation. We take responsibility for our intentions and actions.

Summary

The third component of NVC is the acknowledgment of the needs behind our feelings. What others say and do may be the stimulus for, but never the cause of, our feelings. When someone communicates negatively, we have four options as to how to receive the message: (1) blame ourselves, (2) blame others, (3) sense our own feelings and needs, (4) sense the feelings and needs hidden in the other person's negative message.

Judgments, criticisms, diagnoses, and interpretations of others are all alienated expressions of our own needs and values. When others hear criticism, they tend to invest their energy in self-defense or counterattack. The more directly we can connect our feelings to our needs, the easier it is for others to respond compassionately.

In a world where we are often harshly judged for identifying and revealing our needs, doing so can be very frightening, especially for women who are socialized to ignore their own needs while caring for others.

In the course of developing emotional responsibility, most of us experience three stages: (1) “emotional slavery”—believing ourselves responsible for the feelings of others, (2) “the obnoxious stage”—in which we refuse to admit to caring what anyone else feels or needs, and (3) “emotional liberation”—in which we accept full responsibility for our own feelings but not the feelings of others, while being aware that we can never meet our own needs at the expense of others.

NVC in Action

“Bring Back the Stigma of Illegitimacy!”

A student of Nonviolent Communication volunteering at a food bank was shocked when an elderly co-worker burst out from behind a newspaper, “What we need to do in this country is bring back the stigma of illegitimacy!”

The student’s habitual reaction to this kind of statement would have been to say nothing, to judge the other severely but silently, and eventually to process her own feelings safely away from the scene. This time, she remembered she had the option of listening for the feelings and needs behind the words that had shocked her.

Student: (first checking out her guess as to what the co-worker was observing) Are you reading something about teenage pregnancies in the paper?

Co-worker: Yes, it's unbelievable how many of them are doing it!

Student: *(now listening for the co-worker's feeling, and what unmet need might be giving rise to this feeling)* Are you feeling alarmed because you'd like kids to have stable families?

Co-worker: Of course! Do you know, my father would have killed me if I had done anything like that!

Student: So you're remembering how it was for the girls in your generation who got pregnant?

Co-worker: Sure thing! We knew what would happen to us if we got pregnant. We were scared about it all the time, not like these girls nowadays.

Student: Are you annoyed that there is no fear of punishment for the girls who get pregnant these days?

Co-worker: Well, at least fear and punishment worked! It says here that there are girls sleeping around with different men just so they can get pregnant! That's right! They have babies and the rest of us in society pay for it!

The student of NVC heard two different feelings in this statement: astonishment that girls would deliberately get pregnant, and annoyance that taxpayers end up paying for children born in this way. She chose which feeling to empathize with.

Student: Are you astonished to realize that people are getting pregnant these days without any consideration for reputation, consequences, financial stability . . . all the things you used to consider?

Co-worker: Yeah, and guess who ends up paying for it?

The co-worker, probably feeling heard around her astonishment, moved on to her other feeling: that of annoyance. As often happens when there is a mixture of feelings present, the speaker will return to those that have not received empathic attention. It is not necessary for the listener to reflect back a complex mixture of feelings all at once; the flow of compassion will continue as each feeling comes up again in its turn.

Student: Sounds like you're exasperated because you'd like your tax money to be used for other purposes. Is that so?

Co-worker: Certainly is! Do you know that my son and his wife want a second child and they can't have one—even though they have two jobs—because it costs so much?

Student: I guess you're sad about that? You'd probably love to have a second grandchild . . .

Co-worker: Yes, and it's not just for me that it would make a difference.

Student: . . . and for your son to have the family he wants . . . *(Even though the student guessed only partially correctly, she did not interrupt the flow of empathy, instead allowing the co-worker to continue and realize another concern.)*

Co-worker: Yes, I think it's sad to be a single child too.

Student: Oh, I see; you'd like for Katie to have a little brother?

Co-worker: That would be nice.

At this point, the student sensed a release in her co-worker. A moment of silence elapsed. She felt surprised to discover that, while she still wanted to express her own views, her urgency and tension had dissipated because she no longer felt "adversarial." She understood the feelings and needs

behind her co-worker's statements and no longer felt that the two of them were "worlds apart."

Student: (expressing herself in NVC, and using all four parts of the process: observation [O], feeling [F], need [N], request [R]) You know, when you first said that we should bring back the stigma of illegitimacy (O), I got really scared (F), because it really matters to me that all of us here share a deep caring for people needing help (N). Some of the people coming here for food are teenage parents (O), and I want to make sure they feel welcome (N). Would you mind telling me how you feel when you see Dasha, or Amy and her boyfriend, walking in? (R)

The dialogue continued with several more exchanges until the woman got the reassurance she needed that her co-worker did indeed offer caring and respectful help to unmarried teen clients. Even more importantly, what the woman gained was a new experience in expressing disagreement in a way that met her needs for honesty and mutual respect.

In the meantime, the co-worker left satisfied that her concerns around teen pregnancy had been fully heard. Both parties felt understood, and their relationship benefited from their having shared their understanding and differences without hostility. In the absence of NVC, their relationship might have begun to deteriorate from this moment, and the work they both wanted to do in common—helping people—might have suffered.

Exercise 3

ACKNOWLEDGING NEEDS

To practice identifying needs, please circle the number in front of each statement where the speaker is acknowledging responsibility for his or her feelings.

1. “You irritate me when you leave company documents on the conference room floor.”
2. “I feel angry when you say that, because I am wanting respect and I hear your words as an insult.”
3. “I feel frustrated when you come late.”
4. “I’m sad that you won’t be coming for dinner because I was hoping we could spend the evening together.”
5. “I feel disappointed because you said you would do it and you didn’t.”
6. “I’m discouraged because I would have liked to have progressed further in my work by now.”
7. “Little things people say sometimes hurt me.”
8. “I feel happy that you received that award.”
9. “I feel scared when you raise your voice.”
10. “I am grateful that you offered me a ride because I was needing to get home before my children arrive.”

Here are my responses for Exercise 3:

1. If you circled this number, we’re not in agreement. To me, the statement implies that the other person’s behavior is solely responsible for the speaker’s feelings. It doesn’t reveal the needs or thoughts that are contributing to the speaker’s feelings. To do so, the speaker might have said, “I’m irritated when you leave company documents on the conference room floor, because I want our documents to be safely stored and accessible.”

2. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that the speaker is acknowledging responsibility for his or her feelings.
3. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To express the needs or thoughts underlying his or her feelings, the speaker might have said, "I feel frustrated when you come late because I was hoping we'd be able to get some front-row seats."
4. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that the speaker is acknowledging responsibility for his or her feelings.
5. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To express the needs and thoughts underlying his or her feelings, the speaker might have said, "When you said you'd do it and then didn't, I felt disappointed because I want to be able to rely upon your words."
6. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that the speaker is acknowledging responsibility for his or her feelings.
7. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To express the needs and thoughts underlying his or her feelings, the speaker might have said, "Sometimes when people say little things, I feel hurt because I want to be appreciated, not criticized."
8. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To express the needs and thoughts underlying his or her feelings, the speaker might have said, "When you received that award, I felt happy because I was hoping you'd be recognized for all the work you'd put into the project."
9. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To express the needs and thoughts underlying his or her feelings, the speaker might have said, "When you raise your voice, I feel scared because I'm telling myself someone might get hurt here, and I need to know that we're all safe."
10. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that the speaker is acknowledging responsibility for his or her feelings.



Requesting That Which Would Enrich Life

We have now covered the first three components of NVC, which address what we are *observing*, *feeling*, and *needing*. We have learned to do this without criticizing, analyzing, blaming, or diagnosing others, and in a way likely to inspire compassion. The fourth and final component of this process addresses *what we would like to request of others* in order to enrich life for us. When our needs are not being fulfilled, we follow the expression of what we are observing, feeling, and needing with a specific request: we ask for actions that might fulfill our needs. How do we express our requests so that others are more willing to respond compassionately to our needs?

Using Positive Action Language

First of all, we express what we *are* requesting rather than what we *are not* requesting. “How do you do a *don’t*?” goes a line of a children’s song by my colleague Ruth Bebermeyer: “All I know is I feel *won’t* when I’m told to do a *don’t*.” These lyrics reveal two problems commonly encountered when requests are worded in the negative. People are often confused as to what is actually being requested, and furthermore, negative requests are likely to provoke resistance.

A woman at a workshop, frustrated that her husband was spending so much time at work, described how her request had

Use positive language
when making requests.

backfired: “I asked him not to spend so much time at work. Three weeks later, he responded by announcing that he’d signed up for a golf tournament!” She had successfully communicated to him what she did not want—his spending so much time at work—but had failed to request what she *did* want. Encouraged to reword her request, she thought a minute and said, “I wish I had told him that I would like him to spend at least one evening a week at home with the children and me.”

During the Vietnam War, I was asked to debate the war issue on television with a man whose position differed from mine. The show was videotaped, so I was able to watch it at home that evening. When I saw myself on the screen communicating in ways I didn’t want to be communicating, I felt very upset. “If I’m ever in another discussion,” I told myself, “I am determined not to do what I did on that program! I’m not going to be defensive. I’m not going to let them make a fool of me.” Notice how I spoke to myself in terms of what I *didn’t* want to do rather than in terms of what I *did* want to do.

A chance to redeem myself came the very next week when I was invited to continue the debate on the same program. All the way to the studio, I repeated to myself all the things I didn’t want to do. As soon as the program started, the man launched off in exactly the same way he had a week earlier. For about ten seconds after he’d finished talking, I managed not to communicate in the ways I had been reminding myself. In fact, I said nothing. I just sat there. As soon as I opened my mouth, however, I found words tumbling out in all the ways I had been so determined to avoid! It was a painful lesson about what can happen when I only identify what I *don’t* want to do, without clarifying what I *do* want to do.

I was once invited to work with some high school students who suffered a long litany of grievances against their principal. They regarded the principal as racist, and searched for ways to get even with him. A minister who worked closely with the young people became deeply concerned over the prospect of violence. Out of respect for the minister, the students agreed to meet with me.

They began by describing what they saw as discrimination on

the part of the principal. After listening to several of their charges, I suggested that they proceed by clarifying what they wanted from the principal.

“What good would that do?” scoffed one student in disgust. “We already went to him to tell him what we wanted. His answer to us was, ‘Get out of here! I don’t need you people telling me what to do!’”

I asked the students what they had requested of the principal. They recalled saying to him that they didn’t want him telling them how to wear their hair. I suggested that they might have received a more cooperative response if they had expressed what they *did*, rather than what they *did not*, want. They had then informed the principal that they wanted to be treated with fairness, at which he had become defensive, vociferously denying ever having been unfair. I ventured to guess that the principal would have responded more favorably if they had asked for specific actions rather than vague behavior like “fair treatment.”

Working together, we found ways to express their requests in positive action language. At the end of the meeting, the students had clarified thirty-eight actions they wanted the principal to take, including “We’d like you to agree to black student representation on decisions made about dress code,” and “We’d like you to refer to us as ‘black students’ and not ‘you people.’” The following day, the students presented their requests to the principal using the positive action language we had practiced; that evening I received an elated phone call from them: their principal had agreed to all thirty-eight requests!

In addition to using positive language, we also want to word our requests in the form of concrete actions that others can undertake and to avoid vague, abstract, or ambiguous phrasing. A cartoon depicts a man who has fallen into a lake. As he struggles to swim, he shouts to his dog on shore, “Lassie, get help!” In the next frame, the dog is lying on a psychiatrist’s couch. We all know how opinions vary as to what constitutes “help”: some members of my family, when asked to help with the dishes, think “help” means supervision.

A couple in distress attending a workshop provides an additional illustration of how nonspecific language can hamper understanding and communication. “I want you to let me be me,” the woman declared to her husband. “I do!” he retorted. “No, you don’t!” she insisted. Asked to express herself in positive action language, the woman replied, “I want you to give me the freedom

Making requests in clear, positive, concrete action language reveals what we really want.

to grow and be myself.” Such a statement, however, is just as vague and likely to provoke a defensive response. She struggled to formulate her request clearly, and then admitted, “It’s kind of awkward, but if I were to be precise, I guess what I want is

for you to smile and say that anything I do is okay.” Often, the use of vague and abstract language can mask oppressive interpersonal games.

A similar lack of clarity occurred between a father and his fifteen-year-old son when they came in for counseling. “All I want is for you to start showing a little responsibility,” claimed the father. “Is that asking too much?” I suggested that he specify what it would take for his son to demonstrate the responsibility he was seeking. After a discussion on how to clarify his request, the father responded sheepishly, “Well, it doesn’t sound so good, but when I say that I want responsibility, what I really mean is that I want him to do what I ask, without question—to jump when I say jump, and to smile while doing it.” He then agreed with me that if his son were to actually behave this way, it would demonstrate obedience rather than responsibility.

Like this father, we often use vague and abstract language to indicate how we want other people to feel or be without naming a concrete action they could take to reach that state. For example, an employer makes a genuine effort to invite feedback,

Vague language contributes to internal confusion.

telling the employees, “I want you to feel free to express yourself around me.” The statement communicates the employer’s desire for the employees to “feel free,” but not what they could do in order to feel this way. Instead, the employer

could use positive action language to make a request: “I’d like you to *tell* me what I might *do* to make it easier for you to feel free to express yourselves around me.”

As a final illustration of how the use of vague language contributes to internal confusion, I would like to present the conversation that I would invariably have during my practice

Depression is the reward we get for being “good.”

as a clinical psychologist with the many clients who came to me with complaints of depression. After I empathized with the depth of feeling that a client had just expressed, our exchanges would typically proceed in the following manner:

MBR: What are you wanting that you are not receiving?

Client: I don’t know what I want.

MBR: I guessed that you would say that.

Client: Why?

MBR: My theory is that we get depressed because we’re not getting what we want, and we’re not getting what we want because we have never been taught to get what we want. Instead, we’ve been taught to be good little boys and girls and good mothers and fathers. If we’re going to be one of those good things, better get used to being depressed. Depression is the reward we get for being “good.” But, if you want to feel better, I’d like you to clarify what you would like people to do to make life more wonderful for you.

Client: I just want someone to love me. That’s hardly unreasonable, is it?

MBR: It’s a good start. Now I’d like you to clarify what you would like people to do that would fulfill your need to be loved. For example, what could I do right now?

Client: Oh, you know . . .

MBR: I’m not sure I do. I’d like you to tell me what you would like me, or others, to do to give you the love you’re looking for.

Client: That's hard.

MBR: Yes, it can be difficult to make clear requests. But think how hard it will be for others to respond to our request if we're not even clear what it is!

Client: I'm starting to get clear what I want from others to fulfill my need for love, but it's embarrassing.

MBR: Yes, very often it is embarrassing. So what would you like for me or others to do?

Client: If I really reflect upon what I'm requesting when I ask to be loved, I suppose I want you to guess what I want before I'm even aware of it. And then I want you to always do it.

MBR: I'm grateful for your clarity. I hope you can see how you are not likely to find someone who can fulfill your need for love if that's what it takes.

Very often, my clients were able to see how the lack of awareness of what they wanted from others had contributed significantly to their frustrations and depression.

Making Requests Consciously

Sometimes we may be able to communicate a clear request without putting it in words. Suppose you're in the kitchen and your sister, who is watching television in the living room, calls out, "I'm thirsty." In this case, it may be obvious that she is requesting you to bring her a glass of water from the kitchen.

When we simply express our feelings, it may not be clear to the listener what we want them to do.

However, in other instances, we may express our discomfort and incorrectly assume that the listener has understood the underlying request. For example, a woman might say to her husband, "I'm annoyed you forgot the butter and onions I asked you to pick up for dinner." While it may be obvious to her that she is asking him to go back to the store, the husband may think that her words were uttered solely to make him feel guilty.

Even more often, we are simply not conscious of what we are requesting when we speak. We talk *to* others or *at* them without knowing how to engage in a dialogue *with* them. We toss out words, using the presence of others as a wastebasket. In such situations, the listener, unable to discern a clear request in the speaker's words, may experience the kind of distress illustrated in the following anecdote.

We are often not conscious of what we are requesting.

I was seated directly across the aisle from a couple on a mini-train that carries passengers to their respective terminals at the Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport. For passengers in a hurry to catch a plane, the snail's pace of the train may well be irritating. The man turned to his wife and said with intensity, "I have never seen a train go so slow in all my life." She said nothing, appearing tense and uneasy as to what response he might be expecting from her. He then did what many of us do when we're not getting the response we want: he repeated himself. In a markedly stronger voice, he exclaimed, "I have never seen a train go so slow in all my life!"

The wife, at a loss for response, looked even more distressed. In desperation, she turned to him and said, "They're electronically timed." I didn't think this piece of information would satisfy him, and indeed it did not, for he repeated himself a third time—even more loudly, "*I HAVE NEVER SEEN A TRAIN GO SO SLOW IN ALL MY LIFE!*" The wife's patience was clearly exhausted as she snapped back angrily, "Well, what do you want me to do about it? Get out and push?" Now there were two people in pain!

What response was the man wanting? I believe he wanted to hear that his pain was understood. If his wife had known this, she might have responded, "It sounds like you're scared we might miss our plane, and disgusted because you'd like a faster train running between these terminals."

Requests may sound like demands when unaccompanied by the speaker's feelings and needs.

In the above exchange, the wife heard the husband's frustration but was clueless as to what he was asking for. Equally problematic is the reverse situation—when people state their requests without first communicating the feelings and needs behind them. This is especially true when the request takes the form of a question. “Why don't you go and get a haircut?” can easily be heard by youngsters as a demand or an attack unless parents remember to first reveal their own feelings and needs: “We're worried that your hair is getting so long it might keep you from seeing things, especially when you're on your bike. How about a haircut?”

It is more common, however, for people to talk without being conscious of what they are asking for. “I'm not requesting anything,” they might remark. “I just felt like saying what I said.”

The clearer we are about what we want, the more likely it is that we'll get it.

My belief is that, whenever we say something to another person, we are requesting something in return. It may simply be an empathic connection—a verbal or nonverbal acknowledgment, as with the man on the train, that our words

have been understood. Or we may be requesting honesty: we wish to know the listener's honest reaction to our words. Or we may be requesting an action that we hope would fulfill our needs. The clearer we are on what we want back from the other person, the more likely it is that our needs will be met.

Asking for a Reflection

As we know, the message we send is not always the message that's received. We generally rely on verbal cues to determine whether our message has been understood to our satisfaction. If, however,

To make sure the message we sent is the message that's received, ask the listener to reflect it back.

we're uncertain that it has been received as intended, we need to be able to clearly request a response that tells us how the message was heard so as to be able to correct any misunderstanding. On some occasions, a simple question

like, “Is that clear?” will suffice. At other times, we need more than “Yes, I understood you,” to feel confident that we’ve been truly understood. At such times, we might ask others to reflect back in their own words what they heard us say. We then have the opportunity to restate parts of our message to address any discrepancy or omission we might have noticed in their reflection.

For example, a teacher approaches a student and says, “Peter, I got concerned when I checked my record book yesterday. I want to make sure you’re aware of the homework I’m missing from you. Will you drop by my office after school?” Peter mumbles, “Okay, I know,” and then turns away, leaving the teacher uneasy as to whether her message had been accurately received. She asks for a reflection—“Could you tell me what you just heard me say?”—to which Peter replies, “You said I gotta miss soccer to stay after school because you didn’t like my homework.” Confirmed in her suspicion that Peter had not heard her intended message, the teacher tries to restate it, but first she is careful of her next remark.

An assertion like “You didn’t hear me,” “That’s not what I said,” or “You’re misunderstanding me,” may easily lead Peter to think that he is being chastised. Since the teacher perceives Peter as having sincerely responded to her request for a reflection, she might say, “I’m grateful to you for telling me what you heard. I can see that I didn’t make myself as clear as I’d have liked, so let me try again.”

Express appreciation when your listener tries to meet your request for a reflection.

When we first begin asking others to reflect back what they hear us say, it may feel awkward and strange because such requests are rarely made. When I emphasize the importance of our ability to ask for reflections, people often express reservations. They are worried about reactions like, “What do you think I am—deaf?” or, “Quit playing your psychological games.” To prevent such responses, we can explain to people ahead of time why we may sometimes ask them to reflect back our words. We make clear that we’re not testing their listening

Empathize with the listener who doesn’t want to reflect back.

skills, but checking out whether we've expressed ourselves clearly. However, should the listener retort, "I heard what you said; I'm not stupid!" we have the option to focus on the listener's feelings and needs and ask—either aloud or silently—"Are you saying you're feeling annoyed because you want respect for your ability to understand things?"

Requesting Honesty

After we've openly expressed ourselves and received the understanding we want, we're often eager to know the other person's reaction to what we've said. Usually the honesty we would like to receive takes one of three directions:

After we express ourselves vulnerably, we often want to know (1) what the listener is feeling;

- Sometimes we'd like to know the feelings that are stimulated by what we said, and the reasons for those feelings. We might request this by asking, "I would like you to tell me how you feel about what I just said, and your reasons for feeling as you do."

(2) what the listener is thinking; or

- Sometimes we'd like to know something about our listener's thoughts in response to what they just heard us say. At these times, it's important to specify which thoughts we'd like them to share. For example, we might say, "I'd like you to tell me if you predict that my proposal would be successful, and if not, what you believe would prevent its success," rather than simply saying, "I'd like you to tell me what you think about what I've said." When we don't specify which thoughts we would like to receive, the other person may respond at great length with thoughts that aren't the ones we are seeking.
- Sometimes we'd like to know whether the person is willing to take certain actions that we've recommended. Such a request

may sound like this: “I’d like you to tell me if you would be willing to postpone our meeting for one week.”

(3) whether the listener would be willing to take a particular action.

The use of NVC requires that we be conscious of the specific form of honesty we would like to receive, and to make that request for honesty in concrete language.

Making Requests of a Group

It is especially important when we are addressing a group to be clear about the kind of understanding or honesty we want back after we’ve expressed ourselves. When we are not clear about the response we’d like, we may initiate unproductive conversations that end up satisfying no one’s needs.

I’ve been invited from time to time to work with groups of citizens concerned about racism in their communities. One issue that frequently arises among these groups is that their meetings are tedious and fruitless. This lack of productivity is very costly for group members, who often expend limited resources to arrange for transportation and child care in order to attend meetings. Frustrated by prolonged discussions that yield little direction, many members quit the groups, declaring meetings a waste of time. Furthermore, the institutional changes they are striving to make are not usually ones that occur quickly or easily. For all these reasons, when such groups do meet, it’s important that they make good use of their time together.

I knew members of one such group that had been organized to effect change in the local school system. It was their belief that various elements in the school system discriminated against students on the basis of race. Because their meetings were unproductive and the group was losing members, they invited me to observe their discussions. I suggested that they conduct their meeting as usual, and that I would let them know if I saw any ways NVC might help.

One man began the meeting by calling the group’s attention to a recent newspaper article in which a minority mother had raised

complaints and concerns regarding the principal's treatment of her daughter. A woman responded by sharing a situation that had occurred to her when she was a student at the same school. One by one, each member then related a similar personal experience. After twenty minutes I asked the group if their needs were being met by the current discussion. Not one person said yes. "This is what happens all the time in these meetings!" huffed one man, "I have better things to do with my time than sit around listening to the same old bullshit."

I then addressed the man who had initiated the discussion: "Can you tell me, when you brought up the newspaper article, what response you were wanting from the group?"

"I thought it was interesting," he replied. I explained that I was asking what response he wanted from the group, rather than what he thought about the article. He pondered awhile and then conceded, "I'm not sure what I wanted."

And that's why, I believe, twenty minutes of the group's valuable time had been squandered on fruitless discourse. When we address a group without being clear what we are wanting back, unproductive discussions will often follow.

In a group, much time is wasted when speakers aren't certain what response they're wanting.

However, if even one member of a group is conscious of the importance of clearly requesting the response that is desired, he or she can extend this consciousness to the group. For example, when this particular speaker didn't define what response he wanted, a member of the group might have said, "I'm confused about how you'd like us to respond to your story. Would you be willing to say what response you'd like from us?" Such interventions can prevent the waste of precious group time.

Conversations often drag on and on, fulfilling no one's needs, because it is unclear whether the initiator of the conversation has gotten what she or he wanted. In India, when people have received the response they want in conversations they have initiated, they say "*bas*" (pronounced "bus"). This means, "You need not say more. I feel satisfied and am now ready to move on to something else."

Though we lack such a word in our own language, we can benefit from developing and promoting “bas-consciousness” in all our interactions.

Requests versus Demands

Our requests are received as demands when others believe they will be blamed or punished if they do not comply. When people hear a demand, they see only two options: submission or rebellion. Either way, the person requesting is perceived as coercive, and the listener’s capacity to respond compassionately to the request is diminished.

When the other person hears a demand from us, they see two options: to submit or to rebel.

The more we have in the past blamed, punished, or “laid guilt trips” on others when they haven’t responded to our requests, the higher the likelihood that our requests will now be heard as demands. We also pay for others’ use of such tactics. To the degree that people in our lives have been blamed, punished, or urged to feel guilty for not doing what others have requested, the more likely they are to carry this baggage to every subsequent relationship and hear a demand in any request.

To tell if it’s a demand or a request, observe what the speaker does if the request is not complied with.

Let’s look at two variations of a situation. Jack says to his friend Jane, “I’m lonely and would like you to spend the evening with me.” Is that a request or a demand? The answer is that we don’t know until we observe how Jack treats Jane if she doesn’t comply. Suppose she replies, “Jack, I’m really tired. If you’d like some company, how about finding someone else to be with you this evening?”

It’s a demand if the speaker then criticizes or judges.

If Jack then remarks, “How typical of you to be so selfish!” his request was in fact a demand. Instead of empathizing with her need to rest, he has blamed her.

Consider a second scenario:

Jack: I'm lonely and would like you to spend the evening with me.

Jane: Jack, I'm really tired. If you'd like some company, how about finding someone else to be with you tonight?

Jack: (turns away wordlessly)

Jane: (sensing he is upset) Is something bothering you?

Jack: No.

Jane: Come on, Jack, I can sense something's going on. What's the matter?

Jack: You know how lonely I'm feeling. If you really loved me, you'd spend the evening with me.

Again, instead of empathizing, Jack now interprets Jane's response to mean that she doesn't love him and that she has rejected him. The more we interpret noncompliance as rejection, the more likely our requests will be heard as demands. This leads to a self-fulfilling prophecy, for the more people hear demands, the less they enjoy being around us.

It's a demand if the speaker then lays a guilt trip.

On the other hand, we would know that Jack's request had been a genuine request, not a demand, if his response to Jane had expressed a respectful recognition of her feelings and needs. For example: "So, Jane, you're feeling worn out and needing some rest this evening?"

We can help others trust that we are requesting, not demanding, by indicating that we would only want them to comply if they can do so willingly. Thus we might ask, "Would you be willing to set the table?" rather than "I would like you to set the table." However, the most powerful way to communicate that we are making a genuine request is to empathize with people when they don't agree to the request.

It's a request if the speaker then shows empathy toward the other person's needs.

We demonstrate that we are making a request rather than a demand by how we respond when others don't comply. If we are prepared to show an empathic understanding

of what prevents someone from doing as we asked, then by my definition, we have made a request, not a demand. Choosing to request rather than demand does not mean we give up when someone says no to our request. It does mean that we don't engage in persuasion until we have empathized with what's preventing the other person from saying yes.

Defining Our Objective When Making Requests

Expressing genuine requests also requires an awareness of our objective. If our objective is only to change people and their behavior or to get our way, then NVC is not an appropriate tool. The process is designed for those of us who would like others to change and respond, but only if they choose to do so willingly and compassionately. The objective of NVC is to establish a relationship based on honesty and empathy. When others trust that our primary commitment is to the quality of the relationship, and that we expect this process to fulfill everyone's needs, then they can trust that our requests are true requests and not camouflaged demands.

Our objective is a relationship based on honesty and empathy.

A consciousness of this objective is difficult to maintain, especially for parents, teachers, managers, and others whose work centers around influencing people and obtaining behavioral results. A mother who once returned to a workshop after a lunch break announced, "Marshall, I went home and tried it. It didn't work." I asked her to describe what she'd done.

"I went home and expressed my feelings and needs, just as we'd practiced. I made no criticism, no judgments of my son. I simply said, 'Look, when I see that you haven't done the work you said you were going to do, I feel very disappointed. I wanted to be able to come home and find the house in order and your chores completed.' Then I made a request: I told him I wanted him to clean it up immediately."

"It sounds like you clearly expressed all the components," I commented. "What happened?"

“He didn’t do it.”

“Then what happened?” I asked.

“I told him he couldn’t go through life being lazy and irresponsible.”

I could see that this woman was not yet able to distinguish between expressing requests and making demands. She was still defining the process as successful only if she got compliance for her “requests.” During the initial phases of learning this process, we may find ourselves applying the components of NVC mechanically without awareness of the underlying purpose.

Sometimes, however, even when we’re conscious of our intent and express our request with care, people may still hear a demand. This is particularly true when we occupy positions of authority and are speaking with those who have had past experiences with coercive authority figures.

Once, the administrator of a high school invited me to demonstrate to teachers how NVC might help them communicate with students who weren’t cooperating as the teachers would have liked.

I was asked to meet with forty students who had been deemed “socially and emotionally maladjusted.” I was struck by the way such labels serve as self-fulfilling prophecies. If you were a student who had been thus labeled, wouldn’t it just give you permission to have some fun at school by resisting whatever was asked of you? When we give people labels, we tend to act in a way that contributes to the very behavior that concerns us, which we then view as further confirmation of our diagnosis. Since these students knew they had been classified as “socially and emotionally maladjusted,” I wasn’t surprised that when I walked in, most of them were hanging out the window hollering obscenities at their friends in the courtyard below.

I began by making a request: “I’d like you all to come over and sit down so I can tell you who I am and what I’d like us to do today.” About half the students came over. Uncertain that they had all heard me, I repeated my request. With that, the remainder of the

students sat down, with the exception of two young men who remained draped over the windowsill. Unfortunately for me, these two were the biggest students in the class.

“Excuse me,” I addressed them, “would one of you two gentlemen tell me what you heard me say?” One of them turned toward me and snorted, “Yeah, you said we had to go over there and sit down.” I thought to myself, “Uh, oh, he’s heard my request as a demand.”

Out loud I said, “Sir”—I’ve learned always to say “sir” to people with biceps like his, especially when one of them sports a tattoo—“would you be willing to tell me how I could have let you know what I was wanting so that it wouldn’t sound like I was bossing you around?”

“Huh?” Having been conditioned to expect demands from authorities, he was not used to my different approach. “How can I let you know what I’m wanting from you so it doesn’t sound like I don’t care about what you’d like?” I repeated. He hesitated for a moment and shrugged, “I don’t know.”

“What’s going on between you and me right now is a good example of what I was wanting us to talk about today. I believe people can enjoy each other a lot better if they can say what they would like without bossing others around. When I tell you what I’d like, I’m not saying that you have to do it or I’ll try to make your life miserable. I don’t know how to say that in a way that you can trust.” To my relief, this seemed to make sense to the young man who, together with his friend, sauntered over to join the group. In certain situations, such as this one, it may take awhile for our requests to be clearly seen for what they are.

When making a request, it is also helpful to scan our minds for the sort of thoughts that automatically transform requests into demands:

- He *should* be cleaning up after himself.
- She’s *supposed* to do what I ask.
- I *deserve* to get a raise.
- I’m *justified* in having them stay later.
- I have a *right* to more time off.

When we frame our needs with these thoughts, we are bound to judge others when they don't do as we request. I had these self-righteous thoughts in my mind once when my younger son was not taking out the garbage. When we were dividing the household chores, he had agreed to this task, but every day we would have another struggle about getting the garbage out. Every day I would remind him, "This is your job," and "We all have jobs"—with the sole objective of getting him to take out the garbage.

Finally, one night I listened more closely to what he'd been telling me all along about why the garbage wasn't going out. I wrote the following song after that evening's discussion. After my son felt my empathy for his position, he began taking out the garbage without any further reminder from me.

*If I clearly understand
you intend no demand,
I'll usually respond when you call.
But if you come across
like a high and mighty boss,
you'll feel like you ran into a wall.
And when you remind me
so piously
about all those things you've done for me,
you'd better get ready:
Here comes another bout!
Then you can shout,
you can spit,
moan, groan, and throw a fit;
I still won't take the garbage out.
Now even if you should change your style,
It's going to take me a little while
before I can forgive and forget.
Because it seems to me that you
didn't see me as human too
until all your standards were met.*

—"Song from Brett" by Marshall B. Rosenberg

Summary

The fourth component of NVC addresses the question of *what we would like to request of each other to enrich each of our lives*. We try to avoid vague, abstract, or ambiguous phrasing, and remember to use positive action language by stating what we *are* requesting rather than what we are *not*.

Each time we speak, the clearer we are about what we want back, the more likely we are to get it. Since the message we send is not always the message that's received, we need to learn how to find out if our message has been accurately heard. Especially when we are expressing ourselves in a group, we need to be clear about the nature of the response we are wanting. Otherwise we may be initiating unproductive conversations that waste considerable group time.

Requests are received as demands when listeners believe that they will be blamed or punished if they do not comply. We can help others trust that we are requesting, not demanding, by indicating our desire for them to comply only if they can do so willingly. The objective of NVC is not to change people and their behavior in order to get our way; it is to establish relationships based on honesty and empathy that will eventually fulfill everyone's needs.

NVC in Action

Sharing Fears About a Best Friend's Smoking

Al and Burt have been best friends for over thirty years. Al, a nonsmoker, has done everything he can over the years to persuade Burt to give up his two-pack-a-day habit. In the past, when Al had tried to get him to quit, Burt had often accused Al of judging him.

Aware during the past year of the increasing severity of his friend's hacking cough, Al finds himself bursting out one day with all the energy and life that had been buried in his unexpressed anger and fear.

Al: Burt, I know we've talked about this a dozen times, but listen. I'm scared your damned cigarettes are going to kill you! You're my best friend, and I want you around for as long as I can have you. Please don't think I'm judging you. I'm not—I'm just really worried.

Burt: No, I hear your concern. We've been friends for a long time . . .

Al: *(making a request)* Would you be willing to quit?

Burt: I wish I could.

Al: *(listening for the feelings and needs preventing Burt from agreeing to the request)* Are you scared to try because you don't want to fail?

Burt: Yeah . . . you know how many times I've tried before . . . I know people think less of me for not being able to quit.

Al: *(guessing at what Burt might want to request)* I don't think less of you. And if you tried and failed again, I still wouldn't. I just wish you'd try.

Burt: Thanks. But you're not the only one. . . . It's everyone: you can see it in their eyes—they think you're a failure.

Al: *(empathizing with Burt's feeling)* Is it kind of overwhelming to worry about what others might think, when just quitting is hard enough?

Burt: I really hate the idea that I might be addicted, that I have something that I just can't control . . .

Al: *(Al's eyes connect with Burt's; he nods his head. Al's interest and attention to Burt's deep feelings and needs are revealed through his eyes and the silence that follows.)*

Burt: I mean, I don't even like smoking any more. It's like you're a pariah if you do it in public. It's embarrassing.

Al: *(continuing to empathize)* It sounds like you'd really like to quit, but are scared you might fail—and how that would be for your self-image and confidence.

Burt: Yeah, I guess that's it. . . . You know, I don't think I've ever talked about it before. Usually when people tell me to quit, I just tell them to get lost. I'd like to quit, but I don't want all that pressure from people.

Al: I wouldn't want to pressure you. I don't know if I could reassure you about your fears around not succeeding, but I sure would like to support you in any way I can. That is . . . if you want me to. . . .

Burt: Yes, I do. I'm really touched by your concern and willingness. But . . . suppose I'm not ready to try yet, is that okay with you too?

Al: Of course, Burt, I'll still like you as much. It's just that I want to like you for longer!

Because Al's request was a genuine request, not a demand, he maintained awareness of his commitment to the quality of the relationship, regardless of Burt's response. He expressed this awareness and his respect for Burt's need for autonomy through his words, "I'll still like you," while simultaneously expressing his own need "to like you for longer."

Burt: Well, then, maybe I will try again . . . but don't tell anyone else, okay?

Al: Sure, you decide when you're ready; I won't be mentioning it to anybody.

Exercise 4 **EXPRESSING REQUESTS**

To see whether we're in agreement about the clear expression of requests, circle the number in front of each of the following statements in which the speaker is clearly requesting that a specific action be taken.

1. "I want you to understand me."
2. "I'd like you to tell me one thing that I did that you appreciate."
3. "I'd like you to feel more confidence in yourself."
4. "I want you to stop drinking."
5. "I'd like you to let me be me."
6. "I'd like you to be honest with me about yesterday's meeting."
7. "I would like you to drive at or below the speed limit."
8. "I'd like to get to know you better."
9. "I would like you to show respect for my privacy."
10. "I'd like you to prepare supper more often."

Here are my responses for Exercise 4:

1. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To me, the word *understand* does not clearly express a request for a specific action. A request for a specific action might be: "I want you to tell me what you heard me say."
2. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that the speaker is clearly requesting a specific action.
3. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To me, the words *feel more confidence* do not clearly express a request for a specific action. A request for a specific action might be: "I'd like you to take a course in assertiveness training, which I believe would increase your self-confidence."

4. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To me, the words *stop drinking* do not express what the speaker wants, but rather what he or she doesn't want. A request for a specific action might be: "I want you to tell me what needs of yours are met by drinking, and to discuss with me other ways of meeting those needs."
5. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To me, the words *let me be me* do not clearly express a request for a specific action. A request for a specific action might be: "I want you to tell me you won't leave our relationship—even if I do some things that you don't like."
6. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To me, the words *be honest with me* do not clearly express a request for a specific action. A request for a specific action might be: "I want you to tell me how you feel about what I did and what you'd like me to do differently."
7. If you circled this number, we're in agreement that the speaker is clearly requesting a specific action.
8. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To me, the words *get to know you better* do not clearly express a request for a specific action. A request for a specific action might be: "I'd like you to tell me if you would be willing to meet for lunch once a week."
9. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To me, the words *show respect for my privacy* do not clearly express a request for a specific action. A request for a specific action might be: "I'd like you to agree to knock before you enter my office."
10. If you circled this number, we're not in agreement. To me, the words *more often* do not clearly express a request for a specific action. A request for a specific action might be: "I'd like you to prepare supper every Monday night."

Bibliography



- Alinsky, Saul D. *Rules for Radicals: A Pragmatic Primer for Realistic Radicals*. New York: Random House, 1971.
- Arendt, Hannah. *Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil*. New York: Viking Press, 1963.
- Becker, Ernest. *The Birth and Death of Meaning: An Interdisciplinary Perspective on the Problem of Man*. New York: Free Press, 1971.
- . *The Revolution in Psychiatry: The New Understanding of Man*. New York: Free Press, 1964.
- Benedict, Ruth. "Synergy—Patterns of the Good Culture." *Psychology Today* 4 (June 1970): 53–77.
- Boserup, Anders, and Andrew Mack. *War Without Weapons: Non-Violence in National Defence*. New York: Schocken Books, 1975.
- Bowles, Samuel, and Herbert Gintis. *Schooling in Capitalist America: Educational Reform and the Contradictions of Economic Life*. New York: Basic Books, 1976.
- Buber, Martin. *I and Thou*. Translated by Ronald Gregor Smith. New York: Scribner, 1958.
- Craig, James, and Marguerite Craig. *Synergic Power Beyond Domination and Permissiveness*. Berkeley, CA: Proactive Press, 1974.
- Dass, Ram. *The Only Dance There Is*. New York: Jason Aronson, 1985.
- Dass, Ram, and Mirabai Bush. *Compassion in Action: Setting Out on the Path of Service*. New York: Bell Tower, 1992.
- Dass, Ram, and Paul Gorman. *How Can I Help? Stories and Reflections on Service*. New York: Knopf, 1985.
- Domhoff, William G. *The Higher Circles: The Governing Class in America*. New York: Vintage Books, 1971.
- Ellis, Albert, and Robert A. Harper. *A Guide to Rational Living*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1961.
- Freire, Paulo. *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Translated by Myra Bergman Ramos. New York: Herder and Herder, 1970.
- Fromm, Erich. *Escape from Freedom*. New York: Farrar & Rinehart, Inc., 1941.
- Fromm, Erich. *The Art of Loving*. New York: Harper & Row, 1956.
- Gardner, Herb. *A Thousand Clowns*, in *The Collected Plays*. New York: Applause Books, 2000.
- Gendlin, Eugene. *Focusing*. New York: Everest House, 1978.
- Glenn, Michael, and Richard Kunes. *Repression or Revolution? Therapy in the United States Today*. New York: Harper and Row, 1973.

- Greenburg, Dan, and Marcia Jacobs. *How to Make Yourself Miserable for the Rest of the Century: Another Vital Training Manual*. New York: Vintage Books, 1987.
- Harvey, O.J. *Conceptual Systems and Personality Organization*. New York: Wiley, 1961.
- Hillesum, Etty. *Etty: A Diary, 1941–1943*. Introduced by J. G. Gaarlandt; translated by Arnold J. Pomerans. London: Jonathan Cape, 1983
- Holt, John. *How Children Fail*. New York: Pitman, 1964.
- Humphreys, Christmas. *The Way of Action: A Working Philosophy for Western Life*. New York: MacMillan, 1960.
- Irwin, Robert. *Building a Peace System: Exploratory Project on the Conditions of Peace*. Expro Press, 1989.
- Johnson, Wendell. *Living with Change: The Semantics of Coping*. New York: Harper and Row, 1972.
- Katz, Michael B. *Class, Bureaucracy and Schools: The Illusion of Educational Change in America*. New York: Frederick A. Praeger, Inc., 1975.
- Katz, Michael B., ed. *School Reform: Past and Present*. Boston: Little, Brown & Co., 1971.
- Kaufmann, Walter. *Without Guilt and Justice: From Decidophobia to Autonomy*. New York: P.H. Wyden, 1973.
- Keen, Sam. *Hymns to an Unknown God: Awakening the Spirit in Everyday Life*. New York: Bantam Books, 1994.
- . *To a Dancing God*. New York: Harper and Row, 1970.
- Kelly, George A. *The Psychology of Personal Constructs*. 2 vols. New York: Norton, 1955.
- Kornfield, Jack. *A Path with Heart: A Guide Through the Perils and Promises of Spiritual Life*. New York: Bantam Books, 1993.
- Kozol, Jonathan. *The Night Is Dark and I Am Far from Home*. Boston: Houghton-Mifflin Co., 1975.
- Kurtz, Ernest, and Katherine Ketcham. *The Spirituality of Imperfection: Modern Wisdom from Classic Stories*. New York: Bantam Books, 1992.
- Lyons, Gracie. *Constructive Criticism: A Handbook*. Oakland, CA: IRT Press, 1976.
- Mager, Robert. *Preparing Instructional Objectives*. Belmont, CA: Fearon-Pitman Pub., 1975.
- Maslow, Abraham. *Eupsychian Management*. Homewood, IL: Richard D. Irwin, 1965.
- . *Toward a Psychology of Being*. Princeton, NJ: Van Nostrand, 1962.

- McLaughlin, Corinne, and Gordon Davidson. *Spiritual Politics: Changing the World from the Inside Out*. New York: Ballantine Books, 1994.
- Milgram, Stanley. *Obedience to Authority: An Experimental View*. New York: Harper and Row, 1974.
- Postman, Neil, and Charles Weingartner. *The Soft Revolution: A Student Handbook for Turning Schools Around*. New York: Delacorte Press, 1971.
- . *Teaching as a Subversive Activity*. New York: Delacorte Press, 1969.
- Powell, John. *The Secret of Staying in Love*. Niles, IL: Argus Communications, 1974.
- . *Why Am I Afraid to Tell You Who I Am?* Chicago: Argus Communications, 1969.
- Putney, Snell. *The Conquest of Society: Sociological Observations for the Autonomous Revolt against the Autosegments Which Turn Humanity into Servo-Men*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 1972.
- Robben, John. *Coming to My Senses*. New York: Thomas Crowell, 1973.
- Rogers, Carl. *A Way of Being*. New York: Houghton Mifflin Books, 1980, p. 12.
- . *Carl Rogers on Personal Power*. New York: Delacorte Press, 1977.
- . *Freedom to Learn: A View of What Education Might Become*. Columbus, OH: Charles E. Merrill, 1969.
- . "Some Elements of Effective Interpersonal Communication." Mimeographed paper from speech given at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, Nov. 9, 1964.
- A Way of Being*. New York: Houghton Mifflin Books, 1980, p. 12.
- Rosenberg, Marshall. *Mutual Education: Toward Autonomy and Interdependence*. Seattle: Special Child Publications, 1972.
- Ryan, William. *Blaming the Victim*. New York: Vintage Books, 1976.
- Scheff, Thomas J., ed. *Labeling Madness*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1975.
- Schmookler, Andrew Bard. *Out of Weakness: Healing the Wounds That Drive Us to War*. New York: Bantam Books, 1988.
- Sharp, Gene. *Social Power and Political Freedom*. Boston: Porter Sargent, 1980.
- Steiner, Claude. *Scripts People Live: Transactional Analysis of Life Scripts*. New York: Grove Press, 1974.
- Szasz, Thomas S. *Ideology and Insanity: Essays on the Psychiatric Dehumanization of Man*. New York: M. Boyars, 1983.
- Tagore, Rabindranath. *Sadhana: The Realization of Life*. Tucson: Omen Press, 1972.

Index



A

accountability, speech patterns
 that mask, 49–52, 141–42
 see also responsibility
action language, positive, 69
action requests, 76
advice vs. empathy, 92–93,
 97–98
age role, as behavior excuse, 20
aggression, in response to blame
 and judgment, 148
 see also judgments; violence
Amtssprache, 19, 140
analyses of others, as expression
 of values and needs, 16, 52–54,
 153, 174
 see also judgments
anger
 NVC in Action dialogue,
 154–59
 responsibility for, 50, 141–43
 steps to expressing, 148–49,
 154
 unmet needs at core of,
 144–48, 153, 174–75
appreciation, 75, 185–92
approval, as motivator, 138
Arendt, Hannah, 19
Assailey, Nafez, 188–89
attention, focusing on NVC
 components, 3–4, 6
autonomy, need for, 54, 153–54

B

Babble-on-ians, 121–22
“bad”/“good” labels, 17–18, 23,
 130, 132
Bebermeyer, Ruth (songs), xix, 5,
 27–28, 67
Becker, Ernest, 172

behavior excuses, 19–20
beliefs
 about ethnic and racial
 groups, 150–52
 about gender roles, 55–57
Bernanos, George, 21–22
blame
 anger as, 50, 141–43, 174–75
 as punishment, 163
 self-, 49–50, 130–31
 unmet needs and, 94–95, 153
 see also negative messages
boring conversations, 121–23
Bryson, Kelly, 121–22
Buber, Martin, 91–92, 175–76
Buechner, Frederick, 25
Building a Peace System (Irwin),
 162

C

Campbell, Joseph, 100
cause vs. stimulus of feelings,
 49, 141–48
celebration, need for, 54
Chardin, Teilhard de, Pierre, 170
choices, 19–21, 131, 136–40
Chuang-Tzu, 91
clinical language vs. NVC,
 175–79
“cognitively arrested
 alternatives,” 172
comparisons as judgment,
 18–19
Compassionate Communication, 3
compassion blockers, 15–23,
 92–93
 see also negative messages
compliments, as life-alienating
 communication, 185–86

consciousness, at heart of NVC,
7, 81
conscious vs. reactive responses,
3-4, 6, 153
counseling, NVC use in, 180-83
 see also psychotherapy; self-
 counseling
Croatia, 11
cultural conditioning, 171-72

D

dangerous situations, NVC use
in, 117-20
Dheisheh Refugee Camp, NVC
use in, 13-14
demands
 as compassion blockers,
 22-23
 requests vs., 79-85
depression, 71-72, 93-94,
172-73
deserve, as life-alienating
 concept, 22, 56, 147, 153, 162,
 188
Detroit race riots of 1943, 1-2
diagnosis in psychotherapy,
175-79
docility, 21-22, 23, 171
do-nothing room, 168-69
duty, as motivator, 135-36,
139-40

E

education. *See* schools
Eichmann, Adolf, 19
Eichmann in Jerusalem (Arendt),
19
emotional slavery/liberation,
57-60
empathy
 vs. advice, 92-93, 97-98
 healing power of, 113-14,
 149-52

 for self, 103-04, 116, 129-40
 sustaining, 101-02
 see also receiving
 empathically

Epictetus, 49
evaluations. *See* judgments
exaggerations, 31
excuses, 19-20
expectations, 50
expressing honestly, NVC
 model, 7

F

families, NVC use in, 8, 152-53
 expressing anger, 154-59
 personal responsibility,
 20-21, 153
 receiving empathically, 92, 102
 recognizing demands, 22
 requests, 70, 81-82
fear, as motivator, 5, 16-17,
135-36
feelings
 as component of NVC
 model, 6
 as component of requests,
 73-74, 76
 cultural norms and, 98-99
 expression of, 37-41, 47-48
 in expressions of
 appreciation, 186-88
 and needs, 43-46, 52-53,
 132-33, 143-45
 vs. non-feelings, 37-38, 41-43
 responsibility for, 49-52,
 141-42
 sensing others', 50, 57-58,
 76, 94-96
 sensing our own, 50, 133,
 174-75
 vocabulary lists of, 43-46
 see also specific feelings

force
 protective use in schools,
 166–69
 punitive vs. protective use of,
 161–62
 types of punitive use, 162–64
 forgiveness, of self, 133–35
 Fox, Julius, 191–93
 “From Brett” (song), 84

G

Gandhi, Mahatma K., xiii–xv,
 2–3, 129
 gangs, NVC use with, 103–04,
 115–17
 Gardner, Herb, 129
 gender roles, 20, 55–57
 “Given To” (song), 5
 giving from the heart, 1–6, 17, 52
 “good”/“bad” labels, 17–18, 23,
 130, 132
 “The Greatest Man I Never
 Knew” (song), 38
 Greenburg, Dan, 18
 group pressure, as behavior
 excuse, 20
 guilt, as motivator, 5, 16–17,
 49–52, 80, 130–31, 135–36,
 139, 142–43

H

Hammarskjöld, Dag, 103
 Harvey, O.J., 17
have to, 19–22, 131, 136
 heart-felt giving, 1–6, 17, 52
 hierarchical societies and life-
 alienating communication, 23
 Hillesum, Etty, 2
 honesty, request for, 76–77
 see also expressing honestly
 humility, 189–90
 Humphrey, Holley, 92

I

illegitimacy, stigma of (NVC in
 Action dialogues), 61–64
 impulses and personal
 responsibility, 20
 institutional policy, as behavior
 excuse, 20
 integrity, need for, 54
 interdependence, need for,
 54–55
 internal conflict resolution,
 172–73
 Irwin, Robert, 162
 Israelis/Palestinians, NVC use
 with, 11, 13–14, 53–54
 “I-Thou” relationship, 175–76

J

Jesus, 193–95
 Johnson, Wendell, 26
 judgments
 comparisons as, 18–19
 compliments as, 185–86
 as expressions of unmet
 needs, 16, 52–54, 132–35,
 144–45, 153, 172–73
 moralistic vs. value, 15–18,
 133
 vs. observations, 26–32
 as punishment, 130–31,
 163–64
 as self-fulfilling prophecies,
 148
 see also negative messages

K

Keen, Sam, 184
 Krishnamurti, J., 28
 Kushner, Harold, 93

L

- labeling, 15–18, 28
 - see also “good”/“bad” labels; judgments
- language
 - evaluation- vs. observation-based, 30–31
 - as obscurer of feelings and needs, 19–20, 41–43, 51–52, 171–72
 - positive action, 69
 - process vs. static, 26
 - in psychotherapy, 175–79
 - of requests, 67–72
 - as violence promoter, 1–2, 17–18, 23
 - vocabulary of feeling states, 37, 43–46
- life-alienating communication, 15–23, 144, 185–86
- listening skills. See receiving empathically

M

- Make Yourself Miserable* (Greenburg), 18
- marriage, NVC use in, 8
 - expressing feelings, 38–39
 - paraphrasing, 101, 105–08
 - receiving empathically, 94–96
 - requests, 67–68, 70, 73
 - taking responsibility for feelings of others, 57–58
- “The Mask” (poem), 36
- May, Rollo, 37
- McEntire, Reba, 38
- medical practice, NVC use in, 10
- Meir, Golda, 189
- money, as motivator, 138
- moralistic judgments, 15–18, 133
- motivators, 52, 137–40, 165
 - see also guilt, as motivator
- mourning, 55, 132–35

N

- needs
 - for appreciation, 190–92
 - as component of NVC model, 6
 - denial of, 55–57, 171–72
 - exercises in acknowledging, 65–66
 - in expressions of
 - appreciation, 186–88
 - feelings arise from, 43–46, 52–54, 132–33, 143–45
 - judgments as expression of, 16, 52–54, 99, 132–35, 143–45, 153–54
 - list of, 54–55
 - sensing others’, 50, 94–96, 96, 99, 116–17
 - sensing our own, 50, 137, 143–45, 174
- negative messages
 - four options for hearing, 49–52, 143–44, 147
 - as inhibitors of
 - communication, 39, 67–69, 148
 - needs underlying, 16, 52–54, 99, 116–17, 120–21
 - see also judgments
- Nigeria, 11
- “no,” hearing with empathy, 120–21
- non-feelings vs. feelings, 37–38
- non-specific language, in requests, 67–74
- nonviolence, defined, 2–3
- NVC (Nonviolent Communication) basics, 3–7, 81, 94
- NVC in Action dialogues
 - overview, 12

counseling others, 180–83
 Dheisheh Refugee Camp,
 13–14
 dying man and wife, 105–08
 father-son conflict, 154–59
 “most arrogant speaker”
 message, 32–33
 request of smoker friend,
 85–87
 teen pregnancy issue, 61–64
 NVC model, 6–8, 172–73

O

obligation, 135–36, 139–40
obnoxious stage, 59–60
 observation without evaluating
 compared to evaluative
 observation, 26–32
 as component of NVC model,
 6, 172
 exercises, 34–35
 NVC in Action dialogue,
 32–33
 “One day a man named Jesus ...”
 (song), 194–95
 options, when receiving negative
 messages, 49–52, 143–44, 147

P

Palestinians/Israelis, NVC use
 with, 11, 13–14, 53–54
 paraphrasing, 13–14, 96–101,
 105–08
 permissiveness vs. NVC, 166
 personal relationships, NVC use
 in, 8, 152–53
 expressing feelings, 38–39
 paraphrasing, 97–98, 101,
 105–08
 receiving empathically, 94–96
 requests, 67–68, 70, 73, 85–87
 taking responsibility for
 feelings of others, 57–58

see also families, NVC use in
 personal responsibility
 denial of, 19–22
 for feelings, 49–52, 141–43,
 145, 147
 physical nurturance, need for, 55
 playfulness, 54–55, 135–36, 140
 poems. *See* song lyrics/poems
 polka-dotted suit lesson, 134–35
 positive action language, 69
 Powell, John, 191
 power issues, 115
 praise, as life-alienating
 communication, 185–86
 presence, quality of, 91–92
 prisons, NVC use in, 145–47
 process language, 26
 protective force, 161–62, 166–69
 psychotherapy, and role of
 therapist, 93–94, 123–27,
 175–79
 punishment
 assumptions underlying,
 17–18, 162
 avoidance, as motivation, 139
 costs and limitations of,
 164–66
 and reward, 22–23
 self-, 130–31, 133
 types, 162–64
 punitive force, 17–18, 22–23,
 161–66

Q

question format
 for paraphrasing, 96–98
 for reflection requests, 74–76

R

racial issues, NVC to resolve,
 40–41, 68–69, 77–79, 149,
 150–52

- reactive vs. conscious responses, 3–4, 6, 153
 - reassurance vs. empathy, 92
 - receiving empathically (listening skills)
 - basics, 7, 91–96
 - for boring conversations, 121–23
 - exercises, 109–11
 - NVC in Action dialogues, 13–14, 32–33, 61–64, 105–08
 - obstacles, 92–93
 - paraphrasing, 13–14, 96–101, 105–08
 - to response of silence, 123–27
 - self-empathy and, 103–04, 116, 132–34
 - sustaining, 101–02
 - in violent situations, 117–20
 - when angry, 143–44, 149–52
 - when hearing rejection, 80–81, 120–21
 - reflection
 - paraphrasing as, 96
 - requests for, 74–76
 - regret, 133–34
 - relationships. *See* personal relationships
 - religions in conflict, NVC as resource for, 11
 - requests
 - as component of NVC model, 6
 - conscious formulation, 72–74
 - and demands compared, 79–85
 - exercises in expressing, 88–89
 - of a group, 77–79
 - for honesty, 76–77
 - NVC in Action dialogue, 85–87
 - for a reflection, 74–76, 151–52
 - wording of, 67–72
 - responsibility
 - for feelings of others, 57–60
 - for self, 19–22, 49–52, 141–43, 145, 147
 - The Revolution in Psychiatry* (Becker), 172
 - reward and punishment, 22–23
 - rewards, as motivator, 138
 - road rage, 174–75
 - Rogers, Carl, 113, 175–76
 - rules and personal responsibility, 20
 - Rumi, 15
 - Rwanda, 11
- S**
- schools, NVC use in, 9
 - observation without evaluation, 28–30
 - positive action requests, 68–69
 - protective use of force, 166–69
 - receiving empathically, 113–14
 - reflection requests, 75
 - requests vs. demands, 82–83
 - taking personal responsibility, 20–21
 - The Secret of Staying in Love* (Powell), 191
 - self-blame, 49–50, 130–31
 - self-compassion, 103–04, 116, 129–40
 - self-counseling with NVC, 171–73
 - see also* self-compassion

self-esteem
 effect of punitive force on, 164
 effects of judgment on,
 16–17, 49–50
 and use of NVC, 5
 self-forgiveness, 133–35
 self-fulfilling prophecies, 148
 sexual expression, need for, 55
 shame, 5, 16–17, 130–31,
 135–36, 139
should, 131, 139–40, 172–73
 Sierra Leone, 11
 silence, responding to, 38–41,
 123–27
 social roles, as behavior
 excuse, 20
 song lyrics/poems
 “Given To,” 5
 “The Greatest Man I Never
 Knew,” 38
 “The Mask,” 36
 about negative requests, 67
 about observation and
 evaluation, 25, 27–28
 “One day a man named
 Jesus . . .,” 194–95
 about requests vs. demands,
 84
 “Words Are Windows,” xix
 spiritual communion, need for, 55
 static language, 26
 stigma of illegitimacy, NVC in
 Action dialogues, 61–64
 stimulus vs. cause of feelings,
 49, 141–48
 street gangs, NVC use with,
 103–04, 115–17

T

taking our time with NVC,
 152–54
 television, and violence, 17–18

therapy. *See* psychotherapy
 thoughts
 as anger generator, 143
 vs. feelings, 41–43
 request for, 76–77
A Thousand Clowns (Gardner),
 129

U

unmet needs, judgments as
 expression of, 16, 52–54, 99,
 132–35, 143–48, 153–54

V

value judgments, 17–18
 violence
 diffusion with NVC, 117–20
 and language, 2–3, 17–18, 23
 as response to judgments and
 blame, 144, 148
 self-directed, 129–32
see also life-alienating
 communication
 vulnerability, 18, 40–41, 115–17

W

Weil, Simone, 92
*When Bad Things Happen to
 Good People* (Kushner), 93
 Williamson, Marianne, 189–90
 women, and denial of personal
 needs, 55–57
 “Words Are Windows” (song), xix
 workplace, NVC use in, 9–10
 expressing feelings, 39–40
 paraphrasing, 100
 receiving empathically, 61–64
 requests, 70–71



The Four-Part Nonviolent Communication Process

Clearly expressing
how **I am**
without blaming
or criticizing

Empathically receiving
how **you are**
without hearing
blame or criticism

OBSERVATIONS

1. What I observe (*see, hear, remember, imagine, free from my evaluations*) that does or does not contribute to my well-being:

“When I (see, hear) . . . ”

1. What you observe (*see, hear, remember, imagine, free from your evaluations*) that does or does not contribute to your well-being:

“When you see/hear . . . ”

(Sometimes unspoken when offering empathy)

FEELINGS

2. How I feel (*emotion or sensation rather than thought*) in relation to what I observe:

“I feel . . . ”

2. How you feel (*emotion or sensation rather than thought*) in relation to what you observe:

“You feel . . . ”

NEEDS

3. What I need or value (*rather than a preference, or a specific action*) that causes my feelings:

“. . . because I need/value . . . ”

3. What you need or value (*rather than a preference, or a specific action*) that causes your feelings:

“. . . because you need/value . . . ”

Clearly requesting that
which would enrich **my**
life without demanding

Empathically receiving that
which would enrich **your** life
without hearing any demand

REQUESTS

4. The concrete actions I would like taken:

“Would you be willing to . . . ?”

4. The concrete actions you would like taken:

“Would you like . . . ?”

(Sometimes unspoken when offering empathy)



© Marshall B. Rosenberg. For more information about Marshall B. Rosenberg or the Center for Nonviolent Communication, please visit www.CNVC.org.



Some Basic Feelings We All Have

Feelings when needs are fulfilled

- Amazed
- Comfortable
- Confident
- Eager
- Energetic
- Fulfilled
- Glad
- Hopeful
- Inspired
- Intrigued
- Joyous
- Moved
- Optimistic
- Proud
- Relieved
- Stimulated
- Surprised
- Thankful
- Touched
- Trustful

Feelings when needs are not fulfilled

- Angry
- Annoyed
- Concerned
- Confused
- Disappointed
- Discouraged
- Distressed
- Embarrassed
- Frustrated
- Helpless
- Hopeless
- Impatient
- Irritated
- Lonely
- Nervous
- Overwhelmed
- Puzzled
- Reluctant
- Sad
- Uncomfortable



Some Basic Needs We All Have

Autonomy

- Choosing dreams/goals/values
- Choosing plans for fulfilling one's dreams, goals, values

Celebration

- Celebrating the creation of life and dreams fulfilled
- Celebrating losses: loved ones, dreams, etc. (mourning)

Integrity

- Authenticity
- Creativity
- Meaning
- Self-worth

Interdependence

- Acceptance
- Appreciation
- Closeness
- Community
- Consideration
- Contribution to the enrichment of life
- Emotional Safety
- Empathy

Physical Nurturance

- Air
- Food
- Movement, exercise
- Protection from life-threatening forms of life: viruses, bacteria, insects, predatory animals
- Rest
- Sexual Expression
- Shelter
- Touch
- Water

Play

- Fun
- Laughter

Spiritual Communion

- Beauty
- Harmony
- Inspiration
- Order
- Peace
- Honesty (the empowering honesty that enables us to learn from our limitations)
- Love
- Reassurance
- Respect
- Support
- Trust
- Understanding

©CNVC. Please visit www.cnvc.org to learn more.



About Nonviolent Communication

From the bedroom to the boardroom, from the classroom to the war zone, Nonviolent Communication (NVC) is changing lives every day. NVC provides an easy-to-grasp, effective method to get to the root of violence and pain peacefully. By examining the unmet needs behind what we do and say, NVC helps reduce hostility, heal pain, and strengthen professional and personal relationships. NVC is now being taught in corporations, classrooms, prisons, and mediation centers worldwide. And it is affecting cultural shifts as institutions, corporations, and governments integrate NVC consciousness into their organizational structures and their approach to leadership.

Most of us are hungry for skills that can improve the quality of our relationships, to deepen our sense of personal empowerment or simply help us communicate more effectively. Unfortunately, most of us have been educated from birth to compete, judge, demand, and diagnose; to think and communicate in terms of what is “right” and “wrong” with people. At best, the habitual ways we think and speak hinder communication and create misunderstanding or frustration. And still worse, they can cause anger and pain, and may lead to violence. Without wanting to, even people with the best of intentions generate needless conflict.

NVC helps us reach beneath the surface and discover what is alive and vital within us, and how all of our actions are based on human needs that we are seeking to meet. We learn to develop a vocabulary of feelings and needs that helps us more clearly express what is going on in us at any given moment. When we understand and acknowledge our needs, we develop a shared foundation for much more satisfying relationships. Join the thousands of people worldwide who have improved their relationships and their lives with this simple yet revolutionary process.



About PuddleDancer Press

PuddleDancer Press (PDP) is the premier publisher of Nonviolent Communication™ related works. Its mission is to provide high-quality materials to help people create a world in which all needs are met compassionately. Publishing revenues are used to develop new books, and implement promotion campaigns for NVC and Marshall Rosenberg. By working in partnership with the Center for Nonviolent Communication and NVC trainers, teams, and local supporters, PDP has created a comprehensive promotion effort that has helped bring NVC to thousands of new people each year.

Since 2003 PDP has donated more than 60,000 NVC books to organizations, decision-makers, and individuals in need around the world. This program is supported in part by donations made to CNVC and by partnerships with like-minded organizations around the world. PDP is a core partner of the Help Share NVC Project, giving access to hundreds of valuable tools, resources, and ideas to help NVC trainers and supporters make NVC a household name by creating financially sustainable training practices. Learn more at www.helpsharenvc.com.

Visit the PDP website at www.NonviolentCommunication.com to find the following resources:

- **Shop NVC**—Continue your learning. Purchase our NVC titles online safely, affordably, and conveniently. Find everyday discounts on individual titles, multiple-copies, and book packages. Learn more about our authors and read endorsements of NVC from world-renowned communication experts and peacemakers.
- **NVC Quick Connect e-Newsletter**—Sign up today to receive our monthly e-Newsletter, filled with expert articles, upcoming training opportunities with our authors, and exclusive specials on NVC learning materials. Archived e-Newsletters are also available
- **About NVC**—Learn more about these life-changing communication and conflict resolution skills including an overview of the NVC process, key facts about NVC, and more.
- **About Marshall Rosenberg**—Access press materials, biography, and more about this world-renowned peacemaker, educator, bestselling author, and founder of the Center for Nonviolent Communication.
- **Free Resources for Learning NVC**—Find free weekly tips series, NVC article archive, and other great resources to make learning these vital communication skills just a little easier.



PuddleDancer P R E S S

For more information, please contact PuddleDancer Press at:

2240 Encinitas Blvd., Ste. D-911 • Encinitas, CA 92024

Phone: 858-759-6963 • Fax: 858-759-6967

Email: email@puddledancer.com • www.NonviolentCommunication.com



About the Center for Nonviolent Communication

The Center for Nonviolent Communication (CNVC) is an international nonprofit peacemaking organization whose vision is a world where everyone's needs are met peacefully. CNVC is devoted to supporting the spread of Nonviolent Communication (NVC) around the world.

Founded in 1984 by Dr. Marshall B. Rosenberg, CNVC has been contributing to a vast social transformation in thinking, speaking and acting—showing people how to connect in ways that inspire compassionate results. NVC is now being taught around the globe in communities, schools, prisons, mediation centers, churches, businesses, professional conferences, and more. More than 200 certified trainers and hundreds more teach NVC to approximately 250,000 people each year in 35 countries.

CNVC believes that NVC training is a crucial step to continue building a compassionate, peaceful society. Your tax-deductible donation will help CNVC continue to provide training in some of the most impoverished, violent corners of the world. It will also support the development and continuation of organized projects aimed at bringing NVC training to high-need geographic regions and populations.


To make a tax-deductible donation or to learn more about the valuable resources described below, visit the CNVC website at www.CNVC.org:

- **Training and Certification**—Find local, national, and international training opportunities, access trainer certification information, connect to local NVC communities, trainers, and more.
- **CNVC Bookstore**—Find mail or phone order information for a complete selection of NVC books, booklets, audio, and video materials at the CNVC website.
- **CNVC Projects**—Seven regional and theme-based projects provide focus and leadership for teaching NVC in a particular application or geographic region.
- **E-Groups and List Servs**—Join one of several moderated, topic-based NVC e-groups and list servs developed to support individual learning and the continued growth of NVC worldwide.

For more information, please contact CNVC at:



5600-A San Francisco Rd., NE, Albuquerque, NM 87109
 Ph: 505-244-4041 • Fax: 505-247-0414
 Email: cnvc@CNVC.org • Website: www.CNVC.org



Nonviolent Communication Companion Workbook

*A Practical Guide for Individual,
Group, or Classroom Study*


by **Lucy Leu**

\$21.95 – Trade Paper 7x10, 224pp

ISBN: 978-1-892005-04-5

Learning Nonviolent Communication has often been equated with learning a whole new language. The *NVC Companion Workbook* helps you put these powerful, effective skills into practice with chapter-by-chapter study of Marshall Rosenberg's cornerstone text, *NVC: A Language of Life*. Create a safe, supportive group learning or practice environment that nurtures the needs of each participant. Find a wealth of activities, exercises, and facilitator suggestions to refine and practice this powerful communication process.

Save an additional 10% at NonviolentCommunication.com with coupon code: **bookads**



Speak Peace in a World of Conflict

What You Say Next Will Change Your World

by **Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.**

\$15.95 – Trade Paper 5-3/8x8-3/8, 208pp


ISBN: 978-1-892005-17-5

International peacemaker, mediator, and healer, Marshall Rosenberg shows you how the language you use is the key to enriching life. *Speak Peace* is filled with inspiring stories, lessons, and ideas drawn from more than forty years of mediating conflicts and healing relationships in some of the most war-torn, impoverished, and violent corners of the world. Find insight, practical skills, and powerful tools that will profoundly change your relationships and the course of your life for the better.

Discover how you can create an internal consciousness of peace as the first step toward effective personal, professional, and social change. Find complete chapters on the mechanics of Speaking Peace, conflict resolution, transforming business culture, transforming enemy images, addressing terrorism, transforming authoritarian structures, expressing and receiving gratitude, and social change.

**Bestselling author of the internationally acclaimed,
*Nonviolent Communication: A Language of Life***

Available from PuddleDancer Press, the Center for Nonviolent Communication, all major bookstores, and Amazon.com. Distributed by Independent Publisher's Group: 800-888-4741.



Being Genuine

Stop Being Nice, Start Being Real

by **Thomas d'Ansembourg**

\$17.95 – Trade Paper 5-3/8x8-3/8, 280pp

ISBN: 978-1-892005-21-2


Being Genuine brings Thomas d'Ansembourg's blockbuster French title to the English market. His work offers you a fresh new perspective on the proven skills offered in the bestselling book, *Nonviolent Communication: A Language of Life*. Drawing on his own real-life examples and stories, Thomas d'Ansembourg provides practical skills and concrete steps that allow us to safely remove the masks we wear, which prevent the intimacy and satisfaction we desire with our intimate partners, children, parents, friends, family, and colleagues.

"Through this book, we can feel Nonviolent Communication not as a formula but as a rich, meaningful way of life, both intellectually and emotionally."

—**Vicki Robin**, co-founder, Conversation Cafes, coauthor, *Your Money or Your Life*

Based on Marshall Rosenberg's Nonviolent Communication process

Save an additional 10% at NonviolentCommunication.com with coupon code: **bookads**



Words That Work In Business

A Practical Guide to Effective Communication in the Workplace

by **Ike Lasater**

with *Julie Stiles*

\$12.95 – Trade Paper 5-3/8x8-3/8, 144pp


ISBN: 978-1-892005-01-4

Do You Want to Be Happier, More Effective, and Experience Less Stress at Work?

Do you wish for more respectful work relationships? To move beyond gossip and power struggles, to improved trust and productivity? If you've ever wondered if just one person can positively affect work relationships and company culture, regardless of your position, this book offers a resounding "yes." The key is shifting how we think and talk.

Former attorney-turned-mediator, Ike Lasater, offers practical communication skills matched with recognizable work scenarios to help anyone address the most common workplace relationship challenges. Learn proven communication skills to: Enjoy your workday more; effectively handle difficult conversations; reduce workplace conflict and stress; improve individual and team productivity; be more effective at meetings; and give and receive meaningful feedback.

Available from PuddleDancer Press, the Center for Nonviolent Communication, all major bookstores, and Amazon.com. Distributed by Independent Publisher's Group: 800-888-4741.



Peaceful Living

Daily Meditations for Living With Love, Healing, and Compassion

by **Mary Mackenzie**


\$19.95 – Trade Paper 5x7.5, 448pp

ISBN: 978-1-892005-19-9

In this gathering of wisdom, Mary Mackenzie empowers you with an intimate life map that will literally change the course of your life for the better. Each of the 366 meditations includes an inspirational quote and concrete, practical tips for integrating the daily message into your life. The learned behaviors of cynicism, resentment, and getting even are replaced with the skills of Nonviolent Communication, including recognizing one's needs and values and making choices in alignment with them.

Peaceful Living goes beyond daily affirmations, providing the skills and consciousness you need to transform relationships, heal pain, and discover the life-enriching meaning behind even the most trying situations. Begin each day centered and connected to yourself and your values. Direct the course of your life toward your deepest hopes and needs. Ground yourself in the power of compassionate, conscious living.

Save an additional 10% at NonviolentCommunication.com with coupon code: **bookads**



Eat by Choice, Not by Habit

Practical Skills for Creating a Healthy Relationship With Your Body and Food

by **Sylvia Haskvitz**

\$10.95 – 5-3/8x8-3/8, 128pp

ISBN: 978-1-892005-20-5


“Face Your Stuff, or Stuff Your Face”

—anonymous

Eating is a basic human need. But what if you are caught up in the cycles of overconsumption or emotional eating?

Using the consciousness of Nonviolent Communication, *Eat by Choice* helps you dig deeper into the emotional consciousness that underlies your eating patterns. Much more than a prescriptive fad diet, you'll learn practical strategies to develop a healthier relationship with food. Learn to enjoy the tastes, smells, and sensations of healthful eating once again.

Available from PuddleDancer Press, the Center for Nonviolent Communication, all major bookstores, and Amazon.com. Distributed by Independent Publisher's Group: 800-888-4741.



Respectful Parents, Respectful Kids

7 Keys to Turn Family Conflict Into Co-operation

by Sura Hart and Victoria Kindle Hodson

\$17.95 – Trade Paper 7.5x9.25, 256pp

ISBN: 978-1-892005-22-9


Stop the Struggle—Find the Co-operation and Mutual Respect You Want!

Do more than simply correct bad behavior—finally unlock your parenting potential. Use this handbook to move beyond typical discipline techniques and begin creating an environment based on mutual respect, emotional safety, and positive, open communication. *Respectful Parents, Respectful Kids* offers **7 Simple Keys** to discover the mutual respect and nurturing relationships you've been looking for.

Use these 7 Keys to:

- Set firm limits without using demands or coercion
- Achieve mutual respect without being submissive
- Successfully prevent, reduce, and resolve conflicts
- Empower your kids to open up, co-operate, and realize their full potential
- Make your home a **No-Fault Zone** where trust thrives

Save an additional 10% at NonviolentCommunication.com with coupon code: **bookads**



The No-Fault Classroom

Tools to Resolve Conflict & Foster Relationship Intelligence

by Sura Hart and Victoria Kindle Hodson

\$24.95 – Trade Paper 8.5x11, 256pp

ISBN: 978-1-892005-18-2

Students Can Resolve Their Own Conflicts!

Offering far more than discipline techniques that move aggressive behavior out of the classroom to the playground or sidewalk, *The No-Fault Classroom* leads students ages 7–12 to develop skills in problem solving, empathic listening, and conflict resolution that will last a lifetime.

The book's 21 interactive and step-by-step lessons, construction materials, and adaptable scripts give educators the tools they need to return order and co-operation to the classroom and jumpstart engaged learning—from the rural school to the inner city, the charter school, to the home school classroom. *Curricular Tie-ins* guide teachers to use the conflict resolution tools they've developed to meet state learning requirements in social studies, language arts, history, reading, and science.

Available from PuddleDancer Press, the Center for Nonviolent Communication, all major bookstores, and Amazon.com. Distributed by Independent Publisher's Group: 800-888-4741.



Trade Booklets From PuddleDancer Press

Being Me, Loving You: A Practical Guide to Extraordinary Relationships by **Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.** • Watch your relationships strengthen as you learn to think of love as something you “do,” something you give freely from the heart.

80pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-16-8 • **\$8.95**

Getting Past the Pain Between Us: Healing and Reconciliation Without Compromise by **Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.** • Learn simple steps to create the heartfelt presence necessary for lasting healing to occur—great for mediators, counselors, families, and couples.

48pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-07-6 • **\$8.95**

Graduating From Guilt: Six Steps to Overcome Guilt and Reclaim Your Life by **Holly Michelle Eckert** • The burden of guilt leaves us stuck, stressed, and feeling like we can never measure up. Through a proven six-step process, this book helps liberate you from the toxic guilt, blame, and shame you carry.

96pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-23-6 • **\$9.95**

The Heart of Social Change: How to Make a Difference in Your World by **Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.** • Learn how creating an internal consciousness of compassion can impact your social change efforts.





48pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-10-6 • **\$8.95**

Parenting From Your Heart: Sharing the Gifts of Compassion, Connection, and Choice by **Inbal Kashtan** • Filled with insight and practical skills, this booklet will help you transform your parenting to address every day challenges.

48pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-08-3 • **\$8.95**

Practical Spirituality: Reflections on the Spiritual Basis of Nonviolent Communication by **Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.** • Marshall's views on the spiritual origins and underpinnings of NVC, and how practicing the process helps him connect to the Divine.

48pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-14-4 • **\$8.95**



Raising Children Compassionately: *Parenting the Nonviolent Communication Way* by **Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.** • Learn to create a mutually respectful, enriching family dynamic filled with heartfelt communication. 32pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-09-0 • **\$7.95**


The Surprising Purpose of Anger: *Beyond Anger Management: Finding the Gift* by **Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.** • Marshall shows you how to use anger to discover what you need, and then how to meet your needs in more constructive, healthy ways. 48pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-15-1 • **\$8.95**

Teaching Children Compassionately: *How Students and Teachers Can Succeed With Mutual Understanding* by **Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.** • In this national keynote address to Montessori educators, Marshall describes his progressive, radical approach to teaching that centers on compassionate connection. 48pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-11-3 • **\$8.95**

We Can Work It Out: *Resolving Conflicts Peacefully and Powerfully* by **Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D.** • Practical suggestions for fostering empathic connection, genuine co-operation, and satisfying resolutions in even the most difficult situations. 32pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-12-0 • **\$7.95**

What's Making You Angry? *10 Steps to Transforming Anger So Everyone Wins* by **Shari Klein and Neill Gibson** • A powerful, step-by-step approach to transform anger to find healthy, mutually satisfying outcomes. 32pp, ISBN: 978-1-892005-13-7 • **\$7.95**

Available from PuddleDancer Press, the Center for Nonviolent Communication, all major bookstores, and Amazon.com. Distributed by IPG: 800-888-4741. For more information about these booklets or to order online, visit www.NonviolentCommunication.com



Save an additional 10% at NonviolentCommunication.com with coupon code: **bookads**



About the Author




Photo by Beth Banning

Marshall B. Rosenberg, Ph.D., is the founder and director of educational services for the Center for Nonviolent Communication (CNVC), an international peacemaking organization. He is the author of *Speak Peace in a World of Conflict*, and the bestselling *Nonviolent Communication: A Language of Life*. Marshall is the proud recipient of the 2006 Global Village Foundation's Bridge of Peace Award, and the Association of Unity Churches International 2006 Light of God Expressing Award.

Growing up in a turbulent Detroit neighborhood, Marshall developed a keen interest in new forms of communication that would provide peaceful alternatives to the violence he encountered. His interest led to a doctorate in clinical psychology from the University of Wisconsin in 1961, where he studied under Carl Rogers. His subsequent life experience and study of comparative religion motivated him to develop the NVC process.

Marshall first used the NVC process in federally-funded school integration projects during the 1960s to provide mediation and communication skills training. In 1984, he founded CNVC, which is now affiliated with more than 200 certified NVC trainers in 35 countries around the globe.

With guitar and puppets in hand, a history of traveling to some of the most violent corners of the world, and a spiritual energy that fills a room, Marshall shows us how to create a more peaceful and satisfying world. Marshall is currently based in Wasserfallenhof, Switzerland.



Create your life, your relationships and your world in harmony with your values

Most of us have been educated from birth to compete, judge, demand, and diagnose—to think and communicate in terms of what is “right” and “wrong” with people. At best, communicating and thinking this way can create misunderstanding and frustration. And still worse, it can lead to anger, depression, and even emotional or physical violence.

In this international bestseller, Rosenberg uses stories, conversation role-plays, and real-world examples to introduce his world-renowned, four-part Nonviolent Communication (NVC) process. Far more than a communication technique, you'll learn to transform the thinking, language, and moralistic judgments that keep you from the enriching relationships that you dream of. You'll start to resolve conflicts with ease, more easily get what you want without using demands, begin to hear the needs of others with less struggle, strengthen your personal and professional relationships, and start living your fullest potential.

With Nonviolent Communication, you'll learn to:

- Put your primary focus on *connection through empathic listening* rather than “being right” or “getting what you want”
- Transform conflict into mutually satisfying outcomes
- Defuse anger and frustration peacefully
- Create personal and professional relationships grounded in mutual respect, compassion, and emotional safety
- Break patterns of thinking that lead to arguments or depression
- Move beyond power struggles to cooperation and trust

“Nonviolent Communication can change the world. More importantly, it can change your life. I cannot recommend it highly enough.”

—JACK CANFIELD, *Chicken Soup for the Soul Series*

“In this book you'll find amazingly effective language for saying what's on your mind and in your heart.”

—VICKI ROBIN, *Your Money or Your Life*

Around the world, NVC has been adopted by Fortune 500 companies, government offices, schools, hospitals, university MBA and communication curriculum, community mediation centers, anger management programs, peace and social change advocates, inmate rehabilitation programs, and more.

 **PuddleDancer** PRESS

www.NonviolentCommunication.com

\$19.95 USA

\$21.95 CAN

ISBN: 978-1-892005-03-8

5 1995



9 781892 005038