# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA U.S. Department of Justice Antitrust Division 450 Fifth Street, NW, Suite 8700 Washington, DC 20530,

STATE OF COLORADO Colorado Department of Law 1300 Broadway, 7th Floor Denver, CO 80203,

STATE OF IDAHO
Office of the Attorney General of Idaho
954 W. Jefferson Street, Second Floor
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720,

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General Strawberry Square, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120,

STATE OF TEXAS
Office of the Attorney General of Texas
300 West 15<sup>th</sup> Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor
Austin, TX 78701,

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA Office of the Attorney General of Virginia 900 East Main Street Richmond, VA 23219, STATE OF WASHINGTON Office of the Attorney General of Washington 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104,

and

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA
Office of the Attorney General of West Virginia
269 Aikens Center
Martinsburg, WV 25404

Plaintiffs,

v.

SPRINGLEAF HOLDINGS, INC. 601 N.W. Second Street Evansville, IN 47708,

ONEMAIN FINANCIAL HOLDINGS, LLC 300 Saint Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202,

and

CITIFINANCIAL CREDIT COMPANY c/o CITIGROUP INC.
399 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022

Defendants.

#### **COMPLAINT**

The United States of America ("United States"), acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, and the States of Colorado, Idaho, Texas, Washington and West Virginia and the Commonwealths of Pennsylvania and Virginia (collectively, "Plaintiff States"), acting by and through their respective Offices of the Attorney General, bring this civil action to enjoin the proposed acquisition of OneMain Financial Holdings, LLC ("OneMain") by Springleaf Holdings, Inc. ("Springleaf") and to obtain other equitable relief.

#### I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. OneMain and Springleaf are the two largest lenders that offer personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in the United States, and the only two with a nationwide branch network. Personal installment loans to subprime borrowers are fixed-rate, fixed-term and fully amortized loan products that appeal to borrowers who have limited access to credit from traditional banking institutions. OneMain and Springleaf specialize in the same products (large installment loans typically ranging from \$3,000 to \$6,000), target the same customer base, and often operate branches within close proximity to one another.
- 2. In local markets across Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia, Springleaf and OneMain face limited competition for the provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers and serve as each other's closest and often only competitor. Elimination of the competition between Springleaf and OneMain would leave subprime borrowers seeking personal installment loans with few choices. This reduction in consumer choice may drive many financially struggling borrowers to much more expensive forms of credit or, worse, leave them with no reasonable alternative. As a result, Springleaf's proposed acquisition of OneMain likely would substantially lessen competition in the provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in numerous local markets, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §

# II. THE DEFENDANTS AND THE TRANSACTION

3. Defendant Springleaf is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Evansville, Indiana. Springleaf is the second-largest provider of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in the United States, with approximately 830 branches in 27 states. Springleaf has a consumer loan portfolio that totals \$4.0 billion.

- 4. Defendant OneMain, a Delaware limited liability company headquartered in Baltimore, Maryland, is the largest provider of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in the United States, with 1,139 branch locations in 43 states. OneMain has a consumer loan portfolio that totals \$8.4 billion. OneMain is a subsidiary of Defendant CitiFinancial Credit Company ("CitiFinancial"), a Delaware corporation headquartered in Dallas, Texas. CitiFinancial is a holding company that is a wholly owned subsidiary of Citigroup, Inc.
- 5. Pursuant to a Purchase Agreement dated March 2, 2015, Springleaf agreed to purchase OneMain from CitiFinancial for \$4.25 billion.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The United States brings this action pursuant to Section 15 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 25, as amended, to prevent and restrain Defendants from violating Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18.
- 7. The Plaintiff States bring this action under Section 16 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 26, to prevent and restrain Springleaf and OneMain from violating Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. The Plaintiff States, by and through their respective Offices of the Attorney General, bring this action as *parens patriae* on behalf of the citizens, general welfare, and economy of each of their states.
- 8. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 15 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 25, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345. Defendants offer personal installment loans to customers in the United States in a regular, continuous, and substantial flow of interstate commerce. Defendants' activities in the provision of personal installment loans have had a substantial effect upon interstate commerce.

9. Defendants have consented to venue and personal jurisdiction in this District.

Therefore, venue in this District is proper under Section 12 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 22, and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).

#### IV. TRADE AND COMMERCE

### A. Personal Installment Loans to Subprime Borrowers

- 10. The average size of a personal installment loan typically falls in the range of \$3,000 to \$6,000. Personal installment loans to subprime borrowers are closed-end, fixed-rate, fixed-term, and fully amortized loan products. In a fully amortized loan, both principal and interest are paid fully through scheduled installments by the end of the loan term, which typically is between 18 and 60 months in duration. Each monthly payment is the same amount and the schedule of payments is clear. If the borrower makes each scheduled payment, at the end of the loan term, the loan is repaid in full.
- 11. Personal installment lenders target a unique segment of borrowers who may not be able to obtain cheaper sources of credit from other financial institutions but have enough cash flow to afford the monthly payments of personal installment loans. Borrowers of personal installment loans are considered "subprime" because of blemishes in their credit histories, such as serious delinquencies or defaults. These borrowers likely have been denied credit by a bank in the past and turn to personal installment lenders for the speed, ease, and likelihood of success in obtaining credit. Their borrowing needs vary, for example, from paying for unexpected expenses, such as car repairs or medical bills, to consolidating debts. A typical subprime borrower's annual income is in the range of \$35,000 to \$45,000.
- 12. The blemished credit histories of subprime borrowers suggest a higher propensity for default on future loans relative to so-called "prime" borrowers. Personal installment lenders mitigate this credit risk by closely analyzing a borrower's characteristics and ability to repay the

loan. The lender examines several categories of information about the borrower, including, among other criteria, credit history, income and outstanding debts, stability of employment, and availability or value of collateral. Lenders typically require borrowers to meet face-to-face at a branch location to close the loan, even if the application begins online. This face-to-face meeting allows the lender to efficiently collect information used in underwriting and verify key documents (reducing the risk of fraud). Subprime borrowers seeking installment loans also value having a branch office close to where they live or work; a nearby branch reduces the borrower's travel cost to close the loan and allows convenient and timely access to loan proceeds. If approved, borrowers immediately obtain the funds at the branch.

- 13. Local branch presence also helps lenders and borrowers establish close customer relationships during the life of the loan. Local branch employees monitor delinquent payments of existing customers and assist borrowers in meeting their payment obligations to minimize loan loss. Borrowers also benefit from knowing the local branch employees. Borrowers may visit a branch to make payments, refinance their loans, or speak with a branch employee at times of financial difficulties. Lenders place branches where their target borrowers live or work so that it is convenient for their borrowers to come into a branch.
- 14. The interest rate on a personal installment loan is the largest component of the total cost of a loan. Other costs, such as origination fees, maintenance fees, and closing fees, increase the effective interest rate that a borrower will pay. The Annual Percentage Rate ("APR") combines the two components, interest rates and fees, to indicate the annual charges associated with the loan. Although the maximum interest rates and fees charged on personal installment loans vary by state, Springleaf and OneMain have a self-imposed interest rate cap of 36 percent on their respective loans.

- 15. While borrowers consider APR in selecting a loan, subprime borrowers typically focus most on the monthly payment and on the ease and speed of obtaining approval. Subprime borrowers' main concerns are whether the payment will fit into their monthly budget and whether they can obtain the money quickly to meet their needs. For these reasons, negotiations between borrowers and lenders tend to focus more on the amount of the loan, the repayment terms, and collateral requirements than on the rates and fees. When a subprime borrower needs or wants a lower monthly payment, personal installment lenders generally lower the amount of the loan or lengthen the term of the loan.
- 16. Every state requires personal installment lenders to obtain licenses to offer loans to subprime borrowers. Many states also have regulations governing the interest rates and fees on loans charged by consumer finance companies licensed to operate in the state. Some states impose a maximum rate and fee for all personal installment loans, while others have a tiered-rate system that establishes different interest rates and fees for different loan amounts. State regulations significantly affect the number of personal installment lenders offering loans to subprime lenders in the state.

#### **B.** Relevant Product Market

- 17. Subprime borrowers turn to personal installment loans when they need cash but have limited access to credit from banks, credit card companies, and other lenders. The products offered by these lenders are not meaningful substitutes for personal installment loans for a substantial number of subprime borrowers.
- 18. Banks and credit unions offer personal installment loans at rates and terms much better than those offered by personal installment lenders, but subprime borrowers typically do not meet the underwriting criteria of those institutions and are unlikely to be approved. Further, the loan application and underwriting process at banks and credit unions typically take much

longer than that of personal installment lenders, who can provide subprime borrowers with funds on a far quicker timetable. For these and other reasons, subprime borrowers would not turn to banks and credit unions as an alternative in the event personal installment lenders were to increase the interest rate or otherwise make their loan terms less appealing by a small but significant amount.

- 19. Payday and title lenders provide short-term cash, but charge much higher rates and fees, usually lend in amounts well below \$1,000, and require far quicker repayment than personal installment lenders. Specifically, rates and fees for these types of short-term cash advances can exceed 250 percent APR with repayment generally due in less than 30 days. Given these key differences, subprime borrowers likely would not turn to payday and title loans as an alternative in the event personal installment lenders were to increase the interest rate or otherwise make their loan terms less appealing by a small but significant amount.
- 20. Most subprime borrowers also cannot turn to credit cards as an alternative to personal installment loans. Subprime borrowers frequently have difficulty obtaining credit cards, and those who have credit cards have often reached their maximum available credit limits (which are much lower than those given to prime borrowers), or have limited access to additional credit extensions. Although subprime borrowers may use credit cards for everyday purchases, such as groceries or dining out, they typically have insufficient remaining credit to pay for larger expenses such as major car repairs or significant medical bills. Subprime borrowers therefore could not generally turn to credit cards as an alternative in the event lenders offering personal installment loans to subprime borrowers were to increase the interest rate or otherwise make their loan terms less appealing by a small but significant amount.
- 21. Finally, although online lenders have been successful in making loans to prime borrowers, they face challenges in meeting the needs of and mitigating the credit risk posed by

subprime borrowers. Without a local branch presence, online lenders do not maintain close customer relationships, nor can they conduct face-to-face meetings to verify key documents, measures which reduce the risk of fraud and borrower default. Online lenders tend to focus on borrowers with better credit profiles or higher incomes than the borrowers typically served by personal installment lenders with branches in local markets. Furthermore, online lenders are unable to process an application and distribute loan proceeds as quickly as local personal installment lenders. For these reasons, subprime borrowers generally would not turn to loans offered by online lenders in the event lenders offering personal installment loans to subprime borrowers were to increase the interest rate or otherwise make their loan terms less appealing by a small but significant amount.

22. Accordingly, the provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers is a line of commerce and a relevant product market within the meaning of Section 7 of the Clayton Act.

# C. Relevant Geographic Market

- 23. Subprime borrowers seeking personal installment loans value convenience, which includes quick access to the borrowed funds and minimal travel time. Consequently, subprime borrowers considering a personal installment lender look for a branch near where they live or where they work. While the distance a borrower is willing to travel may vary by geography, the vast majority of subprime borrowers travel less than twenty miles to a branch for a personal installment loan.
- 24. Personal installment lenders have established local trade areas for their branches. Lenders usually rely on direct mail solicitations as the primary means of marketing and solicit customers who live within close proximity to their branches. Lenders who place branches in the

same areas compete to serve the same target borrower base. Borrowers view lenders with branches in close proximity to each other as close substitutes.

- 25. For these reasons, the overlapping trade areas of competing personal installment lenders form geographic markets where the lenders located within the trade areas compete for subprime borrowers who live or work near the branches. The size and shape of the overlapping trade areas of these branches may vary as the distance borrowers are willing to travel depends on factors specific to each local area. Even so, typically more than three-quarters of the personal installment loans to subprime borrowers made by a given branch are made to borrowers residing within twenty miles of the branch. Personal installment lenders with branches located outside these trade areas usually are not convenient alternatives for borrowers.
- 26. Springleaf and OneMain have a high degree of geographic overlap between their branch networks. In local areas within and around 126 towns and municipalities in eleven states Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia Springleaf and OneMain have branches located within close proximity of one another, often within five miles. In these overlapping trade areas of Springleaf's and OneMain's branches, few other lenders have branches offering personal installment loans to subprime borrowers. In many of these overlapping trade areas, Springleaf and OneMain are the only two personal installment lenders.
- 27. In local areas within and around 126 towns and municipalities in Arizona,
  California, Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, Washington,
  and West Virginia, subprime borrowers of personal installment loans would not seek such loans
  outside the local areas in the event lenders offering personal installment loans to subprime
  borrowers were to increase the interest rate or otherwise make their loans less appealing by a
  small but significant amount. Accordingly, the overlapping trade areas located in the 126 towns

and municipalities identified in the Appendix hereto constitute relevant geographic markets within the meaning of Section 7 of the Clayton Act.

# D. Anticompetitive Effects

- 28. Springleaf and OneMain are the two largest providers of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in the United States. Both companies have a long history in the business of providing personal installment loans to subprime borrowers, have built an extensive branch network, and have established close ties to the local communities. Leveraging their years of experience and large customer base, both companies have developed sophisticated risk analytics that allow them to minimize expected credit losses when extending loans to borrowers with blemished credit histories.
- 29. Compared to Springleaf and OneMain, other lenders that offer personal installment loans to subprime borrowers have much smaller branch footprints and are present in a more limited number of states and local markets. These personal installment lenders may operate in states with regulations that permit higher interest rates and fees, rather than in those with low interest rate caps. State regulations, lack of scale, and other economic factors have limited the competitive presence of these lenders in many states and local areas.
- 30. In local markets within and around the 126 towns and municipalities in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia identified in the Appendix, the market for the provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers is highly concentrated. In the local areas within these states, Springleaf and OneMain are the largest providers of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers, and face little, if any, competition from other personal installment lenders. Even if other providers of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers have a branch presence in these states, these lenders compete in a limited number of local markets or in

communities located far from a Springleaf or OneMain branch. As a result, these local markets are highly concentrated.

31. In local markets within and around the 126 towns and municipalities in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia identified in the Appendix, the proposed acquisition would substantially increase concentration in the market for personal installment loans to subprime borrowers. Without the benefit of head-to-head competition between Springleaf and OneMain, subprime borrowers are likely to face higher interest rates or fees, greater limits on the amount they can borrow and restraints on their ability to obtain loans, and more onerous loan terms. The proposed acquisition therefore likely will substantially lessen competition in the provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers.

# E. Entry

- 32. Entry of additional competitors into the provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in local markets in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia is unlikely to be timely or sufficient to defeat the likely anticompetitive effects of the proposed acquisition. In some states, the state regulatory rate caps create unattractive markets for entry. In others, lenders face entry barriers in terms of cost and time to establish a local branch presence. Personal installment lenders need experienced branch employees with knowledge of the local market to build a base of customer relationships. A new lender in a local market faces more risks as it does not have knowledge of local market conditions. A lender also must obtain funding and devote resources to building a successful local presence.
- 33. As a result of these barriers, entry into the provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in the local markets identified above would not be timely, likely, or

sufficient to defeat the substantial lessening of competition that likely would result from Springleaf's acquisition of OneMain.

#### V. VIOLATION ALLEGED

- 34. The acquisition of OneMain by Springleaf likely would substantially lessen competition in the provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in the relevant geographic markets identified the Appendix, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18.
- 35. Unless enjoined, the proposed acquisition likely would have the following anticompetitive effects, among others:
  - a. actual and potential competition between Springleaf and OneMain in the
    provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in local markets in
    Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas,
    Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia would be eliminated;
  - b. competition generally in the provision of personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in local markets in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia would be substantially lessened; and
  - c. prices and other terms for personal installment loans to subprime borrowers in local markets in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia would become less favorable to consumers and access to such loans by subprime borrowers would decrease.

# VI. REQUESTED RELIEF

- 36. Plaintiffs request that the Court:
  - a. adjudge and decree that Springleaf's proposed acquisition of OneMain is unlawful and in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18;
  - b. preliminarily and permanently enjoin and restrain Defendants and all persons acting on their behalf from entering into any other agreement, understanding, or plan by which Springleaf would acquire OneMain;
  - c. award Plaintiffs their costs for this action; and
  - d. grant Plaintiffs such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: November/3, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

WILLIAM J. BAER (D.C. Bar # 324723)

Assistant Attorney General

MARIBETH PETRIZZI (D.C. Bar #435204)

Chief, Litigation II Section

RENATA B. HESSE (D.C. Bar # 466107)

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

DOROTHY FOUNTAIN (D.C. Bar #439469)

Assistant Chief, Litigation II Section

PATRICIA A. BRINK

Director of Civil Enforcement

ANGELA TING (D.C. Bar #449576)

STEPHANIE FLEMING

LESLIE PERTIZ

JAY D. OWEN

TARA SHINNICK (D.C. Bar #501462)

REBECCA VALENTINE (D.C. Bar # 989607)

United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division, Litigation II Section 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Suite 8700 Washington, D.C. 20530

(202) 616-7721

(202) 514-9033 (Facsimile)

angela.ting@usdoj.gov

#### FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF COLORADO:

CYNTHIA H. COFFMAN Attorney General of Colorado

DEVIN LAIHO

Assistant Attorney General
Consumer Protection Section
Colorado Department of Law
Ralph L. Carr Colorado Judicial Center
1300 Broadway, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor
Denver, Colorado 80203
(720) 508-6219
(720) 508-6040 (Facsimile)
devin.laiho@state.co.us

# FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF IDAHO:

LAWRENCE G. WASDEN Attorney General of Idaho

BRETT T. DELANGE

Idaho State Bar No. 3628

Deputy Attorney General

Consumer Protection Division

Office of the Attorney General of Idaho

954 W. Jefferson St, Second Floor

P.O. Box 83720

Boise, Idaho 83720-0010

(208) 334-4114

(208) 334-4151 (facsimile)

brett.delange@ag.idaho.gov

# FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Tracy W. Wertz

Chief Deputy Attorney General

**Antitrust Section** 

Joseph S. Betsko

State Bar No. 82620

Senior Deputy Attorney General

Antitrust Section

Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General Strawberry Square, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120

(717) 787-4530

(717) 787-1190 (facsimile)

jbetsko@attorneygeneral.gov

#### FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF TEXAS:

KEN PAXTON Attorney General of Texas

CHARLES E. ROY First Assistant Attorney General

JAMES E. DAVIS Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

JOHN T. PRUD'HOMME Chief, Consumer Protection Division

KIM VAN WINKLE Chief, Antitrust Section

MARK A. LEVY

Assistant Attorney General

Consumer Protection Division, Antitrust Section

Office of the Attorney General of Texas

300 W. 15<sup>th</sup> Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor

Austin, Texas 78701

(512) 936-1847

(512) 320-0975 (Facsimile)

mark.levy@texasattorneygeneral.gov

#### FOR PLAINTIFF COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA:

MARK R. HERRING Attorney General of Virginia

CYNTHIA E. HUDSON Chief Deputy Attorney General

RHODES B. RITENOUR
Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

DAVID B. IRVIN

Virginia State Bar No. 23927

Senior Assistant Attorney General and Chief

MARK S. KUBIAK

Virginia State Bar No. 73119

Assistant Attorney General

**Consumer Protection Section** 

Office of the Attorney General of Virginia

900 East Main Street

Richmond, Virginia 23219

Phone: (804) 786-4047 Facsimile: (804) 786-0122

#### FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WASHINGTON:

ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General of Washington

DARWIN P. ROBERTS Deputy Attorney General

JONATHAN A. MARK Chief, Antitrust Division

STEPHEN T. FAIRCHILD

State Bar No. 41214

Assistant Attorney General

**Antitrust Division** 

Office of the Attorney General of Washington

800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000

Seattle, Washington 98104

(206) 389-2848

(206) 464-6338 (Facsimile)

stephenf2@atg.wa.gov

#### FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA:

PATRICK MORRISEY Attorney General of West Virginia

ANN L. HAIGHT Deputy Attorney General Director, Consumer Protection and Antitrust Division

TANYAL GODFREY

West Virginia State Bar No. 7448

District of Columbia Bar No. 1016435

Assistant Attorney General

Consumer Protection Division

Office of the Attorney General of West Virginia

269 Aikens Center

Martinsburg, WV 25404

(304) 267-0239

(304) 267-0248 (Facsimile)

Tanya.L.Godfrey@wvago.gov

# APPENDIX

CITY	STATE
PHOENIX	AZ
TEMPE	AZ
TUCSON	AZ
ANAHEIM	CA
ANTIOCH	CA
BAKERSFIELD	CA
CHICO	CA
CHULA VISTA	CA
SACRAMENTO	CA
ESCONDIDO	CA
FREMONT	CA
FRESNO	CA
HANFORD	CA
LEMON GROVE	CA
LONG BEACH	CA
MADERA	CA
MERCED	CA
MODESTO	CA
OXNARD	CA
PALMDALE	CA
PARAMOUNT	CA
PASADENA	CA
POMONA	CA
RANCHO	CA
CUCAMONGA	CA
REDDING	CA
RIALTO	CA
SAN FERNANDO	CA
SANTA ANA	CA
SANTA MARIA	CA
SOUTH SAN	CA
FRANCISCO	
STOCKTON	CA
TORRANCE	CA
COLORADO SPRINGS	СО
FORT COLLINS	CO
PUEBLO	СО
AURORA	CO
THORNTON	CO
LITTLETON	CO
TWIN FALLS	ID

COEUR D'ALENE	ID
POCATELLO	ID
BOISE	ID
FOREST CITY	NC
HENDERSON	NC
MOREHEAD CITY	NC
MOUNT AIRY	NC
KINSTON	NC
WILKESBORO	NC
SHELBY	NC
WILSON	NC
CHARLOTTE	NC
DURHAM	NC
CLINTON	NC
KERNERSVILLE	NC
WILLIAMSTON	NC
REIDSVILLE	NC
ALBEMARLE	NC
MORGANTON	NC
MARION	NC
ASHTABULA	ОН
ATHENS	ОН
CAMBRIDGE	ОН
GARFIELD HEIGHTS	ОН
REYNOLDSBURG	ОН
FAIRBORN	ОН
DOVER	ОН
GALLIPOLIS	ОН
LIMA	OH
ONTARIO	ОН
SANDUSKY	OH
TOLEDO	OH
CHILLICOTHE	OH
ELYRIA	OH
FAIRLAWN	OH
LANCASTER	OH
MARION	OH
WOOSTER	ОН
CHELTENHAM	PA
LANCASTER	PA
JOHNSTOWN	PA
MONACA	PA
E. NORRITON TWP	PA
SHAMOKIN DAM	PA

STATE COLLEGE	PA
TANNERSVILLE	PA
UPPER DARBY	PA
WASHINGTON	PA
BURLESON	TX
AMARILLO	TX
BEAUMONT	TX
BRYAN	TX
DEL RIO	TX
DENTON	TX
LAKE JACKSON	TX
LUFKIN	TX
ODESSA	TX
SAN ANGELO	TX
CHRISTIANSBURG	VA
ALTAVISTA	VA
COLLINSVILLE	VA
DANVILLE	VA
FARMVILLE	VA
FRONT ROYAL	VA
GALAX	VA
LEESBURG	VA
PETERSBURG	VA
RICHMOND	VA
SOUTH HILL	VA
STAUNTON	VA
SUFFOLK	VA
TAPPAHANNOCK	VA
WOODBRIDGE	VA
BREMERTON	WA
EVERETT	WA
KENNEWICK	WA
MOUNT VERNON	WA
OLYMPIA	WA
RENTON	WA
SPOKANE	WA
UNION GAP	WA
LOGAN	WV
PRINCETON	WV
LEWISBURG	WV
BARBOURSVILLE	WV
OAK HILL	WV
SOUTH CHARLESTON	WV