

# **The New York State District Report Card**

**Accountability** and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District WESTHILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 42-07-01-06-0000 Superintendent STEPHEN BOCCIOLATT Telephone (315) 426-3218 Grades K-12

# This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

**Get District Profile information**.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **Review District** 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **View School Accountability** Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



#### **Review an Overview** of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 42-07-01-06-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	109	118	110
Grade 1	149	123	139
Grade 2	136	144	123
Grade 3	155	131	151
Grade 4	133	153	131
Grade 5	158	130	152
Grade 6	154	162	131
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	149	149	161
Grade 8	165	144	148
Grade 9	165	170	149
Grade 10	166	155	166
Grade 11	149	158	153
Grade 12	166	147	158
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1954	1884	1872

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	21	19	20
Grade 8			
English	21	21	21
Mathematics	20	18	20
Science	20	19	20
Social Studies	20	20	21
Grade 10			
English	16	17	16
Mathematics	18	18	20
Science	18	17	16
Social Studies	18	20	18

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2008–09		200	2009–10		0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	78	4%	90	5%	133	8%
Reduced-Price Lunch	63	3%	57	3%	53	3%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	14	1%	13	1%	16	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0%	8	0%	8	0%
Black or African American	62	3%	55	3%	71	4%
Hispanic or Latino	28	1%	23	1%	22	1%
Asian or Native	36	2%	37	2%	34	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1820	93%	1761	93%	1732	93%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	5	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2007	2007-08		-09	2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		95%		94%
Student Suspensions	60	3%	40	2%	51	3%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	163	162	160
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	1%	0%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	9%	10%	11%
Total Number of Core Classes	454	440	442
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	643	658	650
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	17%	24%	20%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	7%	8%	4%

### **Staff Counts**

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	25	27	25
Total Paraprofessionals*	56	58	58
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	4	4	4

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

### Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### **Participation**

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District WESTHILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 42-07-01-06-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)	▲ Good Standing					
	ELA		Science	▲ Good Standing		
			Graduation Rate	e 🔥 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2009-	10	2010-11	2011-12		
	YES		YES	YES		

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	evel	
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	–	•••••	_	-	
White	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		_	_	
Limited English Proficient	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1



### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on	<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	ance Performance Objectives		6
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (874:870)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	171	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (36:35)	~	-	-	~	129	106		
Hispanic or Latino (13:13)	_	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (18:18)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (802:799)	✓	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	173	118		•••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••							
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (111:115)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	~	128	112		
Limited English Proficient (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (87:87)	✓	✓	100%	<b>v</b>	159	111		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (424:423)			100%		177	117		
Male (450:447)	•••••••	••••••	100%	••••••	166	117		•••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••	•••••••••••	••••	•••••	••••	•••••••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participat		on	<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (874:870)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	180	133		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (36:35)	~	-	-	~	140	121		
Hispanic or Latino (13:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (18:18)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (802:799)	<	~	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	182	133		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••							•••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (111:115)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	~	133	127		
Limited English Proficient (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (87:87)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	172	126		
Final AYP Determination	🗸 5 of 5	5						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (424:423)			100%		182	132		
Male (450:447)	• •••••	••••••	100%		178	132		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Migrant (0:0)	• ••••	••••••••	••••		••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participatio	on	<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2010–11	Target 2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (285:282)	~	Qualified	~	100%	<b>v</b>	193	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (16:15)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (4:4)	••••••	–	–	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (254:252)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	✓	100%	<	196	100		•••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (36:38)		Qualified	-	-	~	161	100		
Limited English Proficient (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (29:29)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 0	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (137:136)				100%		194	100		
Male (148:146)			/	99%		192	100		
Migrant (0:0)									

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

 Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

### Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<b>v</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation		<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (153:150)	~	~	99%	<b>V</b>	194	175		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	_	-	_	-	-	-	••••••••••••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (144:142)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	194	174	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••		•••• •••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (16:19)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (9:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🗸 2 of 2	2						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (66:64)			98%		197	171		
Male (87:86)			99%		192	172		
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (153:150)	<b>V</b>	<b>v</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	195	172		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-	••••••••••••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (144:142)	<	<	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	195	171	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••••••	••••••	••••		•••• •••••••	••••••	••• •••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (16:19)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (9:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••• •••••	-
Final AYP Determination	🗸 2 of 2	2						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (66:64)			98%		195	168		
Male (87:86)			99%		195	169		
Migrant (0:0)								

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on	Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11
Accountability Groups					
All Students (151)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	94%	80%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native <b>(0)</b>					
Black or African American (2)	•••••••	-	-	–	
Hispanic or Latino (2)		_	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		_	-	–	
White (144)	•••••••••	✓	94%	80%	••••
Multiracial (0)	•••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (16)		_	_	_	
Limited English Proficient (0)	•••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (10)	•••••••••	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🗸 1 of :	1			
Non-Accountability Groups					
Female (71)			96%	80%	
Male (80)	••••••		93%	80%	
Migrant (O)	••••••	•••••	•••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

#### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **94%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

### 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

#### In Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total

CHERRY ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ONONDAGA HILL MIDDLE SCHOOL WALBERTA PARK PRIMARY SCHOOL WESTHILL HIGH SCHOOL

### Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		entage of d at or at		Total Tested		
English Language Arts	0% 50%		10	0%		
Grade 3	79%				145	
Grade 4	75%				132	
Grade 5	75%				150	
Grade 6	73%				128	
Grade 7	71%				158	
Grade 8	65%				150	
Mathematics						
Grade 3	77%				145	
Grade 4	83%				132	
Grade 5	84%				150	
Grade 6	88%				128	
Grade 7	85%				158	
Grade 8	75%				150	
Science						
Grade 4	97%				131	
Grade 8	90%				127	
		5	students that bove Level 3		2007 Total Cohort	
Secondary Level	C	)%	50%	10	0%	
English	94%		I		157	
Mathematics	96%				157	

District ID 42-07-01-06-0000

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### **English Language Arts**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### **Mathematics**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 676	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780					
2010 Mean Score: 671	100%	98% 96%	<sup>79%</sup> 72%		87% 86%	56% 55%			
2010-11 2009-10				14% 16%			17% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		142 127	114 95	21 21					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	145	98%	79%	14%	132	96%	72%	16%	
Female	75	100%	81%	17%	65	95%	74%	20%	
Male	70	96%	76%	11%	67	97%	70%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-	
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	5	100%	40%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	135	99%	81%	16%	122	96%	73%	16%	
Multiracial	•••••							•••••	
Small Group Totals	10	90%	50%	0%	5	100%	80%	40%	
General-Education Students	131	100%	82%	15%	120	98%	77%	18%	
Students with Disabilities	14	79%	50%	7%	12	75%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	142	-	_	_	129	-	_	_	
imited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	71%	7%	17	88%	65%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged	131	98%	79%	15%	115	97%	73%	16%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	145	98%	79%	14%	132	96%	72%	16%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 694	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770				
2010 Mean Score: 698	100%	97% 98%	77% 75%		91% 91%	60% 59%		
2010-11 2009-10				23% 29%	н.	н.	13%	
Number of Tested Students:		141 129	112 99	34 38				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	145	97%	77%	23%	132	98%	75%	29%	
Female	75	99%	79%	20%	65	97%	75%	25%	
Male	70	96%	76%	27%	67	99%	75%	33%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-	
Black or African American	6	-	-	-	5	80%	0%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-			•••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	135	98%	79%	25%	122	98%	78%	31%	
Multiracial	• ••••••		••••••	•••••		••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	10	90%	50%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%	
General-Education Students	131	98%	81%	24%	120	98%	80%	32%	
Students with Disabilities	14	86%	43%	14%	12	92%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	142	-	-	_	129	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	64%	14%	17	94%	65%	18%	
Not Disadvantaged	131	98%	79%	24%	115	98%	77%	30%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	145	97%	77%	23%	132	98%	75%	29%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775			
2010 Mean Score: 691	100%	98% 96%	75% 84%		92% 92%	57% 57%	
2010-11 2009-10				9% <sup>16%</sup>			2% 6%
Number of Tested Students:		129 148	99 130	12 25			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	132	98%	75%	9%	154	96%	84%	16%
Female	67	100%	84%	13%	68	97%	90%	16%
Male	65	95%	66%	5%	86	95%	80%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	6	83%	17%	0%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	••••••			•••••	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	121	98%	77%	10%	146	97%	86%	16%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	0%	8	88%	63%	25%
General-Education Students	120	100%	82%	10%	136	99%	90%	18%
Students with Disabilities	12	75%	8%	0%	18	72%	44%	6%
English Proficient	129	-	-	-	154	96%	84%	16%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	13	92%	77%	0%	15	87%	73%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	119	98%	75%	10%	139	97%	86%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	132	98%	75%	9%	154	96%	84%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 702	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2010 Mean Score: 704	100%	98% 99%	83% 84%	46% 37%	94% 95%	67% 64%	27% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		130 151	109 129	61 57			

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	132	98%	83%	46%	153	99%	84%	37%	
Female	67	100%	79%	45%	68	100%	82%	35%	
Male	65	97%	86%	48%	85	98%	86%	39%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American	6	83%	50%	0%	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	•••••			•••••	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	121	99%	84%	49%	145	99%	86%	38%	
Multiracial	•••••			•••••					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	40%	8	88%	63%	25%	
General-Education Students	120	100%	87%	50%	136	100%	88%	40%	
Students with Disabilities	12	83%	42%	8%	17	88%	53%	18%	
English Proficient	129	-	-	-	153	99%	84%	37%	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	77%	38%	15	100%	73%	27%	
Not Disadvantaged	119	98%	83%	47%	138	99%	86%	38%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	132	98%	83%	46%	153	99%	84%	37%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	1	-	-	-

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

	This	District			NY State Publi	2		
	Perce	entage scorin	g at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4		3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 85	Range: 45-	100	65-100	85-100				
2010 Mean Score: 85 ■ 2010-11 ■ 2009-10	100%	98%	97% 95%	60% 65%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%	
Number of Tested Students:	131	151	127 147	78 100				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	131	100%	97%	60%	154	98%	95%	65%
Female	67	100%	100%	67%	68	99%	94%	66%
Male	64	100%	94%	52%	86	98%	97%	64%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	6	100%	83%	0%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	• ••••••			••••••	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 3		-		2	-	-	-
White	120	100%	98%	62%	146	98%	96%	66%
Multiracial	• •••••			••••••			•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	80%	8	100%	88%	50%
General-Education Students	119	100%	99%	64%	136	100%	98%	68%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	75%	17%	18	83%	78%	39%
English Proficient	128	-	-	_	154	98%	95%	65%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–			•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	100%	62%	15	93%	87%	53%
Not Disadvantaged	118	100%	97%	59%	139	99%	96%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	131	100%	97%	60%	154	98%	95%	65%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	1	-	_	-

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 676	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795				
2010 Mean Score: 677	100%	98% 98%	<sup>75%</sup> 68%		89% 88%	54% 52%		
2010-11 2009-10				9% 15%			4% 13%	
Number of Tested Students:		147 127	113 88	13 20				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	150	98%	75%	9%	130	98%	68%	15%
Female	68	97%	78%	10%	66	97%	68%	21%
Male	82	99%	73%	7%	64	98%	67%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	142	99%	77%	8%	125	98%	67%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	13%	5	100%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	133	99%	78%	10%	114	100%	72%	17%
Students with Disabilities	17	88%	53%	0%	16	81%	38%	6%
English Proficient	150	98%	75%	9%	130	98%	68%	15%
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	50%	0%	18	89%	61%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	138	99%	78%	9%	112	99%	69%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	150	98%	75%	9%	130	98%	68%	15%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 697	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780				
2010 Mean Score: 690	100%	99% 98%	84% 76%		94% 94%	66% 65%		
2010-11 2009-10				32% 25%	н.		23% 24%	
Number of Tested Students:		148 127	126 99	48 32				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	150	<b>99</b> %	84%	32%	130	98%	76%	25%		
Female	68	100%	84%	29%	66	97%	68%	26%		
Male	82	98%	84%	34%	64	98%	84%	23%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	4	-	-	–	3	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	–		••••	••••••••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	-		2	-	-	-		
White	142	99%	85%	33%	125	98%	75%	23%		
Multiracial	••••••			•••••						
Small Group Totals	8	88%	63%	13%	5	100%	100%	60%		
General-Education Students	133	100%	89%	34%	114	100%	80%	25%		
Students with Disabilities	17	88%	47%	18%	16	81%	50%	19%		
English Proficient	150	99%	84%	32%	130	98%	76%	25%		
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••				••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	67%	8%	18	89%	56%	11%		
Not Disadvantaged	138	99%	86%	34%	112	99%	79%	27%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	150	99%	84%	32%	130	98%	76%	25%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 671	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785					
2010 Mean Score: 673	100%	98% 97%	73% 78%		88% 89%	56% 54%			
2010-11 2009-10				7% 12%			4% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		125 156	94 126	9 19					

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	128	98%	73%	7%	161	97%	78%	12%	
Female	62	98%	74%	8%	81	98%	81%	12%	
Male	66	97%	73%	6%	80	96%	75%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	120	98%	74%	7%	149	97%	79%	13%	
Multiracial	•••••								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	63%	13%	6	83%	67%	0%	
General-Education Students	112	98%	77%	8%	137	100%	88%	14%	
Students with Disabilities	16	94%	50%	0%	24	79%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	128	98%	73%	7%	161	97%	78%	12%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	73%	0%	15	87%	60%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	113	97%	73%	8%	146	98%	80%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	128	98%	73%	7%	161	97%	78%	12%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	·			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 693	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780					
2010 Mean Score: 693	100%	99% 96%	88% 83%	38% 41%	92% 92%	63% 61%	26% 27%		
2003 10									
Number of Tested Students:		127 155	112 133	48 66					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	128	99%	88%	38%	161	96%	83%	41%
Female	62	100%	90%	39%	81	96%	80%	38%
Male	66	98%	85%	36%	80	96%	85%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	33%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	–	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 2		-	–	2	-	-	-
White	120	99%	88%	38%	149	97%	82%	41%
Multiracial	•••••							
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	38%	6	83%	83%	50%
General-Education Students	112	100%	92%	40%	137	100%	90%	46%
Students with Disabilities	16	94%	56%	19%	24	75%	42%	13%
English Proficient	128	99%	88%	38%	161	96%	83%	41%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	100%	27%	15	73%	33%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	113	99%	86%	39%	146	99%	88%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	128	99%	88%	38%	161	96%	83%	41%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	2	_	-	-

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790					
2010 Mean Score: 678	100%	97% 98%	71% 68%		91% 90%	48% 50%			
2010-11 2009-10				6%	н.		4% 11%		
Number of Tested Students:		154 148	112 103	10 24					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	158	97%	71%	6%	151	98%	68%	16%
Female	79	99%	78%	6%	70	97%	77%	23%
Male	79	96%	63%	6%	81	99%	60%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	6	100%	67%	17%	9	89%	33%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 3	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	145	97%	71%	6%	132	98%	70%	15%
Multiracial	••••••		••••••	•••••				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	14%	10	100%	80%	30%
General-Education Students	137	100%	77%	7%	133	100%	75%	18%
Students with Disabilities	21	81%	29%	0%	18	83%	17%	0%
English Proficient	158	97%	71%	6%	151	98%	68%	16%
Limited English Proficient				•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	53%	0%	16	100%	50%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	143	98%	73%	7%	135	98%	70%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	158	97%	71%	6%	151	98%	68%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage sc		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 693	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2010 Mean Score: 691 2010-11 2009-10	100%	99% 95%	85% 82%	47% 47%	92% 92%	65% 62%	30% 29%
Number of Tested Students:		156 145	135 125	75 72			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	158	<b>99</b> %	85%	47%	152	95%	82%	47%
Female	79	99%	84%	47%	70	96%	86%	51%
Male	79	99%	87%	48%	82	95%	79%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	_	_
Black or African American	6	100%	83%	50%	9	78%	56%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	–	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 3	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	145	99%	86%	48%	132	97%	83%	47%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	43%	11	91%	91%	73%
General-Education Students	137	100%	91%	53%	133	100%	92%	54%
Students with Disabilities	21	90%	48%	14%	19	63%	16%	0%
English Proficient	158	99%	85%	47%	152	95%	82%	47%
Limited English Proficient	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	73%	20%	16	100%	69%	44%
Not Disadvantaged	143	99%	87%	50%	136	95%	84%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	158	99%	85%	47%	152	95%	82%	47%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 662	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790					
2010 Mean Score: 666	100%	99% 94%	65% <sub>59%</sub>		92% 91%	47% 51%			
2010-11 2009-10				1%			2% 8%		
Number of Tested Students:		148 133	97 83	2 14					

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	150	99%	65%	1%	141	94%	59%	10%
Female	70	97%	71%	3%	65	97%	66%	17%
Male	80	100%	59%	0%	76	92%	53%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	10	90%	30%	0%	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 6	100%	67%	17%	2	-	-	-
White	129	99%	67%	1%	134	94%	60%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	7	100%	43%	14%
General-Education Students	130	100%	72%	2%	116	100%	69%	12%
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	15%	0%	25	68%	12%	0%
English Proficient	150	99%	65%	1%	141	94%	59%	10%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	50%	0%	12	92%	33%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	134	99%	66%	1%	129	95%	61%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	150	99%	65%	1%	141	94%	59%	10%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
ASSESSMENTS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 683	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775					
2010 Mean Score: 680	100%	97% 96%	75% 64%		91% 91%	60% <sub>55%</sub>			
2010-11 2009-10				9% 14%		н.	18% 18%		
Number of Tested Students:		145 135	113 90	13 20					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	150	97%	75%	9%	141	96%	64%	14%	
Female	70	96%	81%	10%	65	98%	69%	12%	
Male	80	98%	70%	8%	76	93%	59%	16%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	10	80%	50%	10%	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 6	100%	100%	17%	2	-	-	-	
White	129	98%	77%	8%	134	96%	65%	13%	
Multiracial	•••••			•••••				•••••	
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	20%	7	100%	43%	29%	
General-Education Students	130	100%	83%	10%	116	99%	75%	16%	
Students with Disabilities	20	75%	25%	0%	25	80%	12%	4%	
English Proficient	150	97%	75%	9%	141	96%	64%	14%	
Limited English Proficient				•					
Economically Disadvantaged	16	94%	69%	6%	12	92%	42%	17%	
Not Disadvantaged	134	97%	76%	9%	129	96%	66%	14%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	150	97%	75%	9%	141	96%	64%	14%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-

### **This District's Results in Grade 8 Science**

	<b>This District</b>			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):						
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
10 2010-11 2009-10	99% 97%	91% 92%	54% 38%	94% 94%	72% 74%	28% 33%		
Number of Tested Students:	147 136	136 129	56 76					

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	127	98%	90%	<b>28</b> %	140	97%	92%	54%	
Female	56	98%	88%	20%	64	100%	95%	58%	
Male	71	99%	92%	35%	76	95%	89%	51%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	10	80%	50%	10%	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	4	—	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	109	100%	93%	28%	133	97%	92%	56%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		••••••			••••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	50%	7	100%	100%	29%	
General-Education Students	107	100%	96%	32%	115	100%	98%	64%	
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	55%	10%	25	84%	64%	8%	
English Proficient	127	98%	90%	28%	140	97%	92%	54%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	69%	19%	12	92%	92%	25%	
Not Disadvantaged	111	98%	93%	30%	128	98%	92%	57%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	127	98%	90%	28%	140	97%	92%	54%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	т		_			_	_	_	
Regents Science	22	22	22	20	0				

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	<b>This District</b>			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s	;):					
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
<ul> <li>2007 Cohort</li> <li>2006 Cohort</li> </ul>	94% 97%	94% 95%	72% 68%	83% 82%	80% 79%	35% 32%		

<b>Results by</b>	2007 Cohor	t		2006 Cohort**				
_	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	157	94%	94%	72%	151	97%	95%	68%
Female	67	96%	96%	73%	71	97%	97%	77%
Male	90	93%	92%	71%	80	96%	93%	60%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	149	94%	93%	72%	144	97%	94%	69%
Multiracial	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	75%	7	100%	100%	57%
General-Education Students	137	95%	95%	79%	135	97%	96%	76%
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	85%	25%	16	94%	81%	6%
English Proficient	157	94%	94%	72%	151	97%	95%	68%
Limited English Proficient		•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	100%	25%	10	100%	80%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	149	94%	93%	74%	141	96%	96%	72%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	157	94%	94%	72%	151	97%	95%	68%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



<b>Results by</b>	2007 Cohor	t		2006 <b>Cohort</b> **				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	157	97%	96%	55%	151	98%	97%	69%
Female	67	96%	94%	46%	71	99%	97%	77%
Male	90	98%	98%	61%	80	98%	96%	61%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	_	-	–	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	149	97%	96%	55%	144	98%	97%	69%
Multiracial		•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••		••••••
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	50%	7	100%	100%	71%
General-Education Students	137	98%	97%	61%	135	98%	98%	74%
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	90%	10%	16	100%	88%	25%
English Proficient	157	97%	96%	55%	151	98%	97%	69%
Limited English Proficient		•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	100%	13%	10	100%	90%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	149	97%	96%	57%	141	98%	97%	72%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	157	97%	96%	55%	151	98%	97%	69%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.