## **Curriculum-Based Assessment Rating Rubric**

Curriculum-Based Assessment (also referred to as criterion-referenced, curriculum-embedded, ongoing, and programmatic) is defined "a form of criterion-referenced measurement wherein curricular objectives act as the criteria for the identification of instructional targets and for the assessment of status and progress" (Bagnato & Neisworth, 1991, p. 97). Curriculum-based assessments provide a direct assessment of a child's skills upon entry into a curriculum; guide development of individual goals, interventions, and accommodations; and allow for continual monitoring of developmental progress (McLean et al., 2004). Curriculum-based assessments should be conducted as an ongoing process of gathering information regarding children's strengths, interests and emerging abilities related to important skills across all content and developmental areas for the purpose of planning instruction. "Assessment cannot and should not represent a single point in time and ongoing decisions should be continuously made based on data when programming for young children" (Grisham-Brown, Hemmeter, & Pretti-Frontczak, 2005, p. 87).

## Directions:

- 1. Become familiar with assessment practices/measures to be reviewed. How assessments are rated will vary depending upon how they are constructed **and** how they are implemented in actual practice.
- 2. Become familiar with the rubric and what is meant by each of the elements and ratings.
- 3. For each element, determine the column that most closely matches the characteristics of the assessment (Unsatisfactory = 0, Basic = 1, Satisfactory = 2, Excellent = 3) and assign a score for each element or indicate which characteristics are true of the assessment under review.
- 4. Add the total score for each assessment reviewed and consider adopting the one with the highest rating or consider if necessary characteristics are evident to warrant adoption of the assessment.

Element	Unsatisfactory (0)	Basic (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Excellent (3)
Adaptable for Special	No consideration of	Limited consideration of	Upfront considerations	Considers and provides
Needs	special needs	special needs through	for special needs are not	specifics strategies and
		the assessment process	comprehensive, but	procedures for
		and instrument does not	assessment allows for	accommodating and/or
		allow for additional	some accommodations	modifying the
		accommodations or	and/or modifications for	assessment for adapts for
		modifications for special	special needs	special needs
		needs		

Element	Unsatisfactory (0)	Basic (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Excellent (3)
Aligns with	Does not align with	Aligns with less than	Aligns with more than	Aligns with a clear
State/Agency Standards	State/Agency Standards	half of the big ideas	half of the big ideas	majority or all of the big
		from State/Agency	from State/Agency	ideas from State/Agency
		Standards	Standards	Standards
Appropriateness for	Does not meet the needs	Meets the needs of	Meets the needs of	Meets the needs of
Population	of population being	population being served	population being served	population being served
	served and cannot be	with significant	with minimal	
	adapted to meet their	adaptations	adaptations	
	needs			
Collaboration	Assessment is to be	Several team members	Several team members	Encourages all team
	completed by one team	work to complete the	work to complete the	members (including
	member	assessment, but work	assessment together but	families) to work
		independently (i.e.,	fail to encourage active	together to complete the
		separate protocols or	family involvement.	assessment in multiple
		sections are to be	May still encourage	and varied settings, and
		completed by different	summaries by individual	the assessment is
		professionals)	professionals	summarized as a whole
Comprehensive and	Assessment only covers	Assessment covers	Covers several content	Assessment integrates
Integrated	a single content area	either several content	and developmental areas	all content and
	(e.g., Literacy) or a	areas <b>or</b> several	but they are not	developmental areas
	single developmental	developmental areas but	integrated (i.e., it is not	considered important for
	area (e.g.,	not both	clear how areas overlap	young children's growth
	Communication)		and are related)	and learning
Cultural Sensitivity	No consideration of	Limited consideration of	Upfront considerations	Considers and adapts for
	cultural influence	cultural influences	and adaptations are not	cultural influences
		through the assessment	comprehensive, but	throughout the
		and instrument does not	assessment allows for	assessment
		allow for additional	adaptations for cultural	
		adaptations for these	influences during the	
		cultural influences	assessment process	

Element	Unsatisfactory (0)	Basic (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Excellent (3)
Family Involvement in	No opportunities for	Minimal opportunities	Several opportunities for	Multiple opportunities
Assessment Process	family involvement	for involvement, mostly	passive and active	for active family
		passive roles (e.g.,	family involvement	involvement that can be
		answering questions,	(e.g., families are	tailored to the individual
		observing but not	encouraged to answer	family's needs (e.g.,
		participating)	questions and to observe	families help select
			and participate by	assessment times,
			gathering information or	locations, and
			scoring protocols)	instruments)
Instructions and	Instructions and	Instructions and	Instructions and	Instructions and
Information	information for using the	information are	information are	information are very
	assessment, particularly	somewhat clear, but do	somewhat clear, and	clear (even for untrained
	with diverse	not allow for a changes	allow for a changes in	members of the team)
	populations, are vague	in presentation format or	presentation format or	and specific strategies or
		procedures to	procedures to	examples of how to vary
		accommodate children	accommodate children	presentation formats or
		being assessed	being assessed	procedures are provided
				to ensure
				accommodations are
				made for children being
				assessed
Materials and Activities	Uses inappropriate	Uses appropriate	Uses appropriate	Uses a variety of
	materials and activities	materials OR activities	materials AND activities	appropriate and
			but are limited in depth	adaptable materials and
			or interest to individual	activities relevant to
			children	individual children

Element	Unsatisfactory (0)	Basic (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Excellent (3)
Methods of Assessment	Uses a single method of	Uses a single method of	Uses multiple methods	Uses multiple methods
	gathering information in	gathering information	of gathering information	of gathering information,
	a single often unfamiliar	but in familiar settings	during a single time	across time, familiar
	setting a single team	with familiar people	period, but in a familiar	settings and events, and
	member		setting with familiar	with familiar people
			people	
Multiple Means of	Child is allowed to show	Child is allowed to show	Child is allowed and	Child is allowed and
Expression	their knowledge and	their knowledge and	encouraged to show	encouraged to show their
	skills across <b>all</b> items	skills across <b>most</b> items	their knowledge and	knowledge and skills
	through a <b>single</b> means	through a <b>single</b> means	skills across <b>some</b> items	across all items through
	of expression	of expression	through <b>multiple</b> means	multiple means of
			of expression	expression
Overall Format	No specific structure and	Clear structure OR easy	Clear structure and easy	Clear structure, easy to
	difficult to implement	to use	to use	use and allows flexibility
				by all team members
Reliability	Does not indicate if	Multiple assessors do	Multiple assessors agree	Multiple assessors agree
(Psychometric property)	multiple assessors agree	not agree on the scoring	on the scoring OR child	on the scoring and child
	on the scoring and if the	and child scores	scores similarly on items	scores similarly on items
	child will score similarly	differently when	when assessed within a	when assessed within a
	on items when assessed	assessed within a short	short amount of time	short amount of time
	within a short amount of	amount of time		
	time	N. 1.11 '.1	N. 1:11 :41 C	3.6 1:11 :/1
System for Documenting	Measures skills with	Measures skills with	Measures skills with few	Measures skills with
Progress	large gaps in	moderate gaps in	gaps in developmental	incremental steps to note
	developmental	developmental sequence	sequences to note subtle	even minimal progress
	sequences	to note some progress	progress made by	made by children,
			children, particularly those with severe	particularly those with severe disabilities
			disabilities	severe disabilities
			uisaulillies	

Element	Unsatisfactory (0)	Basic (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Excellent (3)
Time and Training	Significant	Significant	Manageable amount of	Manageable amount of
	time/resources and	time/resources OR	time/resources required	time/resources required
	training required to	training required to	to complete the	to complete the
	complete the assessment	complete the assessment	assessment	assessment and can be
				embedded into daily
				classroom routine
Usefulness for	Assessment information	Assessment information	Assessment information	Assessment information
Intervention	serves no purpose	has limited use and is	can be used in multiple	can be used for multiple
	related to intervention	not linked to daily plans	ways and is somewhat	or interrelated purposes
		or individual	linked to daily plans or	(PLOP, goals and
		intervention plans	individual intervention	objectives, and
			plans	monitoring progress)
				and is linked to daily
				plans or individual
				intervention plans
Validity	Does not indicate if	Administration of the	Administration of the	Administration of the
(Psychometric property)	administration of the	assessment does not lead	assessment leads to	assessment leads to
	assessment leads to	to improved outcomes	improved outcomes OR	improved outcomes and
	improved outcomes and	and poorly reflects the	measures important	measures important
	if it measures important	child's abilities	developmental skills that	developmental skills that
	developmental skills that		accurately portrays the	accurately portrays the
	accurately portrays the		child's abilities	child's abilities
	child's abilities			

Note: The Rubric was adapted from the work of Deb O'Neil and the Staff at Rochester Schools ECSE, Rochester MI by Laura Vilardo and Dana Kenneley, Kent State University, Kent, OH.

 $Revised\ Summer\ 2005.\ Contact\ Kristie\ Pretti-Frontczak\ (\underline{kprettif@kent.edu})\ or\ Dana\ Kenneley\ (\underline{dkenneley@adelphia.net})\ with\ questions\ or\ comments.$ 

## **Definitions of Rubric Elements**

Adaptable for Special Needs –Specific strategies and procedures for making accommodations and/or modifications for children with special needs is critical. Accommodations are acts/procedures used to level the playing field and provide equal access and opportunity without substantially altering what children are expected to learn and be able to do. Examples of accommodations include altering instruments, toys/materials, allowing various response formats, altering the settings and/or timing. *Modifications* are defined as substantial changes in practices and expectations. Examples of modifications include changes in instructional level, content, and performance criteria, and changes in test form or format including alternate assessments (Wrightslaw, 2003)

DEC Recommended Practice, authenticity standard

Aligns with the State/Agency Early Learning Content Standards – Alignment considers the degree to which the assessment documents children's performance toward state or agency standards. Alignment is the process of linking curriculum, assessment, classroom instruction, and learning to a set of standards that describes what students should know and be able to do. The goal of alignment is to ensure that classroom instruction and learning activities support adopted standards and assessments (taken from <a href="https://www.startest.com/glossary.html">www.startest.com/glossary.html</a>).

DEC Recommended Practice, acceptability standard

**Appropriateness for Population** – The assessment is intended and can be used with the population of children and families being served (NAEYC and NAECS/SDE, 2003; Pretti-Frontczak, 2002). For example, if working in an inclusive setting, the assessment can be used with children with and without disabilities. The assessment is also consistent with the program's philosophy and goals. "To the extent possible, test content should be chosen to ensure that intended inferences from test scores are equally valid for members of different groups of test takers" (AERA, APA, & NCME, 1999, p. 44).

DEC Recommended Practice, congruence standard AERA, APA, & NCME, standard 3.6

**Collaboration** – The assessment can be used by a group of people (educators, service providers, and families) who together contribute to complete the assessment process (Howard, Williams, Port, & Lepper, 2001).

DEC Recommended Practice, collaboration and convergence standards

**Comprehensive and Integrated** – Encompasses all dimensions of children's early development and learning. In other words, the assessment covers all content areas (e.g., Literacy, Mathematics, Science) and developmental areas (e.g., motor, communication, social-emotional) showing the interrelatedness of early development.

DEC Recommended Practice, utility standard

**Cultural Sensitivity** – Assessment is culturally and linguistically responsive (NAEYC and NAECS/SDE, 2003). Culture refers to "customary beliefs and patterns of and for behavior, both explicit and implicit that are passed on to future generations by the society they live in and/or by a social, religious, or ethnic group within it" (NAEYC, 1997). Further, the term *culture* includes

ethnicity, racial identity, economic class, family structure, language, and religious and political beliefs, which profoundly influence each child's development and relationship to the world (NAEYC and NAECS/SDE, 2003). "Testing practice should be designed to reduce threats to the reliability and validity of test score inferences that may arise from language differences" (AERA, APA, & NCME, 1999, p. 97).

DEC Recommended Practice, equity standard AERA, APA, & NCME, standard 9.1

Family Involvement – The assessment process should follow family-centered principles and offer a continuum of options for families regarding participation. Family-centered principles include (1) involving families in all aspects of the decision making processes (e.g., Dinnebeil & Rule, 1994); (2) creating partnerships with families (e.g., Whitehead, Jesien, & Ulanski, 1998); (3) providing families with all information and support needed to enable them to address the developmental and educational needs of their children (e.g., Trivette, Dunst, Hamby, & LaPointe, 1996); and (4) acknowledging and supporting the cultures, values, and traditions of families (e.g., McWilliam & Bailey, 1993).

DEC Recommended Practice, collaboration and convergence standards

**Instructions and Information -** The instructions and information variable refers to all questions, directions, instructions and all other statements that are made to the child being assessed and the ways in which this information is allowed to be presented to the child.

**Materials and Activities** – Appropriate materials include those (a) the child is familiar with, (b) are fitting to the individual child's emerging skills, (c) that consider cultural influences, and (d) that are free from biases. Activities should parallel those of the child's daily routine with familiar people.

DEC Recommended Practice, congruence and convergence standards

**Methods of Assessment** – "In testing individuals with disabilities for diagnostic and intervention purposes, the test should not be used as the sole indicator of the test taker's functioning" (AERA, APA, & NCME, 1999, p. 108). Assessments should promote the use of multiple methods (e.g., work samples, checklists, anecdotal notes) from multiple sources (reports, observation, direct tests) across settings/activities (Bagnato, Neisworth, & Munson, 1997; NAEYC and NAECS/SDE, 2003).

DEC Recommended Practice, authenticity standard AERA, APA, & NCME Recommended Practice, standard 10.12

Multiple Means of Expression – Ensures children have a variety of formats for responding, demonstrating what they know, and for expressing ideas, feelings, and preferences. In addition, children have options in their use of resources, toys, and materials, addressing individual strengths, preferences, and abilities. expression allow children to learn from the instruction and use material in ways that work for them, and to control or manipulate their environment as they are able (DEC, 2005).

**Overall Format** – Test developers attended to the layout or framework of forms and manuals. Considerations were made for modifications, practicality, time required to observe, and filling out paperwork (Pretti-Frontczak, 2002).

DEC Recommended Practice, acceptability standard

**Reliability** – The ability of the assessment to be consistent when used with multiple observers, with multiple children, and across time (McLean, Wolery, & Bailey, 2004). "The reliability of a test describes its ability to provide stable test results if the same individual is tested twice with the same test" (Davoli, 1996, p. 356-357). Reliability coefficients should be .80 or higher for a test to be considered reliable.

DEC Recommended Practice, congruence standard

**System for Documenting Progress** – "Monitoring allows teachers to track children's performance on individually targeted behaviors as well as broad outcomes. Monitoring also allows for the systematic collection of comparative data to determine the significance or affect of instruction and intervention on individual children or groups of children" (Grisham-Brown, Hemmeter, & Pretti-Frontczak, 2005, p. 114). Progress toward Federal accountability requirements should also be considered (e.g., progress toward OSEP child outcomes).

DEC Recommended Practice, sensitivity standard

**Time and Training** –The assessment should be conducted in an amount of time that is manageable given current resources (Pretti-Frontczak, 2002). Consideration should be given to the need for professional development and technical assistance to ensure staff are trained and can use the assessment reliably and with validity. The assessment also needs to be feasible (i.e. affordable).

DEC Recommended Practice, acceptability standard

**Usefulness for Intervention** – The ability of the assessment to assist in the planning and revision of interventions (Bagnato & Neisworth, 2002). In other words, results from the assessment are easily understood by all team members and used to guide/revise intervention (i.e., a strong link from assessment to curriculum and intervention is evident). Further, the information gained from the assessment is helpful and ultimately improves outcomes for young children and families (i.e., has treatment utility/validity).

DEC Recommended Practice, utility standard

Validity – Validity refers to the ability of an assessment to measure what it is intended to measure (McLean, Wolery, & Bailey, 2004). "The [assessment] should set forth clearly how test scores are intended to be interpreted and used" (AERA, APA, & NCME, 1999, p. 17). Important to consider if the measure has been "tested" under conditions similar to those where and with whom you will use it. "In testing individuals with disabilities, test developers, test administrators, and test users should take steps to ensure that the test score inferences accurately reflect the intended construct rather than any disabilities and their associated characteristics extraneous to the intent of measurement" (AERA, APA, & NCME, 1999, p. 106).

DEC Recommended Practice, congruence standard AERA, APA, & NCME Recommended Practice, standard 1.2 and 10.1

## References

- American Educational Research Association (AERA), American Psychological Association (APA), & National Council on Measurement in Education (NCME). (1999). Standards for Educations and Psychological Testing. Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.
- Bagnato, S.J., & Neisworth, J.T. (2002). Enhancing the quality of early learning and early interventions for infants, toddlers and their families. *Resources and Connections*, *1*(6), 4.
- Bagnato, S. & Neisworth, J. (1991). Assessment for early intervention: Best practices for professionals. New York: Guilford Press.
- Bagnato, S.J., & Neisworth, J.T. (2001, April 11). Recommended practice for assessment in early childhood settings. Retrieved January 26, 2004, from <a href="http://www.nectac.org/topics/earlyid/RecPractices/recpractices/home.asp">http://www.nectac.org/topics/earlyid/RecPractices/recpractices/home.asp</a>
- Bagnato, S.J., Neisworth, J.T., & Munson, S.M. (1997). *LINKing Assessment and Early Intervention*. Baltimore: Paul Brookes Publishing.
- Davoli, C. T. (1996). Psychological testing. In. A. J. Capute & P. Accardo (Eds.), *Developmental Disabilities in Infancy and Childhood*: Vol. 1. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed., pp. 355-369). Baltimore: Paul H. Brookes Publishing Company.
- Dinnebeil, L. A., & Rule, S. (1994). Variables that influence collaboration between parents and services coordinators. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 18 (4), 349-361.
- Division for Early Childhood (DEC). (2005). Division for Early Childhood companion to the NAEYC and NAECS/SDE Early Childhood Curriculum, Assessment, and Program Evaluation: Building an effective, accountable system in programs for children birth through eight. Manuscript in preparation.
- Division of Early Childhood (DEC). (2000). Position Statement on Inclusion retrieved February 2, 2004, from http://www.dec-sped.org/pdf/positionpapers/Position%20Inclusion. pdf
- Grisham Brown, J. L., Hemmeter, M. L., & Pretti-Frontczak, K. L. (2005). *Blended Practices for Teaching Young Children in Inclusive Settings*. Paul Brookes Publishing Company.
- Howard, V. F., Fry-Williams, B., Port, P. D., & Lepper, C. (2001). *Very Young Children with Special Needs Second Edition*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall Inc
- McLean, M., Wolery, M. & Bailey, D. B. (Eds.). (2004). *Assessing infants and preschoolers with special needs*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- McWilliam, P. J., & Bailey, Jr., D. B. (1993). Working together with children and families: Case stories in early intervention. Baltimore: Brookes.
- NAEYC Position Statement. (1997). Retrieved January 26, 2004, from <a href="http://naeyc.org/resources/position">http://naeyc.org/resources/position</a> statements/dap3.htm
- NAEYC and NAECS/SDE (2003). Early Childhood Curriculum, Assessment, and Program Evaluation Building an Effective, Accountable System in Programs for Children Birth through Age 8. Retrieved January 27, 2004 from <a href="http://www.naeyc.org/resources/position">http://www.naeyc.org/resources/position</a> statements/CAPEexpand.pdf
- Pretti-Frontczak, K.L. (2002). Using curriculum-based measures to promote a linked system approach. *Assessment for Effective Intervention*, 27(4), 15-21.
- Trivette, C. M., Dunst, C. J., Hamby, D. W., & LaPointe, N. J. (1996). Key elements of empowerment and their implications for early intervention. *Infant-Toddler Intervention*, 6 (1), 59-73.
- Whitehead, A., Jesien, G., & Ulanski, B. K. (1998). Weaving parents into the fabric of early intervention interdisciplinary training: How to integrate and support family involvement in training. *Infant and Young Children*, 10 (3), 44-53.
- Wrightslaw, (2003). *Glossary of special education legal terms*. Retrieved December 14, 2004 from <a href="http://www.fetaweb.com/06/glossary.sped.legal.htm">http://www.fetaweb.com/06/glossary.sped.legal.htm</a>