## South Carolina Teaching Standards 4.0 Rubric



## SC Department of Education Office of Educator Effectiveness

	INSTRUCTION				
	Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
Standards and Objectives <sup>1</sup>	<ul> <li>All learning objectives and state content standards are explicitly communicated.</li> <li>Sub-objectives are aligned and logically sequenced to the lesson's major objective.</li> <li>Learning objectives are: (a) consistently connected to what students have previously learned, (b) know from life experiences, and (c) integrated with other disciplines.</li> <li>Expectations for each student's performance are clear, demanding, and high.</li> <li>State standards are displayed, referenced throughout the lesson with explanations.</li> <li>There is evidence that most students demonstrate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Most learning objectives and state content standards are communicated.</li> <li>Sub-objectives are mostly aligned to the lesson's major objective.</li> <li>Learning objectives are connected to what students have previously learned.</li> <li>Expectations for student performance are clear, demanding and high.</li> <li>State standards are displayed and referenced in the lesson.</li> <li>There is evidence that most students demonstrate mastery of the objective.</li> </ul>	Some learning objectives and state content standards are communicated.  Sub-objectives are sometimes aligned to the lesson's major objective.  Learning objectives are not clearly connected to what students have previously learned.  Expectations for student performance are clear.  State standards are appropriately displayed  There is evidence that some of the students demonstrate mastery of the objective.	Learning objectives and state content standards are not communicated.     Sub-objectives are rarely aligned to the lesson's major objective.     Learning objectives are rarely connected to what students have previously learned.     Expectations for student performance are vague.     State standards are not appropriately displayed.     There is evidence that few students demonstrate mastery of the objective.	
Motivating Students <sup>2</sup>	<ul> <li>mastery of the objective.</li> <li>The teacher consistently and explicitly organizes the content so that it is personally meaningful, relevant and intellectually engaging to all students.</li> <li>The teacher consistently develops learning experiences where inquiry, curiosity and exploration are valued.</li> <li>The teacher consistently reinforces and rewards effort.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The teacher often organizes the content so that it is personally meaningful, relevant and intellectually engaging to most students.</li> <li>The teacher often develops learning experiences where inquiry, curiosity and exploration are valued.</li> <li>The teacher regularly reinforces and rewards effort.</li> </ul>	The teacher sometimes organizes the content so that it is personally meaningful, relevant and engaging to some students. The teacher sometimes develops learning experiences where inquiry, curiosity and exploration are valued. The teacher sometimes reinforces and rewards effort.	The teacher rarely organizes the content so that it is personally meaningful, relevant and engaging to students. The teacher rarely develops learning experiences where inquiry, curiosity and exploration are valued. The teacher rarely reinforces and rewards effort.	
Presenting Instructional Content <sup>3</sup>	Presentation of content always includes:  • visuals that establish: the purpose of the lesson, preview the organization of the lesson, and include reflective internal summaries of the lesson.  • Explicit examples, illustrations, analogies, and labels for new concepts and ideas.  • modeling by the teacher to demonstrate his or her performance expectations throughout the lesson.  • concise communication.  • logical sequencing and segmenting.  • all essential information.  • no irrelevant, confusing, or non- essential information.	Presentation of content most of the time includes:  • visuals that establish the purpose of the lesson, preview the organization of the lesson, and include reflective internal summaries of the lesson.  • examples, illustrations, analogies, and labels for new concepts and ideas.  • modeling by the teacher to demonstrate his or her performance expectations.  • concise communication.  • logical sequencing and segmenting.  • all essential information.  • no irrelevant, confusing, or non- essential information.	Presentation of content sometimes includes:  • visuals that establish the purpose of the lesson, preview the organization of the lesson, and include internal summaries of the lesson  • examples, illustrations, analogies, and labels for new concepts and ideas.  • modeling by the teacher to demonstrate his or her performance expectations.  • concise communication.  • logical sequencing and segmenting.  • all essential information  • no irrelevant, confusing, or non- essential information.	Presentation of content rarely includes:  • visuals that establish the purpose of the lesson, preview the organization of the lesson, and include internal summaries of the lesson.  • examples, illustrations, analogies, and labels for new concepts and ideas.  • modeling by the teacher to demonstrate his or her performance expectations.  • concise communication.  • logical sequencing and segmenting.  • all essential information.  • no irrelevant, confusing, or non- essential information.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Applebee, A. N., Adler, M., & Flihan, S. (2007). Interdisciplinary curricula in middle and high school classrooms: Case studies of approaches to curriculum and instruction. *American Educational Research Journal*, 44(4), 1002-1039. doi: 10.3102/0002831207308219

<sup>2</sup> Givens Rolland, R. (2012). Synthesizing the evidence on classroom goal structures in middle and secondary schools: A meta-analysis and narrative review. *Review of Educational Research*, 82(4), 396-435. doi:10.3102/0034654312464909

<sup>3</sup> Dalton, B., & Smith, B.E. (2012). Teachers as designers: Multimodal immersion and strategic reading on the Internet. *Research in the Schools*, 19(1), 12-25.

	INSTRUCTION (Continued)				
	Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
Lesson Structure and Pacing <sup>4</sup>	<ul> <li>The lesson starts promptly.</li> <li>The lesson's structure is coherent, with a significant beginning, middle, end, and extended time for reflection.</li> <li>Pacing is brisk, and provides many opportunities for individual students who progress at different learning rates.</li> <li>Routines for distributing materials are seamless.</li> <li>No instructional time is lost during transitions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The lesson starts promptly.</li> <li>The lesson's structure is coherent, with a beginning, middle, and end and reflection.</li> <li>Pacing is appropriate, and sometimes provides opportunities for students who progress at different learning rates.</li> <li>Routines for distributing materials are efficient.</li> <li>Little instructional time is lost during transitions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The lesson starts somewhat promptly.</li> <li>The lesson's structure is coherent, with a beginning, middle, and end.</li> <li>Pacing is appropriate for some students and rarely provides opportunities for students who progress at different learning rates.</li> <li>Routines for distributing materials are efficient.</li> <li>Instructional time is lost during transitions.</li> </ul>	The lesson does not start promptly. The lesson has a structure, but may be missing closure or introductory elements. Pacing is appropriate for few students, and does not provide opportunities for students who progress at different learning rates. Routines for distributing materials are inefficient. Considerable time is lost during transitions.	
Activities and Materials <sup>5</sup>	Activities and materials include all of the following:  support the lesson objectives.  are challenging. sustain students' attention. elicit a variety of thinking. provide time for reflection. are relevant to students' lives. provide opportunities for student to student interaction. induce student curiosity and suspense. provide students with choices. incorporate multimedia and technology which enhances student learning and thinking. incorporate resources beyond the school curriculum texts (e.g., teacher made materials, manipulatives, resources from museums, cultural centers, etc.). In addition, sometimes activities are game-like, involve simulations, require creating products, and demand self-direction and self-monitoring.	Activities and materials include most of the following:  support the lesson objectives.  are challenging. sustain students' attention. elicit a variety of thinking. provide time for reflection. are relevant to students' lives. provide opportunities for student to student interaction. induce student curiosity and suspense. provide students with choices. incorporate multimedia and technology. incorporate resources beyond the school curriculum texts (e.g., teacher made materials, manipulatives, resources from museums, cultural centers, etc.).	Activities and materials include some of the following:  support the lesson objectives.  are challenging. sustain students' attention. elicit a variety of thinking. provide time for reflection. are relevant to students' lives. provide opportunities for student to student interaction. induce student curiosity and suspense. provide students with choices. incorporate multimedia and technology. incorporate resources beyond the school curriculum texts (e.g., teacher made materials, manipulatives, resources from museums, cultural centers, etc.).	Activities and materials include few of the following:  support the lesson objectives.  are challenging. sustain students' attention. elicit a variety of thinking. provide time for reflection. are relevant to students' lives. provide opportunities for student to student interaction. induce student curiosity and suspense. provide students with choices. incorporate multimedia and technology. incorporate resources beyond the school curriculum texts (e.g., teacher made materials, manipulatives, resources from museums, etc.).	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Konrad, M., Helf, S., & Joseph, L. M. (2011). Evidence-based instruction is not enough: Strategies for increasing instructional efficiency. *Intervention in School and Clinic, 47*(2), 67-74. doi: 10.1177/1053451211414192
<sup>5</sup> Pahl, K., & Roswell, J. (2010). *Artifactual literacies: Every object tells a story*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

INSTRUCTION (Continued)				
Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
Teacher questions are varied and high quality providing a consistently balanced mix of question types:  oknowledge and comprehension, oapplication and analysis, and ocreation and evaluation.  Questions are consistently purposeful and coherent. A high frequency of questions is asked. Questions are consistently sequenced with attention to the instructional goals. Questions regularly require active responses (e.g., whole class signaling, choral responses, written and shared responses, or group and individual answers). Wait time (3-5 seconds) is consistently provided. The teacher calls on volunteers and nonvolunteers, and a balance of students based on ability and sex. Students generate higher order questions that lead to further inquiry and self-directed learning.	Teacher questions are varied and high quality providing a balanced mix of question types:  oknowledge and comprehension, application and analysis, and creation and evaluation. Questions are usually purposeful and coherent. A moderate frequency of questions asked. Questions are often sequenced with attention to the instructional goals. Questions sometimes require active responses (e.g., whole class signaling, choral responses, or group and individual answers). Wait time is often provided. The teacher calls on volunteers and nonvolunteers, and a balance of students based on ability and sex. Students generate questions that lead to further inquiry and self-directed learning.	Teacher questions are varied and high quality providing for some, but not all, question types:  oknowledge and comprehension, application and analysis, and creation and evaluation. Questions are sometimes purposeful and coherent. A moderate frequency of questions asked. Questions are sometimes sequenced with attention to the instructional goals. Questions sometimes require active responses (e.g., whole class signaling, choral responses, or group and individual answers). Wait time is sometimes provided. The teacher calls on volunteers and nonvolunteers, and a balance of students based on ability and sex.	Teacher questions are inconsistent in quality and include few question types:  oknowledge and comprehension, oapplication and analysis, and ocreation and evaluation.  Questions are random and lack coherence. A low frequency of questions is asked. Questions are rarely sequenced with attention to the instructional goals. Questions rarely require active responses (e.g., whole class signaling, choral responses, or group and individual answers). Wait time is inconsistently provided. The teacher mostly calls on volunteers and high ability students.	
Oral and written feedback is consistently academically focused, frequent, and high quality.  Feedback is frequently given during guided practice and homework review.  The teacher circulates to prompt student thinking, assess each student's progress, and provide individual feedback.  Feedback from students is consistently used to monitor and adjust instruction.  Teacher engages students in giving specific and high quality feedback to one another.	<ul> <li>Oral and written feedback is mostly academically focused, frequent, and mostly high quality.</li> <li>Feedback is often given during guided practice and homework review.</li> <li>The teacher circulates regularly during instructional activities to support engagement, and monitor student work.</li> <li>Feedback from students is regularly used to monitor and adjust instruction.</li> <li>Teacher engages students in giving feedback to one another.</li> </ul>	Oral and written feedback is sometimes academically focused, frequent, and mostly high quality. Feedback is sometimes given during guided practice and homework review. The teacher circulates sometimes during instructional activities to support engagement, and monitor student work. Feedback from students is sometimes used to monitor and adjust instruction.	<ul> <li>The quality and timeliness of feedback is inconsistent.</li> <li>Feedback is rarely given during guided practice and homework review.</li> <li>The teacher circulates during instructional activities, but monitors mostly behavior.</li> <li>Feedback from students is rarely used to monitor or adjust instruction.</li> </ul>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Fusco, E. (2012). Effective questioning strategies in the classroom: A step-by-step approach to engaged thinking and learning, K–8. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

<sup>7</sup> Hattie, J. & Gan, M. (2010). Instruction based on feedback. In R. E. Mayer & P. A. Alexander (Eds.). Handbook of Research on Learning and Instruction (pp. 249-272). New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.

INSTRUCTION (Continued)				
Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
The instructional grouping arrangements (either whole class, small groups, pairs, individual; hetero- or homogenous ability) consistently maximize student understanding and learning efficiency.  All students in groups know their roles, responsibilities, and group work expectations.  All students participating in groups are held accountable for group work and individual work.  Instructional group composition is varied (e.g., race, gender, ability, and age) to best accomplish the goals of the lesson.  Instructional groups facilitate opportunities for students to set goals, reflect on, and evaluate their learning.	<ul> <li>The instructional grouping arrangements (either whole class, small groups, pairs, individual; hetero- or homogenous ability) adequately enhance student understanding and learning efficiency.</li> <li>Most students in groups know their roles, responsibilities, and group work expectations.</li> <li>Most students participating in groups are held accountable for group work and individual work.</li> <li>Instructional group composition is varied (e.g., race, gender, ability, and age) to most of the time, accomplish the goals of the lesson.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The instructional grouping arrangements (either whole class, small groups, pairs, individual; hetero-or homogenous ability) sometime enhance student understanding and learning efficiency.</li> <li>Some students in groups know their roles, responsibilities, and group work expectations.</li> <li>Some students participating in groups are held accountable for group work and individual work.</li> <li>Instructional group composition is varied (e.g., race, gender, ability, and age) to sometime, accomplish the goals of the lesson.</li> </ul>	The instructional grouping arrangements (either whole class, small groups, pairs, individual; hetero-or homogenous ability) inhibit student understanding and learning efficiency. Few students in groups know their roles, responsibilities, and group work expectations. Few students participating in groups are held accountable for group work and individual work. Instructional group composition remains unchanged irrespective of the learning, and instructional goals of a lesson.	
Teacher displays extensive content knowledge of all the subjects she or he teaches.  Teacher consistently implements a variety of subject-specific instructional strategies to enhance student content knowledge.  The teacher consistently highlights key concepts and ideas, and uses them as bases to connect other powerful ideas.  Limited content is taught in sufficient depth to allow for the development of understanding.	<ul> <li>Teacher displays accurate content knowledge of all the subjects he or she teaches.</li> <li>Teacher regularly implements subject- specific instructional strategies to enhance student content knowledge.</li> <li>The teacher regularly highlights key concepts and ideas, and uses them as bases to connect other powerful ideas.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Teacher displays adequate content knowledge of all the subjects he or she teaches.</li> <li>Teacher sometimes implements subject-specific instructional strategies to enhance student content knowledge.</li> <li>The teacher sometimes highlights key concepts and ideas, and uses them as bases to connect other powerful ideas.</li> </ul>	Teacher displays under-developed content knowledge in several subject areas. Teacher rarely implements subject-specific instructional strategies to enhance student content knowledge. Teacher does not understand key concepts and ideas in the discipline, and therefore presents content in an unconnected way.	
Teacher practices display understanding of each student's anticipated learning difficulties.     Teacher practices consistently incorporate student interests and cultural heritage.     Teacher consistently provides differentiated instructional methods and content to ensure children have the opportunity to master what is being taught.	<ul> <li>Teacher practices display understanding of most student anticipated learning difficulties.</li> <li>Teacher practices regularly incorporate student interests and cultural heritage.</li> <li>Teacher regularly provides differentiated instructional methods and content to ensure children have the opportunity to master what is being taught.</li> </ul>	Teacher practices display understanding of some student anticipated learning difficulties. Teacher practices sometimes incorporate student interests and cultural heritage. Teacher sometimes provides differentiated instructional methods and content to ensure children have the opportunity to master what is being taught.	Teacher practices demonstrate minimal knowledge of students anticipated learning difficulties. Teacher practices rarely incorporate student interests or cultural heritage. Teacher practices demonstrate little differentiation of instructional methods or content.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Li, T., Han, L., Zhang, L., & Rozelle, S. (2014). Encouraging classroom peer interactions: Evidence from Chinese migrant schools. Journal of Public Economics, 111, 29-45.

doi:10.1016/j.jpubeco.2013.12.014

<sup>9</sup> Ball, D. L., Thames, M. H., & Phelps, G. (2008). Content knowledge for teaching: What makes it special? *Journal of Teacher Education, 59*(5), 389-407. doi: 10.1177/0022487108324554

<sup>10</sup> Pacheco, M., & Gutierrez, K. (2009). Cultural-historical approaches to literacy teaching and learning. In C. Compton-Lilly (Ed.), *Breaking the silence: Recognizing the social and cultural resources students bring to the classroom* (pp. 60-77). Newark, NJ: International Reading Association.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Marshall, J.C., & Horton, R. M. (2011). The relationship of teacher-facilitated, inquiry-based instruction to student higher-order thinking. *School Science and Mathematics*, 111(3), 93-101. doi: 10.1111/j.1949-8594.2010.00066.x <sup>12</sup> Cho, K. & Jonassen, D. H. (2002). The effects of argumentation scaffolds on argumentation and problem solving. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 50(3), 5-22. doi: 10.1007/BF02505022

	PLANNING				
	Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
Instructional Plans <sup>13</sup>	Instructional plans include:  • measurable and explicit goals aligned to state content standards.  • activities, materials, and assessments that:  • are aligned to state standards.  • are sequenced from basic to complex.  • build on prior student knowledge, are relevant to students' lives, and integrate other disciplines.  • provide appropriate time for student work, student reflection, and lesson and unit closure.  • evidence that plan is appropriate for the age, knowledge, and interests of all learners.  • evidence that the plan provides regular opportunities to accommodate individual student needs.	Instructional plans include:  • goals aligned to state content standards.  • activities, materials, and assessments that:  • are aligned to state standards.  • are sequenced from basic to complex.  • build on prior student knowledge.  • provide appropriate time for student work, and lesson and unit closure.  • evidence that plan is appropriate for the age, knowledge, and interests of most learners.  • evidence that the plan provides some opportunities to accommodate individual student needs.	Instructional plans include:  • some goals aligned to state content standards.  • activities, materials, and assessments that:  • are sometimes aligned to state standards.  • are sometimes sequenced from basic to complex.  • Sometimes build on prior student knowledge.  • Sometimes provide appropriate time for student work, and lesson and unit closure.  • Some evidence that plan is appropriate for the age, knowledge, and interests of most learners.  • evidence that the plan provides some opportunities to accommodate individual student needs.	Instructional plans include:  • few goals aligned to state content standards.  • activities, materials, and assessments that:  • are rarely aligned to state standards.  • are rarely logically sequenced.  • rarely build on prior student knowledge  • inconsistently provide time for student work, and lesson and unit closure  • little evidence that the plan is appropriate for the age, knowledge, or interests of the learners.  • little evidence that the plan provides some opportunities to accommodate individual student needs.	
Student Work <sup>14</sup>	Assignments require students to:  organize, interpret, analyze, synthesize, and evaluate information rather than reproduce it.  draw conclusions, make generalizations, and produce arguments that are supported through extended writing.  connect what they are learning to experiences, observations, feelings, or situations significant in their daily lives both inside and outside of school.	Assignments require students to:  interpret and analyze information rather than reproduce it.  draw conclusions and support them through writing.  connect what they are learning to prior learning and some life experiences.	Assignments require students to:  interpret information rather than reproduce it.  Sometimes draw conclusions and support them through writing.  Sometimes connect what they are learning to prior learning	Assignments require students to:  mostly reproduce information.  rarely draw conclusions and support them through writing.  rarely connect what they are learning to prior learning or life experiences.	
Assessment <sup>15</sup>	Assessment Plans:              are consistently aligned with state content standards.              have clear appropriate measurement criteria.             measure student performance in more than three ways (e.g., in the form of a project, experiment, presentation, essay, short answer, or multiple choice test.             require extended written tasks.             are portfolio-based with clear illustrations of student progress toward state content standards.             include descriptions of how assessment results will be used to inform future instruction.	Assessment Plans:  are aligned with state content standards.  have clear measurement criteria.  measure student performance in more than two ways (e.g., in the form of a project, experiment, presentation, essay, short answer, or multiple choice test).  require written tasks.  include performance checks throughout the school year.	Assessment Plans:  are sometimes aligned with state content standards.  have measurement criteria.  measure student performance in more than one way (e.g., in the form of a project, experiment, presentation, essay, short answer, or multiple choice test).  require limited written tasks.  include performance checks but may not be monitored consistently.	Assessment Plans:  are rarely aligned with state content standards.  have ambiguous measurement criteria.  measure student performance in less than two ways (e.g., in the form of a project, experiment, presentation, essay, short answer, or multiple choice test).  include performance checks, although the purpose of these checks is not clear.	
Description of Qualifying Measures	Consistent Evidence of Student Centered Learning/Student Ownership of Learning- Teacher Facilitates the Learning.	Some Evidence of Student Centered Learning/ Student Ownership of Learning- Teacher Facilitates the Learning.	Moving Towards Student Centered Learning/Student Ownership of Learning- Consistent Reliance on Teacher Direction.	Heavy emphasis on Teacher Direction- Minimal Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning.	

<sup>13</sup> Timperley, H. S., & Parr, J. M. (2009). What is this lesson about? Instructional processes and student understandings in writing classrooms. *The Curriculum Journal*, 20(1), 43-60. doi: 10.1080/09585170902763999

<sup>14</sup> Marshall, J. C., & Horton, R. M. (2011). The relationship of teacher-facilitated, inquiry-based instruction to student higher-order thinking. *School Science and Mathematics*, 111(3), 93-101. doi: 10.1111/j.1949-8594.2010.00066.x

<sup>15</sup> Lyon, E. G. (2011). Beliefs, practices, and reflection: Exploring a science teacher's classroom assessment through the Assessment Triangle Model. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 22(5), 417-435. doi: 10.1007/s10972-011-9241-4

ENVIRONMENT				
Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
Teacher sets high and demanding academic expectations for every student.  Teacher encourages students to learn from mistakes.  Teacher creates learning opportunities where all students can experience success.  Students take initiative and follow through with their own work.  Teacher optimizes instructional time, teaches more material, and demands better performance from every student.	<ul> <li>Teacher sets high and demanding academic expectations for every student.</li> <li>Teacher encourages students to learn from mistakes.</li> <li>Teacher creates learning opportunities where most students can experience success.</li> <li>Students complete their work according to teacher expectations.</li> </ul>	Teacher sets high and demanding academic expectations for most students.  Teacher encourages students to learn from mistakes.  Teacher creates learning opportunities where some students can experience success.  Teacher expectations for student work are not clear for all students.	Teacher expectations are not sufficiently high for every student. Teacher creates an environment where mistakes and failure are not viewed as learning experiences. Students demonstrate little or no pride in the quality of their work.	
Students are consistently well-behaved, and on task.     Teacher and students establish clear rules and expectations for learning and behavior.     The teacher consistently uses techniques such as intrinsic motivation, social approval, contingent activities, and consequences to maintain appropriate student behavior.     The teacher overlooks inconsequential behavior.     The teacher deals with students who have caused disruptions rather than the entire class.     The teacher attends to disruptions quickly, firmly and consistently with no interruption to instruction.	<ul> <li>Students are mostly well-behaved, and on task, some minor learning disruptions may occur.</li> <li>Teacher establishes rules for learning and behavior.</li> <li>The teacher uses several techniques such as intrinsic motivation, social approval, contingent activities, and consequences to maintain appropriate student behavior.</li> <li>The teacher overlooks most inconsequential behavior, but other times addresses it stopping the lesson.</li> <li>The teacher attends to disruptions firmly and consistently with minimal interruption to instruction.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Student behavior is inconsistent with several students off task, minor learning disruptions are frequent.</li> <li>Teacher establishes rules for learning and behavior.</li> <li>The teacher uses some techniques such as intrinsic motivation, social approval, contingent activities, and consequences to maintain appropriate student behavior.</li> <li>The teacher overlooks some inconsequential behavior, but other times addresses it stopping the lesson.</li> <li>The teacher inconsistently deals with students who have caused disruptions, and frequently addresses the entire class.</li> </ul>	Students are not well-behaved and are often off-task. Teacher establishes few rules for learning and behavior. The teacher uses few techniques to maintain appropriate student behavior. The teacher does not distinguish between inconsequential behavior and inappropriate behavior. Disruptions frequently interrupt instruction.	
The classroom  • welcomes all members and guests.  • is organized and understandable to all students and encourages student collaboration.  • supplies, equipment, and resources are easily and readily accessible for all students.  • displays student work that frequently changes.  • is consistently arranged to promote individual and group learning.	The classroom  • welcomes most members and guests.  • is organized and understandable to most students.  • supplies, equipment, and resources are accessible for most students.  • displays student work.  • is arranged to promote individual and group learning.	The classroom  • welcomes some members and guests.  • is organized and understandable to some students.  • supplies, equipment, and resources are accessible.  • displayed student work is not updated regularly.  • is sometimes arranged to promote individual and group learning.	The classroom  is somewhat cold and uninviting.  is not well organized and understandable to students.  supplies, equipment, and resources are difficult to access.  does not display student work.  is not arranged to promote group learning.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Ponitz, C. C., Rimm-Kaufman, S. E., Brock, L. L., & Nathanson, L. (2009). Early adjustment, gender differences, and classroom organizational climate in first grade. *The Elementary School Journal, 110*(2), 142-162. doi: 10.1086/605470

<sup>17</sup> Tsouloupas, C. N., Carson, R. L., & MacGregor, S. K. (2014). The development of high school teachers' efficacy in handling student misbehavior (TEHSM). *The Journal of Educational Research, 107*(3), 230-240. doi: 10.1080/00220671.2013.788992

<sup>18</sup> Schleicher, A. (2011). Lessons from the world on effective teaching and learning environments. *Journal of Teacher Education, 62*(2), 202-221. doi: 10.1177/0022487110386966

ENVIRONMENT (Continued)				
Exemplary (4)	Proficient (3)	Needs Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
Teacher-student interactions demonstrate caring and respect for or another.     Students exhibit caring a respect for one another.     Teacher seeks out, and is receptive to the interests and opinions of all stude     Positive relationships and interdependence characterize the classroom	friendly, but may reflect occasional inconsistencies.  Students exhibit respect for the teacher, and are often polite to each other.  Teacher is often receptive to the interests and opinions of students.	Teacher-student interactions are sometimes friendly, but may reflect occasional inconsistencies, favoritism, or disregard for students' cultures.  Students exhibit respect for the teacher, and are generally polite to each other.  Teacher is sometimes receptive to the interests and opinions of students.	Teacher-student interactions are sometimes authoritarian, negative, or inappropriate.  Students exhibit disrespect for the teacher.  Student interaction is characterized by conflict, sarcasm, or put-downs.  Teacher is not receptive to interests and opinions of students.	
Consistent Evidence of Student Centered     Learning/Student     Ownership of Learning-Teacher Facilitates the Learning.	<ul> <li>Some Evidence of Student Centered Learning/ Student Ownership of Learning- Teacher Facilitates the Learning.</li> </ul>	Moving Towards Student     Centered Learning/Student     Ownership of Learning-     Consistent Reliance on     Teacher Direction.	Heavy Emphasis on Teacher Direction- Minimal Evidence of Student Ownership of Learning.	

## »Professionalism

**Performance Standard Exemplary Proficient Needs Improvement** Unsatisfactory The educator is prompt, prepared, and Often Sometimes Rarely Always participates in professional development Growing and Developing Professionally<sup>20</sup> meetings, bringing student artifacts (student work) when requested. The educator appropriately attempts to Often Sometimes Rarely Always implement new learning in the classroom following presentation in professional development meetings. The educator develops and works on a Always Often Sometimes Rarely yearly plan for new learning based on analyses of school improvement plans and new goals, self-assessment, and input from the teacher leader and principal observations. The educator selects specific activities, Often Sometimes Always Rarely content knowledge, or pedagogical skills to enhance and improve his/her proficiency. The educator makes thoughtful and Often Sometimes Rarely Always accurate assessments of his/her lessons' Reflecting on Teaching<sup>21</sup> effectiveness as evidenced by the selfreflection after each observation. The educator offers specific actions to Often Sometimes Rarely Always improve his/her teaching. 7. The educator accepts responsibilities Often Sometimes Rarely Always contributing to school improvement. The educator utilizes student achievement Often Sometimes Always Rarely data to address strengths and weaknesses of students and guide instructional decisions. The educator actively supports school Always Often Sometimes Rarely activities and events. nvolvement<sup>22</sup> Community 10. The educator accepts leadership Often Sometimes Responsibilities<sup>23</sup> Always Rarely responsibilities and/or assists in peers contributing to a safe and orderly school environment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Waitoller, F. R., & Artiles, A. J. (2013). A decade of professional development research for inclusive education: A critical review and notes for a research program. Review of Educational Research, 83(3),

<sup>319-356.</sup> doi:10.3102/0034654313483905

21 Nesmith, S. M. (2011). Powerful reflections result from quality questions: The influence of posed questions on elementary preservice teachers' field-based reflections. Research in the Schools, 18(2), 26-

<sup>39. &</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Epstein, J. L., Galindo, C. L., & Sheldon, S. B. (2011). Levels of leadership: Effects of district and school leaders on the quality of school programs of family and community involvement. *Educational* Administration Quarterly, 47(3), 462-495. doi: 10.1177/0013161X10396929

<sup>23</sup> Zepeda, S. J., Mayers, R. S., Benson, B. N. (2013). The call to teacher leadership. New York, NY: Routledge.