# **Background Subtraction**

Birgi Tamersoy

The University of Texas at Austin

September 29<sup>th</sup>, 2009

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# **Background Subtraction**

Given an image (mostly likely to be a video frame), we want to identify the foreground objects in that image!



 $\Rightarrow$ 



#### Motivation

- ▶ In most cases, objects are of interest, not the scene.
- Makes our life easier: less processing costs, and less room for error.

# Widely Used!

- Traffic monitoring (counting vehicles, detecting & tracking vehicles),
- ▶ Human action recognition (run, walk, jump, squat, ...),
- Human-computer interaction ("human interface"),
- Object tracking (watched tennis lately?!?),
- And in many other cool applications of computer vision such as digital forensics.



http://www.crime-scene-investigator.net/ DigitalRecording.html

### Requirements

- A reliable and robust background subtraction algorithm should handle:
  - Sudden or gradual illumination changes,
  - High frequency, repetitive motion in the background (such as tree leaves, flags, waves, ...), and

Long-term scene changes (a car is parked for a month).

# Simple Approach



- 1. Estimate the background for time t.
- 2. Subtract the estimated background from the input frame.
- 3. Apply a threshold, *Th*, to the absolute difference to get the **foreground mask**.

But, how can we estimate the background?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Frame Differencing

Background is estimated to be the previous frame.
 Background subtraction equation then becomes:

$$B(x, y, t) = I(x, y, t-1) \ 
onumber \ U(x, y, t) - I(x, y, t-1)| > Th$$

Depending on the object structure, speed, frame rate and global threshold, this approach may or may **not** be useful (usually **not**).





## Frame Differencing

Th = 25



$$Th = 100$$











◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Mean Filter

In this case the background is the mean of the previous n frames:

$$B(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I(x, y, t-i)$$

$$||I(x, y, t) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I(x, y, t-i)| > Th$$

Estimated Background

Foreground Mask





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Mean Filter

For n = 20:

Estimated Background



▶ For *n* = 50: Estimated Background



#### Foreground Mask



#### Foreground Mask



# Median Filter

Assuming that the background is more likely to appear in a scene, we can use the median of the previous *n* frames as the background model:

Estimated Background

Foreground Mask





# Median Filter

▶ For *n* = 20:

Estimated Background



▶ For *n* = 50: Estimated Background



#### Foreground Mask



#### Foreground Mask



# Advantages vs. Shortcomings

Advantages:

- Extremely easy to implement and use!
- All pretty fast.
- Corresponding background models are **not** constant, they change over time.

Disadvantages:

- Accuracy of frame differencing depends on object speed and frame rate!
- Mean and median background models have relatively high memory requirements.
  - In case of the mean background model, this can be handled by a running average:

$$B(x, y, t) = \frac{t-1}{t}B(x, y, t-1) + \frac{1}{t}I(x, y, t)$$
  
or more generally:  
$$B(x, y, t) = (1 - \alpha)B(x, y, t-1) + \alpha I(x, y, t)$$
  
where  $\alpha$  is the learning rate.

# Advantages vs. Shortcomings

### Disadvantages:

> There is **another** major problem with these simple approaches:

$$|I(x, y, t) - B(x, y, t)| > Th$$

- 1. There is one global threshold, Th, for all pixels in the image.
- 2. And even a bigger problem:

#### this threshold is not a function of t.

- So, these approaches will not give good results in the following conditions:
  - if the background is bimodal,
  - if the scene contains many, slowly moving objects (mean & median),
  - if the objects are fast and frame rate is slow (frame differencing),
  - and if general lighting conditions in the scene change with time!

"The Paper" on Background Subtraction

### Adaptive Background Mixture Models for Real-Time Tracking

### Chris Stauffer & W.E.L. Grimson

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Motivation

 A robust background subtraction algorithm should handle: lighting changes, repetitive motions from clutter and long-term scene changes.



Stauffer & Grimson

A Quick Reminder: Normal (Gaussian) Distribution

Univariate:

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu,\sigma^2) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-rac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Multivariate:

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} rac{1}{|\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} e^{-rac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)}$$



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Normal\_distribution

# Algorithm Overview

- The values of a particular pixel is modeled as a mixture of adaptive Gaussians.
  - Why mixture? Multiple surfaces appear in a pixel.
  - Why adaptive? Lighting conditions change.
- At each iteration Gaussians are evaluated using a simple heuristic to determine which ones are mostly likely to correspond to the background.
- Pixels that do not match with the "background Gaussians" are classified as foreground.
- Foreground pixels are grouped using 2D connected component analysis.

### Online Mixture Model

At any time t, what is known about a particular pixel, (x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>), is its history:

$$\{X_1,\ldots,X_t\} = \{I(x_0,y_0,i): 1 \le i \le t\}$$

This history is modeled by a mixture of K Gaussian distributions:

$$P(X_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \omega_{i,t} * \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mu_{i,t}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i,t})$$
  
where  
$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mu_{it}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i,t}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{\Sigma}_{i,t}|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{X}_t - \mu_{i,t})^T \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i,t}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_t - \mu_{i,t})}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

What is the dimensionality of the Gaussian?

### **Online Mixture Model**

If we assume gray scale images and set K = 5, history of a pixel will be something like this:



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

### Model Adaptation

- An on-line K-means approximation is used to update the Gaussians.
- ▶ If a new pixel value,  $X_{t+1}$ , can be matched to one of the existing Gaussians (within 2.5 $\sigma$ ), that Gaussian's  $\mu_{i,t+1}$  and  $\sigma_{i,t+1}^2$  are updated as follows:

$$\mu_{i,t+1} = (1-\rho)\mu_{i,t} + \rho X_{t+1}$$
  
and  
$$\sigma_{i,t+1}^2 = (1-\rho)\sigma_{i,t}^2 + \rho (X_{t+1} - \mu_{i,t+1})^2$$

where  $\rho = \alpha \mathcal{N}(X_{t+1}|\mu_{i,t}, \sigma_{i,t}^2)$  and  $\alpha$  is a learning rate.

Prior weights of all Gaussians are adjusted as follows:

$$\omega_{i,t+1} = (1-\alpha)\omega_{i,t} + \alpha(M_{i,t+1})$$

where  $M_{i,t+1} = 1$  for the matching Gaussian and  $M_{i,t+1} = 0$  for all the others.

### Model Adaptation

- ► If X<sub>t+1</sub> do not match to any of the K existing Gaussians, the least probably distribution is replaced with a new one.
  - Warning!!! "Least probably" in the  $\omega/\sigma$  sense (will be explained).
  - ▶ New distribution has µ<sub>t+1</sub> = X<sub>t+1</sub>, a high variance and a low prior weight.

## **Background Model Estimation**

- Heuristic: the Gaussians with the most supporting evidence and least variance should correspond to the background (Why?).
- The Gaussians are ordered by the value of ω/σ (high support & less variance will give a high value).
- Then simply the first B distributions are chosen as the background model:

$$B = \operatorname{argmin}_{b}(\sum_{i=1}^{b} \omega_{i} > T)$$

where T is minimum portion of the image which is expected to be background.

### **Background Model Estimation**



After background model estimation red distributions become the background model and black distributions are considered to be foreground.

# Advantages vs. Shortcomings

### Advantages:

- ► A different "threshold" is selected for each pixel.
- ► These pixel-wise "thresholds" are adapting by time.
- Objects are allowed to become part of the background without destroying the existing background model.
- Provides fast recovery.

### Disadvantages:

- Cannot deal with sudden, drastic lighting changes!
- Initializing the Gaussians is important (median filtering).
- There are relatively many parameters, and they should be selected intelligently.

### Does it get more complicated?

Chen & Aggarwal: The likelihood of a pixel being covered or uncovered is decided by the relative coordinates of optical flow vector vertices in its neighborhood.



- Oliver et al.: "Eigenbackgrounds" and its variations.
- Seki et al.: Image variations at neighboring image blocks have strong correlation.



Example: A Simple & Effective Background Subtraction Approach

Adaptive Background Mixture Model (Stauffer & Grimson) 3D Connected Component Analysis (3<sup>rd</sup> dimension: *time*)

3D connected component analysis incorporates both spatial and temporal information to the background model (by Goo et al.)!

## Video Examples

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへぐ

# Summary

- Simple background subtraction approaches such as frame differencing, mean and median filtering, are pretty fast.
  - However, their global, constant thresholds make them insufficient for challenging real-world problems.
- Adaptive background mixture model approach can handle challenging situations: such as bimodal backgrounds, long-term scene changes and repetitive motions in the clutter.
- Adaptive background mixture model can further be improved by incorporating temporal information, or using some regional background subtraction approaches in conjunction with it.