

Introduction to Econometrics (3rd Updated Edition)

by

James H. Stock and Mark W. Watson

Solutions to Odd-Numbered End-of-Chapter Exercises: Chapter 5

(This version July 20, 2014)

5.1 (a) The 95% confidence interval for β_1 is $\{-5.82 \pm 1.96 \times 2.21\}$, that is

$$-10.152 \leq \beta_1 \leq -1.4884.$$

(b) Calculate the t -statistic:

$$t^{act} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{-5.82}{2.21} = -2.6335.$$

The p -value for the test $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ vs. $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0$ is

$$p\text{-value} = 2\Phi(-|t^{act}|) = 2\Phi(-2.6335) = 2 \times 0.0042 = 0.0084.$$

The p -value is less than 0.01, so we can reject the null hypothesis at the 5% significance level, and also at the 1% significance level.

(c) The t -statistic is

$$t^{act} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - (-5.6)}{\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{0.22}{2.21} = 0.10$$

The p -value for the test $H_0 : \beta_1 = -5.6$ vs. $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq -5.6$ is

$$p\text{-value} = 2\Phi(-|t^{act}|) = 2\Phi(-0.10) = 0.92$$

The p -value is larger than 0.10, so we cannot reject the null hypothesis at the 10%, 5% or 1% significance level. Because $\beta_1 = -5.6$ is not rejected at the 5% level, this value is contained in the 95% confidence interval.

(d) The 99% confidence interval for β_0 is $\{520.4 \pm 2.58 \times 20.4\}$, that is,

$$467.7 \leq \beta_0 \leq 573.0.$$

5.3. The 99% confidence interval is $1.5 \times \{3.94 \pm 2.58 \times 0.31\}$ or

$$4.71 \text{ lbs} \leq \text{WeightGain} \leq 7.11 \text{ lbs.}$$

5. 5 (a) The estimated gain from being in a small class is 13.9 points. This is equal to approximately 1/5 of the standard deviation in test scores, a moderate increase.

(b) The t -statistic is $t^{act} = \frac{13.9}{2.5} = 5.56$, which has a p -value of 0.00. Thus the null hypothesis is rejected at the 5% (and 1%) level.

(c) $13.9 \pm 2.58 \times 2.5 = 13.9 \pm 6.45$.

-
- 5.7. (a) The t -statistic is $\frac{3.2}{1.5} = 2.13$ with a p -value of 0.03; since the p -value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected at the 5% level.
- (b) $3.2 \pm 1.96 \times 1.5 = 3.2 \pm 2.94$
- (c) Yes. If Y and X are independent, then $\beta_1 = 0$; but this null hypothesis was rejected at the 5% level in part (a).
- (d) β_1 would be rejected at the 5% level in 5% of the samples; 95% of the confidence intervals would contain the value $\beta_1 = 0$.

5.9. (a) $\bar{\beta} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}(Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n)}{\bar{X}}$ so that it is linear function of Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n .

(b) $E(Y_i|X_1, \dots, X_n) = \beta_1 X_i$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{\beta}|X_1, \dots, X_n) &= E\left(\frac{1}{\bar{X}} \frac{1}{n}(Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n) | X_1, \dots, X_n\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \frac{1}{n} \beta_1 (X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \beta_1 \end{aligned}$$

5.11. Using the results from 5.10, $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y}_m$ and $\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{Y}_w - \bar{Y}_m$. From Chapter 3,

$$\text{SE}(\bar{Y}_m) = \frac{s_m}{\sqrt{n_m}} \text{ and } \text{SE}(\bar{Y}_w - \bar{Y}_m) = \sqrt{\frac{s_m^2}{n_m} + \frac{s_w^2}{n_w}}. \text{ Plugging in the numbers } \hat{\beta}_0 = 523.1 \text{ and}$$

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_0) = 6.22; \hat{\beta}_1 = -38.0 \text{ and } \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1) = 7.65.$$

- 5.13. (a) Yes, this follows from the assumptions in KC 4.3.
- (b) Yes, this follows from the assumptions in KC 4.3 and conditional homoskedasticity
- (c) They would be unchanged for the reasons specified in the answers to those questions.
- (d) (a) is unchanged; (b) is no longer true as the errors are not conditionally homoskedastic.

- 5.15. Because the samples are independent, $\hat{\beta}_{m,1}$ and $\hat{\beta}_{w,1}$ are independent. Thus $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_{m,1} - \hat{\beta}_{w,1}) = \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_{m,1}) + \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_{w,1})$. $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{m,1})$ is consistently estimated as $[SE(\hat{\beta}_{m,1})]^2$ and $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{w,1})$ is consistently estimated as $[SE(\hat{\beta}_{w,1})]^2$, so that $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_{m,1} - \hat{\beta}_{w,1})$ is consistently estimated by $[SE(\hat{\beta}_{m,1})]^2 + [SE(\hat{\beta}_{w,1})]^2$, and the result follows by noting the SE is the square root of the estimated variance.