Managing Interest Rate Risk(II): Duration GAP and Economic Value of Equity

## Pricing Fixed-Income Securities and Duration

## The Relationship Between Interest Rates and OptionFree Bond Prices

- Bond Prices
- A bond's price is the present value of the future coupon payments (CPN) plus the present value of the face (par) value (FV)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Price }=\frac{C P N_{1}}{(1+r)^{1}}+\frac{C P N_{2}}{(1+r)^{2}}+\frac{C P N_{3}}{(1+r)^{3}}+\ldots+\frac{C P N_{n}+F V}{(1+r)^{n}} \\
& \text { Price }=\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{C P N_{t}}{(1+i)^{t}}+\frac{F V}{(1+i)^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Bond Prices and Interest Rates are Inversely Related
- Par Bond
- Yield to maturity = coupon rate
- Discount Bond
- Yield to maturity > coupon rate
- Premium Bond
- Yield to maturity < coupon rate

Relationship between price and interest rate on a 3-year, $\$ 10,000$ option-free par value bond that pays $\$ 470$ in semiannual interest


The Relationship Between Interest Rates and Option-Free Bond Prices

■ Maturity Influences Bond Price Sensitivity

- For bonds that pay the same coupon rate, long-term bonds change proportionally more in price than do short-term bonds for a given rate change.


## The effect of maturity on the relationship between price and interest rate on fixedincome, option free bonds



## The effect of coupon on the relationship between price and interest rate on fixedincome, option free bonds



## Duration and Price Volatility

- Duration as an Elasticity Measure
- Maturity simply identifies how much time elapses until final payment.
- It ignores all information about the timing and magnitude of interim payments.
- Duration is a measure of the effective maturity of a security.
- Duration incorporates the timing and size of a security's cash flows.
- Duration measures how price sensitive a security is to changes in interest rates.
- The greater (shorter) the duration, the greater (lesser) the price sensitivity.


## Duration and Price Volatility

■ Duration as an Elasticity Measure

- Duration is an approximate measure of the price elasticity of demand

Price Elasticity of Demand $=-\frac{\text { \% Change in Quantity Demanded }}{\text { \% Change in Price }}$

## Duration and Price Volatility

- Duration as an Elasticity Measure The longer the duration, the larger the change in price for a given change in interest rates.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Duration } \cong-\frac{\frac{\Delta P}{P}}{\frac{\Delta i}{(1+i)}} \\
\Delta P \cong-\text { Duration }\left[\frac{\Delta i}{(1+\mathrm{i})}\right] P
\end{gathered}
$$

## Duration and Price Volatility

$\square$ Measuring Duration

- Duration is a weighted average of the time until the expected cash flows from a security will be received, relative to the security's price
- Macaulay's Duration

$$
D=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{k} \frac{C F_{t}(t)}{(1+r)^{t}}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k} \frac{C F_{t}}{(1+r)^{t}}}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{C F_{t}(t)}{\text { (1+r) }}}{\text { Price of the Security }}
$$

## Duration and Price Volatility

## ■ Measuring Duration

## Example

What is the duration of a bond with a \$1,000 face value, 10\% coupon, 3 years to maturity and a $12 \%$ YTM?

$$
D=\frac{\frac{100 \times 1}{(1.12)^{1}}+\frac{100 \times 2}{(1.12)^{2}}+\frac{100 \times 3}{(1.12)^{3}}+\frac{1,000 \times 3}{(1.12)^{3}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{t}=1}^{3} \frac{100}{(1.12)^{t}}+\frac{1000}{(1.12)^{3}}}=\frac{2,597.6}{951.96}=2.73 \text { years }
$$

## Duration and Price Volatility

## ■ Measuring Duration

## Example

- What is the duration of a bond with a $\$ 1,000$ face value, $10 \%$ coupon, 3 years to maturity but the YTM is $5 \%$ ?

$$
D=\frac{\frac{100 * 1}{(1.05)^{1}}+\frac{100 * 2}{(1.05)^{2}}+\frac{100 * 3}{(1.05)^{3}}+\frac{1,000 * 3}{(1.05)^{3}}}{1136.16}=\frac{3,127.31}{1,136.16}=2.75 \text { years }
$$

## Duration and Price Volatility

## ■ Measuring Duration

## Example

What is the duration of a bond with a $\$ 1,000$ face value, $10 \%$ coupon, 3 years to maturity but the YTM is $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ ?

$$
D=\frac{\frac{100 * 1}{(1.20)^{1}}+\frac{100 * 2}{(1.20)^{2}}+\frac{100 * 3}{(1.20)^{3}}+\frac{1,000 * 3}{(1.20)^{3}}}{789.35}=\frac{2,131.95}{789.35}=2.68 \text { years }
$$

## Duration and Price Volatility

- Measuring Duration
- Example
- What is the duration of a zero coupon bond with a $\$ 1,000$ face value, 3 years to maturity but the YTM is $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ ?

$$
D=\frac{\frac{1,000 * 3}{(1.12)^{3}}}{\frac{1,000}{(1.12)^{3}}}=\frac{2,135.34}{711.78}=3 \text { years }
$$

- By definition, the duration of a zero coupon bond is equal to its maturity


## Duration and Price Volatility

- Comparing Price Sensitivity The greater the duration, the greater the price sensitivity

$$
\frac{\Delta \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}} \cong-\left[\frac{\text { Macaulay's Duration }}{(1+\mathrm{i})}\right] \Delta \mathrm{i}
$$

Modified Duration $=\frac{\text { Macaulay's Duration }}{(1+\mathrm{i})}$

## Duration and Price Volatility

- Comparing Price Sensitivity
- With Modified Duration, we have an estimate of price volatility:
\%Change in Price $=\frac{\Delta P}{P} \cong-$ Modified Duration * $\Delta \mathrm{i}$

Measuring Interest Rate Risk with Duration GAP

Economic Value of Equity Analysis

- Focuses on changes in stockholders' equity given potential changes in interest rates
- Duration GAP Analysis
- Compares the price sensitivity of a bank's total assets with the price sensitivity of its total liabilities to assess the impact of potential changes in interest rates on stockholders' equity.


## Duration GAP

- Duration GAP Model
- Focuses on managing the market value of stockholders' equity
- The bank can protect EITHER the market value of equity or net interest income, but not both
- Duration GAP analysis emphasizes the impact on equity
- Compares the duration of a bank's assets with the duration of the bank's liabilities and examines how the economic value stockholders' equity will change when interest rates change.


## Steps in Duration GAP Analysis

- Forecast interest rates.
- Estimate the market values of bank assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity.
- Estimate the weighted average duration of assets and the weighted average duration of liabilities.
- Incorporate the effects of both on- and offbalance sheet items. These estimates are used to calculate duration gap.
- Forecasts changes in the market value of stockholders' equity across different interest rate environments.


## Weighted Average Duration of Bank Assets

- Weighted Average Duration of Bank Assets (DA)
$D A=\sum_{i}^{n} w_{i} D a_{i}$
- Where
- $w_{i}=$ Market value of asset i divided by the market value of all bank assets
- $\mathrm{Da}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Macaulay's duration of asset i
- $\mathbf{n}=$ number of different bank assets


## Weighted Average Duration of Bank Liabilities

■ Weighted Average Duration of Bank Liabilities (DL)

$$
D L=\sum_{j}^{m} z_{j} D I_{j}
$$

- Where
- $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ Market value of liability j divided by the market value of all bank liabilities
- $\mathrm{DI}_{\mathrm{j}}=$ Macaulay's duration of liability j
- $\mathbf{m}$ = number of different bank liabilities

Duration GAP and Economic Value of Equity

- Let MVA and MVL equal the market values of assets and liabilities, respectively.
$\square$ If: $\quad \Delta E \vee E=\Delta M V A-\Delta M V L$
and
Duration GAP
DGAP = DA - (MVLMVA)D L
$\square$ Then: $\triangle E V E=-D G A P\left[\frac{\Delta y}{(1+y)}\right] M \vee A$
- where $\mathbf{y}=$ the general level of interest rates
- To protect the economic value of equity against any change when rates change, the bank could set the duration gap to zero:


## Hypothetical Bank Balance Sheet

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Par } \\ \$ 1,000 \end{array}$ | Coup | Years Mat. | YTM | Market Value | Dur. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$100 |  |  |  | \$ 100 |  |
| Earning assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-yr Commercial loan | \$ 700 | 12.00\% | 3 | 12.00\% | \$ 700 | 2.69 |
| 6-yr Treasy $\quad 84 \times 1$ | $84 \times$ | $84 \times 3$ |  | $700 \times 3$ | \$ 200 | 4.99 |
|  |  |  |  | -900 |  |
| Non-cash $¢$, | (1.12) | (1. |  |  | $(1.12)^{3}$ | - | 28 |
| tal assets | 700 |  |  |  |  | 2.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing liabs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-yr Time deposit | \$ 620 | 5.00\% | 1 | 5.00\% | \$ 620 | 1.00 |
| 3-yr Certificate of deposit | \$ 300 | 7.00\% | 3 | 7.00\% | 300 | 2.81 |
|  | \$ 920 |  |  | 5.65\% | 920 |  |
| Tot. non-int. bearing | \$ - |  |  | 5.65\% | \$ | 1.59 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 920 |  |  |  | \$ 920 |  |
| Total equity | \$ 80 |  |  |  | \$ 80 |  |
| Total liabs \& equity | \$ 1,000 |  |  |  | \$ 1,000 |  |

## Calculating DGAP

- DA
- $(\$ 700 / \$ 1000)^{*} 2.69+(\$ 200 / \$ 1000)^{*} 4.99=2.88$
- DL
- (\$620/\$920)*1.00 + (\$300/\$920)*2.81 = 1.59
- DGAP
- 2.88 - ( $920 / 1000$ ) $1.59=1.42$ years
- What does this tell us?
- The average duration of assets is greater than the average duration of liabilities; thus asset values change by more than liability values.


## 1 percent increase in all rates.

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Par } \\ \$ 1,000 \end{array}$ | \% Coup | Years <br> Mat. | YTM |  | arket alue | Dur. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earning assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-yr Commercial loan | \$ 700 | 12.00\% | 3 | 13.00\% |  |  | 2.69 |
| 6-yr Treasury bond | \$ 200 | 8.00\% | 6 | 9.00\% |  |  | 4.97 |
| Total Earning Assets | \% | 384 |  | 700 | \$ |  |  |
| Non-cash earning ass Total assets | $=\sum$ | $=1.13$ |  | $1.13^{3}$ | \$ |  | 2.86 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing liabs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-yr Time deposit | \$ 620 | 5.00\% | 1 | 6.00\% | \$ | 614 | 1.00 |
| 3-yr Certificate of deposit | \$ 300 | 7.00\% | 3 | 8.00\% | \$ | 292 | 2.81 |
| Tot. Int Bearing Liabs. | \$ 920 |  |  | 6.64\% | \$ | 906 |  |
| Tot. non-int. bearing | \$ |  |  |  | \$ | - |  |
| Total liabilities | \$ 920 |  |  | 6.64\% | \$ | 906 | 1.58 |
| Total equity | \$ 80 |  |  |  | \$ | 68 |  |
| Total liabs \& equity | \$ 1,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 975 |  |

## Change in the Market Value of Equity

$$
\Delta \mathrm{EVE}=-\mathrm{DGAP}\left[\frac{\Delta \mathrm{y}}{(1+\mathrm{y})}\right] \mathrm{MVA}
$$

- In this case:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{EVE}=-1.42\left[\frac{.01}{1.10}\right] \$ 1,000=-\$ 12.91
$$

## Positive and Negative Duration GAPs

- Positive DGAP
- Indicates that assets are more price sensitive than liabilities, on average.
- Thus, when interest rates rise (fall), assets will fall proportionately more (less) in value than liabilities and EVE will fall (rise) accordingly.
- Negative DGAP
- Indicates that weighted liabilities are more price sensitive than weighted assets.
- Thus, when interest rates rise (fall), assets will fall proportionately less (more) in value that liabilities and the EVE will rise (fall).


## DGAP Summary

## DGAP Summary

|  | Change in |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DGAP | Interest <br> Rates | Assets |  | Liabilities |  |
| Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Positive | Increase | Decrease $>$ | Decrease | $\rightarrow$ |
| Decrease |  |  |  |  |  |
| Positive | Decrease | Increase $>$ | Increase | $\rightarrow$ | Increase |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## An Immunized Portfolio

- To immunize the EVE from rate changes in the example, the bank would need to:
- decrease the asset duration by 1.42 years or
- DA=DL* (MVL/MVA)
- increase the duration of liabilities by 1.54 years
- DL=DA / (MVL/MVA)


## Immunized Portfolio

|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Par } \\ \$ 1,000 \end{array}$ | \% Coup | Years Mat. | YTM |  | arket alue | Dur. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ 100 |  |  |  | \$ | 100 |  |
| Earning assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-yr Commercial loan | \$ 700 | 12.00\% | 3 | 12.00\% | \$ | 700 | 2.69 |
| 6-yr Treasury bond | \$ 200 | 8.00\% | 6 | 8.00\% | \$ | 200 | 4.99 |
| Total Earning Assets | \$ 900 |  |  | 11.11\% | \$ | 900 |  |
| Non-cash earning asse | \$ - |  |  |  | \$ | - |  |
| Total assets | \$ 1,000 |  |  | 10.00\% | \$ | ,000 | 2.88 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing liabs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-yr Time deposit | \$ 340 | 5.00\% | 1 | 5.00\% | \$ | 340 | 1.00 |
| 3-yr Certificate of depos | \$ 300 | 7.00\% | 3 | 7.00\% | \$ | 300 | 2.81 |
| 6-yr Zero-coupon CD* | \$ 444 | 0.00\% | 6 | 8.00\% | \$ | 280 | 6.00 |
| Tot. Int Bearing Liabs. | \$ 1,084 |  |  | 6.57\% | \$ | 920 |  |
| Tot. non-int. bearing | \$ - |  |  |  | \$ | - |  |
| Total liabilities | \$ 1,084 |  |  | 6.57\% | \$ | 920 | 3.11 |
| Total equity | \$ 80 |  |  |  | \$ | 80 |  |
| $D G A P=2.88-0.92(3.11) \approx 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Immunized Portfolio with a $\mathbf{1 \%}$ increase in rates

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Par } \\ \$ 1,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Coup | Years Mat. | YTM | Market Value | Dur. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ 100.0 |  |  |  | \$ 100.0 |  |
| Earning assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3-yr Commercial loan | \$ 700.0 | 12.00\% | 3 | 13.00\% | \$ 683.5 | 2.69 |
| 6-yr Treasury bond | \$ 200.0 | 8.00\% | 6 | 9.00\% | \$ 191.0 | 4.97 |
| Total Earning Assets | \$ 900.0 |  |  | 12.13\% | \$ 874.5 |  |
| Non-cash earning asse | \$ |  |  |  | \$ - |  |
| Total assets | \$1,000.0 |  |  | 10.88\% | \$ 974.5 | 2.86 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing liabs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-yr Time deposit | \$ 340.0 | 5.00\% | 1 | 6.00\% | \$ 336.8 | 1.00 |
| 3-yr Certificate of depos | \$ 300.0 | 7.00\% | 3 | 8.00\% | \$ 292.3 | 2.81 |
| 6-yr Zero-coupon CD* | \$ 444.3 | 0.00\% | 6 | 9.00\% | \$ 264.9 | 6.00 |
| Tot. Int Bearing Liabs. | \$1,084.3 |  |  | 7.54\% | \$ 894.0 |  |
| Tot. non-int. bearing | \$ - |  |  |  | \$ - |  |
| Total liabilities | \$1,084.3 |  |  | 7.54\% | \$894.0 | 3.07 |
| Total equity | \$ 80.0 |  |  |  | \$ 80.5 |  |

## Immunized Portfolio with a $\mathbf{1 \%}$ increase in rates

■ EVE changed by only $\$ 0.5$ with the immunized portfolio versus $\$ 25.0$ when the portfolio was not immunized.

## Economic Value of Equity Sensitivity Analysis

- Effectively involves the same steps as earnings sensitivity analysis.
- In EVE analysis, however, the bank focuses on:
- The relative durations of assets and liabilities
- How much the durations change in different interest rate environments
- What happens to the economic value of equity across different rate environments

Strengths and Weaknesses: DGAP and EVESensitivity Analysis

- Strengths
- Duration analysis provides a comprehensive measure of interest rate risk
- Duration measures are additive
- This allows for the matching of total assets with total liabilities rather than the matching of individual accounts
- Duration analysis takes a longer term view than static gap analysis

Strengths and Weaknesses: DGAP and EVESensitivity Analysis
$\square$ Weaknesses

- It is difficult to compute duration accurately
- "Correct" duration analysis requires that each future cash flow be discounted by a distinct discount rate
- A bank must continuously monitor and adjust the duration of its portfolio
- It is difficult to estimate the duration on assets and liabilities that do not earn or pay interest
- Duration measures are highly subjective

