HW #7 problem 41

l

A private and a public university are located in the same city. For the private university, 1046 alumni were surveyed and 653 said that they attended at least one class reunion. For the public university, 791 out of 1327 sampled alumni claimed they have attended at least one class reunion. Find and interpret the 85% confidence interval for the difference between the population proportions.

$$X_{1} = (653) n_{1} = 1046 \vec{p}_{1} = \frac{1053}{1046} = 0.0243$$

$$X_{2} = 791 \quad N_{2} = 1327 \quad \hat{p}_{2} = \frac{791}{1327} = 0.59u1$$

$$C = .85 \quad Z^{*} = Gnorm(1.85/2)$$

$$(0.6243 - 0.5961) \pm qnorm(1.85/2) * \sqrt{0.6243 + 0.3757} + \frac{0.5961 *}{0.4039} + \frac{0.4039}{1327}$$

> (0.6243-0.5961)+c(-1,1)*qnorm(1.85/2)*sqrt(0.6243*0.3757/1046+0.5961*0.4039/1327) [1] -0.0007939694 [2] 0.0571939694

> prop.test(x=c(653,791),n=c(1046,1327),conf.level = 0.85,correct=F)

85 percent confidence interval: -0.000792614 0.057195806

Question 9

An automobile manufacturer claims his best product has an average lifespan of exactly 20 years. A skeptical product evaluator asks for evidence (data) that might be used to evaluate this claim. The product evaluator was provided data collected from a random sample of 45 people who used the product. Using the data, an average product lifespan of 21 years and a standard deviation of 8 years was calculated. Select the 99%, confidence interval for the true mean lifespan of this product.

| a) \bigcirc [17.422, 24.578] | N = 45 | X ニタノ | 5=8 | sample | SD uset |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| b) ○ [16.923, 23.077] | C= 99 | | | | |
| c) [-3.0769, 3.0769] | χt | t* <u>S</u> | | | |
| d) ○ [20.541, 21.459] | 21 さ | gt(1.99/2 | ,44)* | 8/591+ | (45) |
| e) [17.923, 24.077] | | V | - | , 0 | ŗ |
| f) \bigcirc None of the above | | | | | |
| uestion 10 | | | | | |
| tems per truckload is greater point and the average numb sample of variance of 0.49. | t it cannot meet its er than 12. A rando er of damaged iter Select a 99% conf | profit expectatio orm sample of 12 c ns per truckload i idence interval fo | ns if, on avera leparting truck s calculated to r the true mean | ge, the number of loads is selected be 11.3 with a c of damaged ite | Its product of damaged at the delivery alculated ms. |
| a) [48.26, -30.02] | $n = 12$ \overline{X} | =11.3 s ² : 5= | = D. 49 | 0.7 Sam | ple SD |
| b) [10.67, 11.93] | C= 99 | | - (| US () | e tr |
| c) \bigcirc [-0.6285, 0.6285] | 11.3±9t | (199/2)11)\$ | 60-7/3qc | (12) | 1 |
| i) ○ [10.69, 11.91] | · | E | 1+11/2 | | -{ |
| | | - (| _ / | | 1 |
| e) [11.37, 12.63] | | 19 | | 95 | $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ |
| e) [11.37, 12.63] f) None of the above | | 14 z | | 95 | |
| e) $[11.37, 12.63]$ c) None of the above #7 $(000 dem 3')$. | | 19 2 | - 2* | 95 | 24 |
| e) \bigcirc [11.37, 12.63] f) \bigcirc None of the above $\#7$ \bigcirc (older 3'. | C=. | 95 7 [*] = | grorm(1.9 | <u>95</u> 5/2) = 1.9 | F M 50.0 |

You want to design a study to estimate the proportion of students on your campus who agree with the statement. "The student government is an effective organization for expressing the needs of students to the administration." You will use a 95% confidence interval and you would like the margin of error of the interval to be .05 or less. Find the minimum sample size required.



11/18/2018

Print Test

mean weekly food budget of \$100. We want to test the hypothesis that the mean weekly food budget of all households in this community is higher than the national average. Are the results significant at the 5% level?
a) Yes, we should reject
$$H_{0^*}$$
 H_0^+ , $H_0^- R_1^+$, $H_0^+ R_1^+$, $H_0^- R_1^-$,

Let x represent the hemoglobin count (HC) in grams per 100 milliliters of whole blood. The distribution for \mathbf{R} is \mathbf{K} HC is approximately normal with $\mu = 14$ for healthy adult women. Suppose that a female patient has taken 12 laboratory blood samples in the last year. The HC data sent to her doctor is listed below. We would like to know if the data indicates this patient has significantly high HC compared to the population. (19, 14, 23, 20, 15, 19, 21, 16, 18, 18, 16, 21)

State the null and alternate hypothesis.

Print Test

a)
$$H_0: \mu = 18.3, H_a: \mu < 18.3$$

b) $H_0: \mu = 18.3, H_a: \mu > 18.3$
c) $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu < 14$
d) $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
e) $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
e) $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
e) $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14, H_a: \mu > 14$
f $H_0: \mu = 14$ for healthy adult women. Suppose that a female patient has taken 12 laboratory blood samples in the last year. The HC data sent to her doctor is listed below. We would like to know if the data indicates this patient has significantly high HC compared to the population.

(19, 14, 23, 20, 15, 19, 21, 16, 18, 18, 16, 21)

Give the p-value and interpret the results.

a) p = .0762; Based on 5% significance level, I will fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude this patient does not have a high HC level.

b) \bigcirc *p* = .1053; Based on 5% significance level, I will fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude this patient does not have a high HC level.

c) p = .0001; Based on 5% significance level, I will reject the null hypothesis and conclude this patient has a high HC level.

d) \bigcirc *p* = .001; Based on 5% significance level, I will reject the null hypothesis and conclude this patient has a high HC level.

e) p = .0562; Based on 5% significance level, I will fail to reject the null hypothesis and conclude this patient does not have a high HC level.

Question 9

An experimenter flips a coin 100 times and gets 57 heads. Test the claim that the coin is fair against the two-
sided claim that it is not fair at the level
$$\alpha = .01$$
. $\hat{p} = 5 / t_{00} = 0.57$ $H_0 \cdot p = 0.5$ $H_0 \cdot p = 0.57 - 0.5$
 $h_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5, z = 1.41$; Fail to reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. $Z = \frac{\hat{p} - P_0}{P_0 \cdot (1 - P)} = \frac{0.57 - 0.5}{\sqrt{p_0 \cdot (1 - P)}}$
 $e_1 - H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.41$; Fail to reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. $Z = 1.4$
 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40$; Fail to reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. $Z = 1.4$
 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40$; Fail to reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. $Z = 1.4$
 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40$; Fail to reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. $Z = 1.4$
 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40$; Fail to reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. $Z = 1.4$
 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40$; Fail to reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. -1.4 1.4 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40$; Fail to reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. -1.4 1.4 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40$; Reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. -1.4 1.4 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40$; Reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. -1.4 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40; Reject H_0$ at the 1% significance level. -1.4 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_a \cdot p \neq .5; z = 1.40; Reject H_0$ at the 1% significance level. -1.4 $H_0 \cdot p = .5, H_0 \cdot$

> before=c(31,38,62,52,28)

> after=c(27,36,58,49,24)

> t.test(before,after,alternative = "greater",paired = T)

Paired t-test

RH.

alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is greater than 95 percent confidence interval: 2.547261 Inf sample estimates: mean of the differences 3.4

Question 10

In a experiment on relaxation techniques, subject's brain signals were measured before and after the relaxation exercises with the following results: $meano^{f}$ the differences M_{D}

Person 1 2 3 4 5
Person 1 2 3 4 5

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Before 31 38 62 52 28} \\ \text{After 27 36 58 49 24} \end{array}$$
 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Diff} = \text{Before } -\text{After} \\ \text{Ho:} \mu_{D} = \text{O} \\ \text{Ho:} \mu_{D} = \text{O} \\ \text{Ho:} \mu_{D} > \text{O} \end{array}$

Assuming the population is normally distributed, is there sufficient evidence to suggest that the relaxation exercise slowed the brain waves? (Use α =0.05)

a) Fail to reject the null hypothesis which states there is no change in brain waves.

b) \bigcirc **R**eject the null hypothesis which states there is no change in brain waves in favor of the alternate which states the brain waves slowed after relaxation.

c) • There is not enough information to make a conclusion.

Question 11

An auditor for a hardware store chain wished to compare the efficiency of two different auditing techniques. To do this he selected a sample of nine store accounts and applied auditing techniques A and B to each of the nine accounts selected. The number of errors found in each of techniques A and B is listed in the table below:

D:H=A-B

> t.test(a,b,alternative = "less",paired = T)

Paired t-test

data:_a and b t = 1.9761. df = 8. p-value = 0.9582 - FRH. alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is less than 0 95 percent confidence interval: -Inf 8.626833 sample estimates: mean of the differences 4.44444

| Errors in A | Errors in B |
|-------------|-------------|
| 45 | 31 |
| 48 | 37 |
| 46 | 39 |
| 48 | 37 |
| 52 | 54 |
| 50 | 45 |
| 49 | 49 |
| 40 | 41 |
| 45 | 50 |

Does the data provide sufficient evidence to conclude that the number of errors in auditing technique A is fewer than the number of errors in auditing technique B at the 0.1 level of significance? Select the [Alternative Hypothesis, Value of the Test Statistic]. (Hint: the samples are dependent)

a) (A < B, 1.976)

b) \square [μ _D = 0, 1.976]

c)
$$[\mu_{\rm D} < 0, 1.976]$$

d) $[\mu_{\rm D} < \mu_1, 1.976]$

```
e) [\mu_{\rm D} \le 0, 1.976]
```

f) \bigcirc None of the above

Question 12

An auditor for a hardware store chain wished to compare the efficiency of two different auditing techniques. To do this he selected a sample of nine store accounts and applied auditing techniques A and B to each of the nine accounts selected. The number of errors found in each of techniques A and B is listed in the table below:



Does the data provide sufficient evidence to conclude that the number of errors in auditing technique A is different from the number of errors in auditing technique B at the 0.05 level of significance? Select the [Rejection Region, Decision of Reject (RH_0) or Failure to Reject (FRH_0)]. (Hint: the samples are dependent)

a) \bigcirc [-t < -2.31 or t < -2.31, FRH₀]

- **b)** $(t < -2.31, FRH_0]$
- c) $[z < -2.31 \text{ and } -z < -2.31, FRH_0]$
- **d)** $(t > 2.31, RH_0]$
- e) $[-t < 2.31 \text{ and } t < 2.31, RH_0]$
- f) \bigcirc None of the above

Question 13

c) Type I error

Rejecting a true null hypothesis is classified as

Type I. RHO When Hoisting Type II: FRHO when Ho is Salse. a) OPower **b**) Type II error

PRINTABLE VERSION

Quiz 13

| Question 1 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|--|
| In a hypothesis test, if the computed P-value is less than 0.001, there is very strong evidence to | | | | | | |
| a) creject the null hypothesis. | | | | | | |
| b) • retest with a different sample. | | | | | | |
| c) \bigcirc fail to reject the null hypothesis | 5. | | | | | |
| Question 2 | | | | | | See question 10 in quit 12 |
| In a experiment on relaxation techniq relaxation exercises with the followir | lues, subj 1g results | ect's : | brain | sign | als w | ere measured before and after the |
| | Person | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | Before | 32 | 38 | 66 | 52 | 28 |
| | After | 26 | 36 | 57 | 48 | 23 |
| Assuming the population is normally exercise slowed the brain waves? (Us | distribut se α=0.05 | ed, is | s ther | e suf | ficier | at evidence to suggest that the relaxation |
| a) Reject the null hypothesis which which states the brain waves slowed a | ch states t after relax | here xatio | is no n. | char | nge in | brain waves in favor of the alternate |
| b) There is not enough information | on to mak | e a c | onclu | sion | | |
| c) \bigcirc Fail to reject the null hypothesi | s which s | states | there | e is n | o cha | nge in brain waves. |
| Question 3 | | | | | | See question 9 in shitz 1) |
| An experimenter flips a coin 100 time sided claim that it is not fair at the lev | es and ge vel α=.01 | ets 43 | head | ls. Te | est the | e claim that the coin is fair against the two- |
| a) \bigcirc $H_{\rm o}$: $p = .5$, $H_{\rm a}$: $p < .5$; $z = -1.41$ | ; Fail to | rejec | t H _o a | at the | 1% s | significance level. |
| b) \bigcirc $H_0: p = .5, H_a: p < .5; z = -1.40;$ Reject H_0 at the 1% significance level. | | | | | | |
| c) \bigcirc $H_{\rm o}$: $p = .5, H_{\rm a}$: $p \neq .5; z = -1.40$ | ; Fail to 1 | eject | t H _o a | it the | <mark>1% s</mark> | ignificance level. |
| d) \bigcirc $H_{\rm o}$: $p = .5$, $H_{\rm a}$: $p \neq .5$; $z = -1.40$ |); Reject | $H_{\rm o}$ at | t the 1 | l% si | gnifi | cance level. |
| e) $H_0: p = .5, H_a: p \neq .5; z = -1.41$ | ; Fail to 1 | reject | t H _o a | it the | 1% s | significance level. |
| Question 4 | | | | | | |

| Identify the most appropriate test to use fo In a experiment on relaxation techniques, relaxation exercises. We wish to determine | or the following situation: subject's brain signals were measured before and after the e if the relaxation exercise slowed the brain waves. | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| a) Matched pairs | | | | | |
| b) One sample <i>t</i> test | | | | | |
| c) \bigcirc Two sample <i>t</i> test | | | | | |
| d) \bigcirc Two sample <i>z</i> test | | | | | |
| Question 5 | | | | | |
| To use the two sample <i>t</i> procedure to perfo | orm a significance test on the difference of two means, we assume: | | | | |
| a) O The populations' standard deviation are known. | | | | | |
| b) O The samples from each population are independent. | | | | | |
| c) \bigcirc The distributions are exactly normal | in each population. | | | | |
| d) \bigcirc The sample sizes are large. | | | | | |
| Question 6 | | | | | |
| Solid fats are more likely to raise blood ch the percentage of saturated fat for a sample brands of liquid margarine and obtained th Stick = [2] Liquid = [We want to determine if there a significant liquid fats. What is the test statistic? (assure | tolesterol levels than liquid fats. Suppose a nutritionist analyzed e of 6 brands of stick margarine (solid fat) and for a sample of 6 the following results: 25.5, 26.7, 26.5, 26.6, 26.3, 26.4] [16.5, 17.1, 17.5, 17.3, 17.2, 16.7] t difference in the average amount of saturated fat in solid and me the population data is normally distributed) | | | | |
| a) $t = 25.263$ Ho: $M_{s} = M$ | $L = H_{A} (\mu_{s} \neq \mu_{L})$ | | | | |
| b) \circ z = 39.604 | > stick [1] 25.5 26.7 26.5 26.6 26.3 [6] 26.4 > liguid | | | | |
| c) $t = 39.604$ | [1] 16.5 17.1 17.5 17.3 17.2 [6] 16.7 | | | | |
| d) $t = 39.104$ | > t.test(stick,liquid) Welch Two Sample t-test | | | | |
| e) $z = 39.104$ | data: stick and liquidt = 39.604 M = 9.8276 p-value = 3.608e-12 | | | | |
| Question 7 | alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0 | | | | |

95 percent confidence interval: 8.759808 9.806859

It has been observed that some persons where the second existing the second existing the first episode. This is due, in part to reach the first episode. The performance of a new drug designed to prevent a second episode is to be tested for its effectiveness in preventing a second episode. In order to do this two groups of people suffering a first episode are selected. There are 55 people in the first group and this group will be administered the new drug. There are 75 people in the second group and this