## Chap. 5: Joint Probability Distributions

- Probability modeling of several RV's
- We often study relationships among variables.
- Demand on a system = sum of demands from subscribers ( $D=S_{\mathbf{1}}+\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}+\ldots .+\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{n}}$ )
- Surface air temperature $\&$ atmospheric $\mathbf{C O}_{2}$
- Stress \& strain are related to material properties; random loads; etc.
- Notation:
- Sometimes we use $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{X}_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$
- Sometimes we use $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$, etc.


## Sec 5.1: Basics

- First, develop for 2 RV ( X and Y )
- Two Main Cases
I. Both RV are discrete
II. Both RV are continuous
I. (p. 185). Joint Probability Mass Function (pmf) of $X$ and $Y$ is defined for all pairs $(x, y)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x, y)=P(X=x \text { and } Y=y) \\
& =P(X=x, Y=y)
\end{aligned}
$$

- pmf must satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x, y) \geq 0 \text { for all }(x, y) \\
& \sum_{x} \sum_{y} p(x, y)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- for any event $A$,

$$
P((X, Y) \in A)=\sum_{(x, y) \in A} p(x, y)
$$

## Joint Probability Table:

Table presenting joint probability distribution:

- Entries: $p(x, y)$
- $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}=2, \mathbf{Y}=3)=.13$
- $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y}=3)=.22+.13=.35$

|  |  |  | $y$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| x | 1 | .10 | .15 | .22 |
|  | 2 | .30 | .10 | .13 |

- $\mathbf{P}(\mathrm{Y}=2$ or 3$)=.15+.10+.35=.60$
- The marginal pmf $X$ and $Y$ are

$$
p_{X}(x)=\sum_{y} p(x, y) \text { and } p_{Y}(y)=\sum_{x} p(x, y)
$$

|  |  |  | $y$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| x | $\mathbf{1}$ | . $\mathbf{1 0}$ | .15 | .22 | .47 |
|  | 2 | . $\mathbf{3 0}$ | .10 | .13 | .53 |
|  |  | .40 | .25 | .35 |  |


| $\mathbf{x}$ | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathbf{x})$ | .47 | .53 |


| $\mathbf{y}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y})$ | .40 | .25 | .35 |

II. Both continuous (p. 186)

A joint probability density function (pdf) of $X$ and $Y$ is a function $f(x, y)$ such that

- $f(x, y) \geq 0$ everywhere
- $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d x d y=1$
and $P[(X, Y) \in A]=\iint_{A} f(x, y) d x d y$


## pdf $f$ is a surface above the ( $x, y$ )-plane

- A is a set in the ( $\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y}$ )-plane.
- $P[(X, Y) \in A]$ is the volume of the region over A under $f$. (Note: It is not the area of A.)



## Ex) $X$ and $Y$ have joint PDF $f(x, y)=\operatorname{cx~}^{2} \quad$ if $0<x<y<1$ <br> $=0 \quad$ elsewhere.

- Find c. First, draw the region where $\mathbf{f} \boldsymbol{>} \mathbf{0}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{y} c x y^{2} d x d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x}^{1} c x y^{2} d y d x
\end{aligned}
$$


(not $\int_{x}^{1} \int_{0}^{y} c x y^{2} d x d y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{y} c x y^{2} d x d y=c \int_{0}^{1} y^{2}\left[\left..5 x^{2}\right|_{0} ^{y}\right] d y=c \int_{0}^{1} .5 y^{4} d y=c / 10 \\
& \text { so, } \mathbf{c}=10 \\
& \text { Find } \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{Y}<\mathbf{1}) \\
& \text { First, add graph of } \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X+Y<1) & =\int_{0}^{5} \int_{0}^{y} 10 x y^{2} d x d y+\int_{5}^{1-y} \int_{0}^{1-y} 10 x y^{2} d x d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{51-x} \int_{x}^{\mathbf{x}} 10 x y^{2} d y d x=10 \int_{0}^{.5} x\left(\frac{y^{3}}{3}\right]_{x}^{1-x} d x= \\
& (10 / 3) \int_{0}^{5} x\left((1-x)^{3}-x^{3}\right) d x=.135
\end{aligned}
$$

## Marginal pdf (p. 188)

## Marginal pdf of X :

$f_{X}(x)=\int_{\substack{-\infty \\ \infty}}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$
Marginal pdf of Y: $f_{Y}(y)=\int_{-\infty} f(x, y) d x$ Ex) $X$ and $Y$ have joint PDF $f(x, y)=10 \times y^{2}$ if $0<x<y<1$, and 0 else.
For $0<y<1$ :
$f_{Y}(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d x=\int_{0}^{y} 10 x y^{2} d x=10 y^{2} \int_{0}^{y} x d x=5 y^{4}$
and $f_{Y}(y)=0$ otherwise.
marginal pdf of Y:

$$
f_{Y}(y)=5 y^{4} \text { for } 0<y<1 \text { and is } 0 \text { otherwise. }
$$ marginal pdf of $Y$ : you check

$$
f_{X}(x)=(10 / 3) x\left(1-x^{3}\right) \text { for } 0<x<1
$$

Notes: and is 0 otherwise.

1. $\mathbf{x}$ cannot appear in $f_{Y}(y)$ ( $\mathbf{y}$ can't be in $f_{X}(x)$ )
2. You must give the ranges; writing $f_{Y}(y)=5 y^{4}$ is not enough.
Math convention: writing $f_{Y}(y)=5 y^{4}$ with no range means it's right for all $y$, which is very wrong in this example.

## Remark: Distribution Functions

- For any pair of jointly distributed RV, the joint distribution function (cdf) of $X$ and $Y$ is

$$
F(x, y)=P(X \leq x, Y \leq y)
$$

defined for all ( $\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ).

- For X,Y are both continuous:

$$
f(x, y)=\frac{\delta^{2}}{\delta x \delta y} F(x, y)
$$

wherever the derivative exists.

## Extensions for 3 or more RV: by example

 $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$ are discrete $\mathbf{R V}$ with joint pmf$$
p(x, y, z)=P(X=x, Y=y, Z=z)
$$

marginal pmf of $\mathbf{X}$ is

$$
\overline{p_{X}(x)}=\sum_{y} \sum_{z} p(x, y, z)(=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}))
$$

(ioint) marginal pmf of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ is

$$
p_{X Y}(x, y)=\sum_{z} p(x, y, z)(=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y}))
$$

## $X, Y, Z$ are continuous $R V$ with joint pdf $f(x, y, z):$

marginal pdf of $X$ is

$$
f_{X}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y, z) d y d z
$$

(joint) marginal pmf of $X$ and $Y$ is

$$
f_{X Y}(x, y)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y, z) d z
$$

## Conditional Distributions \& Independence

## Marginal pdf of $\mathbf{X}$ :

Marginal pdf of Y :

$$
f_{X}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y
$$

$$
f_{Y}(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d x
$$

Conditional pdf of $X$
given $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{y})>\mathbf{0}) \quad f(x \mid y)=f(x, y) / h(y)$

Conditional prob

$$
P(X \in A \mid Y=y)=\int f(x \mid y) d x
$$ for $\mathbf{X}$ for $\mathbf{y}$ fixed

## Conditional Distributions \& Independence

## Review from Chap. 2:

- For events $A \& B$ where $P(B)>0$, define $P(A \mid B)$ to be the conditional prob. that $A$ occurs given B occurred:

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{B})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B}) / \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B})
$$

- Multiplication Rule: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{A})$ $=P(B) P(A \mid B)$
- Events $A$ and $B$ are independent if

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{A})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B})
$$

or equivalently $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B})$

## Extensions to RV

- Again, first, develop for 2 RV (X and Y)
- Two Main Cases
I. Both RV are discrete
II. Both RV are continuous
I. (p. 193). Conditional Probability Mass Function (pmf) of $Y$ given $X=x$ is
$p_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x)=\frac{p(x, y)}{p_{X}(x)}=\frac{\text { joint }}{\text { marginal of condition }}$
as long as $p_{X}(x)>0$.
- Note that idea is the same as in Chap. 2

$$
P(Y=y \mid X=x)=\frac{P(X=x, Y=y)}{P(X=x)}
$$

as long as $P(X=x)>0$.

- However, keep in mind that we are defining a (conditional) prob. dist for $Y$ for a fixed $x$


## Example:

|  |  |  | $y$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| x | $\mathbf{1}$ | . $\mathbf{1 0}$ | .15 | .22 | .47 |
|  | 2 | . $\mathbf{3 0}$ | .10 | .13 | .53 |
|  |  | .40 | .25 | .35 |  |


| x | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathbf{x})$ | .47 | .53 |


| $\mathbf{y}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathbf{y})$ | .40 | .25 | .35 |

Find cond'l pmf of $X$ given $Y=2$ :

$$
p_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=\frac{p(x, y)}{p_{Y}(y)} \text { gives } p_{X \mid Y}(x \mid 2)=\frac{p(x, 2)}{p_{Y}(2)}
$$

So

| x | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{x} \mid 2)$ | $.15 / .25=.60$ | $.10 / .25=.40$ |

## II. Both RV are continuous

(p. 193). Conditional Probability Density Function
(pdf) of $Y$ given $X=x$ is

$$
f_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x)=\frac{f(x, y)}{f_{X}(x)}=\frac{\text { joint pdf }}{\text { marginal pdf of condition }}
$$

as long as $f_{X}(x)>0$.
The point: $\quad P(Y \in A \mid X=x)=\int_{A} f_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x) d y$

## Remarks

- ALWAYS: for a cont. $R V$, prob it's in a set $A$ is the integral of its pdf over $A$ :
no conditional; use the marginal pdf with a condition; use the right cond'l pdf
- Interpretation: For cont. $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{0}$, so by

Chap 2 rules, $P(Y \in A \mid X=x)$ is meaningless.

- There is a lot of theory that makes sense of this
- For our purposes, think of it as an approximation to $P(Y \in A \mid X \approx x)$
that is "given $X$ lies in a tiny interval around $x$ "

Ex) $X, Y$ have pdf
$f(x, y)=10 \times y^{2}$ if $0<x<y<1$, and $=0$ else.

- Conditional pdf of $X$ given $Y=y$ :

$$
f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=f(x, y) / f_{Y}(y)
$$

We found $f_{Y}(y)=5 y^{4}$ for $0<y<1,=0$ else. So

$$
f(x \mid y)=\frac{10 x y^{2}}{5 y^{4}} \text { if } 0<\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}<1
$$

Final Answer: For a fixed $\mathbf{y}, 0<\mathrm{y}<1$,

$$
f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=2 x / y^{2} \text { if } 0<x<y, \text { and }=0 \text { else. }
$$

$\left(f(x \mid y)=2 x / y^{2} 0<x<y\right.$, and $=0$ else. $)$

- $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}<.2 \mid \mathbf{Y}=.3)=\int_{0}^{.2} 2 x / .3^{2} d x$
- $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}<.35 \mid \mathrm{Y}=.3)=1$
- $F_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=\int_{0}^{x} 2 t / y^{2} d t=x^{2} / y^{2} \quad$ if $\mathbf{0}<\mathbf{x}<\mathbf{y}$



## Last Time: X, Y have pdf $f(\underset{\infty}{(x, y)}$

 Marginal pdf of $\mathbf{X}$ :Marginal pdf of Y :

$$
f_{X}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y
$$

$$
f_{Y}(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d x
$$

Conditional pdf of $X$
given $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y} \quad\left(f_{Y}(\boldsymbol{y})>0\right) \quad f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=f(x, y) / f_{Y}(y)$

Conditional prob $P(X \in A \mid Y=y)=\int f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y) d x$ for $\mathbf{X}$ for $\mathbf{y}$ fixed

## Three More Topics

## 1. Multiplication Rule for pdf:

$f(x, y)=f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y) f_{Y}(y)=f_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x) f_{X}(x)$
[For events $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{A})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{B})$ ]

- Extension, by example:
$f(x, y, z)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x) f_{Z \mid X Y}(z \mid x, y)$
[Chap 2: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B} \cap \mathbf{C})=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \mid \mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B})]$


## 2. Independence

Chap. 2: $A, B$ are independent if $P(A \mid B)=P(A)$ or equivalently, $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} \cap B)=\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{P}(B)$

- $X$ and $Y$ are independent $R V$ if and only if

$$
f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=f_{X}(x)
$$

for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y})$ for which $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y})>\mathbf{0}$, or

$$
f(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y)
$$

for all $(\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y})$ for which $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})>0$.

- i.e., the joint is the product of the marginals.


## 2. Independence

More general: $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}}$ are independent if for every subset of the $\mathbf{n}$ variable, their joint pdf is the product of their marginal pdf's.
$f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots f_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$
and $f\left(x_{1}, x_{7}, x_{28}\right)=f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{7}\left(x_{7}\right) f_{28}\left(x_{28}\right)$ etc.
3. Bayes' Thm

Chap. 2:

$$
P\left(B_{r} \mid A\right)=\frac{P\left(A \mid B_{r}\right) P\left(B_{r}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} P\left(A \mid B_{i}\right) P\left(B_{i}\right)}
$$

Bayes' Theorem for Disc RV's: For $p_{X}(x)>0$

$$
p_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x)=\frac{p(x, y)}{p_{X}(x)}=\frac{p(x, y)}{\sum p(x, y)}
$$

Note: pmf's are prob's, so this is Bayes's Thm in disc $R V$ notation
3. Bayes' Thm

$$
p_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x)=\frac{p(x, y)}{p_{X}(x)}=\frac{p(x, y)}{\sum_{y} p(x, y)}
$$

Bayes' Theorem for Cont RV's: For $f_{X}(x)>0$

$$
f_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x)=\frac{f(x, y)}{f_{X}(x)}=\frac{f(x, y)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y}
$$

Note: pdf 's are not prob's but the formula works

Ex) X, Y have PDF $\quad f(x, y)=c\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right) e^{-x}$
if $0<x<\infty,-x<y<x$ and 0 elsewhere. Find the conditional PDF of $\mathbf{Y}$ given $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}$ :

$$
f_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x)=f(x, y) / f_{X}(x)
$$

$f_{X}(x)=\int_{-x}^{x} c\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right) e^{-x} d y=c e^{-x}\left[x^{2} y-y^{3} /\left.3\right|_{-x} ^{x}\right.$
$=(4 c / 3) x^{3} e^{-x}, 0<x<\infty$
means $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{1 / 8}$ (we'll see why later))
hence

$$
f_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x)=(3 / 4) \frac{\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right) e^{-x}}{x^{3} e^{-x}},-x<y<x
$$

and 0 elsewhere

- Partial check, integrate this and verify we get 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-x}^{x} f_{Y \mid X}(y \mid x) d y=(3 / 4) \int_{-x}^{x} \frac{\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)}{x^{3}} d y \\
& =\left(3 / x^{3} 4\right)\left[x^{2} y-y^{3} /\left.3\right|_{-x} ^{x}=1\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

- Don't need c $f(y \mid x)=f(x, y) / \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$


## Conditional Independence \& Learning (beyond the text)

- Ex) Very large population of people. Let Y be the unknown proportion having a disease (D). Sample 2 people "at random", without replacement \& check for $D$.
- Define $X_{1}=1$ if person 1 has $D, X_{1}=\mathbf{0}$ if not. Define $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ for person 2 the same way.
- Note: the X 's are discrete, Y is continuous


## Model assumptions

1. Given $Y=y, X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are conditionally independent, with PD's

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{1}\left(x_{1} \mid y\right)=y^{x_{1}}(1-y)^{1-x_{1}}, x_{1}=0,1 \\
p_{2}\left(x_{2} \mid y\right)=y^{x_{2}}(1-y)^{1-x_{2}}, x_{2}=0,1
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, $p_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid y\right)=p_{1}\left(x_{1} \mid y\right) p_{2}\left(x_{2} \mid y\right)$

$$
=y^{x_{1}+x_{2}}(1-y)^{2-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)}, x_{1} \& x_{2}=0,1
$$

2. Suppose we know little about $Y$ : Assume $f_{Y}(y)=1$, $0<y<1$, and 0 elsewhere.

## Learn about $Y$ after observing $X_{1} \& X_{2}$ ?

Answer
$f_{Y \mid X_{1} X_{2}}\left(y \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=p_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid y\right) f_{Y}(y) / p_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} y^{x_{1}+x_{2}}(1-y)^{2-\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)} d y \\
& x_{1} \& x_{2}=0,1
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: $\mathbf{X}_{1} \& \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are unconditionally dependent.

## Learn about $Y$ after observing $X_{1} \& X_{2}$ ?

Answer

$$
f_{Y \mid X_{1} X_{2}}\left(y \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=p_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \mid y\right) f_{Y}(y) / p_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

Ex) Observe $\mathbf{X}_{1}=\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{1}$. Then

$$
p_{12}(1,1)=\int_{0}^{1} y^{2} d y=1 / 3
$$

and $f(y \mid 1,1)=\frac{y^{2}}{\int_{0}^{1} y^{2} d y}=3 y^{2}, 0<y<1$

## Summary

- Before data, we had no idea about $Y$, our "prior pdf" was $f_{Y}(y)=1,0<y<1$. After seeing 2 out of 2 people sampled have $D$, we update to the "posterior pdf" $f_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{y} \mid 1,1)=3 \mathrm{y}^{2}, 0<\mathrm{y}<1$.



## Sect. 5.2 Expected Values

(p. 197) For discrete RV's $X, Y$ with joint pmf $p$, the expected value of $h(X, Y)$ is

$$
E[h(X, Y)]=\Sigma_{x} \Sigma_{y} h(x, y) p(x, y)
$$

if finite.
For continuous RV's $X, Y$ with joint pdf $f$, the expected value of $h(X, Y)$ is
$E[h(X, Y)]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x, y) f(x, y) d x d y$
if finite.

- Ex) $X$ and $Y$ have $\operatorname{pdf} f(x, y)=x+y, 0<x<1$, $0<y<1$, and 0 else. Find $E\left(X Y^{2}\right)$.

$$
E\left(X Y^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} x y^{2}(x+y) d x d y=17 / 72
$$

(Check my integration)

- Extensions, by example:
$E[h(X, Y, Z)]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x, y, z) f(x, y, z) d x d y d z$


## Important Result:

If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are independent $\mathrm{RV}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, then $E\left[h_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) h_{2}\left(X_{2}\right) \cdots h_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)\right]=$

$$
E\left[h_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)\right] E\left[h_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)\right] \cdots E\left[h_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)\right]
$$

- "Under independence, the expectation of product
= product of expectations"
- Proof: (for $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}$ in the continuous case.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[h_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) h_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)\right] & =\int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& =\int_{-\infty-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left(x_{1}\right) h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \overbrace{f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}^{\uparrow} d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{2} \\
& =E\left[h_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)\right] E\left[h_{2}\left(X_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ex: $X$ and $Y$ have pdf

$$
f(x, y)=(1 / 8) x e^{-0.50(x+y)}, x>0, y>0
$$

and 0 elsewhere. Find E(Y/X).

- Note that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ "factors" (ranges on $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ are OK). Hence, $X$ and $Y$ are independent and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) & =\left[(.25) x e^{-0.50 x}\right]\left[(.50) e^{-0.50 y}\right], x>0, y>0 \\
& =f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: If $f(x, y)=c x e^{-0.50(x+y)}, 0<x<y$ then $X$ and $Y$ are dependent

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Y} / \mathbf{X})=\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Y}) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{X}) \\
= & \int_{0}^{\infty} y(.50) e^{-0.50 y} d y \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1}(.25) x e^{-0.50 x} d x=(2)(.50)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

since,

- $Y \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda=.5)$ and mean of exponential is $1 / \lambda$
- X has a Gamma pdf, but

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1}(.25) x e^{-0.50 x} d x=\int_{0}^{\infty}(.25)(.5) /(.5) e^{-0.50 x} d x \\
& =.25 / .50=.50
\end{aligned}
$$

Important Concepts in Prob \& Stat (p. 198)

1. $\underline{\text { Covariance of } X \text { and } Y}=\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=\sigma_{X Y}$

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E\left[\left(X-\mu_{X}\right)\left(Y-\mu_{Y}\right)\right]
$$

Fact: $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=E(X Y)-\mu_{X} \mu_{Y}$
Point: $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$ measures dependence between $X \& Y$

- If $X$ and $Y$ are independent, then $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=0$. Why: $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X Y})=\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Y})=\mu_{\mathrm{X}} \mu_{\mathrm{Y}}$
(indep. implies $E(p r o d u c t)=p r o d u c t(E ' s))$
- But $\operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})=0$ does not imply independence.


## Intuition

We observe and graph many pairs of (X,Y). Suppose we get

Then (X-E(X)) and
( $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Y})$ ) tend to have

the same sign, so the average
of their product (i.e., covariance) is positive.

- $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathrm{Y})<0$

- $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})=\mathbf{0}$
(Not indep)


## $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X , Y})=\mathbf{0}(\&$ indep)


2. $\underline{\text { Correlation between } \mathbf{X} \text { and } \mathbf{Y}}=\operatorname{Corr}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})=\rho_{X Y}$ $\underline{\operatorname{Cov}(X}, Y)$

$$
\rho_{X Y}=\frac{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}{\sigma_{X}}
$$

Theorem: $-1 \leq \rho_{X Y} \leq 1$
Point: $\rho_{X Y}$ measures dependence between $\mathbf{X} \& \mathbf{Y}$ in a fashion that does not depend on units of measurements

- Sign of $\rho_{X Y}$ indicates direction of relationship
- Magnitude of $\left|\rho_{X Y}\right|$ indicates the strength of the linear relationship between $\mathbf{X}$ and Y



## Results for Linear Combinations of RV's

1. Recall: $V(a X+b)=a^{2} \sigma_{X}^{2} \quad S . D .(a X+b)=|a| \sigma_{X}$ 2. Extensions:
$\operatorname{Cov}(a X+b, c Y+d)=a c \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$
Hence, $\operatorname{Corr}(a X+b, c Y+d)=\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)$
 $V(X+Y)=V(X)+V(Y)+2 \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$
So, if $X \& Y$ are indep., $V(X+Y)=V(X)+V(Y)$
Thm: If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are indep.,
$\mathrm{V}\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(X_{1}\right)+V\left(X_{2}\right)+\cdots+V\left(X_{n}\right)$
"Var( sum of indep. RV) = sum (their variances)"

## Ex) Pistons in Cylinders

Let $X_{1}=$ diameter of cylinder, $X_{2}=$ diameter of piston. "Clearance" $Y=0.50\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right)$. Assume $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=80.95 \mathrm{~cm}, \sigma_{1}=.03 \mathrm{~cm} ; \\
& \mu_{2}=80.85 \mathrm{~cm}, \sigma_{2}=.02 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Find mean and SD of $Y$ :
$\left[\mathrm{Y}=0.50\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{2}\right) . \mu_{1}=80.95 \mathrm{~cm}, \sigma_{1}=.03 \mathrm{~cm}\right.$; $\left.\mu_{2}=80.85 \mathrm{~cm}, \sigma_{2}=.02 \mathrm{~cm}\right]$

1. $\mu_{Y}=E\left[.50\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right)\right]$

$$
=.50\left[\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right]=.05 \mathrm{~cm}
$$

2. S.D.: Find $V(Y)$, then square root
(there's no general shortcut)

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(Y)= & V\left[.50\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =(.5)^{2} V\left(X_{1}-X_{2}\right) \\
& =.25\left[(.03)^{2}+(.02)^{2}\right]=3.24 \times 10^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\sigma_{Y}=.018 \mathrm{~cm} \quad($ not $.5(.03+.02)=.025)$

## Ex), Cont'd

If $Y$ is too small, the piston can't move freely; if $Y$ is too big, the piston isn't tight enough to combust efficiently. Designers say a pistoncylinder pair will work if $.01<$ Y $<.09$.
Assuming $Y$ has a normal dist., find

$$
\mathbf{P}(.01<\mathrm{Y}<.09)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(.01<Y<.09)=P\left(\frac{.01-.05}{.018}<Z<\frac{.09-.05}{.018}\right) \\
& =P(-2.22<Z<2.22)=.9736
\end{aligned}
$$

## FYI (not HW or Exams)

If the normality assumption can't be claimed, you can get a bound:

- $\mathbf{P}(.01<\mathrm{Y}<.09)=\mathbf{P}(.01-.05<\mathrm{Y}-.05<.09-.05)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =P(|Y-.05|<.04) \\
& =P\left(\left|Y-\mu_{Y}\right|<.04\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Chebyshev's Inequality: For any constant $k>0$,

$$
P(|X-\mu|<k \sigma) \geq 1-k^{-2}
$$

- Set $.04=k \sigma_{Y}$, so $k^{-2}=(.018 / .04)^{2}$
- Conclusion:

$$
\mathbf{P}(.01<\mathrm{Y}<.09)=\mathrm{P}(|\mathrm{Y}-.05|<.04) \geq .797
$$

no matter what the dist of $Y$ is !!!!!

FYI (not for HW or Exam): Conditional Expectation Recall: X,Y have pdf $f(x, y)$. Then
$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y})=\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) / \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y})$ and

$$
P(X \in A \mid Y=y)=\int_{A} f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y) d x
$$

(p. 156) Conditional expectation of $h(X)$ given $Y=y$

Discrete $E[h(X) \mid y]=\Sigma_{x} h(x) p_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)$
Contin. $E[h(X) \mid y]=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y) d x$ if they exist.

Special cases (Contin. case; discrete are similar)

1. Conditional mean of $X$ given $Y=y$ is

$$
\mu_{X \mid y}=\int^{\infty} x f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y) d x
$$

$$
-\infty
$$

2. Conditional variance of $X$ given $Y=y$ is

$$
\sigma_{X \mid y}^{2}=E\left[\left(X-\mu_{X \mid y}\right)^{2} \mid y\right]=E\left(X^{2} \mid y\right)-\mu_{X \mid y}^{2}
$$

Try not to let all this notation fox you. All definitions are the same, the conditioning just tells us what PD or pdf to use.
$X$ and $Y$ have pdf

$$
f(x, y)=\lambda^{2} e^{-\lambda y}, 0<x<y
$$

Find $\sigma_{X \mid y}^{2}$

1. Need

$$
f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=f(x, y) / f_{Y}(y)
$$

$$
f_{Y}(y)=\int_{0}^{y} \lambda^{2} e^{-\lambda y} d x=\lambda^{2} e^{-\lambda y} y, y>0
$$

SO

$$
f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=\frac{\lambda^{2} e^{-\lambda y}}{y \lambda^{2} e^{-\lambda y}}=1 / y, 0<x<y
$$

2. Find $\mu_{x \mid y}=\int_{0}^{y} x(1 / y) d x=0.50 y$

$$
E\left(X^{2} \mid y\right)=\int_{0}^{y} x^{2}(1 / y) d x=y^{2} / 3
$$

3. So $\sigma_{x \mid y}^{2}=y^{2} / 3-(y / 2)^{2}=y^{2} / 12$

## Sec 5.3-5.4

Last material for this course
Lead-in to

- statistical inference: drawing conclusions about population based on sample data
- we state our inferences and judge their value: based on probability


## Key Definitions and Notions

A. Target of Statistical Inference:

1. Population: Collection of units or objects of interest.
2. Pop Random Variable (RV): Numerical value $X$ associated with each unit.
3. Pop Dist.: Dist. of $X$ over the pop.
4. Parameters: Numerical summaries of pop. (mean, variance, proportion, ...)
B. Inputs to Statistical Inference
5. Sample: Subset of the population
6. Sample Data: $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ for the $n$ units in sample
7. Statistic: Function of the data

Main ex) "sample mean" $\bar{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} / n$
4. Sampling variability: Different samples give different values of a statistic. That is,
Statistics are RV's
5. Sampling distribution: Probability distribution of a statistic.

## Idea



Sampling Dist


## Key Point: Sampling Design

- Form of sampling dist. depends on how we pick samples
- In most cases, we want samples to be representative of pop.
(i.e., not biased or special in some way).

If $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are independent and identically
distributed (i.i.d.), each having the pop. dist., they
form a random sample from the population

- Finding sampling dist:
(1) Simulation and (2) Prob. theory results


## Remark

Alternative notion of representative samples: Simple random sample (SRS):
Sample of $n$ units chosen in a way that all samples of $\mathbf{n}$ units have equal chance of being chosen

- Sampling without replacement: observations are dependent.
- When sampling from huge populations, SRS are approximately Random Samples


## Sampling dist. via grunt work

 $X=$ the result of the roll of a fair die| $\mathrm{x}:$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{x}):$ | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 6$ | $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{X}_{2}$ be results of 2 indep. rolls. Dist of $\bar{X}$ :

 $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\bar{x}\end{array}:$| 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}p(\bar{x}): & 1 / 36 & 2 / 36 & 3 / 36 & 4 / 36 & 5 / 36 & 6 / 36 & 5 / 36 & 4 / 36 & 3 / 36 & 2 / 36 & 1 / 36\end{array}$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 2 | 1.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 | 4 |
| 3 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 | 4 | 4.5 |
| 4 | 2.5 | 3 | 3.5 | 4 | 4.5 | 5 |
| 5 | 3 | 3.5 | 4 | 4.5 | 5 | 5.5 |
| 6 | 3.5 | 4 | 4.5 | 5 | 5.5 | 6 |



Simulation: Fundamental in modern applications
Math/Stat has developed numerical methods to "generate" realizations of RV's from specified dist.

- Select a dist. and a statistic
- Simulate many random samples
- Compute statistic for each
- Examine the dist of the simulated statistics: histograms, estimates of their density, etc.


## Simple Ex)

- Pop. Dist: X ~ N(10, 4). Statistic $\bar{X}$
- Draw $k=1000$ random samples (in practice, use much larger $\mathbf{k}$ ); compute means and make histograms for four different sample sizes

$$
n=2
$$

$$
n=5
$$

$$
n=20
$$

$$
\mathrm{n}=50
$$






Consider the Weibull distribution with parameters $\alpha=2$ (the shape parameter) and $\beta=5$ (the scale parameter) shown below.


## $\mathrm{n}=10, \mathrm{k}=\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$




## Various $n$



In example 5.23 the means of samples of different sizes from a log-normal distribution with $E[\ln X]=3$ and $\operatorname{Var}(\ln X)=0.4$ are simulated.


Various $n$


Weibull
Lognormal


## Last Time

1. Population: Collection of objects of interest.
2. Population RV: $X$ (value for each object in pop.)
3. Population Dist.: Dist. of RV $X$ over the pop.
4. Parameters: Numerical summaries of pop. (ex. $\mu, \sigma$ )
5. Sample: Subset of the population
6. Data: $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for the objects in sample
7. Statistic: Function of the data

Key: Sampling variability: different samples give different values of a statistic. Statistics are $R V$ 's
8. Sampling distribution: Distribution of a statistic.

Key: Distribution of statistic depends on how sample is taken

## Sampling Design

In most cases, we want samples to be representative of pop. (i.e., not biased or special in some way).

In this course (and most applications):
If $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}}$ are independent and identically
distributed (i.i.d.), each having the pop. dist., they
form a random sample from the population

- Finding sampling dist:
(1) Simulation (last time)
(2) Prob. theory results (today)


## Remark

Alternative notion of representative samples: Simple random sample (SRS):
Sample of $n$ units chosen in a way that all samples of $\mathbf{n}$ units have equal chance of being chosen

- Sampling without replacement: observations are dependent.
- When sampling from huge populations, SRS are approximately Random Samples


## Main Statistics (Sect. 5.4; p. 229-230)

1. $\frac{\text { Sample Mean: }}{\text { or "X-bar" }} \quad \bar{X}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}{n}$
2. Sample Variance:

$$
S^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{n-1}
$$

3. Sample proportion: example later

## Sect. 5.4: Dist. of X-bar

Proposition (p. 213):
If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a (iid) random sample
from a population distribution with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \mu_{\overline{\mathrm{x}}}={ }^{\operatorname{def}} E(\bar{X})=\mu \\
& \text { 2. } \sigma_{\bar{X}}^{2}={ }^{\operatorname{def}} V(\bar{X})=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} \text { and } \sigma_{\bar{X}}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof:

$$
\text { 1. } \begin{aligned}
& E(\bar{X})=E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} / n\right) \\
&=1 / n\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{n \mu}{n}=\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

## Constants come outside exp' and E(sum) $=\operatorname{sum}\left(E^{\prime}\right.$ s)

$$
\text { 2. } \begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{var}(\bar{X})=\operatorname{var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} / n\right) \\
&= 1 / n^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{var}\left(X_{i}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{n \sigma^{2}}{n^{2}}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

# Constants come out of Var squared and for indep RV , $\mathbf{V}($ sum $)=\operatorname{sum}\left(V^{\prime}\right.$ 's) 

## Remarks:

1. In statistics, we typically use $\bar{X}$ to estimate $\mu$ and $S^{2}$ to estimate $\sigma^{2}$.
When $E($ Estimator $)=$ target , we say the estimator is unbiased
(note: $S$ is not unbiased for $\sigma$ )
2. Independence of the $X_{i}$ 's is only needed for the variance result.
3. Results stated for sum's: Let $T_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$

Under the assumptions of the Proposition,

$$
E\left(T_{0}\right)=n \mu, \quad V\left(T_{0}\right)=n \sigma^{2} \text { and } \sigma_{T_{0}}=\sqrt{n} \sigma
$$

## More results

Proposition (p. 214): If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is an iid random sample from a population having a normal distribution with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, then $\bar{X}$ has a normal distribution with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2} / \mathrm{n}$

That is,

$$
\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)
$$

Proof: Beyond our scope (not really hard, just uses facts text doesn't cover)


## Large sample (large $n$ ) properties of X -bar

Assume $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are a random sample (iid) from a population with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. (normality is not assumed)

1. Law of Large Numbers

Recall that $\mu_{\overline{\mathrm{x}}}=\mu$ and note that

$$
n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \sigma_{\bar{X}}^{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

We can prove that with probability $=1$,

$$
n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \bar{X} \rightarrow \mu
$$



## 2. Central Limit Theorem (CLT) (p. 215)

Under the above assumptions (iid random sample)

$$
\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{D} \xrightarrow[\substack{\text { "converges in dist. to"" } \\ \text { or "has limiting dist." }}]{\sim} \sim
$$

Point: For n large, $\bar{X} \approx N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)$
"approx dist as"

So for $n$ large, we can approx prob's for $X$-bar even though we don't know the pop. dist.

## 2. Central Limit Theorem (CLT) For Sums

Under the above assumptions (iid random sample)

$$
\frac{T_{0}-n \mu}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{D} Z \sim N(0,1)
$$

Point: For n large,

$$
T_{0} \approx N\left(n \mu, n \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

So for n large, we can approx prob's for $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathbf{0}}$ even though we don't know the pop. dist.

Last Time:

$$
\text { Dist. of "X-bar": } \bar{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} / n
$$

Three Main Results: Assumption common to all:
$X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a (iid) random sample from a pop. dist. with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$.

1. $\mu_{\overline{\mathrm{x}}}=\mu$ and $\sigma_{\bar{X}}^{2}=\sigma^{2} / n$
2. If the pop. dist. is normal, then

$$
\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)
$$

3. If $\mathbf{n}$ is large

$$
\bar{X} \approx N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)
$$

Restate for the sum: $T_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$

1. $\mu_{T_{0}}=n \mu$ and $\sigma_{T_{0}}^{2}=n \sigma^{2}$
2. If the pop. dist. is normal, then

$$
T_{0} \sim N\left(n \mu, n \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

(Theorem: sum of indep. normals is normal)
3. If $\mathbf{n}$ is large

$$
T_{0} \approx N\left(n \mu, n \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

Ex) Estimate the average height of men in some population. Assume pop. $\sigma$ is 2.5 in . We will collect an iid sample of $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ men. Find the prob. that their sample mean will be within .5 in of the pop. mean.

- Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ be the population mean. Find

$$
P(|\bar{X}-\mu|<.5)
$$

- Applying CLT, $\bar{X} \approx N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}=\frac{2.5^{2}}{100}=\frac{2.5^{2}}{10^{2}}\right)$
so

$$
P(|\bar{X}-\mu|<.5) \approx P(|Z|<.5 / .25)=P(|Z|<2)=.95
$$

## Ex) Application for "sums": Binomial Dist.

1. Recall (p. 88): A Bernoulli RV $X$ takes on values 1 or 0 . Set $P(X=1)=p$. Easy to check that

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{X}}=p \text { and } \sigma_{X}^{2}=p(1-p)
$$

2. $X \sim \operatorname{Bin}(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{p})$ is the sum of $\boldsymbol{n}$ iid Bernoulli's.

Applying result $\mu_{T_{0}}=n \mu$ and $\sigma_{T_{0}}^{2}=n \sigma^{2}$ gives

$$
\mu_{X}=n p \text { and } \sigma_{X}^{2}=n p(1-p)
$$

3. CLT: For $n$ large,

$$
X \approx N(n p, n p(1-p))
$$

Remark: CLT doesn't include "continuity correction"

## Ex) Continued. In practice, we may not know $p$

1. Traditional estimator: sample proportion, $p$-hat

$$
\hat{p}=X / n
$$

2. Key: since $X \sim \operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$ is the sum of $\boldsymbol{n}$ iid Bernoulli's, $\mathbf{p}$-hat is a sample mean
i.e., let $B_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{i}=1, \ldots, n$ denote the Bernoulli's:

$$
\hat{p}=X / n=\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i} / n
$$

3. Apply CLT: For $\boldsymbol{n}$ large,

$$
\hat{p} \approx N\left(p, \frac{p(1-p)}{n}\right)
$$

Ex) Service times for checkout at a store are indep., average 1.5 min ., and have variance $1.0 \mathrm{~min}^{2}$. Find prob. 100 customers are served in less than 2 hours.

- Let $X_{i}=$ service time of $i^{\text {th }}$ customer.
- Service time for $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ customers: $T=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$
- Applying the CLT,

$$
T \approx N\left(n \mu=100(1.5), n \sigma^{2}=100(1)\right)
$$

- So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(T<120)=P\left(\frac{T-150}{10}<\frac{120-150}{10}\right) \\
& \approx P(Z<-3)=.0013
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ex) Common Class of Applications

- In many cases, we know the distribution of the sum of independent RV's, but if that dist. is complicated, we may still want to use CLT approximations.
- Example:

1) Theorem. If $X_{1} \sim \operatorname{Poi}\left(\lambda_{1}\right), \ldots, X_{k} \sim \operatorname{Poi}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$ are indep. Poisson RV's, then

$$
T=\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i} \sim \operatorname{Poi}\left(\lambda_{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}\right)
$$

(i.e., "sum of indep. Poissons is Poisson")

Proof: not hard, but beyond the text
2) Implication: Suppose $Y \sim \operatorname{Poi}(\lambda)$ where $\lambda$ is very large. Recall $\mu_{Y}=\lambda$ and $\sigma_{Y}^{2}=\lambda$
3) Slick Trick: pretend $Y=$ sum of $\boldsymbol{n}$ iid Poisson's, each with parameter $\lambda^{*}$ where $\lambda=n \lambda^{*}$ and $n$ is large. That is, $X_{i} \sim \operatorname{Poi}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
By the Theorem:

$$
Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim \operatorname{Poi}\left(\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda^{*}=n \lambda^{*}\right)
$$

4) Apply CLT:

$$
\frac{Y-\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \approx N(0,1)
$$

Ex) Number of flaws in a unit of material has a Poisson dist. with mean 2. We receive a shipment of 50 units.
a) Find prob that the total number of flaws in the 50 units is less than 110.
b) Find prob that at least 20 of the $\mathbf{5 0}$ have more than 2 flaws.
c) Find prob that at least 2 of the $\mathbf{5 0}$ have more than 6 flaws.

In the following solutions, we assume the number of flaws in the 50 units are independent RV 's

Find prob that the total number of flaws in the 50 units is less than 110.

- Since sum of indep Poisson's is Poisson:
$T=$ total number of flaws is $\operatorname{Poi}(\lambda=2(50)=100)$
Since $\lambda=100$ is large, use normal approx.:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(T<110)=P\left(\frac{T-100}{10}<\frac{110-100}{10}\right) \\
& \approx P(Z<1)=.8413
\end{aligned}
$$

Note, with cont. correction,

$$
P(T<110) \approx P\left(Z<\frac{109.5-100}{10}\right)=.8289
$$

("Exact" Poisson calculation: .8294)
b) Find prob that at least 20 of the 50 have more than 2 flaws.

- Let $\mathbf{X}=$ number units with more than 2 flaws. Since the units are indep., $X$ is $\operatorname{Bin}(n=50, p)$ where $p$
$=P(Y>2)$ where $Y$ is $\operatorname{Poi}(\lambda=2)$.
- Using Poisson pmf, check that $\boldsymbol{p}=.3233$
- Apply Normal approx. to binomial:

$$
\mu_{X}=n p=50(.3233)=16.165 \quad \sigma_{X}^{2}=n p(1-p)=10.94
$$

$$
\text { so } P(X \geq 20) \approx P(Z \geq(20-16.165) / \sqrt{10.94})=.123
$$

or, with cont. correction

$$
P(X \geq 20) \approx P(Z \geq(19.5-16.165) / \sqrt{10.94})=.1562
$$

(Exact Binomial: .1566)
c) Find prob that at least 2 of the $\mathbf{5 0}$ have more than 6 flaws.

- Now, $X \sim \operatorname{Bin}(50, p)$ where $p=P(Y>6)$ and $Y \sim$ $\operatorname{Poi}(\lambda=2)$. Poisson pmf gives $p=.0045$
- Hence, $n p=50(.0045)=.225$ which is way too small for normal approx.
- Use Poisson approx to Bin:

$$
P(X \geq 2)=1-P(X \leq 1)=1-P(X=0,1)
$$

Using $\operatorname{Poi}(\lambda=.225)$, we get

$$
\exp (-.225)(1.225)=.023
$$

(Exact Binomial: .0215)

CLT: Assume $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a (iid) random sample from a distribution with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. If $\boldsymbol{n}$ is large, $\bar{X} \approx N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)$ and the sum $T_{0} \approx N\left(n \mu, n \sigma^{2}\right)$
Note: In practice, we may need to estimate $\sigma^{2}$. Typical procedure: input the sample variance. Theorem:
$n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow S^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2} /(n-1) \rightarrow \sigma^{2}$ with prob $=1$
Remark: Rule of Thumb (p. 217) "If $n \geq 30$, CLT can be used" is nonsense. This is only OK if the pop dist is reasonably symmetric.
(Also p. 217, text says use CLT approx. to bin. if $n p>10$, so if $p=.10$ and $n=50, n p=5$ so don't use CLT
(though $n>30$ ))

## Two important settings/applications

A. Sample Size Determination. Estimate the unknown mean $\mu$ of some distribution. Basic procedure:
i. Choose a random sample (iid) of $\boldsymbol{n}$ observations.
ii. Use their sample mean $\bar{X}$ to estimate $\mu$

Idea: we know accuracy of estimate increases as $n$ does, but so does cost of data collection.

How large should $\boldsymbol{n}$ be to obtain a desired accuracy?
Quantification: For specified choices of $M$ and $\alpha$, choose $\boldsymbol{n}$ large enough that

$$
P(|\bar{X}-\mu| \leq M) \geq 1-\alpha
$$

- $M$ is the margin of error
- $\alpha$ is the error rate; $\alpha$ small (. 05 is a common choice)

Apply CLT: for $\boldsymbol{n}$ large,

$$
P(|\bar{X}-\mu| \leq M) \approx P\left(|Z| \leq \frac{M}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}\right) \geq 1-\alpha
$$

## Conclusion:

$$
\alpha=.05: \frac{M}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}=1.96 \text { so we need } n \geq(1.96 \sigma / M)^{2}
$$

$\boldsymbol{\alpha}=.01: \frac{M}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}=2.576$ so we need $n \geq(2.576 \sigma / M)^{2}$

## Notes

1. Of course, round up to an integer.
2. Procedure requires a guess at $\sigma$
3. Analysis is valid for all $n$ if the population is normal.
4. Otherwise, if the answer turns out to be small, CLT does not apply, so analysis failed
(Remark: you could use Chebyshev's Inequality, more conservative, but works for all distributions and all $\boldsymbol{n}$ )

Ex) Assess accuracy of lab scale. Weigh a sample known to weigh 100 gm repeatedly and estimate the mean $\mu=100+\beta$ where $\beta$ (gm) denotes the scale's bias. Assume $s=10$ gm. Find $n$ so that we estimate $\beta$ with $M=1$ and $\alpha=.05$. (Note: $\hat{\beta}=\bar{X}-100$ )

$$
P(|\hat{\beta}-\beta| \leq M) \geq 1-\alpha
$$

$$
\frac{M}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}=1.96 \text { so } n \geq(1.96 \times 10 / 1)^{2}=385
$$

Note: Decimal points of accuracy can be expensive

| $M$ | $\alpha=.05$ | $\alpha=.01$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 4}$ |
| 0.1 | $\mathbf{3 8 , 4 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 , 3 5 8}$ |
| 0.01 | $\mathbf{3 , 8 4 1 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 6 3 5 , 7 7 6}$ |

## Apply to Estimating a Pop. Proportion

Unknown proportion $\boldsymbol{p}$ typically estimated by sample proportion: $\hat{p}=X / n$
CLT: For large $\boldsymbol{n}$, the sample proportion,

$$
\hat{p} \approx N\left(p, \frac{p(1-p)}{n}\right)
$$

For fixed $\boldsymbol{M}$, how large should $\boldsymbol{n}$ be so that

$$
P(|\hat{p}-p| \leq M) \approx P\left(|Z| \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{p(1-p)} / \sqrt{n}}\right) \geq 1-\alpha
$$

Note: we need a guess, say $p^{*}$, of $p$. Approaches:

1. Based on past data and other information.
2. Choosing $p^{*}=.5$ is conservative.

Example results: $\alpha=.05$ and $p^{*}=0.50$

$$
P\left(|Z| \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt{.50(.50)} / \sqrt{n}}\right) \geq .95
$$

gives

so $n \geq 1 / M^{2}$

| $M$ | $n$ | $M$ in \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .025 | 1600 | $2.5 \%$ |
| .02 | 2500 | $2.0 \%$ |
| .01 | 10,000 | $1.0 \%$ |
| .005 | 250,000 | $0.50 \%$ |

- This is why "statistics" works: increasing $n$ from 10,000 to $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ reduces the $M$ very little.
- If samples aren't representative, even millions of observations may be useless or misleading.


## B. Simulation --- Monte Carlo

1. Suppose $X \sim f(x)$ (stated for cont. RV, but applies to discrete RV's too). We need $E(h(X))$ for some function $h$, but the calculation is very difficult. i. Simulate $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}}$ iid $f(x)$
ii. Compute $h\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}\right), h\left(\mathbf{X}_{2}\right), \ldots, h\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ and find

$$
\bar{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(X_{i}\right) / n
$$

iii. CLT: for n large,

$$
\bar{h} \approx N\left(E(h(X)), \sigma_{h}^{2} / n\right)
$$

iv. We need to estimate $\sigma_{h}^{2}$

Most use $s_{h}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h\left(X_{i}\right)-\bar{h}\right)^{2} /(n-1)$
Recall: $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow S_{h}^{2} \rightarrow \sigma_{h}^{2}$ with prob $=1$
v. We can apply the sample size calculations above to choose $\boldsymbol{n}$ to control accuracy.
2. Suppose $X \sim f(x)>0$, for $0<x<1$, but it is hard to simulate from $f$. Recall that

$$
E(h(X))=\int_{0}^{1} h(x) f(x) d x
$$

Note: if $\mathbf{Y} \sim \operatorname{Uniform}(0,1) \quad$ [i.e, pdf $=1$ on (0,1)]

$$
E(h(X))=\int_{0}^{1} h(y) f(y) d y=E(h(Y) f(Y))
$$

i. Simulate $\mathbf{Y}_{1}, \mathbf{Y}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{n}}$ iid $\operatorname{Uniform}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$
ii. Compute $h\left(\mathbf{Y}_{1}\right) f\left(\mathbf{Y}_{1}\right), \boldsymbol{h}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{2}\right) f\left(\mathbf{Y}_{2}\right), \ldots, h\left(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{n}}\right) f\left(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$
and find $\overline{h f}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(X_{i}\right) f\left(X_{i}\right) / n$
iii. CLT: for $\boldsymbol{n}$ large,

$$
\overline{h f} \approx N\left(E(h(X)), \sigma_{h f}^{2} / n\right)
$$

Proceed as above
3. Numerical integration. Estimate the integral

$$
I=\int_{0}^{1} h(x) d x
$$

Note that $I=E(h(X))$ where $\mathbf{X} \sim \operatorname{Uniform}(0,1)$
i. Simulate $\mathbf{X}_{1}, \mathbf{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{n}}$ iid $\operatorname{Uniform}(\mathbf{0}, 1)$
ii. Compute $h\left(X_{1}\right), h\left(X_{2}\right), \ldots, h\left(X_{n}\right)$ and find

$$
\hat{I}=\bar{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(X_{i}\right) / n
$$

and proceed as above

## Remarks

1. Monte Carlo integration
i. Purely deterministic problem approached via probabilistic methods.
ii. Real value: Estimating high dimensional integrals
2. I've just scratched the surface of applications of Monte Carlo.
3. Key: We obtain estimates and probabilistic error bounds. When simulation is cheap, we can make these errors arbitrarily small with very high prob.
