## GreatSchools Ratings: Methodology Report

The GreatSchools Rating is a simple tool for parents to compare schools based on test scores and other available data, including student academic growth and college readiness. It is designed to be a starting point to help parents make baseline comparisons. We always advise parents to visit the school and consider other information on school performance and programs, as well as consider their child's and family's needs as part of the school selection process. The following report outlines how ratings are calculated and what metrics ratings are based on.

## What goes into a GreatSchools Rating?

The GreatSchools Rating is an index of how well schools do on several measures of student success compared to all other students in the state. Historically, the GreatSchools rating has been based solely on how well students do on standardized tests compared to other students in the state. In a growing number of states where data are available, the GreatSchools rating incorporates information on multiple measures to give parents a more detailed picture of school performance. In these states, the GreatSchools Rating is comprised of three main components:

- Test Scores: The test score sub-rating examines how students at a school performed on standardized tests compared with other schools in the state. Specifically, this rating compares student proficiency rates for each grade and subject with all schools in the state.
- Student Growth: The student growth sub-rating measures whether students at this school are making academic progress over time. Specifically, the sub-rating looks at how much progress individual students have made on reading and math assessments during the past year or more. This sub-rating is based on student growth models, which can vary from state to state.
- College Readiness: The college readiness sub-rating combines this high school's graduation rate with data about college entrance exams, both of which are indicators of how well schools are preparing students for success in college and beyond.


## How is a GreatSchools Rating Calculated?

Each GreatSchools rating is on a 1-10 scale and is categorized as follows: 1-3 = "below average," 4-7 = "average," 8-10 = "above average." The overall rating for a school is a weighted combination of multiple sub-ratings. Sub-ratings are weighted equally, though actual weights depend on the amount of data available per school and what grades that school serves. For instance, the overall rating for a school serving grades K-5 would be 50\% based on student achievement and $50 \%$ based on student growth. The rating for a high school with data for all three measures would be $33 \%$ based on student achievement, $33 \%$ student growth, and 33\% college readiness. More details on the rating weights are provided below in Section IV.

Each sub-rating represents how a school compares to other schools in the state on each given measure. For each sub-rating, the bottom $10 \%$ of schools get a 1 , the next $10 \%$ get a 2 , on up to 10 , which indicates the school's result is in the top $10 \%$. More details on the calculation of each sub-rating are provided below.

The overall GreatSchools Rating is not a decile rating, however, because it is an average of multiple subratings. For example, in order to get a rating of 1, a school would have to receive a 1 on all sub-ratings. As such, the distribution of the GreatSchools Rating in a given state looks more like a bell curve, with
higher numbers of schools getting ratings in the "average" category, and fewer schools getting ratings in the "above average" or "below average" categories.

## Section I: Student Achievement Sub-Rating

## Calculating the Student Achievement Sub-Rating

First, we calculate a standardized proficiency rate for each school in a state. To do this, we convert the proficiency rate for each grade and subject tested with available data into standard units (mean $=0$, standard deviation =1). Then, we average all data available for a school, yielding an average standardized proficiency rate. We do this in order to prevent bias based on the grades a school serves. For instance, statewide proficiency rates can often be much lower for certain tested grades when compared with others, and schools serving these grades would be unfairly ranked lower than schools serving grades with higher statewide proficiency rates using a simple average without standardization.

We then sort standardized proficiency rates in a given state from low to high and converted into percentiles. The bottom decile ( $1^{\text {st }}-9{ }^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) of schools receive a sub-rating of " 1 ", the second decile ( $10-19^{\text {th }}$ percentile) receive a sub-rating of " 2 ", and so on, with the top decile ( $90-99^{\text {th }}$ percentile) receiving a sub-rating of "10".

Test score sub-ratings are not calculated using data points (e.g., $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade math proficiency rates) with fewer than 10 students tested or the minimum reporting standard for that state, whichever is higher.

## Breakdown of Testing Data used in Ratings by State

| State | Included in Rating | Name of Test | Grades Tested | Subjects Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Y | AHSGE, ARMT, ASA | 2-11 | Biology, ELA/Reading, Social Studies |
| Alaska | Y | ACTAAP | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Arizona | Y | AIMS | 3-8, 10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Arkansas | Y | ACTAAP | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| California | Y | CST | 2-11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| Colorado | Y | TCAP | 3-10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Connecticut | Y | CMT | 3-8, 10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Delaware | Y | DCAS | 3-10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| District of Columbia | Y | DC-CAS | 3-8, 10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Florida | Y | FCAT2 | 3-10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Georgia | Y | CRCT | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science, Writing |
| Hawaii | $Y$ | HAS | 3-8, 10 | ELA/Reading, Math |
| Idaho | Y | ISAT | 3-10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Illinois | Y | PSAE | 3-8 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Indiana | Y | ISAT-Dell | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| Iowa | Y | IA Assessment | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math |
| Kansas | $Y$ | KSA | 3-8, 11-12 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |

## Breakdown of Testing Data used in Ratings by State

| State | Included in Rating | Name of Test | Grades <br> Tested | Subjects Tested |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky | Y | KCCT | 3-8, 10-11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| Louisiana | Y | EOC, iLEAP | 3-8, HS | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| Maine | Y | MEA, MHSA, NECAP | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Maryland | Y | MSA | 3-8, 10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Massachusetts | Y | MCAS, MCAS STE | 3-8, 10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Michigan | Y | MEAP | 3-9, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| Minnesota | Y | MCA III, MCA/GRAD MWAP, MCT 2, MST, | 3-11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Writing |
| Mississippi | Y | SATP | 3-8, 10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| Missouri | Y | MAP, MAP EOC | 3-8, HS | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| Montana | Y | MontCAS CRT | 3-8, 10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Nebraska | Y | NESA | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science, Writing |
| Nevada | Y | CRT, HSPE | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| New Hampshire | Y | NECAP | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Writing |
| New Jersey | Y | NJ ASK, NJBCT | 3-8, HS | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| New Mexico | Y | NMSBA | 3-8, 10-11 | ELA/Reading, Math |
| New York | Y | NYTESTS, Regents | 3-8, HS | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| North Carolina | Y | EOC, EOG | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| North Dakota | Y | NDSA | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Ohio | Y | OAT, OGT | 3-8, HS | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science, Writing |
| Oklahoma | Y | OCCT EOI | 3-8, HS | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science, Writing |
| Oregon | Y | OAKS | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Pennsylvania | Y | PSSA | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Rhode Island | Y | NECAP | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science,Writing |
| South Carolina | Y | PASS, HSAP, SC EOCEP | 3-8, 10, HS | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| South Dakota | Y | STEP | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math |
| Tennessee | Y | GATEWAY, TCAP | 3-11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Writing |
| Texas | Y | STAAR | 3-8, HS | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science, Writing |
| Utah | Y | CRT | 3-11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Vermont | Y | NECAP | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |
| Virginia | Y | VAEOC, SOL | 3-8, HS | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science, Writing |
| Washington | Y | MSP, WA EOC | 3-12 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Writing |
| West Virginia | Y | WESTEST | 3-12 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| Wisconsin | Y | WSAS | 3-8, 10 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science, Social Science |
| Wyoming | Y | PAWS | 3-8, 11 | ELA/Reading, Math, Science |

## Section II: Student Academic Growth Sub-Rating

Student growth models vary considerably by state, but attempt to answer the same basic question: how much academic progress are students making at a particular school? Specifically, how much academic progress are students making relative to similar students in the state. Different student growth models adjust for different student characteristics in order to ensure that growth comparisons are fair and accurate, but at a minimum all student growth models included in the GreatSchools rating account for prior student academic performance at the student level.

While student growth models vary across states, the same methodology is used to rate all types of continuous growth metrics (e.g., student growth percentiles, value-added scores, net growth, etc.). First, all growth metrics for an individual school are standardized (if not already in that format) and averaged across subjects and grades (when disaggregated across grades). Additionally, in order to improve the year-to-year reliability of growth measures, growth metrics are averaged across two years when data for past years is available and growth metrics do not already represent a multi-year average.

Next, similar to proficiency rates, growth metrics in a given state are sorted from low to high and converted into percentiles. Sub-ratings (1-10) are assigned for each decile, where the first decile (1-9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) receiving a " 1 ", the second decile ( $10-19^{\text {th }}$ percentile) receiving a " 2 ", and so on until the top decile $\left(90-99^{\text {th }}\right.$ percentile) which receives a " 10 ".

Test score sub-ratings are not calculated using data points with fewer than 10 students tested or the minimum reporting standard for that state, whichever is higher.

Growth Models Used by State

| State | Included in Rating | Growth Model Type | \# of Years Averaged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama |  |  |  |
| Alaska |  |  |  |
| Arizona |  |  |  |
| Arkansas |  |  |  |
| California |  |  |  |
| Colorado | Y | Student Growth Percentile | 2 years |
| Connecticut |  |  |  |
| Delaware | Y | \% Meeting Growth Targets | 2 years |
| District of Columbia | Y | Student Growth Percentile | 1 year |
| Florida | Y | Average Growth Score | 1 year |
| Georgia |  |  |  |
| Hawaii |  |  |  |
| Idaho |  |  |  |
| Illinois | Y | Average Growth Score | 1 year |
| Indiana | $Y$ | Student Growth Percentile | 2 years |

Growth Models Used by State

| State | Included in Rating | Growth Model Type | \# of Years Averaged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iowa |  |  |  |
| Kansas |  |  |  |
| Kentucky | Y | \% Meeting Growth Targets | 2 years |
| Louisiana |  |  |  |
| Maine |  |  |  |
| Maryland |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | $Y$ | Student Growth Percentile | 2 years |
| Michigan | Y | Value Table (net growth) | Up to 3 years ${ }^{1}$ |
| Minnesota |  |  |  |
| Mississippi |  |  |  |
| Missouri |  |  |  |
| Montana |  |  |  |
| Nebraska |  |  |  |
| Nevada |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |
| New Jersey | Y | Student Growth Percentile | 2 years |
| New Mexico |  |  |  |
| New York |  |  |  |
| North Carolina |  |  |  |
| North Dakota |  |  |  |
| Ohio | Y | Value-Added |  |
| Oklahoma |  |  |  |
| Oregon |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania |  |  |  |
| Rhode Island |  |  |  |
| South Carolina |  |  |  |
| South Dakota |  |  |  |
| Tennessee |  |  |  |
| Texas |  |  |  |
| Utah |  |  |  |
| Vermont |  |  |  |
| Virginia |  |  |  |
| Washington |  |  |  |
| West Virginia |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin | Y | Average Growth Score ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |

1. Michigan value table measures are reported as multi-year averages.
2. Value-added scores for schools in Milwaukee, both public and private, are calculated by the Value-Added Research Center (VARC)

## Section III: College Readiness Sub-Rating

The college readiness sub-rating is determined by three metrics: (1) 4-year high school graduation rates, (2) performance on the ACT and/or SAT, and (3) participation rate on the ACT and/or SAT. If a state mandates participation on a college entrance exam, only performance for that exam is used as the college entrance exam component of the college readiness sub-rating. The overall college readiness subrating is the average of these two components-50\% graduation rates and $50 \%$ college entrance exam performance ( $25 \%$ ACT/SAT scores) and participation ( $25 \%$ ACT/SAT \% of students tested). If a school does not have sufficient data for one of these components, that component is not used in calculating the college readiness sub-rating. For instance, the college readiness rating for a high school that has information on college entrance exams but not graduation rates would be based solely on college entrance exams.

When calculating the college readiness sub-rating, each of the three metrics are sorted individually from low to high and converted into a percentile. These percentiles are weighted together, and the remaining percentile weighted average is assigned a rating where the first decile average (1-9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) receives a " 1 ", the second decile ( $10-19^{\text {th }}$ percentile) receives a " 2 ", and so on until the top decile ( $90-99^{\text {th }}$ percentile) which receives a " 10 ".

## College Readiness by State

|  |  |  | College Entranc | Exams |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Included in Rating | Graduation Rates Included | Participation Included | Name of Exam |
| Alabama |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas |  |  |  |  |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado | Y | Y |  | ACT |
| Connecticut |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware | Y | Y |  | SAT |
| District of Columbia ${ }^{1}$ | Y | Y | Y | ACT/SAT |
| Florida | Y | Y | Y | SAT |
| Georgia |  |  |  |  |
| Hawaii |  |  |  |  |
| Idaho |  |  |  |  |
| Illinois | Y | Y |  | ACT |
| Indiana | Y | Y | Y | ACT/SAT |
| Iowa |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas |  |  |  |  |

## College Readiness by State

| State | Included in Rating | Graduation Rates Included | College Entrance Exams |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Participation Included | Name of Exam |
| Kentucky | Y | Y |  |  |
| Louisiana |  |  |  |  |
| Maine |  |  |  |  |
| Maryland |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | Y | Y | Y | SAT |
| Michigan | Y | Y |  |  |
| Minnesota |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri |  |  |  |  |
| Montana |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska |  |  |  |  |
| Nevada |  |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey | Y | Y | Y | $\mathrm{ACT} / \mathrm{SAT}^{2}$ |
| New Mexico |  |  |  |  |
| New York |  |  |  |  |
| North Carolina |  |  |  |  |
| North Dakota |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio | Y | Y | Y | ACT |
| Oklahoma |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon |  |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania |  |  |  |  |
| Rhode Island |  |  |  |  |
| South Carolina |  |  |  |  |
| South Dakota |  |  |  |  |
| Tennessee |  |  |  |  |
| Texas |  |  |  |  |
| Utah |  |  |  |  |
| Vermont |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia |  |  |  |  |
| Washington |  |  |  |  |
| West Virginia |  |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |  |

1. The methodology for the District of Columbia (a GreatSchools local site) differs from the methodology outlined in this report (for more information, see:
http://www.greatschools.org/catalog/pdf/New Ratings Methodology DC.pdf)
2. SAT scores used for performance rating; ACT/SAT combined participation used for participation rating.

## Section IV: Weighting for Overall Ratings

Overall ratings are calculated by averaging the raw percentiles of all available sub-ratings. Averaging raw percentiles instead of actual sub-ratings (1-10) reduces rounding error in the overall rating. The remaining percentile weighted average is assigned a rating where the first decile average (1-9 th percentiles) receives a "1", the second decile ( $10-19^{\text {th }}$ percentile) receives a " 2 ", and so on until the top decile ( $90-99^{\text {th }}$ percentile) which receives a " 10 ". For high schools that also offer K-8 grades, two separate overall ratings are calculated-one for $\mathrm{K}-8$ and one for $9-12$-and the average of these two ratings serves as the overall rating. The figure below shows how the combining of sub-ratings into an overall rating vary based on what data is available and what grades a school serves:

| Weighting Scheme I: no student growth for high schools ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | High |  |  |
|  | Elementary | Middle | School | K-8 | 5-12/K12 |
| Test Scores | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Growth | 50\% | 50\% | N/A | 50\% | 25\% |
| College Readiness | N/A | N/A | 50\% | N/A | 25\% |
| Weighting Scheme II: student growth for high schools ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | High |  |  |
|  | Elementary | Middle | School | K-8 | 5-12/K12 |
| Test Scores | 50\% | 50\% | 33\% | 50\% | 42\% |
| Growth | 50\% | 50\% | 33\% | 50\% | 42\% |
| College Readiness | N/A | N/A | 33\% | N/A | 16\% |

## Section V: Rating Exemptions

There are several special circumstances where it is not in the best interest of students and parents to assign a GreatSchools rating to certain schools. The following is a list of circumstances in which GreatSchools does not assign a rating to a school:

- In states with sub-ratings for student growth and college readiness, overall ratings are not assigned if a school does not have enough data to assign a rating for all relevant sub-ratings.
- Test score sub-ratings are not assigned to schools if they do not have data for at least one math test and one reading test.
- Ratings are not assigned to alternative schools in states where we have enhanced data.

Alternative schools include but are not limited to dropout recovery schools, adult schools, continuing education schools, and schools exclusively serving at at-risk populations (e.g. juvenile detention schools) or students with severe learning disabilities.

