

# State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION PO Box 500 Trenton, NJ 08625-0500

JON S. CORZINE Governor LUCILLE E. DAVY Commissioner

May 9, 2008

Ms. Ethel Davion, Superintendent ESSEX COUNTY Irvington School District One University Place Irvington, NJ 07111

# Re: Long-Range Facilities Plan Final Determination

Dear Ms. Davion:

The Department of Education (Department) has completed its preliminary review of the Long-Range Facilities Plan (LRFP or Plan) submitted by the Irvington School District (District) pursuant to the Educational Facilities Construction and Financing Act, P.L. 2000, c. 72 (N.J.S.A. 18A: 7G-1 *et seq.*) (Act), N.J.A.C. 6A:26 -1 *et seq.* (Educational Facilities Code), and the Facilities Efficiency Standards (FES). The Department has found the District's LRFP submittal to be complete and is now presenting the LRFP Final Determination (Final Determination).

The Final Determination of the District's LRFP includes a Summary with the following sections:

- 1. Inventory Overview
- 2. K-12 Enrollments
- 3. Preschool Enrollments
- 4. FES and District Practices Capacity
- 5. Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students Prior to Proposed Work
- 6. Proposed Work
- 7. Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students After Completion of Proposed Work
- 8. Proposed Room Inventories and the Facilities Efficiency Standards
- 9. Preliminary Project Prioritization

Major LRFP approval issues include the adequacy of the LRFP's proposed enrollments, school capacities, and educational spaces. Approval of the LRFP, and any projects and costs listed therein, does not imply approval of an individual school facilities project or its corresponding costs and eligibility for State support under the Act.

www.nj.gov/education

New Jersey Is An Equal Opportunity Employer • Printed on Recycled and Recyclable Paper

Similarly, approval of the LRFP does not imply approval of portions of the Plan that are inconsistent with the Department's FES and proposed building demolition or replacement. Determination of preliminary eligible costs and final eligible costs will be made at the time of the approval of a particular school facilities project pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-5. The District must submit a feasibility study as part of the school facilities project approval process, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-7b, to support proposed building demolition or replacement. The feasibility study should demonstrate that a building might pose a risk to the safety of the occupants after rehabilitation or that rehabilitation is not cost-effective.

Following the approval of the LRFP, the District may submit an amendment to the approved LRFP for Department review. Unless and until an amendment to the LRFP is submitted to and approved by the Commissioner of the Department pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-4(c), the approved LRFP shall remain in effect. The District may proceed with the implementation of school facilities projects that are consistent with the approved LRFP whether or not the school facilities project contains square footage that may be ineligible for State support.

We trust that this document will adequately explain the Final Determination and allow the District to move forward with the implementation of projects within its LRFP. Please contact Susan Kutner at the Office of School Facilities at (609) 943-5681 or email <u>susan.kutner@doe.state.nj.us</u> with any questions you may have pertaining to the District's LRFP. Contact Anthony Brun, County Manager at the Office of School Facilities, at telephone number (609) 984-7818 or email at anthony.brun@doe.state.nj.us with any questions pertaining to project advancement.

Sincerely,

Fuille EDau/

Lucille E. Davy Commissioner

#### Enclosure

c: Willa Spicer, Deputy Commissioner John Hart, Chief of Staff
Rochelle Hendricks, Assistant Commissioner, Division of District and School Improvement William King, Assistant Commissioner, Division of Field Services
Kathryn Forsyth, Director of Public Information
Thomas Dowd, Interim Essex County Superintendent
Susan Kutner, Director, Policy and Planning, Office of School Facilities
Frank LoDolce, Regional Director, Office of School Facilities
Anthony Brun, County Manager, Office of School Facilities

# LONG-RANGE FACILITIES PLAN **Final Determination Summary**

# **Irvington School District**

The Department of Education (Department) has completed its review of the Long-Range Facilities Plan (LRFP or Plan) submitted by the Irvington School District (District) pursuant to the Educational Facilities Construction and Financing Act, P.L. 2000, c.72 (N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-1 *et seq.*) (Act), N.J.A.C. 6A:26-1 *et seq.* (Educational Facilities Code), and the Facilities Efficiency Standards (FES).

This is the Department's Final Determination Summary (Summary) of the LRFP. The Summary is based on the standards set forth in the Act, the Educational Facilities Code, the FES, District entered data in the LRFP and Project Application and Tracking System (LRFP website), and District supplied supporting documentation. The Summary consists of nine sections. The referenced reports in *italic* text are standard LRFP reports available on the Department's LRFP website.

# 1. Inventory Overview

The District provides services for students in grades PK-12. The predominant existing school grade configuration is K-5, 6-8, 9-12. The predominant proposed school grade configuration is PK-5, 6-8, 9-12. The District is classified as an Abbott District for funding purposes.

The District identified existing and proposed schools, sites, buildings, playgrounds, playfields, and parking lots in its LRFP. The total number of existing and proposed district-owned or leased schools, sites, and buildings are listed in Table 1. A detailed description of each asset can be found in the LRFP website report titled "Site Asset Inventory Report." Section 9 of this Summary lists work proposed at each school.

	Existing	Proposed
Sites:		
Total Number of Sites	12	13
Number of Sites with no Buildings	0	0
Number of Sites with no Instructional Buildings	0	0
Schools and Buildings:		
*Total Number of Schools with Enrollment	12	13
Total Number of Instructional Buildings	13	13
Total Number of Administrative and Utility Buildings	0	0
Total Number of Athletic Facilities	0	0
Total Number of Parking Structures	0	0
Total Number of Temporary Facilities	0	0

# **Table 1: Inventory Summary**

\*Includes schools with three-digit Department code numbers and Fall Report enrollments.

As directed by the Department, incomplete school facilities projects that have project approval from the Department and are (1) under construction or (2) included in the New Jersey School Development

Authority's April 2007 Capital Plan are represented as "existing" in the LRFP website. District projects in either of these two categories that include new construction and/or the reconfiguration of existing program space are as follows: n/a.

Major conclusions are as follows:

- The District is proposing to increase the existing number of District-owned or leased sites.
- The District is proposing to increase the existing number of District-operated schools.
- The District is proposing to maintain the existing number of District-owned or leased instructional buildings. The District is proposing to maintain the existing number of District-owned or leased non-instructional buildings.

**FINDINGS** The Department has determined that the proposed inventory is adequate for review of the District's LRFP. However, the LRFP determination does not imply approval of an individual school facilities project listed within the LRFP. The District must submit individual project applications for project approval. If building demolition or replacement is proposed, the District must submit a feasibility study, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-7b, as part of the application for the specific school facilities project.

# 2. K-12 Enrollments

The number of students, or "proposed enrollments," for five-year planning purposes was determined by the District on a district-wide and school level basis. The District accepted the LRFP website cohort-survival enrollment projection based on historic data from the 2000-01 through the 2005-06 school years. Enrollments for the subsequent school years were not available at the time of the District's original LRFP submission.

The District's existing and proposed enrollments and the "baseline" cohort-survival projection provided on the Department's LRFP website are listed in Table 2. Detailed information can be found in the LRFP website report titled "*Enrollment Projection Detail.*" Existing and proposed school enrollments and grade alignments can be found in the report titled "*Enrollment and School Grade Alignment.*" An analysis of preschool enrollments is provided in Section 3.

#### **Table 2: K-12 Enrollment Comparison**

	Actual Enrollments 2005-06	District Proposed Enrollments	Department's LRFP Website Projection
Grades K-5, including SCSE	3,899	4,036	4,036
Grades 6-8, including SCSE	1,774	1,798	1,798
Grades 9-12, including SCSE	1,716	1,527	1,527
District K-12 Totals	7,389	7,361	7,361

"SCSE" = Self-Contained Special Education

- The District elected to use the Department's LRFP website projection. Supporting documentation was submitted to the Department as required to justify the proposed enrollments.
- The District is planning for 28 fewer students (-0.38%) in grades K-12 based on 2005-06 enrollments. Enrollment growth is projected in grades K-8. Enrollments are projected to decline in grades 9-12.
- As of the 2006-07 school year, the District places 407 students, ages 6-21, in private day schools or public separate schools. Thirty two students, ages 3-5, are placed in private day schools or public separate schools. The District-proposed enrollments include accommodations for the return of a portion of these students to District-operated schools.

**FINDINGS** The Department has determined that the District's proposed enrollments are supportable for review of the District's LRFP. The Department will require a current enrollment projection at the time an application for a school facilities project is submitted incorporating the District's most recent Fall Enrollment Report in order to verify that the LRFP's planned capacity is appropriate for the updated enrollments.

# 3. Preschool Enrollments

The District identified the preschool universe for five-year planning purposes and the anticipated future use of community provider and Head Start programs to accommodate capacity needs. The preschool universe includes accommodations for three year old, four year old, and self-contained special education students.

Table 3 summarizes existing and proposed preschool enrollments in District, community provider, and Head Start facilities. Ninety percent (90%) of District births three or four years prior to the projection year and the average birth to kindergarten survival ratio are also listed for comparative purposes.

	Total Preschool	District	Community Provider	Head Start
Actual (2007-08)	960	8	855	105
District Proposed Universe	1,600	720	775	105
90% of Births 3-4 Years Earlier	1,988			
Historic Average Birth to Kindergarten Survival Rate Percentage	59%			

#### Table 3: Preschool Enrollments

Major conclusions are as follows:

- Almost all District preschool enrollments are currently served by community provider or Head Start programs.
- District facilities are proposed to accommodate approximately 45% of the projected preschool enrollments.

**FINDINGS** The Department has determined that the District-proposed preschool enrollments are adequate for review of the District's LRFP. The Department will require a current enrollment projection at the time an application for a school facilities project is submitted incorporating the District's most recent Fall Enrollment Report and an update on community provider and Head Start enrollments in order to verify that the LRFP's planned capacity continues to meet District enrollments.

# 4. FES and District Practices Capacity

The proposed room inventories for each school were analyzed to determine whether the LRFP provides adequate capacity for the proposed enrollments. Two capacity calculation methods, called "FES Capacity" and "District Practices Capacity," were used to assess existing and proposed school capacity in accordance with the FES and District program delivery practices. A third capacity calculation, called "Functional Capacity," determines Unhoused Students and potential State support for school facilities projects. Functional Capacity is analyzed in Section 5 of this Summary.

- FES Capacity only assigns capacity to pre-kindergarten (if district-owned or operated), kindergarten, general, and self-contained special education classrooms. No other room types are considered to be capacity-generating. Class size is based on the FES and is prorated for classrooms that are sized smaller than FES classrooms. FES Capacity is most accurate for elementary schools, or schools with non-departmentalized programs, in which instruction is "homeroom" based. This capacity calculation may also be accurate for middle schools depending upon the program structure. However, this method usually significantly understates available high school capacity since specialized spaces that are typically provided in lieu of general classrooms are not included in the capacity calculations.
- District Practices Capacity allows the District to include specialized room types in the capacity calculations and adjust class size to reflect actual practices. This calculation is used to review capacity and enrollment coordination in middle and high schools.

A capacity utilization factor in accordance with the FES is included in both capacity calculations. A 90% capacity utilization rate is applied to classrooms serving grades K-8. An 85% capacity utilization rate is applied to classrooms serving grades 9-12. No capacity utilization factor is applied to preschool classrooms.

Table 4 provides a summary of proposed enrollments and District-wide capacities. Detailed information can be found in the LRFP website report titled "FES and District Practices Capacity."

	Proposed Enrollment	FES Capacity	Deviation*	District Practices Capacity	Deviation*
Preschool (PK)	720	702.63	-17.37	713.52	-6.48
Elementary (K-5)	4,036	4,057.06	21.06	4,085.99	49.99
Middle (6-8)	1,798	1,808.98	10.98	1,808.98	10.98
High (9-12)	1,527	1,428.00	-99.00	1,512.15	-14.85
District Totals	8,081	7,996.68	-84.32	8,120.64	39.64

#### **Table 4 Proposed Enrollments and Capacity Summary**

\* Positive numbers signify surplus capacity; negative numbers signify inadequate capacity. Negative values for District Practices capacity are acceptable if proposed enrollments do not exceed 100% capacity utilization.

- The District has adequately coordinated proposed school capacities and enrollments in the LRFP.
- Adequate justification has been provided by the District if capacity for a school deviates from the proposed enrollments by more than 5%.

**FINDINGS** The Department has determined that proposed District capacity, in accordance with the proposed enrollments, is adequate for review of the District's LRFP. The Department will require a current enrollment projection at the time an application for a school facilities project is submitted, incorporating the District's most recent Fall Enrollment Report, in order to verify that the LRFP's planned capacity meets the District's updated enrollments.

# 5. Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students Prior to Proposed Work

*Functional Capacity* was calculated and compared to proposed enrollments to provide a preliminary estimate of Unhoused Students and new construction funding eligibility. Functional Capacity is the adjusted gross square feet of a school building *(total gross square feet minus excluded space)* divided by the minimum area allowance per Full-time Equivalent student for the grade level contained therein. Unhoused Students is the number of students projected to be enrolled in the District that exceeds the Functional Capacity of the District's schools pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:26-2.2(c).

"Excluded Square Feet" in the LRFP Functional Capacity calculation includes (1) square footage exceeding the FES for any pre-kindergarten, kindergarten, general education, or self-contained special education classroom; (2) grossing factor square footage (corridors, stairs, mechanical rooms, etc.) that exceeds the FES allowance, and (3) square feet proposed to be demolished or discontinued from use. Excluded square feet may be revised during the review process for individual school facilities projects.

Table 5 provides a preliminary assessment of Functional Capacity, Unhoused Students, and Estimated Maximum Approved Area for the various grade groups in accordance with the FES. Detailed information concerning the calculation and preliminary excluded square feet can be found in the LRFP website reports titled *"Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students"* and *"Functional Capacity Excluded Square Feet."* 

		В			
		Estimated		D	$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{D}$
	A	Existing	C = A-B	Area	Estimated Maximum
	Proposed	Functional	Unhoused	Allowance	Approved Area for
	Enrollment	Capacity	Students	(gsf/students)	Unhoused Students
Preschool (PK)	720	654.57	65.43	125.00	8,179.21
Elementary (K-5)	4,036	3,281.52	754.48	125.00	94,309.59
Middle (6-8)	1,798	1,998.12	0.00	134.00	0.00
High (9-12)	1,527	1,592.28	0.00	151.00	0.00
District Totals	8,081	7,526.49			

# Table 5: Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students Prior to Proposed Work

- The calculations for "Estimated Existing Functional Capacity" do not include incomplete school facilities projects that have project approval from the Department and are either under construction or part of the New Jersey School Development Authority's April 2007 Capital Plan.
- The District, based on the preliminary LRFP assessment, does not have Unhoused Students for the following grade groups: 6-8, 9-12.
- The District, based on the preliminary LRFP assessment, has Unhoused Students for the following grade groups: PK, K-5.
- The District is an ECPA (Early Childhood Program Aid) District. Therefore, general education prekindergarten students are included in the calculations.
- The District is proposing to demolish or discontinue the use of existing instructional space. The Functional Capacity calculation excludes square feet proposed to be demolished or discontinued for the following FES grade groups: K-5.

**FINDINGS** Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students calculated in the LRFP are preliminary estimates. Justification for square footage in excess of the FES and the determination of additional excluded square feet, Preliminary Eligible Costs (PEC), and Final Eligible Costs (FEC) will be included in the review process for specific school facilities projects. A feasibility study undertaken by the District is required if building demolition or replacement is proposed per N.J.A.C. 6A:26-2.3(b)(10).

# 6. Proposed Work

The District was instructed to review the condition of its facilities and sites and to propose corrective "system" and "inventory" actions in its LRFP. "System" actions upgrade existing conditions without changing spatial configuration or size. Examples of system actions include new windows, finishes, and mechanical systems. "Inventory" actions address space problems by removing, adding, or altering sites, schools, buildings and rooms. Examples of inventory actions include building additions, the reconfiguration of existing walls, or changing room use.

Table 6 summarizes the type of work proposed in the District's LRFP for instructional buildings. Detailed information can be found in the LRFP website reports titled "Site Asset Inventory," "LRFP Systems Actions Summary," and "LRFP Inventory Actions Summary." The proposed scope of work for instructional buildings includes one new building on a new site, the renovation and expansion of six buildings, and select demolition at two buildings.

Table 6: Proposed Work for Instructional Building

Type of Work	Work Included in LRFP		
System Upgrades	Yes		
Inventory Changes			
Room Reassignment or Reconfiguration	Yes		
Building Addition	Yes		
New Building	Yes		
Partial or Whole Building Demolition or Discontinuation of Use	Yes		
New Site	Yes		

- The District has proposed system upgrades in one or more instructional buildings.
- The District has proposed inventory changes, including new construction, in one or more instructional buildings.
- The District has proposed new construction in lieu of rehabilitation in one or more instructional buildings.

Please note that costs represented in the LRFP are for capital planning purposes only. Estimated costs are not intended to represent preliminary eligible costs or final eligible costs of approved school facilities projects.

The Act (N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-7b) provides that all school facilities shall be deemed suitable for rehabilitation unless a pre-construction evaluation undertaken by the District demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that the structure might pose a risk to the safety of the occupants even after rehabilitation or that rehabilitation is not cost-effective. Pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:26-2.3(b)(10), the Commissioner may identify school facilities for which new construction is proposed in lieu of rehabilitation for which it appears from the information presented that new construction is justified, provided, however, that for such school facilities so identified, the District must submit a feasibility study as part of the application for the specific school facilities project. The cost of each proposed building replacement is compared to the cost of additions or rehabilitation required to eliminate health and safety deficiencies and to achieve the District's programmatic model.

Facilities used for non-instructional or non-educational purposes are ineligible for State support under the Act. However, projects for such facilities shall be reviewed by the Department to determine whether they are consistent with the District's LRFP and whether the facility, if it is to house students (full or part time) conforms to educational adequacy requirements. These projects shall conform to all applicable statutes and regulations.

**FINDINGS** The Department has determined that the proposed work is adequate for review of the District's LRFP. However, Department approval of proposed work in the LRFP does not imply that the District may proceed with a school facilities project. The District must submit individual project applications with cost estimates for Department project approval. Both school facilities project approval and other capital project review require consistency with the District's approved LRFP.

# 7. Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students After Completion of Proposed Work

The Functional Capacity of the District's schools after completion of the scope of work proposed in the LRFP was calculated to highlight any remaining Unhoused Students. Table 7 provides a preliminary assessment. Detailed information concerning the calculation can be found in the website report titled "Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students."

	Estimated Maximum Approved Area for Unhoused Students	Total New GSF	Proposed Functional Capacity after Construction	Unhoused Students after Construction	Estimated Maximum Area for Unhoused Students Remaining
Pre-Kindergarten	8,179.21	15,581	779.22	0.00	0.00
Elementary (K-5)	94,309.59	155,560	4,526.00	0.00	0.00
Middle (6-8)	0.00	148,350	3,105.21	0.00	0.00
High (9-12)	0.00	47,838	1,909.09	0.00	0.00
District Totals		367,329	10,319.52		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Table 7: Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students After Completion of Proposed Work

Major conclusions are as follows:

- New construction is proposed for the following grade groups: PK, K-5, 6-8, 9-12.
- Proposed new construction exceeds the estimated maximum area allowance for Unhoused Students prior to the completion of the proposed work for the following grade groups: PK, K-5, 6-8, 9-12.
- The District, based on the preliminary LRFP assessment, will not have Unhoused Students after completion of the proposed work for the following grade groups.

**FINDINGS** The Functional Capacity and Unhoused Students calculated in the LRFP are preliminary estimates. Justification for square footage in excess of the FES and the determination of additional excluded square feet, Preliminary Eligible Costs (PEC), and Final Eligible Costs (FEC) will be included in the review process for specific school facilities projects.

# 8. Proposed Room Inventories and the Facilities Efficiency Standards

The District's proposed room inventories for instructional buildings, or programmatic models, were evaluated to assess general educational adequacy and compliance with the FES area allowance pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:26-2.2 and 2.3.

Major conclusions are as follows:

- The District is not proposing school(s) that will provide less square feet per student than the FES allowance.
- The District is proposing school(s) that exceed the FES square foot per student allowance.

**FINDINGS** The Department has reviewed the District's proposed room inventories and has determined that each is educationally adequate. If schools are proposed to provide less square feet per student than the FES, the District has provided a written justification indicating that the educational adequacy of the facility will not be adversely affected and has been granted an FES waiver by the Department. This determination does not include an assessment of eligible square feet for State support. State support eligibility will be determined at the time an application for a specific school facilities project is submitted to the Department. The Department will also confirm that a proposed school facilities project conforms with the proposed room inventory represented in the LRFP when an application for a specific school facilities project is submitted to the Department for review and approval.

# 9. Preliminary Project Prioritization

The Department of Education and the New Jersey School Development Authority (SDA) convened a "Project Prioritization Task Force" to create a system that objectively and transparently applies the priorities of the Educational Facilities Construction and Financing Act and logistical considerations to the sequencing of future school facilities projects. The goal of the prioritization criteria is to allocate available funding to the highest and best purpose by addressing issues consistent with the criteria of the Act. District feedback concerning logistical or other considerations particular to each project will be a critical part of the process.

Projects will be organized in the following descending criteria:

- 1. Physical Plant / Health and safety
- 2. Early childhood center (pre-kindergarten and/or kindergarten)
- 3. Overcrowding; Preschool capacity
- 4. Overcrowding; No preschool capacity
- 5. All other projects
  - a. No overcrowding; Preschool capacity
  - b. No overcrowding; No preschool capacity or overcrowding
  - c. Non-instructional projects

Logistical considerations will influence the sequencing of school facilities projects within each category. Considerations will include land status, project timeline, construction phasing, and district priorities.

Table 9 organizes the work proposed in the District's LRFP by the prioritization categories. The prioritization analysis assumes that all proposed work associated with a school will be advanced at the same time, with physical plant work included within the larger renovation and expansion project as applicable. Overcrowding is based on existing enrollments and capacity on a district-wide grade group basis. The District does not have incomplete projects currently represented as "existing" inventory in the LRFP website. Operational issues that impact overcrowding, such as school sending areas, are not considered pending District input. Physical plant and educational adequacy issues other than overcrowding are also not examined at this time.

School/Building Name	Proposed Grades	LRFP Scope of Work	Land Needed	Project Status
1. Physical Plant (Instructional	l buildings; No	other proposed work)		
Included below				
2. Early Childhood Center No applicable projects				
3. Overcrowding; Preschool ( PK: Projected District Enrollm Gr. K-5: 2005-06 Enrollment =	ent = 720; Exis			
Berkeley Terrace	РК-5	Renovation/addition	N	
Chancellor Avenue	РК-5	Renovation/addition	N	
Grove Street	РК-5	Select demolition; Renovation/addition	N	
Madison Avenue	РК-5	Select demolition; Renovation/addition; Site upgrades	N	
Gr. K-5: 2005-06 Enrollment = Gr. 6-8: 2005-06 Enrollment = Gr. 9-12: 2005-06 Enrollment =	1,774; Existing		ctices Capacity =	1,217
Florence Avenue	K-5	Renovation/addition	N	
New Middle School	6-8	New building on new site	Y	
Irvington High School	9-12	Renovation/addition; Site upgrades	N	
5. All Other Projects				
<b>5A. No Overcrowding; Presch</b> <i>No applicable projects.</i>	nool Capacity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<b>5B.</b> No Overcrowding or Pres No applicable projects.	chool Capacity	,		
		<u> </u>		
5C. Non-Educational Projects	•			•

# Table 9: Proposed LRFP Work and Preliminary Prioritization

**FINDINGS** Existing overcrowding has been identified in all grade groups. Additional project prioritization and logistical considerations for the proposed work will be considered in a separate analysis.