## 2. Get Disney's current financials...

|  | Most recent fiscal year (2012-13) | Prior year |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | $\$ 45,041$ | $\$ 42,278$ |
| EBITDA | $\$ 10,642$ | $\$ 10,850$ |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | $\$ 2,192$ | $\$ 1,987$ |
| EBIT | $\$ 9,450$ | $\$ 8,863$ |
| Interest Expenses | $\$ 349$ | $\$ 564$ |
| EBITDA (adjusted for leases) | $\$ 12,517$ | $\$ 11,168$ |
| Depreciation (adjusted for leases) | $\$ 2,485$ | $\$ 2,239$ |
| EBIT (adjusted for leases) | $\$ 10,032$ | $\$ 8,929$ |
| Interest Expenses (adjusted for leases) | $\$ 459$ | $\$ 630$ |

## I. Cost of Equity

| Debt to Capital Ratio | D/E Ratio | Levered Beta | Cost of Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | 0.9239 | $8.07 \%$ |
| $10 \%$ | $11.11 \%$ | 0.9895 | $8.45 \%$ |
| $20 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | 1.0715 | $8.92 \%$ |
| $30 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ | 1.1770 | $9.53 \%$ |
| $40 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | 1.3175 | $10.34 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | 1.5143 | $11.48 \%$ |
| $60 \%$ | $150.00 \%$ | 1.8095 | $13.18 \%$ |
| $70 \%$ | $233.33 \%$ | 2.3016 | $16.01 \%$ |
| $80 \%$ | $400.00 \%$ | 3.2856 | $21.68 \%$ |
| $90 \%$ | $900.00 \%$ | 6.2376 | $38.69 \%$ |

Levered Beta $=0.9239(1+(1-.361)(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{E}))$
Cost of equity $=2.75 \%+$ Levered beta * 5.76\%

## Estimating Cost of Debt

Start with the market value of the firm $==121,878+\$ 15,961=\$ 137,839$ million
$D /(D+E) \quad 0.00 \% \quad 10.00 \%$ Debt to capital
$D / E \quad 0.00 \% \quad 11.11 \% \quad D / E=10 / 90=.1111$
\$ Debt
$\$ 0 \quad \$ 13,784 \quad 10 \%$ of $\$ 137,839$

| EBITDA | $\$ 12,517$ | $\$ 12,517$ | Same as 0\% debt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Depreciation | $\$ 2,485$ | $\$ 2,485$ | Same as 0\% debt |
| EBIT | $\$ 10,032$ | $\$ 10,032$ | Same as 0\% debt |
| Interest | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 434$ | Pre-tax cost of debt * \$ Debt |


| Pre-tax Int. cov | $\infty$ | 23.10 | EBIT/ Interest Expenses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Likely Rating | AAA | AAA | From Ratings table |
| Pre-tax cost of debt $3.15 \%$ | $3.15 \%$ | Riskless Rate + Spread |  |

## The Ratings Table

| Interest coverage ratio is | Rating is | Spread is | Interest rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $>8.50$ | Aaa/AAA | $0.40 \%$ | $3.15 \%$ |
| $6.5-8.5$ | $\mathrm{Aa} / \mathrm{AA}$ | $0.70 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ |
| $5.5-6.5$ | $\mathrm{~A} 1 / \mathrm{A}+$ | $0.85 \%$ | $3.60 \%$ |
| $4.25-5.5$ | $\mathrm{~A} 2 / \mathrm{A}$ | $1.00 \%$ | $3.75 \%$ |
| $3-4.25$ | $\mathrm{~A} 3 / \mathrm{A}-$ | $1.30 \%$ | $4.05 \%$ |
| $2.5-3$ | Baa2/BBB | $2.00 \%$ | $4.75 \%$ |
| $2.25-2.5$ | $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{BB}+$ | $3.00 \%$ | $5.75 \%$ |
| $2-2.25$ | $\mathrm{Ba} 2 / \mathrm{BB}$ | $4.00 \%$ | $6.75 \%$ |
| $1.75-2$ | $\mathrm{~B} 1 / \mathrm{B}+$ | $5.50 \%$ | $8.25 \%$ |
| $1.5-1.75$ | $\mathrm{~B} 2 / \mathrm{B}$ | $6.50 \%$ | $9.25 \%$ |
| $1.25-1.5$ | $\mathrm{~B} 3 / \mathrm{B}-$ | $7.25 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ |
| $0.8-1.25$ | $\mathrm{Caa} / \mathrm{CCC}$ | $8.75 \%$ | $11.50 \%$ |
| $0.65-0.8$ | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{CC}$ | $9.50 \%$ | $12.25 \%$ |
| $0.2-0.65$ | $\mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C}$ | $10.50 \%$ | $13.25 \%$ |
| $<0.2$ | $\mathrm{D} 2 / \mathrm{D}$ | $12.00 \%$ | $14.75 \%$ |

## A Test: Can you do the 30\% level?

|  |  | Iteration 1 <br> (Debt @AAA rate) | Iteration 2 <br> (Debt @ AA rate) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D /(D+E)$ | $20.00 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ |
| $D / E$ | $25.00 \%$ |  |  |
| $\$$ Debt | $\$ 27,568$ |  |  |
| EBITDA | $\$ 12,517$ |  |  |
| Depreciation | $\$ 2,485$ |  |  |
| EBIT | $\$ 10,032$ |  |  |
| Interest expense | $\$ 868$ |  |  |
| Interest coverage ratio | 11.55 |  |  |
| Likely rating | AAA |  |  |
| Pretax cost of debt | $3.15 \%$ |  |  |

## Bond Ratings, Cost of Debt and Debt Ratios

| Debt <br> Ratio | \$ Debt | Interest <br> Expense | Interest Coverage Ratio | Bond Rating | Pre-tax cost of debt | Tax rate | After-tax cost of debt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0\% | \$0 | \$0 | $\infty$ | Aaa/AAA | 3.15\% | 36.10\% | 2.01\% |
| 10\% | \$13,784 | \$434 | 23.10 | Aaa/AAA | 3.15\% | 36.10\% | 2.01\% |
| 20\% | \$27,568 | \$868 | 11.55 | Aaa/AAA | 3.15\% | 36.10\% | 2.01\% |
| 30\% | \$41,352 | \$1,427 | 7.03 | Aa2/AA | 3.45\% | 36.10\% | 2.20\% |
| 40\% | \$55,136 | \$2,068 | 4.85 | A2/A | 3.75\% | 36.10\% | 2.40\% |
| 50\% | \$68,919 | \$6,892 | 1.46 | B3/B- | 10.00\% | 36.10\% | 6.39\% |
| 60\% | \$82,703 | \$9,511 | 1.05 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{CCC}$ | 11.50\% | 36.10\% | 7.35\% |
| 70\% | \$96,487 | \$11,096 | 0.90 | $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{CCC}$ | 11.50\% | 32.64\% | 7.75\% |
| 80\% | \$110,271 | \$13,508 | 0.74 | Ca2/CC | 12.25\% | 26.81\% | 8.97\% |
| 90\% | \$124,055 | \$16,437 | 0.61 | C2/C | 13.25\% | 22.03\% | 10.33\% |

## Stated versus Effective Tax Rates

$\square$ You need taxable income for interest to provide a tax savings. Note that the EBIT at Disney is $\$ 10,032$ million. As long as interest expenses are less than $\$ 10,032$ million, interest expenses remain fully tax-deductible and earn the 36.1\% tax benefit. At an 60\% debt ratio, the interest expenses are $\$ 9,511$ million and the tax benefit is therefore $36.1 \%$ of this amount.
$\square$ At a 70\% debt ratio, however, the interest expenses balloon to $\$ 11,096$ million, which is greater than the EBIT of \$10,032 million. We consider the tax benefit on the interest expenses up to this amount:

- Maximum Tax Benefit = EBIT * Marginal Tax Rate = \$10,032 million * 0.361 $=\$ 3,622$ million
- Adjusted Marginal Tax Rate = Maximum Tax Benefit/Interest Expenses = $\$ 3,622 / \$ 11,096=32.64 \%$


## Disney's cost of capital schedule...

| Debt Ratio | Beta | Cost of Equity | Cost of Debt (after- <br> tax) | WACC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | 0.9239 | $8.07 \%$ | $2.01 \%$ | $8.07 \%$ |
| $10 \%$ | 0.9895 | $8.45 \%$ | $2.01 \%$ | $7.81 \%$ |
| $20 \%$ | 1.0715 | $8.92 \%$ | $2.01 \%$ | $7.54 \%$ |
| $30 \%$ | 1.1770 | $9.53 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $7.33 \%$ |
| $40 \%$ | 1.3175 | $10.34 \%$ | $2.40 \%$ | $7.16 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ | 1.5143 | $11.48 \%$ | $6.39 \%$ | $8.93 \%$ |
| $60 \%$ | 1.8095 | $13.18 \%$ | $7.35 \%$ | $9.68 \%$ |
| $70 \%$ | 2.3762 | $16.44 \%$ | $7.75 \%$ | $10.35 \%$ |
| $80 \%$ | 3.6289 | $23.66 \%$ | $8.97 \%$ | $11.90 \%$ |
| $90 \%$ | 7.4074 | $45.43 \%$ | $10.33 \%$ | $13.84 \%$ |

## Disney: Cost of Capital Chart

Figure 8.3: Costs of Equity, Debt and Capital: Disney
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## Disney: Cost of Capital Chart: 1997



Note the kink in the cost of capital graph at $60 \%$ debt.
What is
causing it?

## The cost of capital approach suggests that Disney should do the following...

$\square$ Disney currently has $\$ 15.96$ billion in debt. The optimal dollar debt (at 40\%) is roughly $\$ 55.1$ billion. Disney has excess debt capacity of 39.14 billion.
$\square$ To move to its optimal and gain the increase in value, Disney should borrow \$ 39.14 billion and buy back stock.
$\square$ Given the magnitude of this decision, you should expect to answer three questions:

- Why should we do it?
- What if something goes wrong?
- What if we don't want (or cannot ) buy back stock and want to make investments with the additional debt capacity?


## Why should we do it? Effect on Firm Value - Full Valuation

Step 1: Estimate the cash flows to Disney as a firm
$\operatorname{EBIT}(1-$ Tax Rate $)=10,032(1-0.361)=\quad \$ 6,410$

+ Depreciation and amortization $=\quad \$ 2,485$
- Capital expenditures = \$5,239
- Change in noncash working capital \$0

Free cash flow to the firm = \$3,657
$\square$ Step 2: Back out the implied growth rate in the current market value
Current enterprise value = \$121,878 + 15,961-3,931 = 133,908
Value of firm $=\$ 133,908=\frac{\mathrm{FCFF}_{0}(1+\mathrm{g})}{(\text { Cost of Capital }-\mathrm{g})}=\frac{3,657(1+\mathrm{g})}{(.0781-\mathrm{g})}$
Growth rate $=($ Firm Value $*$ Cost of Capital - CF to Firm) $/($ Firm Value + CF to Firm $)$

$$
=(133,908 * 0.0781-3,657) /(133,908+3,657)=0.0494 \text { or } 4.94 \%
$$

■Step 3: Revalue the firm with the new cost of capital
םFirm value $=\frac{\mathrm{FCFF}_{0}(1+\mathrm{g})}{(\text { Cost of Capital }-\mathrm{g})}=\frac{3,657(1.0494)}{(.0716-0.0484)}=\$ 172,935$ million
alncrease in firm value $=\$ 172,935-\$ 133,908=\$ 39,027$ million

## Effect on Value: Incremental approach

$\square$ In this approach, we start with the current market value and isolate the effect of changing the capital structure on the cash flow and the resulting value.

Enterprise Value before the change $=\mathbf{\$ 1 3 3 , 9 0 8}$ million
Cost of financing Disney at existing debt ratio $=\$ 133,908 * 0.0781=\$ 10,458$ million
Cost of financing Disney at optimal debt ratio $=\$ 133,908 * 0.0716=\$ 9,592$ million
Annual savings in cost of financing $=\$ 10,458$ million $-\$ 9,592$ million $=\$ 866$ million
Increase in Value $=\frac{\text { Annual Savings next year }}{(\text { Cost of Capital }-\mathrm{g})}=\frac{\$ 866}{(0.0716-0.0275)}=\$ 19,623$ million

Enterprise value after recapitalization
$=$ Existing enterprise value + PV of Savings $=\$ 133,908+\$ 19,623=\$ 153,531$ million

## From firm value to value per share: The Rational Investor Solution

$\square$ Because the increase in value accrues entirely to stockholders, we can estimate the increase in value per share by dividing by the total number of shares outstanding ( 1,800 million).

- Increase in Value per Share = \$19,623/1800=\$10.90
- New Stock Price $=\$ 67.71+\$ 10.90=\$ 78.61$
$\square$ Implicit in this computation is the assumption that the increase in firm value will be spread evenly across both the stockholders who sell their stock back to the firm and those who do not and that is why we term this the "rational" solution, since it leaves investors indifferent between selling back their shares and holding on to them.


## The more general solution, given a buyback price

$\square$ Start with the buyback price and compute the number of shares outstanding after the buyback:

- Increase in Debt = Debt at optimal - Current Debt
- \# Shares after buyback = \# Shares before - $\frac{\text { Increase in Debt }}{\text { Share Price }}$
- Then compute the equity value after the recapitalization, starting with the enterprise value at the optimal, adding back cash and subtracting out the debt at the optimal:
- Equity value after buyback = Optimal Enterprise value + Cash - Debt
$\square$ Divide the equity value after the buyback by the postbuyback number of shares.
- Value per share after buyback = Equity value after buyback/ Number of shares after buyback


## Let's try a price: What if can buy shares back at the old price (\$67.71)?

$\square$ Start with the buyback price and compute the number of shares outstanding after the buyback

- Debt issued = \$ 55,136-\$15,961 = \$39,175 million
- \# Shares after buyback = 1800-\$39,175/\$67.71=1221.43 m
$\square$ Then compute the equity value after the recapitalization, starting with the enterprise value at the optimal, adding back cash and subtracting out the debt at the optimal:
- Optimal Enterprise Value = \$153,531
- Equity value after buyback $=\$ 153,531+\$ 3,931-\$ 55,136=$ \$102,326
$\square$ Divide the equity value after the buyback by the postbuyback number of shares.
$\square$ Value per share after buyback $=\$ 102,326 / 1221.43=\$ 83.78$


## Back to the rational price (\$78.61): Here is the proof

$\square$ Start with the buyback price and compute the number of shares outstanding after the buyback

- \# Shares after buyback = 1800-\$39,175/\$78.61 = 1301.65 m
$\square$ Then compute the equity value after the recapitalization, starting with the enterprise value at the optimal, adding back cash and subtracting out the debt at the optimal:
- Optimal Enterprise Value = \$153,531
- Equity value after buyback $=\$ 153,531+\$ 3,931-\$ 55,136=$ \$102,326
$\square$ Divide the equity value after the buyback by the postbuyback number of shares.
- Value per share after buyback = \$102,326/1301.65 = \$78.61


## 2. What if something goes wrong? The Downside Risk

$\square$ Sensitivity to Assumptions

## A. "What if" analysis

The optimal debt ratio is a function of our inputs on operating income, tax rates and macro variables. We could focus on one or two key variables operating income is an obvious choice - and look at history for guidance on volatility in that number and ask what if questions.
B. "Economic Scenario" Approach

We can develop possible scenarios, based upon macro variables, and examine the optimal debt ratio under each one. For instance, we could look at the optimal debt ratio for a cyclical firm under a boom economy, a regular economy and an economy in recession.
$\square$ Constraint on Bond Ratings/ Book Debt Ratios
Alternatively, we can put constraints on the optimal debt ratio to reduce exposure to downside risk. Thus, we could require the firm to have a minimum rating, at the optimal debt ratio or to have a book debt ratio that is less than a "specified" value.

## Disney's Operating Income: History

| Year | EBIT | \% Change <br> in EBIT | Year | EBIT | \% Change <br> in EBIT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1987 | $\$ 756$ |  | 2001 | $\$ 2,832$ | $12.16 \%$ |
| 1988 | $\$ 848$ | $12.17 \%$ | 2002 | $\$ 2,384$ | $-15.82 \%$ |
| 1989 | $\$ 1,177$ | $38.80 \%$ | 2003 | $\$ 2,713$ | $13.80 \%$ |
| 1990 | $\$ 1,368$ | $16.23 \%$ | 2004 | $\$ 4,048$ | $49.21 \%$ |
| 1991 | $\$ 1,124$ | $-17.84 \%$ | 2005 | $\$ 4,107$ | $1.46 \%$ |
| 1992 | $\$ 1,287$ | $14.50 \%$ | 2006 | $\$ 5,355$ | $30.39 \%$ |
| 1993 | $\$ 1,560$ | $21.21 \%$ | 2007 | $\$ 6,829$ | $27.53 \%$ |
| 1994 | $\$ 1,804$ | $15.64 \%$ | 2008 | $\$ 7,404$ | $8.42 \%$ |
| 1995 | $\$ 2,262$ | $25.39 \%$ | 2009 | $\$ 5,697$ | $-23.06 \%$ |
| 1996 | $\$ 3,024$ | $33.69 \%$ | 2010 | $\$ 6,726$ | $18.06 \%$ |
| 1997 | $\$ 3,945$ | $30.46 \%$ | 2011 | $\$ 7,781$ | $15.69 \%$ |
| 1998 | $\$ 3,843$ | $-2.59 \%$ | 2012 | $\$ 8,863$ | $13.91 \%$ |
| 1999 | $\$ 3,580$ | $-6.84 \%$ | 2013 | $\$ 9,450$ | $6.62 \%$ |
| 2000 | $\$ 2,525$ | $-29.47 \%$ |  |  | Recession Dec |

Standard deviation in \%
change in EBIT $=19.17 \%$

Aswath Damodaran

Recession Decline in Operating Income

2009
2002
1991
1981-82
Worst Year

Drop of $23.06 \%$
Drop of $15.82 \%$
Drop of $22.00 \%$
Increased by $12 \%$
Drop of $29.47 \% \quad 62$

## Disney: Safety Buffers?

| EBIT drops by | EBIT | Optimal Debt ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | $\$ 10,032$ | $40 \%$ |
| $10 \%$ | $\$ 9,029$ | $40 \%$ |
| $20 \%$ | $\$ 8,025$ | $40 \%$ |
| $30 \%$ | $\$ 7,022$ | $40 \%$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\$ 6,019$ | $30 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ | $\$ 5,016$ | $30 \%$ |
| $60 \%$ | $\$ 4,013$ | $20 \%$ |

## Constraints on Ratings

- Management often specifies a 'desired rating' below which they do not want to fall.
- The rating constraint is driven by three factors
- it is one way of protecting against downside risk in operating income (so do not do both)
- a drop in ratings might affect operating income
- there is an ego factor associated with high ratings
$\square$ Caveat: Every rating constraint has a cost.
- The cost of a rating constraint is the difference between the unconstrained value and the value of the firm with the constraint.
- Managers need to be made aware of the costs of the constraints they impose.


## Ratings Constraints for Disney

$\square$ At its optimal debt ratio of 40\%, Disney has an estimated rating of $A$.

- If managers insisted on a AA rating, the optimal debt ratio for Disney is then $30 \%$ and the cost of the ratings constraint is fairly small:
Cost of AA Rating Constraint = Value at 40\% Debt - Value at 30\% Debt $=\$ 153,531 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 147,835 \mathrm{~m}=\$ 5,696$ million
$\square$ If managers insisted on a AAA rating, the optimal debt ratio would drop to $20 \%$ and the cost of the ratings constraint would rise:
Cost of AAA rating constraint = Value at 40\% Debt - Value at 20\% Debt $=\$ 153,531 \mathrm{~m}-\$ 141,406 \mathrm{~m}=\$ 12,125$ million


## 3. What if you do not buy back stock..

$\square$ The optimal debt ratio is ultimately a function of the underlying riskiness of the business in which you operate and your tax rate.
$\square$ Will the optimal be different if you invested in projects instead of buying back stock?

- No. As long as the projects financed are in the same business mix that the company has always been in and your tax rate does not change significantly.
- Yes, if the projects are in entirely different types of businesses or if the tax rate is significantly different.


## Extension to a family group company: Tata Motor's Optimal Capital Structure

| Debt <br> Ratio | Beta | Cost of <br> Equity | Bond <br> Rating | Interest rate <br> on debt | Tax Rate | Cost of Debt <br> (after-tax) | WACC | Enterprise <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | 0.8601 | $12.76 \%$ | Aaa/AAA | $9.22 \%$ | $32.45 \%$ | $6.23 \%$ | $12.76 \%$ | $1,286,997 ₹$ |
| $10 \%$ | 0.9247 | $13.22 \%$ | Aa2/AA | $9.52 \%$ | $32.45 \%$ | $6.43 \%$ | $12.54 \%$ | $1,333,263 ₹$ |
| $20 \%$ | 1.0054 | $13.80 \%$ | A3/A- | $10.12 \%$ | $32.45 \%$ | $6.84 \%$ | $12.41 \%$ | $1,363,774 ₹$ |
| $30 \%$ | 1.1092 | $14.55 \%$ | B2/B | $15.32 \%$ | $32.45 \%$ | $10.35 \%$ | $13.29 \%$ | $1,185,172 ₹$ |
| $40 \%$ | 1.2475 | $15.54 \%$ | Caa/CCC | $17.57 \%$ | $32.45 \%$ | $11.87 \%$ | $14.07 \%$ | $1,061,143 ₹$ |
| $50 \%$ | 1.4412 | $16.93 \%$ | Ca2/CC | $18.32 \%$ | $32.45 \%$ | $12.38 \%$ | $14.65 \%$ | $984,693 ₹$ |
| $60 \%$ | 1.7610 | $19.23 \%$ | Ca2/CC | $18.32 \%$ | $30.18 \%$ | $12.79 \%$ | $15.37 \%$ | $904,764 ₹$ |
| $70 \%$ | 2.3749 | $23.65 \%$ | C2/C | $19.32 \%$ | $24.53 \%$ | $14.58 \%$ | $17.30 \%$ | $741,800 ₹$ |
| $80 \%$ | 3.5624 | $32.19 \%$ | C2/C | $19.32 \%$ | $21.46 \%$ | $15.17 \%$ | $18.58 \%$ | $663,028 ₹$ |
| $90 \%$ | 7.1247 | $57.81 \%$ | C2/C | $19.32 \%$ | $19.08 \%$ | $15.63 \%$ | $19.85 \%$ | $599,379 ₹$ |

Tata Motors looks like it is over levered ( $29 \%$ actual versus $20 \%$ optimal), perhaps because it is drawing on the debt capacity of other companies in the Tata Group.

## Extension to a firm with volatile earnings: Vale's Optimal Debt Ratio

| Debt <br> Ratio | Beta | Cost of <br> Equity | Bond Rating | Interest rate <br> on debt | Tax Rate | Cost of Debt <br> (after-tax) | WACC | Enterprise <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | 0.8440 | $8.97 \%$ | Aaa/AAA | $5.15 \%$ | $34.00 \%$ | $3.40 \%$ | $8.97 \%$ | $\$ 98,306$ |
| $10 \%$ | 0.9059 | $9.43 \%$ | Aaa/AAA | $5.15 \%$ | $34.00 \%$ | $3.40 \%$ | $8.83 \%$ | $\$ 100,680$ |
| $20 \%$ | 0.9833 | $10.00 \%$ | Aaa/AAA | $5.15 \%$ | $34.00 \%$ | $3.40 \%$ | $8.68 \%$ | $\$ 103,171$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 4 \%}$ | A1/A+ | $\mathbf{5 . 6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 4 , 1 8 3}$ |
| $40 \%$ | 1.2154 | $11.71 \%$ | A3/A- | $6.05 \%$ | $34.00 \%$ | $3.99 \%$ | $8.63 \%$ | $\$ 104,152$ |
| $50 \%$ | 1.4011 | $13.08 \%$ | B1/B+ | $10.25 \%$ | $34.00 \%$ | $6.77 \%$ | $9.92 \%$ | $\$ 85,298$ |
| $60 \%$ | 1.6796 | $15.14 \%$ | B3/B- | $12.00 \%$ | $34.00 \%$ | $7.92 \%$ | $10.81 \%$ | $\$ 75,951$ |
| $70 \%$ | 2.1438 | $18.56 \%$ | B3/B- | $12.00 \%$ | $34.00 \%$ | $7.92 \%$ | $11.11 \%$ | $\$ 73,178$ |
| $80 \%$ | 3.0722 | $25.41 \%$ | Ca2/CC | $14.25 \%$ | $34.00 \%$ | $9.41 \%$ | $12.61 \%$ | $\$ 62,090$ |
| $90 \%$ | 5.8574 | $45.95 \%$ | Ca2/CC | $14.25 \%$ | $34.00 \%$ | $9.41 \%$ | $13.06 \%$ | $\$ 59,356$ |


|  | Last 12 months | -1 | -2 | -3 | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | $\$ 48,469$ | $\$ 48,058$ | $\$ 61,123$ | $\$ 47,343$ | $\$ 51,248$ |
| EBITDA | $\$ 19,861$ | $\$ 17,662$ | $\$ 34,183$ | $\$ 26,299$ | $\$ 24,501$ |
| EBIT | $\$ 15,487$ | $\$ 13,346$ | $\$ 30,206$ | $\$ 23,033$ | $\$ 20,518$ |
| Pre-tax operating margin | $31.95 \%$ | $27.77 \%$ | $49.42 \%$ | $48.65 \%$ | $39.45 \%$ |

Replacing Vale's current operating income with the average over the last three years pushes up the optimal to $50 \%$.

## Optimal Debt Ratio for a young, growth firm: Baidu

| Debt <br> Ratio | Beta | Cost of <br> Equity | Bond <br> Rating | Interest <br> rate on <br> debt | Tax <br> Rate | Cost of <br> Debt <br> (after-tax) | WACC | Enterprise <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | 1.3021 | $12.54 \%$ | Aaa/AAA | $4.70 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $3.53 \%$ | $12.54 \%$ | $\$ 337,694$ |
| $10 \%$ | 1.4106 | $13.29 \%$ | A3/A- | $5.60 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $4.20 \%$ | $12.38 \%$ | $\$ 343,623$ |
| $20 \%$ | 1.5463 | $14.23 \%$ | Ca2/CC | $13.80 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $10.35 \%$ | $13.45 \%$ | $\$ 306,548$ |
| $30 \%$ | 1.7632 | $15.74 \%$ | Caa/CCC | $14.80 \%$ | $17.38 \%$ | $12.23 \%$ | $14.68 \%$ | $\$ 272,853$ |
| $40 \%$ | 2.0675 | $17.85 \%$ | D2/D | $16.30 \%$ | $11.83 \%$ | $14.37 \%$ | $16.46 \%$ | $\$ 235,510$ |
| $50 \%$ | 2.4810 | $20.72 \%$ | D2/D | $16.30 \%$ | $9.47 \%$ | $14.76 \%$ | $17.74 \%$ | $\$ 214,337$ |
| $60 \%$ | 3.1012 | $25.02 \%$ | D2/D | $16.30 \%$ | $7.89 \%$ | $15.01 \%$ | $19.02 \%$ | $\$ 196,657$ |
| $70 \%$ | 4.1350 | $32.20 \%$ | D2/D | $16.30 \%$ | $6.76 \%$ | $15.20 \%$ | $20.30 \%$ | $\$ 181,672$ |
| $80 \%$ | 6.2024 | $46.54 \%$ | D2/D | $16.30 \%$ | $5.92 \%$ | $15.34 \%$ | $21.58 \%$ | $\$ 168,808$ |
| $90 \%$ | 12.4049 | $89.59 \%$ | D2/D | $16.30 \%$ | $5.26 \%$ | $15.44 \%$ | $22.86 \%$ | $\$ 157,646$ |

The optimal debt ratio for Baidu is between 0 and $10 \%$, close to its current debt ratio of $5.23 \%$, and much lower than the optimal debt ratios computed for Disney, Vale and Tata Motors.

## Extension to a private business Optimal Debt Ratio for Bookscape

Debt value of leases $=\$ 12,136$ million (only debt)
Estimated market value of equity $=$ Net Income * Average PE for Publicly Traded Book
Retailers $=1.575 * 20=\$ 31.5$ million
Debt ratio $=12,136 /(12,136+31,500)=27.81 \%$

| Debt <br> Ratio | Total <br> Beta | Cost of <br> Equity | Bond <br> Rating | Interest rate <br> on debt | Tax Rate | Cost of Debt <br> (after-tax) | WACC | Enterprise <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | 1.3632 | $10.25 \%$ | Aaa/AAA | $3.15 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $1.89 \%$ | $10.25 \%$ | $\$ 37,387$ |
| $10 \%$ | 1.4540 | $10.75 \%$ | Aaa/AAA | $3.15 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $1.89 \%$ | $9.86 \%$ | $\$ 39,416$ |
| $20 \%$ | 1.5676 | $11.37 \%$ | A1/A+ | $3.60 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $2.16 \%$ | $9.53 \%$ | $\$ 41,345$ |
| $30 \%$ | 1.7137 | $12.18 \%$ | A3/A- | $4.05 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $2.43 \%$ | $9.25 \%$ | $\$ 43,112$ |
| $40 \%$ | 1.9084 | $13.25 \%$ | Caa/CCC | $11.50 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $6.90 \%$ | $10.71 \%$ | $\$ 35,224$ |
| $50 \%$ | 2.2089 | $14.90 \%$ | Ca2/CC | $12.25 \%$ | $37.96 \%$ | $7.60 \%$ | $11.25 \%$ | $\$ 32,979$ |
| $60 \%$ | 2.8099 | $18.20 \%$ | C2/C | $13.25 \%$ | $29.25 \%$ | $9.37 \%$ | $12.91 \%$ | $\$ 27,598$ |
| $70 \%$ | 3.7466 | $23.36 \%$ | C2/C | $13.25 \%$ | $25.07 \%$ | $9.93 \%$ | $13.96 \%$ | $\$ 25,012$ |
| $80 \%$ | 5.6198 | $33.66 \%$ | C2/C | $13.25 \%$ | $21.93 \%$ | $10.34 \%$ | $15.01 \%$ | $\$ 22,869$ |
| $90 \%$ | 11.4829 | $65.91 \%$ | D2/D | $14.75 \%$ | $17.51 \%$ | $12.17 \%$ | $17.54 \%$ | $\$ 18,952$ |

The firm value is maximized (and the cost of capital is minimized) at a debt ratio of $30 \%$. At its existing debt ratio of $27.81 \%$, Bookscape is at its optimal.

## The US Tax Reform Act of 2017: Effects on the Optimal Debt Ratio

- Change in marginal tax rate: The marginal federal tax rate for US companies on US income has been lowered from $35 \%$ to $20 \%$. Holding all else constant, that will lower the optimal debt ratio for all firms.
$\square$ Limits on interest tax deduction: Companies can deduct interest expenses only up to $30 \%$ of EBITDA (until 2022) and $30 \%$ of EBIT (after 2022). That will add a constraint to the tax savings from debt. In the cost of capital calculation, it will show up in the tax rate that you use to compute your aftertax cost of debt, lowering the tax rate from the marginal if interest expenses> 30\% of EBITDA:
Tax rate if Interest Expense> 30\% of EBITDA
$=$ Marginal Tax rate * (.30*EBITDA)/ Interest Expense


## Effect on tax code on Debt Impact: Disney in 2018



[^0]
## Limitations of the Cost of Capital approach

$\square$ It is static: The most critical number in the entire analysis is the operating income. If that changes, the optimal debt ratio will change.
$\square$ It ignores indirect bankruptcy costs: The operating income is assumed to stay fixed as the debt ratio and the rating changes.
$\square$ Beta and Ratings: It is based upon rigid assumptions of how market risk and default risk get borne as the firm borrows more money and the resulting costs.


[^0]:    Aswath Damodaran

