



COLORADO REAL ESTATE JOURNAL

THE COMMUNICATION CHANNEL OF THE COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE COMMUNITY

APRIL 17, 2013 – APRIL 30, 2013

Credit or character: Which is more important to lender

As we all have experienced, traditional lenders have rigid guidelines upon which loans are approved based on the above items. For example a traditional lender has a credit score minimum, debt-to-income ratio (capacity), and an LTV minimum typically based on an MAI appraisal. There is little if any variation in these criteria. In a bank's eyes, many times a loan either fits the box or is unable to be funded. In today's lending environment, many loans are falling into the unfunded category.

A private lender on the other hand typically has a much greater ability to fund a transaction that does not fit traditional guidelines. I'm typically asked: How does a private lender underwrite a loan by not following the same guidelines as a traditional bank? Private lenders, like myself, actually do utilize the three Cs plus the fourth C, but interpret this information radically differently than a traditional lender.

In the eyes of the private lender, the three C's are critical along with the story behind



Glen Weinberg
Chief operating officer, Fariview Commercial Lending, Denver

each. First, in regard to credit, I do not have a minimum credit score. We pull the credit of every borrower to understand what has caused the credit score to be what it is. For example, we funded a transaction for a borrower on a commercial property who had a credit score in the 400s. It turns out the borrower up to about a year ago had flawless credit; he co-signed on a note for his son-in-law (who never made a payment on a loan) and collections were filed against our borrower. This borrower definitely could not get a conventional loan due to his credit score, yet he has been a great borrower since the loan was closed and has never had a late payment. This is just one example of where a low credit score clearly does not equate

to a borrower not being creditworthy.

This leads into the second C of lending: capacity. Traditional lenders have a minimum debt-to-income ratio on a borrower/property. Unfortunately this calculation does not take into account the true picture of a borrower's repayment ability. For example, if a borrower is in the process of repositioning a property or his business, the income numbers likely will be below normal. For example, I recently closed a transaction on a retail center in Denver. The borrower had lost a huge tenant and needed funds to reposition the property. I was able to understand the borrower's plan and made a loan to help put the plan into motion. The borrower subsequently was able to land a large credit tenant.

The third C of lending is collateral. A private lender is similar to a traditional lender in some respects regarding collateral. Most private lenders have a minimum loan to value (typically 50 percent to 60 percent). As a private lender, we typically do not exceed our LTV threshold. We physi-

cally look at every property we lend on in order to fully assess a property's value. A private lender is able to do loans outside the box as long as the collateral is solid.

The final C, what I call the fourth C, is character. We make it a rule to meet every borrower and fully understand each situation. I have found that credit can be a very poor indicator of character on a borrower. For me, character along with collateral is my primary lending criteria. I have passed on many loans when I was not comfortable with the borrower.

Although the three C's are critically important for both private and traditional lenders, they can be interpreted very differently. A private lender also heavily emphasizes the softer side of lending, character. I have found that behind every situation there is a story that needs to be understood by the lender. Ultimately a credit score does not tell the full story; I consider the fourth C, character, to be a much stronger indicator of loan success than credit.▲