Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate and C-reactive Protein Measurements and Their Relevance in Clinical Medicine

Christopher Bray, MD, PhD; Lauren N. Bell, PhD; Hong Liang, PhD; Rasha Haykal, MD; Farah Kaiksow, MD; Joseph J. Mazza, MD; Steven H. Yale, MD

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) are widely used laboratory markers of systemic inflammation.

Objective: A thorough understanding of the similarities and differences between these two serological markers, including factors that affect measurements, is necessary for the proper utilization and interpretation of ESR and CRP.

Methods: This review summarizes the current published literature (searched on MEDLINE through February 2016) surrounding the history and utilization of ESR and CRP, and examines factors that affect ESR and CRP measurements and discordance amongst these two inflammatory markers.

Results: As ESR and CRP lack sensitivity or specificity, these tests should be used only in combination with clinical history and physical exam for diagnosis and monitoring of pathological conditions. The clinical application of these tests in diagnosis is best applied to conditions in which there is high or low clinical probability of disease. Importantly, discrepancies between ESR and CRP measurements commonly have been reported in both inpatient and outpatient settings and this problem may be particularly prevalent in chronic inflammatory diseases. Numerous physiological factors, including noninfectious conditions and resolution of inflammation can contribute to abnormally high ESR/low CRP readings or vice versa.

Conclusions: Although discordance may be encountered in certain settings, proper utilization of ESR and CRP measurements continues to play an important role in clinical management of many inflammatory and other conditions.

BACKGROUND

The erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) are two commonly ordered laboratory tests that may

• • •

Author Affiliations: Internal Medicine Residency Program, North Florida Regional Medical Center, Gainesville, Fla (Bray, Bell, Liang, Haykal, Yale); Internal Medicine Residency Program, Tulane University, New Orleans, La (Kaiksow); Department of Clinical Research, Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation, Marshfield, Wis (Mazza).

Corresponding Author: Steven H. Yale, MD, Program Director, Internal Medicine Residency Program, Medical Arts Building 101B, 6500 Newberry Road, Gainesville, FL 32614; phone 352.313.4109; fax 352.333.4800; e-mail steven.yale@hcahealthcare.com.

aid clinicians in accurately diagnosing and following many complex disease states. Although these tests have a low index of specificity and are influenced by numerous disease factors, they may provide the clinician with valuable information and additional focus when used in conjunction with other clinical and diagnostic data. ESR and CRP may be particularly important as a component of the rapid yet complex decision making that is required in individuals with multiple comorbidities and in the intensive care unit.

DISCUSSION

Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate

The ESR measures the rate at which erythrocytes fall or settle in the plasma of a randomly drawn anticoagulated blood specimen over a specified period of time (usually 60 minutes) in millimeters (mm)/ hour; however, newer methods involving centrifugation can generate results in approximately 5 minutes.^{1,2} This phenomenon was first observed in 1897 by Dr

Edmund Faustyn Biernacki, who found that the rate at which blood settled varied among individuals and that red blood cells (RBCs) settled more quickly in the presence of increased levels of fibrinogen.³ In 1918, Dr Robert Fahraeus noted that ESR differed in pregnant versus nonpregnant women and saw the test as a possible indicator of pregnancy.³ In 1921, Dr Alf Vilhelm Albertsson Westergren used ESR as a laboratory indicator of the prognosis of patients with pulmonary tuberculosis.⁴ Dr Westergren defined the measurement standards for the ESR test that still are used widely today, including utilization of sodium citrate as an anticoagulant.

The ESR can be confounded by many factors, leaving this widely used test vulnerable to misinterpretation in clinical practice.^{5,6} Aggregation of erythrocytes promotes falling and increases the ESR; however, RBCs are negatively charged and tend to repel

Conditions Associated With a Mild Rise in CRP	Conditions Associated With a Major Rise in CRP	Conditions Associated With a Mild Rise in ESR	Conditions Associated With a Major Rise in ESR
Viral infections	Active inflammation	 Increasing age 	Malignancy
Late pregnancy	 Severe bacterial infection 	Female gender	 Temporal arteritis
	Burns	Pregnancy	 Renal disease
Mucosal Infections		• Anemia	Collagen vascular diseases
Periodontitis		 Red blood cell abnormalities 	
Stomatitis		(including macrocytosis)	
Sinusitis			
Baginitis		Technical factors:	
 Intestinal hyperpermeability 		 Dilutional problem 	
Bacterial translocation		 Increased temperature of specimen 	
		Tilted ESR tube	
Noninfectious Causes of Mild Inflammatio	n		
Obesity		Elevated fibrinogen level:	
Insulin resistance		 Inflammation 	
Pancreatitis		Infection	
Smoking		 Malignancy 	
• Uremia		Diabetes	
Cardiac ischemia		Renal disease	
 Oral hormone replacement therapy 		Heart disease	
Sleep disturbance		 Collagen vascular diseases 	
Chronic fatigue			
 Mild alcohol consumption 			
Depression			
Increasing age			

one another. Thus, the presence of positively charged, large, asymmetric acute phase proteins such as fibrinogen and immunoglobulins increases the ESR. The rate of erythrocyte settlement can be influenced by a wide variety of immune and nonimmune factors, including alterations of the quality and quantity of the RBCs, as well as changes in the normal patterns and amounts of various plasma proteins. Anemia and polycythemia (primary and secondary) represent quantitative changes in erythrocytes in various clinical conditions and will increase and decrease the ESR, respectively. Similarly, hemoglobinopathies and conditions associated with altered erythrocytes such as sickle cell disease have a low sedimentation rate during sickle crises that increases in the presence of moderate to severe infections.^{7,8} Significant alterations in the array of plasma proteins and their ratio to one another also can have a major effect on the ESR, such as with cytokine-induced elevations in acute-phase proteins in response to infection, inflammation, or trauma. As a result of various factors-both cellular and noncellular-that affect the sedimentation rate, it is difficult to determine a "normal" or reference range. However, normal ESR commonly is defined in men as age in years divided by 2 and for women, age in years plus 10.9 The ESR is thus higher in women, particularly during menses and pregnancy.

Alterations in circulating levels of plasma proteins such as fibrinogen and immunoglobulins that are typically associated with systemic illnesses are known to influence ESR. These individual proteins may provide useful information with respect to the specific disease process causing an elevated ESR.¹⁰ Due to the long half-life of some plasma proteins and perhaps a longer amplified response time, the ESR does not change rapidly at the beginning of the inflammatory process and normalizes more slowly than that of other acute phase reactants, an important point to consider when applying the results to clinical practice. As discussed later in this paper, the characteristics of these temporal changes may account for discrepancies identified between ESR and other acute phase reactants.

C-reactive Protein

CRP was discovered by Tillet and Francis in 1930 in patients with pneumococcal pneumonia, where it was found to interact with the C-polysaccharide of streptococcus pneumoniae cell wall, hence the term C-reactive protein.¹¹ Originally CRP was measured qualitatively using the Quelling reaction, which involved precipitation of C-polysaccharide in serum and gave a simple "positive" or "negative" response.¹² However, more precise methods of measurement (often expressed in mg/dl) that give results in approximately 15 to 30 minutes have been developed using light scattering from CRP-specific antibody aggregates. High-sensitivity assays also are commonly used to quantitate low levels of CRP.

CRP is a nonspecific acute phase reactant that is a member of the pentraxin proteins, which are pattern recognition proteins that are an integral part of the innate immune system. CRP is produced and synthesized in the liver in response to inflammatory cytokines and assists in complement binding and phagocytosis by macrophages. Thus, one of the major roles of CRP is the recognition and elimination of certain foreign pathogens, including endotoxemia.¹³ CRP also may help with clearance of necrotic or apoptotic cells.14 More generally, CRP is one of the many acute phase reactants that is elaborated in response to inflammation and/or tissue injury, and its rise is commensurate with inflammatory mediators (cytokines) produced by cells actively participating in the milieu of tissue injury such as IL-6, IL-1, TGF- β , and TNF- α .^{15,16} The level of CRP tends to be proportional to the intensity of the inflammatory process, and levels of this marker are therefore sensitive to subtle changes in the acute-phase response.15,17,18 Accordingly, levels of CRP fall quickly because of its short half-life (4 to 7 hours) once inflammation subsides.

When Is It Appropriate to Use ESR and CRP in Clinical Practice?

Clinical application of ESR and CRP—The acute phase reactants ESR and CRP are used clinically for diagnosis and monitoring of inflammatory conditions such as infections, trauma, infarction, neoplasm, inflammatory arthritis, and systemic autoimmune disease (Table 1). However, because ESR and CRP lack sensitivity or specificity, they should not be used exclusively for diagnosis. Furthermore, a normal result should not necessarily dissuade one from a clinical diagnosis. For example, elevations in ESR may be diagnostic of temporal arteritis or polymyalgia rheumatica, although patients diagnosed with these conditions sometimes exhibit low or normal ESR.^{19,20}

ESR and CRP levels also may provide insight into the underlying disease process. Elevations in ESR reflect disease states that involve increased plasma protein/fibrinogen levels such as autoimmune conditions or cardiovascular disease. Increased levels of CRP generally are reflective of underlying inflammation, such as that resulting from trauma or infection. In contrast, deceptively low CRP levels may be found in patients with infections caused by low virulence organisms or in those treated with antibiotics. In the case of functional disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome, chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia, and somatic symptom disorders, a normal ESR and CRP may help to distinguish these conditions from organic pathology.²¹

Although ESR and CRP have been utilized in combination to diagnose and monitor various conditions for many years, CRP is somewhat preferred as a serological marker for acute disease.22 In acute inflammatory conditions, CRP can rise as much as 50 to 100 mg/L within 4 to 6 hours of a mild to moderately noxious stimulus, such as an uncomplicated skin infection, cystitis, or bronchitis. CRP levels double every 8 hours and peak 36 to 50 hours after the onset of inflammation or injury.¹² Mild increases in CRP between 2 mg/L and 10 mg/L are considered to be metabolic inflammation. Conversely, markedly elevated levels of CRP (>100-500 mg/L) are strongly associated with bacterial infections.¹⁵ The ESR, in contrast, begins to rise within 24 to 48 hours of the onset of inflammation, decreases slowly as inflammation resolves, and can take weeks to completely normalize.12,23 Importantly, investigation of underlying etiology should be carried out in patients with ESR values greater than 100 mm/hour as the positive predictive value for an identifiable cause of marked ESR elevation is 90%.24 As CRP values tend to drop quickly with treatment, ESR has been proposed to be a better marker for clinical monitoring, following the course of disease over time, and predicting treatment response/duration for temporal arteritis, polymyalgia rheumatica, rheumatoid arthritis, and Hodgkin's disease.²⁵ CRP may be used to follow the course of disease and to monitor treatment effectiveness for bacterial infections, rheumatoid arthritis, malignancies, and acute pancreatitis. Similarly, serial measurement of CRP may be beneficial for predicting the occurrence of postoperative and neonatal sepsis.^{26,27} ESR and CRP levels at admission also can be useful in predicting severity of soft-tissue infections and thus prolonged hospitalization or a poor response to treatment.

Utilization of ESR and CRP for Medical Decision Making

in Clinical Practice-As ESR and CRP lack sensitivity or specificity, for a patient with indeterminate clinical suspicion of disease, an ESR and/or CRP measurement does not alter disease probability sufficiently to change medical decision making and course of treatment. However, for a patient with low clinical suspicion of disease, a low ESR and/or CRP measurement may decrease the posttest probability to an even greater extent, giving the physician additional confidence in ruling out disease. A high ESR and/ or CRP measurement in patients with low clinical suspicion of disease may prompt the physician to examine test conditions for errors and/or monitor ESR/CRP values over time. On the other hand, for a patient with high clinical suspicion of disease, a high ESR and/or CRP measurement may increase posttest probability to aid with identification of a definitive diagnosis, whereas a low ESR and/or CRP measurement does not change the clinician's disease suspicion enough to alter the course of treatment. A highly generalized bedside clinical approach for use of ESR and/or CRP tests based on these principles is summarized in the Figure.

Based on Bayes' rule and the generalized bedside clinical approach described above and in the Figure, an ESR/CRP test result can be used to aid medical decision making based on pre-

Figure. Utilization of ESR and/or CPR Tests to Aid in Clinical Decision Making





test versus posttest disease probability. For example, in a study by Hopstaken et al, the pretest probability (prevalence) of pneumonia among patients with lower respiratory tract infections was 13%. At an ESR cut-point of \geq 10 mm/hour, the sensitivity was 97% and specificity 28% for diagnosing pneumonia.²⁸ Applying Bayes' rule, the posttest probability of pneumonia in a patient with an ESR measurement <10 mm/hour dropped to 1.6% while the posttest probability of pneumonia in a patient with an ESR measurement \geq 10 mm/hour increased to 16.8%.

In another example based on a study by Falk et al, the pretest probability (prevalence) of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) was 14.6%. At a CRP cut-point of ≤ 20 mg/L, the positive likelihood ratio was 2.1 and negative likelihood ratio 0.33, with a pretest probability of a patient having CAP of 14.6%.²⁹ Applying Bayes' rule in a patient with a CRP measurement ≤ 20 mg/L, the posttest probability of CAP dropped to 5.3%, while the posttest probability of having CAP with a CRP measurement ≥ 20 mg/L increased to 26.5%.

How Should Discordant ESR and CRP Measurements Be Managed?

ESR, CRP, and other positive acute phase reactants generally rise in tandem with inflammation. However, this is not seen uniformly among all patients. In chronic inflammatory conditions, the accuracy and sensitivity of ESR and CRP has been a topic of debate. For example, in infections or malignant neoplasms, ESR has been found to have low sensitivity but high specificity. Similarly, CRP is synthesized in the liver, and hepatic failure has been reported to markedly impair CRP production in the setting of overwhelming sepsis.³⁰

Previous studies have reported up to a 12% discordance rate (approximately 1 in 8 patients) between ESR and CRP values in hospitalized patients.^{16,31} Examples of conditions in which a high ESR/low CRP or high CRP/low ESR may be observed are summarized in Table 2. This frequent discordance between ESR and CRP may be attributable to various factors, including differences in cytokine stimulation, inherent differences in normalization, or false positive/false negative characteristics of individual acute phase reactants. In addition, it is important to consider age, gender, and adiposity, as ESR increases with age in healthy subjects, high ESR/low CRP discordance tends to be observed in women (likely due to their propensity to develop connective tissue disorders), and CRP levels generally increase in overweight and obese individuals.^{5,32}

Although correlation coefficients between ESR and CRP measurements may be statistically significant across cohorts,^{22,31} many patients exhibit conflicting results. Discordant values seen in various disease states may be caused by (1) resolution of recent inflammation, (2) presence of increased globulins such as in IgG4-related disease, Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia and multiple myeloma (normal-mild-moderate CRP/elevated ESR), (3) medical conditions interfering with CRP such as connective tissue disease and stroke (low-normal CRP/elevated ESR), or (4) lack of sensitivity of ESR in the setting of known inflammation as in mucosal infections, myocardial infraction, venothromboembolism, renal disease, and disturbances in serum albumin (elevated CRP/low-normal ESR). For example, in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), ESR is often elevated-sometimes markedly-while the CRP response shows a less robust elevation, possibly related to interferon suppression of CRP production. In patients with giant cell arteritis, taking statin and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory medications was associated with a lower ESR.33 Discordance of ESR and CRP in patients with rheumatoid arthritis has been

attributed to differences in inflammatory response timing, with a rapid rise in CRP coupled to a slower increase in ESR.³⁴

CONCLUSION

ESR and CRP can aide the physician in the diagnostic algorithm and clinical monitoring of various infectious or inflammatory conditions. Because of the limitations of ESR and CRP described above, these tests are more useful in confirming a provider's clinical suspicion for an inflammatory or infectious process rather than generating a specific diagnosis or initiating a protocol-driven treatment plan. Clinicians should be aware of the limitations of these tests and the conditions that may account for discordant results and utilize them within the clinical context in which they are obtained.

Funding/Support: None declared.

Disclosure: Christopher Bray, Lauren N. Bell, Hong Liang, and Steven H. Yale are employees of North Florida Regional Medical Center/Hospital Corporation of America.

REFERENCES

1. Batlivala SP. Focus on diagnosis: the erythrocyte sedimentation rate and the C-reactive protein test. *Pediatr Rev.* 2009;30(2):72-74.

2. Janson L, Tischler M. The Big Picture: Medical Biochemistry. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 2012.

3. Grzybowski A, Sak JJ. Who discovered the erythrocyte sedimentation rate? J *Rheumatol.* 2011;38(7):1521-1522; author reply 1523.

4. Westergren A. The technique of the red cell sedimentation rate. *Am Rev Tuber.* 1926;14:94-101.

5. Colombet I, Pouchot J, Kronz V, et al. Agreement between erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein in hospital practice. *Am J Med*. 2010;123(9):863.e7-13.

6. Jurado RL. Why shouldn't we determine the erythrocyte sedimentation rate? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2001;33(4):548-549.

7. Olshaker JS, Jerrard DA. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate. J Emerg Med. 1997;15(6):869-874.

8. Ahmed YF, Abbag FI, Al-Qahtani JM, Ghazali BM, Abolfotouh MA. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate during steady state, painful crisis and infection in children with sickle cell disease. *Saudi Med J.* 2000;21(5):461-463.

9. Miller A, Green M, Robinson D. Simple rule for calculating normal erythrocyte sedimentation rate. *Br Med J* (Clin Res Ed). 1983;286(6361):266.

10. Gabay C, Kushner I. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340(6):448-454.

11. Tillett WS, Francis T Jr. Serological Reactions in Pneumonia with a Non-Protein Somatic Fraction of Pneumococcus. *J Exp Med.* 1930;52(4):561-571.

12. Litao MK, Kamat D. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein: how best to use them in clinical practice. *Pediatr Ann.* 2014;43(10):417-420.

13. Xia D, Samols D. Transgenic mice expressing rabbit C-reactive protein are resistant to endotoxemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1997;94(6):2575-2580.

14. Gershov D, Kim S, Brot N, Elkon KB. C-Reactive protein binds to apoptotic cells, protects the cells from assembly of the terminal complement components, and sustains an antiinflammatory innate immune response: implications for systemic autoimmunity. *J Exp Med.* 2000;192(9):1353-1364.

15. Markanday A. Acute Phase Reactants in Infections: Evidence-Based Review and a Guide for Clinicians. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2015;2(3):ofv098.

16. Costenbader KH, Chibnik LB, Schur PH. Discordance between erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein measurements: clinical significance. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2007;25(5):746-749.

17. Dowton SB, Colten HR. Acute phase reactants in inflammation and infection. *Semin Hematol.* 1988;25(2):84-90.

18. Pepys MB, Hirschfield GM. C-reactive protein: a critical update. *J Clin Invest.* 2003;111(12):1805-1812.

19. Helfgott SM, Kieval RI. Polymyalgia rheumatica in patients with a normal erythrocyte sedimentation rate. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1996;39(2):304-307.

Martinez-Taboada VM, Blanco R, Armona J, et al. Giant cell arteritis with an erythrocyte sedimentation rate lower than 50. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2000;19(1):73-75.
 Katz PR, Gutman SI, Richman G, Karuza J, Bartholomew WR, Baum J. Erythrocyte

sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein compared in the elderly. *Clin Chem.* 1989;35(3):466-468.

22. Keenan RT, Swearingen CJ, Yazici Y. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein levels are poorly correlated with clinical measures of disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus and osteoarthritis patients. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2008;26(5):814-819.

23. Shusterman N, Kimmel PL, Kiechle FL, Williams S, Morrison G, Singer I. Factors influencing erythrocyte sedimentation in patients with chronic renal failure. *Arch Intern Med.* 1985;145(10):1796-1799.

24. Fincher RM, Page MI. Clinical significance of extreme elevation of the erythrocyte sedimentation rate. *Arch Intern Med.* 1986;146(8):1581-1583.

25. Brigden ML. Clinical utility of the erythrocyte sedimentation rate. *Am Fam Physician*. 1999;60(5):1443-1450.

26. Benitz WE, Han MY, Madan A, Ramachandra P. Serial serum C-reactive protein levels in the diagnosis of neonatal infection. *Pediatrics*. 1998;102(4):E41.

27. Mustard RA, Jr., Bohnen JM, Haseeb S, Kasina R. C-reactive protein levels predict postoperative septic complications. *Arch Surg.* 1987;122(1):69-73.

28. Hopstaken RM, Muris JW, Knottnerus JA, Kester AD, Rinkens PE, Dinant GJ. Contributions of symptoms, signs, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and C-reactive protein to a diagnosis of pneumonia in acute lower respiratory tract infection. *Br J Gen Pract.* 2003;53(490):358-364.

29. Falk G, Fahey T. C-reactive protein and community-acquired pneumonia in ambulatory care: systematic review of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Fam Pract.* 2009;26(1):10-21.

30. Silvestre JP, Coelho LM, Póvoa PM. Impact of fulminant hepatic failure in C-reactive protein? *J Crit Care*. 2010;25(4):657.e7-12.

31. Feldman M, Aziz B, Kang GN, Opondo MA, Belz RK, Sellers C. C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate discordance: frequency and causes in adults. *Transl Res.* 2013;161(1):37-43.

32. Visser M, Bouter LM, McQuillan GM, Wener MH, Harris TB. Elevated C-reactive protein levels in overweight and obese adults. *JAMA*. 1999;282(22):2131-2135.

33. Hegg R, Lee AG, Tagg NT, Zimmerman MB. Statin or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use is associated with lower erythrocyte sedimentation rate in patients with giant cell arteritis. *J Neuroophthalmol.* 2011;31(2):135-138.

34. Wolfe F. Comparative usefulness of C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol.* 1997;24(8):1477-1485.

advancing the art & science of medicine in the midwest



The mission of *WMJ* is to provide a vehicle for professional communication and continuing education for Midwest physicians and other health professionals.

WMJ (ISSN 1098-1861) is published by the Wisconsin Medical Society and is devoted to the interests of the medical profession and health care in the Midwest. The managing editor is responsible for overseeing the production, business operation and contents of the *WMJ*. The editorial board, chaired by the medical editor, solicits and peer reviews all scientific articles; it does not screen public health, socioeconomic, or organizational articles. Although letters to the editor are reviewed by the medical editor, all signed expressions of opinion belong to the author(s) for which neither *WMJ* nor the Wisconsin Medical Society take responsibility. *WMJ* is indexed in Index Medicus, Hospital Literature Index, and Cambridge Scientific Abstracts.

For reprints of this article, contact the WMJ at 866.442.3800 or e-mail wmj@wismed.org.

© 2016 Wisconsin Medical Society