

**MEMORANDUM**

October 10, 2007

TO: School Board Members

FROM: Abelardo Saavedra, Ph.D.  
Superintendent of SchoolsSUBJECT: **FINAL 2007 TEA ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM RATINGS**

CONTACT: Carla Stevens, 713-556-6700

Every year, the state allows districts to appeal ratings from the Texas Education Agency (TEA) accountability system that were made based on an error attributable to TEA or their guidelines. For 2007, appeals were made on behalf of four schools, and three were granted. The following table indicates how these results change the district's summary results.

**Table 1: Number of Schools by Rating Category**

Rating	2006	2007	2007
	Final	Preliminary	Final
Exemplary	15	15	15
Recognized	64	68	69
Academically Acceptable	159	168	169
Academically Unacceptable	32	15	13
AEA: Academically Acceptable	9	7	7
AEA: Academically Unacceptable	1	2	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>275</b>

Kashmere Gardens Elementary School was raised from Academically Acceptable to Recognized. Lee High School and Scarborough High School were changed from Academically Unacceptable to Academically Acceptable.

The complete 2007 TEA Accountability System Final Report, revised to reflect these changes, is attached for your reference.



AS

## Attachments

c: Superintendent's Direct Reports  
Executive Principals  
Principals

# RESEARCH

**TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY  
ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM  
HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT  
2007 FINAL RESULTS  
OCTOBER 2007**



Findings	2
Final 2007 TEA Accountability System Results Matrix	8
School Ratings by Region	9
Schools Not Rated	18
Previous TEA Accountability System Results Matrices	19



## **HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT**

### **Board of Education**

---

**Manuel Rodríguez, Jr., PRESIDENT**

**Diana Dávila**

**Harvin C. Moore, FIRST VICE PRESIDENT**

**Kevin H. Hoffman**

**Greg Meyers, SECOND VICE PRESIDENT**

**Diane Johnson**

**Arthur M. Gaines, Jr., SECRETARY**

**Lawrence Marshall**

**Natasha M. Kamrani, ASSISTANT SECRETARY**

**Abelardo Saavedra, Ph.D.**  
**SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS**

**Carla Stevens**  
**ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT**  
**DEPARTMENT OF RESEARCH AND ACCOUNTABILITY**

**Dora Tamez, Renmin Ye**  
**Applications Specialists**

**Susan L. Walden, Ph.D.**  
**Research Specialist**

**Luellen Bledsoe, Michael Thomas**  
**Managers**

**TEA ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS  
2006–07 SCHOOL YEAR  
October 2007**

**Introduction**

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) Accountability System is a method of evaluating school districts and schools with regard to their performance on certain student indicators, and of assigning an accountability rating based on that evaluation. The TEA Accountability System is based on an improvement model in which districts and campuses must meet either an absolute standard or an improvement standard for each accountability measure. The four possible classifications for districts and individual schools are Exemplary, Recognized, Academically Acceptable, or Academically Unacceptable.

The student performance indicators used to determine if absolute standards are met consist of current year Spring Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) and State-Developed Alternative Assessment II (SDAA II) passing rates and dropout or completion rates from the previous year. These indicators provide 36 possible performance variables that are employed to assess each campus and the district as a whole. The performance of all students and of the student groups of African American, Hispanic, White, and Economically Disadvantaged are employed in the process of assigning accountability ratings. These ratings are based on the lowest performance of any of the 36 possible measures. For schools and districts that exhibit Academically Acceptable and Academically Unacceptable performance on any of the yearly standards, it is possible to achieve the next higher level of accountability by meeting the required improvement component of the system. The TEA Accountability System Research Brief, available from the Houston Independent School District Department of Research and Accountability, describes the accountability model for the 2006–2007 school year.

From 1993 to 2002, the state’s accountability system rated schools based on the results from the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) and the annual dropout rate for grades 7–12. In 2004 with the change in the state criterion-referenced test to the TAKS, the TEA accountability system was significantly redesigned. The 2007 TEA Accountability System represents the fourth year of this revised system. **Table 1** presents the key facets of the TEA Accountability System for 2007. For comparison of the previous model to the redesigned model in 2004, see the TEA Accountability Ratings 2003–04 School Year Final Report (HISD, 2004).

**Table 1: Key Facets of the TEA Accountability: 2007**

Component	2007
Standard Rating Labels	Exemplary Recognized Academically Acceptable Academically Unacceptable
Evaluation of Assessment Subjects	All TAKS subjects tested (Reading/English Language Arts, Mathematics, Writing, Social Studies, and Science) for all students and each student group (8 <sup>th</sup> grade science to be included in 2008)

**Table 1: Key Facets of the TEA Accountability: 2007 (cont)**

Component	2007
Base Indicators for Determining Rating	TAKS percent Met Standard SDAA percent Met ARD Expectations Completion Rate (for grades 9-12 Completers based on graduates and continuing students as a percent of the total class starting with the ninth-grade cohort four years earlier ) Annual Dropout Rate (grades 7-8 only)
Accountability Subgroup of Students	All students tested on the campus who were enrolled in the <u>campus</u> as of the PEIMS fall enrollment date
Student Groups	All Students, African American, Hispanic, White, and Economically Disadvantaged
Standards for Base Indicators (Assessment Standards for TAKS and SDAA II)	Exemplary (All Subjects $\geq 90$ ) Recognized (TAKS $< 90\%$ and $\geq 75\%$ ; SDAA II $< 90.0\%$ and $\geq 70\%$ ) Academically Acceptable ( $< 75\%$ and $\geq 65\%$ R/ELA, W, SS, $\geq 50\%$ SDAA, $\geq 45\%$ Math, and $\geq 40\%$ Science) Academically Unacceptable ( $< 65\%$ R/ELA, W, SS, $< 50\%$ SDAA, $< 45\%$ Math, and $< 40\%$ Science)
Standards for Base Indicators (Dropout/Completion Rate)	Exemplary (Dropout Rate $\leq 0.2\%$ or Completion Rate $\geq 95\%$ ) Recognized (Dropout Rate $> 0.2$ and $\leq 0.7\%$ or Completion Rate $< 95\%$ and $\geq 85\%$ ) Academically Acceptable (Dropout Rate $> 0.7\%$ and $\leq 1.0\%$ or Completion Rate $< 85\%$ and $\geq 75\%$ ) Academically Unacceptable (Dropout rate $> 1.0\%$ or Completion Rate $< 75\%$ )
Number of Performance Measures Used	The larger and more diverse the campus or district, the more measures apply - up to 36
Improvement Feature	Higher rating possible for Academically Acceptable and Academically Unacceptable schools by meeting Required Improvement standards
Exceptions	Academically Acceptable rating possible by using exceptions. The number of possible exceptions is based on the number of assessment measures.

Modifications made from the 2006 accountability system to 2007 include Recognized standard was raised to 75% for TAKS for all subject areas. The Academically Acceptable passing rates for reading/English language arts, writing, and social studies were increased from 60% to 65%; the passing rate for math was increased from 40% to 45%; and the passing rate for science was increased from 35% to 40%. For 2007 only, a School Leaver Provision will be added to the system, such that the leaver indicators (Dropout and Completion rate) cannot be the cause for a lowered campus or district rating. This was due to a change in dropout definitions to adopt the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) definition. **Performance of students displaced by Hurricane Katrina and/or Rita who are enrolled in Texas school districts in 2006–2007 will be included in the indicators used for district and campus 2007 accountability ratings.**

## Findings

The revised results from the TEA 2007 Accountability Rating System analysis of the Houston Independent School District's (HISD) indicators are presented. Comparison tables provide a summary of the TEA ratings for HISD schools for 14 years, 1993 through 2002, 2004 through 2007; the 2007 TEA ratings of HISD schools

by academic level; and a summary of the 2007 TEA ratings by regions. Also provided is a table of schools that were rated Academically Unacceptable and the reasons for that rating.

**This report supersedes the TEA Accountability Ratings 2006–2007 Report produced in August 2007. This revision reflects the results of appeals submitted to the state regarding the ratings of several schools.** Specifically, appeals were submitted and granted by TEA for the schools listed in **Table 2**. An appeal was submitted for Sam Houston High School but was denied by TEA.

<b>School</b>	<b>Initial Rating (appealed)</b>	<b>Rating After Appeal</b>
Kashmere Gardens ES	Academically Acceptable	Recognized
Lee High School	Academically Unacceptable	Academically Acceptable
Scarborough High School	Academically Unacceptable	Academically Acceptable

Tables detailing school-level results are provided. These tables are organized by region and provide both the 2006 and the 2007 TEA ratings together with the indicators and the relevant student groups, which determined each school’s 2007 TEA rating. A listing of schools not rated for the 2006–2007 school year is included in this report.

### **District-Level Rating**

TEA rated HISD as Academically Acceptable for 2007. The district is assigned this rating because it has campuses that are rated Academically Unacceptable. Additionally, HISD is rated Academically Acceptable because of the performance of all students and African American, Hispanic, and Economically Disadvantage students on the TAKS math and science tests, and completion rates. **Tables 3** and **4** show the count of district schools by rating categories. As the accountability system was significantly redesigned in 2004, the ratings are shown separately for the two systems.

<b>Rating</b>	<b>1993</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>1995</b>	<b>1996</b>	<b>1997</b>	<b>1998</b>	<b>1999</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>
Exemplary	0	4	7	15	25	36	12	11	35	63
Recognized	4	8	26	60	50	62	23	76	89	106
Acceptable	186	220	174	162	169	158	218	166	136	81
Low-performing	55	6	35	9	10	8	18	17	2	21
<b>Total</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>271</b>

**Table 4: 2004–2007 TEA Ratings of HISD Schools (TAKS, SDAA, Dropout/Completion)**

Rating	2004	2005	2006	2007
Exemplary	9	6	15	15
Recognized	69	29	64	69
Academically Acceptable	185	204	159	169
Academically Unacceptable	14	31	32	13
AEA: Academically Acceptable		8	9	7
AEA: Academically Unacceptable		3	1	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>275</b>

- In 2002, at the end of the previous TEA Accountability System that was based on TAAS passing rates and dropout rates, HISD had 63 Exemplary schools and 106 Recognized schools.
- When the original TEA Accountability System was first implemented in 1993, HISD had no schools receiving Exemplary status, only four schools rated as Recognized, and 55 rated as Low-Performing. Under the new TEA Accountability System, HISD had 9 Exemplary schools, 69 Recognized schools, and 14 Academically Unacceptable schools. These results indicated that HISD had a more academically sound baseline under the new system than under the original Accountability System.
- From 2006 to 2007, the state raised the acceptable standards on the accountability system. The number of Exemplary schools remained consistent with 15, and the number of Recognized schools increased from 64 to 69, while the number of Academically Unacceptable schools decreased from 32 to 13.
- The majority of HISD campuses, 169, were rated as Academically Acceptable schools under the TEA Standard Accountability System.
- There were nine alternative schools that were rated in 2007 under the Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) system; seven of which were rated as AEA Academically Acceptable and two were rated as AEA Academically Unacceptable.

**Table 5** presents the 2007 TEA ratings by campus level.

**Table 5: 2007 TEA Standard Accountability Ratings of HISD Schools by Level**

Rating	Elementary	Middle	High	Total
Exemplary	11	1	3	15
Recognized	54	12	3	69
Academically Acceptable	114	31	24	169
Academically Unacceptable	7	3	3	13
<b>Total</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>266</b>

- Approximately 96% of the elementary schools were rated Academically Acceptable or better. The percentage of elementary schools that were rated Exemplary or Recognized under the 2007 TEA Accountability System was 35%.
- For HISD middle schools, 94% received an Academically Acceptable rating or better. Thirteen HISD middle schools, or 28%, were rated as Exemplary or Recognized in 2007.
- For HISD high schools, 91% received an Academically Acceptable rating or better. Three HISD high schools were rated as Exemplary, and three were rated as Recognized in 2007.

### HISD Regions

- The number of schools rated in each region varied greatly. The range was from 15 schools from the Alternative\Charter Office to 64 from the West Region (see **Table 6**).
- All six regions had at least one school attain an Exemplary rating. The Central and West Regions had the highest number with five schools each receiving an Exemplary rating.
- Each region had at least one school rated as Academically Unacceptable while the West Region had the most schools performing at the Academically Unacceptable level of the standard accountability, with four.

**Table 6: 2007 TEA Accountability Ratings by Region (2006–2007 Configuration)**

Region	Number Rated	Exemplary	Recognized	Academically Acceptable	Academically Unacceptable
Central	56	5	15	35	1
East	42*	1	9	30	2
North	59	1	20	35	3
South	38	2	5	29	2
West	64*	5	17	38	4
Alternative	15*	1	3	8	3
Other	1	0	0	1	0

\*Includes schools rated under the Alternative Education Accountability System.

### Exemplary Schools

- As a result of the application of the TEA Standard Accountability System, 15 schools, Bush, Condit, Cornelius, Horn, Kolter, Lyons, Oak Forest, River Oaks, Roberts, T.H. Rogers, and West University elementary schools, Energized for Excellence Middle School, and Carnegie Vanguard, DeBakey High School, and East Early College High School were rated as Exemplary for the 2006–07 school year (see **Table 7**).



- Carnegie Vanguard High School has received the Exemplary rating for two consecutive years. Three elementary schools have been rated as Exemplary for three consecutive years: Lyons, River Oaks, and T.H. Rogers. West University Elementary School and DeBakey High School for Health Professions have received the Exemplary rating for four consecutive years.

**Table 7: HISD Schools Receiving a TEA Accountability Rating of Exemplary, 2004–2007**

Year	Elementary				Middle	High
2004	Cage	Kolter	Roberts	West Univ.	Project Chrysalis	DeBakey
	Highland Heights	Osborne	Twain			
2005	Lyons	River Oaks	T.H. Rogers	West Univ.	T.H. Rogers	DeBakey
2006	Bush	Kolter	Pugh	T.H. Rogers	None	DeBakey
	Condit	Lyons	River Oaks	Sugar Grove		Carnegie
	Cornelius	Pilgrim	Roberts	West Univ.		
	Kennedy					
2007	Bush	Horn	Oak Forest	T.H. Rogers	Energized	DeBakey
	Condit	Kolter	River Oaks	West Univ.		Carnegie
	Cornelius	Lyons	Roberts			East Early

### Academically Unacceptable Schools

- As a result of the application of the TEA Standard Accountability System, 13 schools were rated as Academically Unacceptable for the 2006–2007 school year after the state granted appeals (see **Table 8**).
- Based on a duplicated count, seven schools were rated Academically Unacceptable because of student group performance on the math TAKS, five received the same rating due to student group performance on the science TAKS, five schools received the same rating due to student group performance in reading, four were rated Academically Unacceptable due to student group performance on the writing TAKS, and one received the same rating due to student group performance on the social studies TAKS.
- Seven schools received the Academically Unacceptable rating due to student performance on one TAKS subject, three schools received the same rating due to performance on two TAKS subjects, two school received the same rating due to students performance on three TAKS subtests, and one additional school received an Academically Unacceptable rating due to TAKS scores on four subjects tested.
- No schools were rated as Academically Unacceptable for completion or dropout indicators due to the 2007 School Leaver Provision described on page two.
- There were an additional two alternative schools Contemporary Learning Center (CLC) Middle School and Houston Night High School rated as Academically Unacceptable under the Alternative Education Accountability System.

- Four schools were rated as Academically Unacceptable for at least two consecutive years, Petersen and E.O. Smith elementary schools, and Ryan Middle School. Sam Houston High School was rated Academically Unacceptable for the fifth consecutive year.

**Table 8: HISD Schools Rated as Academically Unacceptable by the 2007 TEA Accountability System by Reason**

School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Completion	Dropout
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science			
	Standard Accountability System									
Dogan ES	A	U	T,H,E				T			
Elrod ES	A	U	H		H		H,E			
McNamara ES	A	U	T,A,E		T,H,E					
Petersen ES**	U	U					A			
Pleasant Hill ES	A	U	T	T	T					
Rucker ES	A	U			T,H,E					
Smith, E.O. ES**	U	U					T,A,E			
Dowling MS	A	U		T,A,E						
Las Americas MS	NR	U	T	T	T	T				
Ryan MS**	U	U		T,A,E						
Furr HS	A	U		A			T,A,H,E			
Houston HS***	U	U		A						
Sharpstown HS	A	U		A						
<b>Alternative Education Accountability System</b>										
CLC MS	A	U			H					
Houston Night	A	U			T					

\* Legend for Accountability Student Groups

T = All Students (Total)

A = African American

H = Hispanic

W = White

E = Economically Disadvantaged

Legend for TEA Accountability Ratings

E= Exemplary

A= Academically Acceptable

R= Recognized

U= Academically Unacceptable

\*\*Academically Unacceptable for 2 consecutive years

\*\*\*Academically Unacceptable for 5 consecutive years

# FINAL TEA 2007 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

## HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**FINAL PERFORMANCE RATING  
(2007 SPRING TAKS and SDAAs)**

<b>Exemplary</b> 15, 5%	Bush ES Condit ES Cornelius ES	Horn ES Kolter ES Lyons ES	Oak Forest ES River Oaks ES Roberts ES	Rogers, T.H. ES West University ES	Energized MS	Carnegie Vanguard DeBakey HS East Early College	
<b>Recognized</b> 69, 25%	Alcott ES Allen ES Askew ES Banneker/McNair Barrick ES Bell ES Blackshear ES Braeburn ES Briargrove ES Browning ES	Burbank ES Burnet ES Burrus ES Cage ES Carrillo ES Codwell ES Crawford ES De Zavala ES Energized ES Frost ES	Harvard ES Henderson, J.P. ES Herrera ES Kashmere Gardens Kennedy ES Lockhart ES Lovett ES Martinez, C., ES Martinez, R., ES Milne ES	Montgomery ES Moreno ES Oates ES Osborne ES Peck ES Pilgrim ES Piney Point ES Red ES Rice School ES Roosevelt ES	Ross ES Scott ES Scroggins ES Seguin ES Sherman ES Sugar Grove ES Sutton ES Travis ES TSU Charter ES Twain ES	Wainwright ES Wesley ES Wharton ES White ES Burbank MS Briarmeadow MS Clifton MS Hamilton MS Kaleidoscope MS Key MS	Lanier MS Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis MS Rice MS Rogers, T.H. MS Stevenson MS Challenge HS Eastwood HS HSPVA
<b>Academically Acceptable</b> 169, 61%	Almeda ES Anderson ES Ashford ES Atherton ES Bastian ES Bellfort Acad. ES Benavidez ES Benbrook ES Berry ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Bowie ES Briarmeadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Bruce ES Cook ES Coop ES Crespo ES Crockett ES Cunningham ES Davila ES DeChaumes ES Dodson ES Durham ES	Durkee ES Eliot ES Emerson ES Fairchild ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Foster ES Franklin ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES Grissom ES Gross ES Halpin ECC Harris, J.R. ES Harris, R.P. ES Hartsfield ES Helms ES	Henderson, N. ES Herod ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Houston Gardens Isaacs ES Janowski ES Jefferson ES Jones, J. W. ES Kandy Stripe Kelso ES Ketelsen ES Lantrip ES Law ES Lewis ES Longfellow ES Looscan ES Love ES MacArthur ES MacGregor ES Mading ES McDade ES Memorial ES	Mitchell ES Neff ES Northline ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Reynolds ES Rhoads ES Robinson ES Rodriguez ES Rusk ES Sanchez ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES School at Post Oak Shadowbriar ES Shearn ES Sinclair ES Smith, K. ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES	Stevenson ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Turner ES Valley West ES Walnut Bend ES Whidby ES Whittier ES Wilson ES Windsor Village ES Woodson ES Young ES Young Scholars ES Attucks MS Black MS Cullen MS Deady MS Dominion Charter Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS	Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Long MS Marshall MS McReynolds MS Ortiz Jr. MS Pershing MS Revere MS Sharpstown MS Smith, E.O. MS Thomas MS WALIPP MS Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Woodson MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS Empowerment HS	HSLECJ International HS Jones HS Jordan HS Kashmere HS Lamar HS Lee HS Madison HS Milby HS Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sterling HS Waltrip HS Washington HS Westbury HS Westside HS Wheatley HS Worthing HS Yates HS
<b>Academically Unacceptable</b> 13 5%	Dogan ES Elrod ES	McNamara ES Petersen ES*	Pleasant Hill ES Rucker ES	Smith, E.O. ES* Dowling MS	Las Americas MS Ryan MS*	Furr HS Houston HS**	Sharpstown HS
<b>AEA</b> AA, 7, 3% AU, 2, 1%	ALTA HS (AA) CLC MS (AU)	CLC HS (AA) Comm. Serv. (AA)	Houston Night HS (AU) Pro-Vision MS (AA)	Carter Career (AA) Newcomer HS (AA)	Reach Charter (AA)		

AA = Academically Acceptable      AU = Academically Unacceptable

\*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

\*\*Academically Unacceptable for five consecutive years.

October 10, 2007

**2007 TEA Accountability Ratings  
by Region**

Central Region			Reasons*							Waived for 2006-2007	
School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Completion	Dropout	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science				
Benbrook ES	U	A						T,H,E			
Blackshear ES	A	R	T,A,E	T,A,E	T,A,E						
Browning ES	R	R		T,H,E	T,H,E						
Crockett ES	R	A						T,H,E			
Dodson ES	A	A			E			E			
Durham ES	R	A						E			
Field ES	A	A						T,H,E			
Foster ES	A	A	T,A,E	T,A,E				T,A,E			
Garden Oaks ES	A	A						T,H,E			
Gregory-Lincoln ES	A	A	A	T,A,H,E				T			
Hartsfield ES	A	A		H	T,E			T			
Harvard ES	R	R			T,H,E						
Helms ES	R	A						T,H,E			
Jones, J. W. ES	A	A	T,H,E	T,A,H,E				T,E			
Lockhart ES	R	R						T,A,E			
Love ES	U	A						T,H,E			
MacArthur ES	U	A	T,A,E	T,A,H,E				T,E			
MacGregor ES	R	A	H	T,A,H,E	T,A,E			T,A,E			
Memorial ES	R	A						T,H,E			
Oak Forest ES	A	E									
Peck ES	A	R	T,H,E	T,A,H,E				T			
Poe ES	A	A						H,E			
Rice ES	R	R						T,A,H,E			
River Oaks ES	E	E									
Roberts ES	E	E									
Sinclair ES	A	A						T,H,E			
Smith, K. ES	R	A		A				T,A,E			
Stevens ES	A	A						T,H,E			
Stevenson ES	R	A						T,H,E			
Thompson ES	A	A		T,A,E				T,A,E			
Travis ES	A	R		H,E				T,E			
Turner ES	A	A		T,A,E				T			
Twain ES	R	R		A							
Wainwright ES	A	R		T,A,H,E				T,H,E			
West University ES	E	E									
Wharton ES	R	R	T,H,E	H,E	T						
Whidby ES	A	A		T,A,E				T,A,E			
Wilson ES	R	A	E	T,H,E				T,H,E			
Black MS	U	A		T,A,H,E							
Clifton MS	R	R		T,A,H,W,E		T,A,H,E					
Cullen MS	U	A		T,A,H,E							
Gregory-Lincoln MS	A	A		T,A,H,E							
Hamilton MS	A	R	T,H,E	T,A,H,E		T,A,H,E					
Hogg MS	A	A		T,A,H,E							
Lanier MS	R	R		A,H,E							
Rice MS	R	R		E							

## 2007 TEA Accountability Ratings by Region

Central Region		Reasons*								
School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Waived for 2006-2007	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		Completion	Dropout
Ryan MS	U	U		T,A,E						
DeBakey HS	E	E								
H.S.L.E.C.J.	A	A		T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E			
H.S.P.V.A.	R	R		E			A,H,E			
International HS		A		T,A,E						
Lamar HS	A	A		A,H,E			A,H,E			
Reagan HS	U	A	A	T,A,H,E			T,H,E			
<b>Scarborough HS</b>	U	<b>A</b>	<b>T,A,H,E</b>	<b>T,A,H,E</b>		<b>T,A,H,E</b>	<b>T,A,H,W,E</b>			
Waltrip HS	A	A		T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E			
Yates HS	U	A	H	T,A,H,E			T,A,E			

\* Legend for Accountability Student Groups  
 T = All Students (Total)      H = Hispanic      E = Economically Disadvantaged  
 A = African American      W = White

Legend for TEA Accountability Ratings  
 E= Exemplary  
 R= Recognized  
 A= Academically Acceptable  
 U= Academically Unacceptable

## 2007 TEA Accountability Ratings by Region

East Region		Reasons*								
School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Waived for 2006-2007	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		Completion	Dropout
Belfort Academy ES	A	A						T,H,E		
Bonner ES	A	A		T,H,E				T,H,E		
Briscoe ES	A	A						T,H,E		
Burnet ES	A	R	T,H,E	T,H,E				T,H,E		
Cage ES	R	R	T,H,E	T,H,E				T,H,E		
Carrillo ES	R	R	T,H,E	T,H,E				T,H,E		
Crespo ES	A	A						T,H,E		
Davila ES	A	A						T,H,E		
De Zavala ES	R	R			T,H,E			T,H,E		
Franklin ES	A	A						T,H,E		
Gallegos ES	A	A						T,H,E		
Harris, J.R. ES	R	A		T,H,E				T,H		
Harris, R.P. ES	A	A		A				T,H		
Henderson, J.P. ES	R	R						T,H,E		
Lantrip ES	R	A						T,H,E		
Lewis ES	R	A		A						
Oates ES	R	R	T,E					T,H,E		
Park Place ES	A	A						T,H,E		
Patterson ES	R	A						T,H,E		
Pleasantville ES	A	A	T,A,E	T,A,E				T,A,E		
Port Houston ES	A	A						T		
Robinson ES	A	A		A				T,A,H,E		
Rucker ES	A	U			T,H,E					
Rusk ES	A	A	T,H					T		
Sanchez ES	A	A						T,H,E		
Southmayd ES	A	A						T,H,E		
Tijerina ES	A	A	T,H,E	T,H,E				T,H,E		
Whittier ES	A	A	E	H,E				T,H,E		
Deady MS	A	A	T,H,E	T,H,E		T,H,E				
Edison MS	A	A		T,H,E						
Holland MS	A	A		T,A,H,E						
Jackson MS	A	A		T,H,E						
Ortiz MS	A	A		T,A,H,E		T,A,H,E		T		
Project Chrysalis MS	R	R		T,H,E						
Stevenson MS	R	R	T,H,E	T,H,E		T,H,E		T		
Austin HS	A	A	H,E	T,H,E				T,H,E		
Chavez HS	A	A		T,A,H,E				T,A,H,E		
East Early Coll. HS		E								
Eastwood HS	A	R						T,H,E		
Furr HS	A	U		A				T,A,H,E		
Milby HS	A	A	T,H,E	T,A,H,E				T,H,E		
Reach Charter	U	A								

Rated under AEA System. See page 17 for reasons.

- \* Legend for Accountability Student Groups  
 T = All Students (Total)      H = Hispanic      E = Economically Disadvantaged  
 A = African American      W = White
- Legend for TEA Accountability Ratings  
 E= Exemplary      A= Academically Acceptable  
 R= Recognized      U= Academically Unacceptable

**2007 TEA Accountability Ratings  
by Region**

North Region			Reasons*							
School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Waived for 2006-2007	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		Completion	Dropout
Allen ES	A	R					T,E			
Atherton ES	R	A		T,A,E						
Barrick ES	R	R	T,H,E	T,H,E			T,H,E	T		
Berry ES	A	A		T,H,E			T,H,E			
Bowie ES	A	A		A,E						
Bruce ES	U	A		T,A,E			T,E			
Burbank ES	A	R		T,H,E			T,H,E			
Burrus ES	R	R			T,A		T,E			
Cook ES		A		A			T,A,E			
Coop ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Crawford ES	R	R	T,H,E	T,H,E			T			
De Chaumes ES	A	A			T,H,E		T,H,E			
Dogan ES	A	U	T,H,E				T			
Durkee ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Eliot ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Garcia ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Henderson, N.Q. ES	A	A		A						
Herrera ES	R	R	T,H,E				T,H,E			
Highland Heights ES	A	A					T,A,E			
Hohl ES	A	A		A			T,H,E			
Houston Gardens	U	A		T,A,E			T,A,E			
Isaacs ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Janowski ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Jefferson ES	A	A		T,H,E			T,H,E			
<b>Kashmere Gardens</b>	A	R	T,A,E	T,A,E	T,A,E		T,A,E			
Kennedy ES	E	R			T,E					
Ketelsen ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Looscan ES	A	A	H							
Lyons ES	E	E								
Martinez, C. ES	A	R	T,A,H,E	T,A,H,E	T,H,E		T,H,E			
Martinez, R. ES	A	R		T,H,E			T,H,E			
McDade ES	R	A					T,E			
Moreno ES	A	R	T,H,E		T,H,E		T,H,E	T		
Northline ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Osborne ES	A	R	T,A,H,E	T,A,H,E			T,E			
Pugh ES	E	A					E			
Roosevelt ES	R	R					T,H,E			
Ross ES	A	R		T,A,H,E			T,E			
Scarborough ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Scott ES	R	R		A	T,E					
Scroggins ES	R	R	T,H,E	T,H,E	T,H,E		T,H,E	T		
Sherman ES	A	R	T,H,E		T,H,E					
Smith, E.O. ES	U	U					T,A,E			
Wesley ES	A	R		T,A,E						

## 2007 TEA Accountability Ratings by Region

North Region			Reasons*							
School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Waived for 2006-2007	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		Completion	Dropout
Burbank MS	A	R	T,H,E	T,A,H,E		T,H,E				
Fleming MS	A	A		T,A,H,E		H				
Fonville MS	A	A		T,H,E						
Henry MS	U	A		T,H,E						
Key MS	U	R	T,A,H,E	T,A,H,E	A	T,A,H,E		T		
Marshall MS	U	A		T,A,H,E				T		
McReynolds MS	U	A	T,E	T,H,E						
Smith, E.O. MS	A	A	T,A,E	T,A,H,E		T,A,E		T		
Williams MS	A	A		T,A,H,E		H				
Davis HS	A	A	T,H,E	T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E			
Houston HS	U	U		A						
Jordan, Barbara HS	A	A		T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E			
Kashmere HS	U	A	T,A,H,E	T,A,E			T,A,E			
Washington HS	U	A	H	T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E			
Wheatley HS	U	A	T,H,E	T,A,H,E		T,H,E	T,A,H,E			

\* Legend for Accountability Student Groups  
 T = All Students (Total)      H = Hispanic      E = Economically Disadvantaged  
 A = African American      W = White

Legend for TEA Accountability Ratings  
 E= Exemplary  
 R= Recognized  
 A= Academically Acceptable  
 U= Academically Unacceptable



## 2007 TEA Accountability Ratings by Region

South Region		Reasons*								
School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Waived for 2006-2007	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		Completion	Dropout
Alcott ES	A	R	T,A,H,E		T,A,E		T,A,E			
Alameda ES	A	A					H			
Bastian ES	A	A		T,A,E			T,E			
Brookline ES	A	A			T,H,E		T,H,E			
Codwell ES	A	R		T,A,E						
Cornelius ES	E	E								
Fairchild ES	A	A					T,E			
Frost ES	A	R	T,A,E	T,A,E	T,A,E		T,A,E			
Garden Villas ES	A	A		A			T,A,H,E			
Golfcrest ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Gregg ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Grimes ES	A	A					T,A,E			
Grissom ES	R	A		T,A,E			T,A,H,E			
Hines-Caldwell ES	A	A					T,A,H,E			
Hobby ES	A	A					T,A,E			
Kelso ES	A	A		A	T,E		T,A,H,E			
Law ES	A	A		A			T,A,E			
Mading ES	R	A					T,A,E			
Mitchell ES	R	A		A,E			T,H,E			
Montgomery ES	R	R					T,H,E			
Petersen ES	U	U					A			
Reynolds ES	A	A					T,A,E			
Rhoads ES	A	A	T,A,E	T,A,E						
Seguin ES	A	R	T,H,E		T,H,E					
Windsor Village ES	R	A					T,A,H,E			
Woodson ES	A	A			T		T			
Young ES	A	A					T			
Attucks MS	U	A	H	T,A,H,E						
Dowling MS	A	U		T,A,E						
Hartman MS	A	A		T,A,H,E						
Thomas MS	U	A	T,H	T,A,H,E						
Woodson MS	U	A		T,A,H,E						
Carnegie Vanguard	E	E								
Empowerment HS	A	A		T,A,E			T,A,E			
Jones HS	U	A	T,A,H,E	T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E			
Madison HS	A	A	H,E	T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E			
Sterling HS	U	A	T,A,H,E	T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E	T		
Worthing HS	A	A		T,A,E			T,A,E	T		

\* Legend for Accountability Student Groups

T = All Students (Total)

H = Hispanic

E = Economically Disadvantaged

A = African American

W = White

Legend for TEA Accountability Ratings

E= Exemplary

R= Recognized

A= Academically Acceptable

U= Academically Unacceptable

**2007 TEA Accountability Ratings  
by Region**

West Region			Reasons*							
School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Waived for 2006-2007	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		Completion	Dropout
Anderson ES	A	A	H	A	T,H		T,H			
Ashford ES <sup>1</sup>	A	A								
Askew ES	R	R	H,E	A	A,E					
Bell ES	R	R	T,H,E	T,A,H,E	T,A,H,E		T,A,E	T		
Benavidez ES	A	A		T,E			T,H,E	T		
Bonham ES	A	A	T,A,H,E	T,A,E	T,A,H,E		T,A,H,E			
Braeburn ES	A	R	T,H,E	T,H,E	T,H,E		T,H,E	T		
Briargrove ES	R	R	H,E	A,E	H		T			
Briarmeadow ES	A	A					T			
Bush ES	E	E								
Condit ES	E	E								
Cunningham ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Elrod ES	A	U	H		E		H,E			
Emerson ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Foerster ES	A	A	T,A,H	T,A	T,A		T,A			
Fondren ES	A	A	H,E	T,A,H,E	T,H,E		T			
Gordon ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Gross ES	A	A	H	T,A,E	T,H,E		T,A,H,E			
Halpin ECC <sup>2</sup>	NR	A		A	T,A,H,E		T,A,H,E			
Herod ES	R	A		A						
Horn ES	R	E								
Kolter ES	E	E								
Longfellow ES	A	A		A,H,E	A,E		T,A,E			
Lovett ES	R	R		A,E	E		T,A,E	R		
McNamara ES	A	U	T,A,E		T,H,E					
Milne ES	A	R		T,A,H,E			T,A,E			
Neff ES	A	A		A						
Parker ES	R	A					A			
Pilgrim ES	E	R					T,H,E			
Piney Point ES	R	R	A	A			T,H,E			
Red ES	R	R	H,E	T,A,H,E	T,E		E			
Rodriguez ES	A	A					T,H,E			
Rogers, T.H. ES	E	E								
Sands Point ES	A	A		T,H,E	T		T			
School at Post Oak	R	A					T			
Shadowbriar ES	A	A			A		A,H,E			
Shearn ES	U	A	T,A,H,E	T,H,E	T,E		T,E			
Sugar Grove ES	E	R					T,E			
Sutton ES	R	R					T,H,E			
Tinsley ES	A	A		A	T,A,H,E		T,A,H,E			
Valley West ES	R	A					T,E			
Walnut Bend ES	R	A	H	A,E	T,H,E		T,H,E			
White ES	R	R					H,E	T		
Briarmeadow MS	R	R		T,H,W,E						
Fondren MS	A	A	T,A,H,E	T,A,H,E	T,H,E	T,A,H,E		T		
Grady MS	A	A		T,A,H,E						
Johnston MS	A	A		H,E						

<sup>1</sup> Paired with Shadowbriar ES; <sup>2</sup> Paired with Tinsley

## 2007 TEA Accountability Ratings by Region

### West Region

### Reasons\*

School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Waived for 2006-2007	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		Completion	Dropout
Kaleidoscope MS	A	R		T,H,E						
Las Americas MS	NR	U	T	T	T	T				
Long MS	A	A	T,A,H,E	T,A,H,E	A	T,A,H,E		T		
Pershing MS	A	A		T,A,H,E						
Pin Oak MS	A	R		T,A,H,E				T		
Revere MS	A	A		T,A,H,E						
Rogers, T.H. MS	R	R						T		
Sharpstown MS	U	A		T,A,H,E						
Welch MS	A	A		T,A,H,E						
West Briar MS	A	A		A						
Bellaire HS	A	A		A,H,E			A,H,E	T		
Challenge HS	R	R		T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E			
<b>Lee HS</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>T,A,H,E</b>	<b>T,A,H,E</b>		<b>T,A,H,E</b>	<b>T,A,H,E</b>			
Sharpstown HS	A	U		A						
Westbury HS	U	A	T,H,E	T,A,H,E			T,A,H,E	T		
Westside HS	A	A		T,A,H,E			A,H,E			
Liberty HS	U	A								

Rated under AEA System. See page 17 for reasons.

- \* Legend for Accountability Student Groups  
 T = All Students (Total)      H = Hispanic      E = Economically Disadvantaged  
 A = African American      W = White

- Legend for TEA Accountability Ratings  
 E= Exemplary  
 R= Recognized  
 A= Academically Acceptable  
 U= Academically Unacceptable

## 2007 TEA Accountability Ratings by Region

Alternative\Charter Office			Reasons*							
School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Waived for 2006-2007	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		Completion	Dropout
Banneker-McNair <sup>2</sup>	A	R	T,H,E	T,A,H,E			T			
Energized ES	A	R	T,H,E	T,A,H,E			T,H,E			
Kandy Stripe ES	A	A		T,A,E			T,A			
Pleasant Hill ES	A	U	T	T	T					
TSU Charter ES <sup>1</sup>	A	R					T,A,E			
Young Scholars ES	A	A		T,A,E						
Dominion Acad. MS	R	A		T,A,E						
Energized MS	R	E								
WALIPP MS	U	A		T,A,E						
Carter Career	A	A	T,A,H,E							
CLC HS	A	A	T,A,H,E							
CLC MS	A	U	H							
Liberty HS**	U	A	T,H,E							
Provision MS	A	A	T,A,E							
Reach Charter***	U	A	T,H,E							
ALTA HS	A	A	T,H,E							
Houston Night HS	A	U	T							

<sup>1</sup> Paired with Lockhart ES.

\*\* West Region

<sup>2</sup> Paired with Peck ES.

\*\*\*East Region

Special Education Office			Reasons*							
School	Rating		TAKS					SDAA	Waived for 2006-2007	
	2006	2007	Reading	Math	Writing	Social Studies	Science		Completion	Dropout
Community Services	A	A	T							

\* Legend for Accountability Student Groups

T = All Students (Total)

H = Hispanic

E = Economically Disadvantaged

A = African American

W = White

Legend for TEA Accountability Ratings

NR= Not Rated

E= Exemplary

R= Recognized

A= Academically Acceptable

U= Academically Unacceptable

Sp. Analysis = Special analysis due to small numbers

**2007 TEA Accountability Ratings  
Schools Not Rated**

---

**Early Childhood and Special Education Centers Not Rated**

---

Concord E.S.  
Energized for Excellence ECC  
Farias ECC  
King ECC  
Las Americas ECC  
Laurenzo ECC  
Mistral ECC  
St. John's Academy  
Young Learners  
Wheatley Child Development  
Harper Alternative  
HCC Life Skills  
Crossroads

---

---

**Disciplinary Alternative Education Programs (DAEP) Not  
Rated—Data attributed to home campus**

---

CEP SE  
CEP SW  
JJAEP  
North Alternative E. S.  
North Alternative M. S.  
South Alternative

---

# Preliminary TEA 2007 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

## HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**CURRENT PERFORMANCE RATING  
(2007 SPRING TAKS and SDAA)**

<b>Exemplary 15, 5%</b>	Bush ES Condit ES Cornelius ES	Horn ES Kolter ES Lyons ES	Oak Forest ES River Oaks ES Roberts ES	Rogers, T.H. ES West University ES	Energized MS	Carnegie Vanguard DeBakey HS East Early College	
<b>Recognized 68, 25%</b>	Alcott ES Allen ES Askew ES Banneker/McNair Barrick ES Bell ES Blackshear ES Braeburn ES Briargrove ES Browning ES	Burbank ES Burnet ES Burrus ES Cage ES Carrillo ES Codwell ES Crawford ES De Zavala ES Energized ES Frost ES	Harvard ES Henderson, J.P. ES Herrera ES Kennedy ES Lockhart ES Lovett ES Martinez, C., ES Martinez, R., ES Milne ES Montgomery ES	Moreno ES Oates ES Osborne ES Peck ES Pilgrim ES Piney Point ES Red ES Rice School ES Roosevelt ES Ross ES	Scott ES Scroggins ES Seguin ES Sherman ES Sugar Grove ES Sutton ES Travis ES TSU Charter ES Twain ES Wainwright ES	Wesley ES Wharton ES White ES Burbank MS Briar meadow MS Clifton MS Hamilton MS Kaleidoscope MS Key MS Lanier MS	Pin Oak MS Project Chrysalis MS Rice MS Rogers, T.H. MS Stevenson MS Challenge HS Eastwood HS HSPVA
<b>Academically Acceptable 168, 61%</b>	Almeda ES Anderson ES Ashford ES Atherton ES Bastian ES Bellfort Acad. ES Benavidez ES Benbrook ES Berry ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Bowie ES Briar meadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Bruce ES Cook ES Coop ES Crespo ES Crockett ES Cunningham ES Davila ES DeChaumes ES Dodson ES	Durham ES Durkee ES Eliot ES Emerson ES Fairchild ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Foster ES Franklin ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES Grissom ES Gross ES Halpin ECC Harris, J.R. ES Harris, R.P. ES	Hartsfield ES Helms ES Henderson, N. ES Herod ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Houston Gardens Isaacs ES Janowski ES Jefferson ES Jones, J. W. ES Kandy Stripe Kashmere Gardens Kelso ES Ketelsen ES Lantrip ES Law ES Lewis ES Longfellow ES Looscan ES Love ES MacArthur ES	MacGregor ES Mading ES McDade ES Memorial ES Mitchell ES Neff ES Northline ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Reynolds ES Rhoads ES Robinson ES Rodriguez ES Rusk ES Sanchez ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES School at Post Oak Shadowbriar ES	Shearn ES Sinclair ES Smith, K. ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES Stevenson ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Turner ES Valley West ES Walnut Bend ES Whidby ES Whittier ES Wilson ES Windsor Village ES Woodson ES Young ES Young Scholars ES Attucks MS Black MS Cullen MS Deady MS Dominion Charter	Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Long MS Marshall MS McReynolds MS Ortiz Jr. MS Pershing MS Revere MS Sharpstown MS Smith, E.O. MS Thomas MS WALIPP MS Welch MS West Briar MS	Williams MS Woodson MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS Empowerment HS HSLECJ International HS Jones HS Jordan HS Kashmere HS Lamar HS Madison HS Milby HS Reagan HS Sterling HS Waltrip HS Washington HS Westbury HS Westside HS Wheatley HS Worthing HS Yates HS
<b>Academically Unacceptable 15 5%</b>	Dogan ES Elrod ES McNamara ES	Petersen ES* Pleasant Hill ES Rucker ES	Smith, E.O. ES*	Dowling MS Las Americas MS Ryan MS*	Furr HS Houston HS** Lee HS	Scarborough HS* Sharpstown HS	
<b>AEA AA, 3% AU, 1%</b>	ALTA HS (AA) CLC MS (AU)	CLC HS (AA) Comm. Serv. (AA)	Houston Night HS (AU) Pro-Vision MS (AA)	Carter Career (AA) Newcomer HS (AA)	Reach Charter (AA)		

AA = Academically Acceptable      AU = Academically Unacceptable

\*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

\*\*Academically Unacceptable for five consecutive years.

August 1, 2007

# Final TEA 2006 ACCOUNTABILITY RATINGS

## HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**CURRENT PERFORMANCE RATING  
(2006 SPRING TAKS, SDAA, 2004-05 Dropout/Completion)**

<b>Exemplary</b> 15, 5%	Bush ES Condit ES Cornelius ES	Kennedy ES Kolter ES Lyons ES	Pilgrim ES Pugh ES River Oaks ES	Roberts ES Rogers, T.H. ES Sugar Grove ES	West University ES <b>Carnegie Vanguard</b> DeBaKey HS		
<b>Recognized</b> 64, 23%	Askew ES Atherton ES Barrick ES Bell ES Briargrove ES Browning ES Burrus ES Cage ES Carrillo ES Crawford ES	Crockett ES De Zavala ES Durham ES Easter ES Grissom ES Harris, J.R. ES Harvard ES Helms ES Henderson, J.P. ES Herod ES	Herrera ES Horn ES Jones, A. ES Lantrip ES <b>Lewis ES</b> Lockhart ES Lovett ES MacGregor ES Mading ES McDade ES	Memorial ES Mitchell ES Montgomery ES Oates ES Parker ES Patterson ES Piney Point ES Red ES Rice School ES Roosevelt ES	Scott ES School at Post Oak Scroggins ES Smith, K. ES Stevenson ES Sutton ES Twain ES Valley West ES <b>Walnut Bend ES</b> Wharton ES	White ES Wilson ES Windsor Village ES Briar meadow MS Clifton MS Dominion Charter Energized MS Lanier MS Project Chrysalis Rice MS	Rogers, T.H. MS Stevenson MS <b>Challenge Early College</b> HSPVA
<b>Academically Acceptable</b> 159, 57%	Alcott ES Allen ES Almeda ES Anderson ES Ashford ES Banneker-McNair Bastian ES Bellfort Acad. ES Benavidez ES Berry ES Blackshear ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Bowie ES Braeburn ES Briar meadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Burbank ES Burnet ES Chatham ES Codwell ES Concord ES	Coop ES Crespo ES Cunningham ES Davila ES DeChaumes ES Dodson ES Dogan ES Durkee ES Eliot ES Elrod ES Emerson ES Energized ES Fairchild ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Foster ES Franklin ES Frost ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES	Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Grimes ES Gross ES Harris, R.P. ES Hartsfield ES Henderson, N. ES Highland Heights ES Hines-Caldwell ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Isaacs ES Janowski ES Jefferson ES Jones, J. W. ES Kandy Stripe Kashmere Gardens Kelso ES Ketelsen ES Law ES Longfellow ES	Looscan ES Martinez, C., ES Martinez, R., ES McNamara ES Milne ES Moreno ES Neff ES Northline ES Oak Forest ES Osborne ES Park Place ES Peck ES Pleasant Hill ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Port Houston ES Reynolds ES Rhoads ES Robinson ES Rodriguez ES Rogers, W., ES Ross ES Rucker ES	Rusk ES Sanchez ES Sanderson ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES Seguin ES Shadowbriar ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES Thompson ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Travis ES TSU/HISD ES Turner ES Wainwright ES Wesley ES Whidby ES Whittier ES Woodson ES Young ES	Young Scholars ES Westbank MS Deady MS Dowling MS Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Hamilton MS Hartman MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Kaleidoscope MS Long MS Ortiz Jr. MS Pershing MS Pin Oak MS Revere MS Smith, E.O. MS	Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Chavez HS Davis HS Eastwood HS Empowerment HS Furr HS HSLECJ <b>Barbara Jordan HS</b> Lamar HS Lee HS Madison HS Middle College HS Milby HS Sharpstown HS Waltrip HS Westside HS Worthing HS
<b>Academically Unacceptable</b> 32, 11%	Benbrook ES Bruce ES Houston Gardens Love ES MacArthur ES	Petersen ES Shearn ES Smith, E.O. ES Attucks MS* Black MS	Cullen MS Henry MS Key MS* Marshall MS McReynolds MS**	Ryan MS Sharpstown MS Thomas MS* WALIPP MS Woodson MS	Houston HS*** Jones HS* Kashmere HS*** Newcomer Charter Reach Charter	Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sterling HS Washington HS Westbury HS	Wheatley HS* Yates HS
<b>AEA 9 AA, 3%</b> 1 AU, 1%	ALTA HS (AA) <b>Carter Career (AA)</b>	CLC MS (AA) CLC HS (AA)	Comm. Serv. (AA) Houston Night HS (AA)	Kay On-going MS (AA) Kay On-going HS (AA)	Pro-Vision MS (AA) Drop Back In HS (AU)*		

AA = Academically Acceptable    AU = Academically Unacceptable    Schools with ratings changed after appeals are bolded.

Revised October 12, 2006

\*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.

\*\*Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years.    \*\*\*Academically Unacceptable for four consecutive years.

# TEA 2005 ACCOUNTABILITY RATING STANDARDS

## HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

CURRENT PERFORMANCE RATING (2005 SPRING TAKS, SDAA, 2003-04 Dropout/Completion)	Exemplary	Lyons ES River Oaks ES	Rogers, T.H. ES West University ES	Rogers, T.H. MS DeBakey HS				
	Recognized	Askew ES Briargrove ES Browning ES Bush ES Cornelius ES	De Zavala ES Frost ES Henderson, J.P. ES Herrera ES	Horn ES Kolter ES Lockhart ES Neff ES	Oak Forest ES Osborne ES Pilgrim ES Piney Point ES	Roberts ES School at Post Oak Wesley ES White ES	Briarmeadow MS Energized MS Lanier MS Project Chrysalis MS	Rice MS West Briar MS Carnegie Vanguard HSPVA
	Academically Acceptable	Alcott ES Allen ES Almeda ES Anderson ES Argyle ES Ashford ES Atherton ES Banneker-McNair Barrick ES Bastian ES Bell ES Bellfort Acad. ES Benbrook ES Berry ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Bowie ES Braeburn ES Briarmeadow ES Briscoe ES Brookline ES Bruce ES Burbank ES Burnet ES Burrus ES Cage ES Carrillo ES Chatham ES Clinton Park ES	Codwell ES Concord ES Condit ES Coop ES Crawford ES Crespo ES Crockett ES Cunningham ES Davila ES Dodson ES Douglass ES Durham ES Durkee ES Easter ES Eliot ES Elrod ES Emerson ES Energized ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Franklin ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES	Grissom ES Gross ES Harris, J. R., ES Harris, R. P., ES Hartsfield ES Harvard ES Helms ES Herod ES Highland Heights ES Hobby ES Hohl ES Houston Gardens ES Isaacs ES Janowski ES Jefferson ES Jones, A., ES Jones, J. W. ES Kandy Stripe ES Kashmere Gardens Kelso ES Kennedy ES Ketelsen ES Lantrip ES Law ES Lewis ES Love ES Lovett ES MacArthur ES MacGregor ES	Mading ES Martinez, C., ES Martinez, R., ES McDade ES McNamara ES Memorial ES Mitchell ES Montgomery ES Northline ES Oates ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Peck ES Petersen ES Pleasant Hill ES Pleasantville ES Port Houston ES Pugh ES Red ES Reynolds ES Rice School ES Robinson ES Rogers, W., ES Roosevelt ES Ross ES Rucker ES Rusk ES Ryan ES	Sanchez ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES Scott ES Scroggins ES Seguin ES Shadowbriar ES Shearn ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Smith, E.O ES Smith, K., ES Southmayd ES Stevens ES Stevenson ES Sugar Grove ES Sutton ES Thompson ES Three D Academy ES Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Travis ES TSU/HISD ES Turner ES Twain ES Valley West ES Wainwright ES Walnut Bend ES Wharton ES	Whidby ES Whittier ES Wilson ES Windsor Village ES Young ES Young Scholars ES Black MS Burbank MS Clifton MS Cullen MS Deady MS Dominion Academy Edison MS Fleming MS Fonville MS Grady MS Gregory-Lincoln MS Hamilton MS Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Kaleidoscope MS Marshall MS Ortiz Jr. MS Pershing MS Pin Oak MS	Revere MS Ryan MS Sharpstown MS Smith, E.O MS Stevenson MS Welch MS WALIPP MS Woodson MS Austin HS Bellaire HS Challenge HS Chavez HS Davis HS Eastwood HS HSLECJ Jordan, Barbara HS Lamar HS Lee HS Madison HS Middle College HS Milby HS Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sharpstown HS Sterling HS Waltrip HS Washington HS Westbury HS Westside HS Yates HS
	Academically Unacceptable	Benavidez ES Blackshear ES De Chaumes ES* Dogan ES	Fairchild ES Foster ES Gregory-Lincoln ES* Grimes ES	Henderson, N. Q. ES Longfellow ES Looscan ES Milne ES	Poe ES Rhoads ES Rodriguez ES Sanderson ES	Woodson ES Attucks MS Dowling MS Fondren MS Key MS	Long MS McReynolds MS* Thomas MS Williams MS Furr HS	Houston HS** Jones HS Kashmere HS** Wheatley HS Worthing HS
	AEA	Comm. Serv. (AA) CLC HS (AA)	Carter Career (AA) Kay On-going MS (AA)	CLC MS (AA) Energized ALT HS (AA)	Pro-Vision MS (AA) Kay On-going HS (AA)	Houston Night HS (AU) Drop Back In HS (AU)	ALTA HS (AU)	

AA = Academically Acceptable      AU = Academically Unacceptable

\*Academically Unacceptable for two consecutive years.  
\*\*Academically Unacceptable for three consecutive years.

Revised October



# TEA 2004 ACCOUNTABILITY RATING STANDARDS

## HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

**CURRENT PERFORMANCE RATING  
(2004 SPRING TAKS)**

<b>Exemplary</b>	Cage ES Highland Heights	Kolter ES Osborne ES	Roberts ES Twain ES	West University ES	Project Chrysalis MS	DeBakey HS	
<b>Recognized</b>	Askew ES Atherton ES Bowie ES Briargrove ES Brock ES Brookline ES Browning ES Burrus ES Bush ES Carrillo ES	Codwell ES Concord ES Condit ES Cornelius ES Davila ES Easter ES Fairchild ES Harris, J. R. ES Harris, R. P. ES Harvard ES	Henderson, Nat Q. Herod ES Herrera ES Horn ES Isaacs ES Kelso ES Kennedy ES Lewis ES Lockhart ES Lovett ES	Lyons ES Mading ES Martinez, C ES McDade ES Memorial ES Montgomery ES Neff ES Oates ES Petersen ES Pilgrim ES	Port Houston ES Pugh ES Rice School ES River Oaks ES Sanchez ES Sanderson ES Scott ES Sherman ES Sinclair ES Travis ES	TSU/HISD Valley West ES Wesley ES Wharton ES Windsor Village ES Briar Meadow MS Clifton MS Energized MS Lanier MS Pin Oak MS	Rice MS Rogers, T. H. MS WALIPP Eastwood HS HSLECJ HSPVA
<b>Academically Acceptable</b>	Allen ES Almeda ES Anderson ES Argyle ES Ashford ES Banneker-McNair Barrick ES Bastian ES Bell ES Bellfort Acad Benavidez ES Benbrook ES Berry ES Blackshear ES Bonham ES Bonner ES Braeburn ES Briar Meadow ES Briscoe ES Bruce ES Burbank ES Burnet ES Chatham ES Clinton Park ES Coop ES Crawford ES Crespo ES Crockett ES	Cunningham ES De Zavala ES Dodson ES Dogan ES Douglass ES Durham ES Durkee ES Eliot ES Elrod ES Emerson ES Energized ES Field ES Foerster ES Fondren ES Foster ES Franklin ES Frost ES Gallegos ES Garcia ES Garden Oaks ES Garden Villas ES Golfcrest ES Gordon ES Gregg ES Grimes ES Grissom ES Gross ES Halpin	Hartsfield ES Helms ES Henderson, J. P. Hobby ES Hohl ES Holden ES Jefferson ES Jones, Anson Kandy Stripe Kashmere Gardens Ketelsen ES Lantrip ES Law ES Longfellow ES Looscan ES Love ES MacArthur ES MacGregor ES Martinez, R ES McNamara ES Milne ES Mitchell ES Northline ES Oak Forest ES Park Place ES Parker ES Patterson ES Peck ES	Piney Point ES Pleasantville ES Poe ES Red ES Reynolds ES Rhoads ES Robinson ES Rodriguez ES Rogers, T. H. ES Rogers, Will ES Roosevelt ES Ross ES Rucker ES Rusk ES Ryan ES Sands Point ES Scarborough ES School at Post Oak Scroggins ES Seguin ES Shadowbriar ES Shearn ES Smith, E. O. ES Smith, Katherine Southmayd ES Stevens ES Stevenson ES Sugar Grove ES	Sutton ES Thompson ES Three D Academy Tijerina ES Tinsley ES Turner ES Wainwright ES Walnut Bend ES Whidby ES White ES Whittier ES Wilson ES Woodson ES YMCA Young ES Young Scholars Attucks MS Black MS Burbank MS Cullen MS Deady MS Dominion Academy Dowling MS Edison MS Fleming MS Fondren MS Fonville MS Grady MS	Gregory-Lincoln MS Hamilton MS Hartman MS Henry MS Hogg MS Holland MS Jackson MS Johnston MS Kaleidoscope MS Key MS Long MS Marshall MS Ortiz MS Pershing MS Revere MS Ryan MS Sharpstown MS Smith, E. O. MS Stevenson MS Thomas MS Welch MS West Briar MS Williams MS Woodson MS	Austin HS Bellaire HS Carnegie Vanguard Challenge HS Chavez HS Davis HS Furr HS Jones HS Jordan, Barbara HS Lamar HS Lee HS Madison HS Middle College Milby HS Reagan HS Scarborough HS Sharpstown HS Sterling HS Waltrip HS Washington HS Westbury HS Westside HS Wheatley HS Worthing HS
<b>Academically Unacceptable</b>	Alcott ES De Chaumes ES DRAW	Eighth Avenue ES Gregory-Lincoln ES Houston Gardens ES	Janowski ES Jones, J. Will ES Milam ES	McReynolds MS	Houston HS Kashmere HS	Ninth Grade Acad Yates HS	

Revised September 30, 2004