See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226324784

Recognition of Monomers and Polymers by Cyclodextrins

Chapter *in* Advances in Polymer Science · March 2009 DOI: 10.1007/12_2008_13

CITATIONS		READS
51		455
1 author	:	
٢	G. Wenz Universität des Saarlandes 157 PUBLICATIONS 7,698 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE	

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Stiff polyconjugated polymers, host-guest interactions View project

222 Advances in Polymer Science

Editorial Board:

A. Abe · A.-C. Albertsson · K. Dušek · W. H. de Jeu H.-H. Kausch · S. Kobayashi · K.-S. Lee · L. Leibler T. E. Long · I. Manners · M. Möller · O. Nuyken E. M. Terentjev · M. Vicent · B. Voit G. Wegner · U. Wiesner

Advances in Polymer Science

Recently Published and Forthcoming Volumes

Inclusion Polymers Volume Editor: Wenz, G Vol. 222, 2009

Vol. 221, 2009

Advanced Computer Simulation Approaches for Soft Matter Sciences III Volume Editors: Holm, C., Kremer, K.

Self-Assembled Nanomaterials II Nanotubes Volume Editor: Shimizu, T.

Vol. 220, 2008

Self-Assembled Nanomaterials I Nanofibers Volume Editors: Shimizu, T. Vol. 219, 2008

Interfacial Processes and Molecular Aggregation of Surfactants Volume Editor: Narayanan, R. Vol. 218, 2008

New Frontiers in Polymer Synthesis Volume Editor: Kobayashi, S. Vol. 217, 2008

Polymers for Fuel Cells II Volume Editor: Scherer, G. G. Vol. 216, 2008

Polymers for Fuel Cells I Volume Editors: Scherer, G. G. Vol. 215, 2008

Photoresponsive Polymers II Volume Editor: Marder, S. R., Lee, K.-S. Vol. 214, 2008

Photoresponsive Polymers I Volume Editors: Marder, S. R., Lee, K.-S. Vol. 213, 2008 **Polyfluorenes** Volume Editor: Scherf, U., Neher, D. Vol. 212, 2008

Chromatography for Sustainable Polymeric Materials Renewable, Degradable and Recyclable Volume Editor: Albertsson, A.-C., Hakkarainen, M. Vol. 211, 2008

Wax Crystal Control · Nanocomposites Stimuli-Responsive Polymers Vol. 210, 2008

Functional Materials and Biomaterials Vol. 209, 2007

Phase-Separated Interpenetrating Polymer Networks Authors: Lipatov, Y. S., Alekseeva, T. Vol. 208, 2007

Hydrogen Bonded Polymers Volume Editor: Binder, W. Vol. 207, 2007

Oligomers · Polymer Composites Molecular Imprinting Vol. 206, 2007

Polysaccharides II Volume Editor: Klemm, D. Vol. 205, 2006

Neodymium Based Ziegler Catalysts – Fundamental Chemistry Volume Editor: Nuyken, O. Vol. 204, 2006

Polymers for Regenerative Medicine Volume Editors: Werner, C. Vol. 203, 2006

Inclusion Polymers

Volume Editor: Gerhard Wenz

With contributions by

S. Amajjahe \cdot S. Choi \cdot A.E. Kaifer \cdot R. Katoono \cdot J. Li \cdot H. Ritter \cdot A.E. Tonelli \cdot W. Wang \cdot G. Wenz \cdot N. Yui \cdot A. Yamashita



The series *Advances in Polymer Science* presents critical reviews of the present and future trends in polymer and biopolymer science including chemistry, physical chemistry, physics and material science. It is adressed to all scientists at universities and in industry who wish to keep abreast of advances in the topics covered.

As a rule, contributions are specially commissioned. The editors and publishers will, however, always be pleased to receive suggestions and supplementary information. Papers are accepted for *Advances in Polymer Science* in English.

In references Advances in Polymer Science is abbreviated Adv Polym Sci and is cited as a journal.

Springer WWW home page: springer.com Visit the APS content at springerlink.com

ISBN: 978-3-642-01409-3 e-ISBN: 978-3-642-01410-9 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-01410-9

Advances in Polymer Science ISSN 0065-3195

Library of Congress Control Number: 2009926186

© 2009 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: WMXDesign GmbH, Heidelberg

Typesetting: SPi

Printed on acid-free paper

springer.com

Volume Editor

Prof. Dr. Gerhard Wenz

Universität des Saarlandes Inst. Organische Makromolekulare Chemie 66123 Saarbrücken Universität Campus, Geb. C4.2 Germany g.wenz@mx.uni-saarland.de

Editorial Board

Prof. Akihiro Abe

Department of Industrial Chemistry Tokyo Institute of Polytechnics 1583 Iiyama, Atsugi-shi 243-02, Japan *aabe@chem.t-kougei.ac.jp*

Prof. A.-C. Albertsson

Department of Polymer Technology The Royal Institute of Technology 10044 Stockholm, Sweden *aila@polymer.kth.se*

Prof. Karel Dušek

Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry, Czech Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic Heyrovský Sq. 2 16206 Prague 6, Czech Republic *dusek@imc.cas.cz*

Prof. Dr. Wim H. de Jeu

Polymer Science and Engineering University of Massachusetts 120 Governors Drive Amherst MA 01003, USA *dejeu@mail.pse.umass.edu* Prof. Hans-Henning Kausch

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne Science de Base Station 6 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland *kausch.cully@bluewin.ch*

Prof. Shiro Kobayashi

R & D Center for Bio-based Materials Kyoto Institute of Technology Matsugasaki, Sakyo-ku Kyoto 606-8585, Japan *kobayash@kit.ac.jp*

Prof. Kwang-Sup Lee

Department of Advanced Materials Hannam University 561-6 Jeonmin-Dong Yuseong-Gu 305-811 Daejeon, South Korea *kslee@hnu.kr*

Prof. L. Leibler

Matière Molle et Chimie Ecole Supérieure de Physique et Chimie Industrielles (ESPCI) 10 rue Vauquelin 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France *ludwik.leibler@espci.fr* Prof. L. Leibler

Matière Molle et Chimie Ecole Supérieure de Physique et Chimie Industrielles (ESPCI) 10 rue Vauquelin 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France *ludwik.leibler@espci.fr*

Prof. Timothy E. Long

Department of Chemistry and Research Institute Virginia Tech 2110 Hahn Hall (0344) Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA *telong@vt.edu*

Prof. Ian Manners

School of Chemistry University of Bristol CantockŠs Close BS8 1TS Bristol, UK *ian.manners@bristol.ac.uk*

Prof. Martin Möller

Deutsches Wollforschungsinstitut an der RWTH Aachen e.V. Pauwelsstraße 8 52056 Aachen, Germany *moeller@dwi.rwth-aachen.de*

Prof. Oskar Nuyken

Lehrstuhl für Makromolekulare Stoffe TU München Lichtenbergstr. 4 85747 Garching, Germany oskar.nuyken@ch.tum.de Prof. E. M. Terentjev

Cavendish Laboratory Madingley Road Cambridge CB 3 OHE, UK *emt1000@cam.ac.uk*

Maria Jesus Vicent, PhD Centro de Investigacion Principe Felipe Medicinal Chemistry Unit Polymer Therapeutics Laboratory Av. Autopista del Saler, 16 46012 Valencia, Spain *mjvicent@cipf.es*

Prof. Brigitte Voit

Institut für Polymerforschung Dresden Hohe Straße 6 01069 Dresden, Germany voit@ipfdd.de

Prof. Gerhard Wegner

Max-Planck-Institut für Polymerforschung Ackermannweg 10 55128 Mainz, Germany wegner@mpip-mainz.mpg.de

Prof. Ulrich Wiesner

Materials Science & Engineering Cornell University 329 Bard Hall Ithaca, NY 14853, USA *ubw1@cornell.edu*

Advances in Polymer Science Also Available Electronically

For all customers who have a standing order to Advances in Polymer Science, we offer the electronic version via SpringerLink free of charge. Please contact your librarian who can receive a password or free access to the full articles by registering at:

springerlink.com

If you do not have a subscription, you can still view the tables of contents of the volumes and the abstract of each article by going to the SpringerLink Home page, clicking on "Browse by Online Libraries", then "Chemical Sciences", and finally choose Advances in Polymer Science.

You will find information about the

- Editorial Board
- Aims and Scope
- Instructions for Authors
- Sample Contribution

at springer.com using the search function.

Color figures are published in full color within the electronic version on SpringerLink.

Preface

Since Hermann Staudinger coined the concept of macromolecules as covalently linked very large molecular entities in 1922, the main focus of ongoing research has been on the synthesis of polymers and copolymers leading to a great variety of stable, structural, and functional materials. On the other hand, during the last 15 years the knowledge about supramolecular self-organization of polymers with low molecular-weight compounds by reversible non-covalent interactions gained increasing attention. In particular, the interactions of cyclic molecules, called hosts, with polymers became increasingly attractive, since the properties of polymers such as solubility or crystallinity can be altered without the need of chemical reactions. In contrast to regular polymers or copolymers, supramolecular structures comprised of polymers and ring-shaped hosts are not totally stable. Therefore they can show programmable lifetimes or adapt specifically to different environments. In this respect polymeric supramolecular structures resemble living systems more than regular polymers.

This volume is mainly devoted to a very fascinating class of ring-shaped cyclic $\alpha(1\rightarrow 4)$ linked oligo-glucans, named *cyclodextrins*. Cyclodextrins are industrially produced from the renewable resource starch. They are especially suitable for the self-assembly of water based supramolecular structures, and they are highly bio-compatible. Cyclodextrins are able to complex both monomers and polymers which offer suitable hydrophobic binding sites. The driving forces are mainly van der Waals and hydrophobic interactions. This complexation process is called *inclusion* and the resulting supramolecular structures *inclusion compounds*. In addition, Chapter 6 of this volume is devoted to another interesting host, a cyclic urea compound called cucurbituril, which is able to recognize cationic guest molecules in aqueous solution.

In the first chapter I shall describe basic principles of molecular recognition of monomers and polymers by cyclodextrins (G. Wenz, in Volume 222, Chapter 1) and try to provide an overview of inclusion polymers with cyclodextrins. The following chapters are more specialized. They are about functional cyclodextrin polyrotaxanes for drug delivery (N. Yui, R. Katoono, A. Yamashita, in Volume 222, Chapter 2), cyclodextrin inclusion polymers forming hydrogels (J. Li,

in Volume 222, Chapter 3), molecular processing of polymers with cyclodextrins (A.E. Tonelli, in Volume 222, Chapter 4), polymerization of included monomers and behaviour of resulting polymers (S.W. Choi, S. Amajjahe, H. Ritter, in Volume 222, Chapter 5), and cucurbituril and cyclodextrin complexes of dendrimers (W. Wang, A.E. Kaifer, in Volume 222, Chapter 6).

We have described the versatile field of inclusion polymers from several directions and have tried to contribute to some broader application of cyclic host molecules for the variation of physical and chemical properties of polymers. Hopefully, this volume will be beneficial to readers of the polymer community who like to enter the fascinating field of supramolecular polymer chemistry.

Saarbrücken, Spring 2009

Gerhard Wenz

Contents

Recognition of Monomers and Polymers by Cyclodextrins	1
Functional Cyclodextrin Polyrotaxanes for Drug Delivery	55
Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels Jun Li	79
Molecular Processing of Polymers with Cyclodextrins Alan E. Tonelli	115
Polymerization of Included Monomers and Behaviour of Resulting Polymers SooWhan Choi, Sadik Amajjahe, and Helmut Ritter	175
Cucurbituril and Cyclodextrin Complexes of Dendrimers	205
Index	237

Recognition of Monomers and Polymers by Cyclodextrins

Gerhard Wenz

Abstract Cyclodextrins (CDs), cyclic oligomers consisting of 6, 7, 8, or more $\alpha(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -linked glucose units, are readily available, water-soluble organic host compounds that are able to complex organic guest molecules if the latter contain a suitable hydrophobic binding site. The main driving forces are nonpolar interactions such as hydrophobic and van der Waals interactions. CDs are able to recognize the thickness, polarity, and chirality of monomeric and polymeric guest molecules. In addition, functional groups can be covalently attached to CDs to modify or improve the molecular recognition capability of CDs. In this review, the binding potentials of the most important CDs and CD derivatives are summarized, and general rules for the recognition of monomeric and polymeric guests are derived. A supramolecular tool box of water-soluble hosts and guests is provided, which allows the assembly of many sophisticated supramolecular structures, as well as rotaxanes and polyrotaxanes.

Keywords Inclusion Compounds, Molecular recognition, Polyamphiphiles, Polyrotaxanes, Supramolecular Chemistry

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Cyclodextrins and Cyclodextrin Derivatives
 - 2.1 Cyclodextrins
 - 2.2 Cyclodextrin Derivatives
 - 2.3 Cyclodextrin Dimers and Polymers

G. Wenz

Organische Makromolekulare Chemie, Saarland University, Campus Saarbrücken, C 4.2, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany e-mail: g.wenz@mx.uni-saarland.de

[©] Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2009

- 3 Recognition of Monomeric Guests by CDs and CD Derivatives
 - 3.1 General Remarks
 - 3.2 Thermodynamic Recognition of the Size of a Guest
 - 3.3 Thermodynamic Recognition of Chiral Guests
 - 3.4 Thermodynamic Recognition of Polar Guests by CD Derivatives
 - 3.5 Thermodynamic Recognition of Guests by CD Dimers and CD Polymers
 - 3.6 Steric Effects on Thermodynamic Recognition
 - 3.7 Kinetic Recognition by Steric Effects
 - 3.8 Directional Control by Steric Effects
 - 3.9 Formation of Rotaxanes
 - Recognition of Polymers with Pending Binding Sites
 - 4.1 Recognition of Guest Polymers by Monomeric CDs
 - 4.2 Recognition of Guest Polymers by Dimeric and Polymeric CDs
 - 4.3 Recognition of Guest Polymers by CDs Attached to Surfaces
- 5 Recognition of Linear Polymers with Binding Sites in the Main Chain
 - 5.1 General Considerations
 - 5.2 Recognition of the Thickness of a Polymer Chain
 - 5.3 Site-Selective Complexation of Block Copolymers
 - 5.4 Enantioselective Recognition of Chiral Polymers
 - 5.5 Recognition of the Polarity of the Polymer
 - 5.6 Recognition of the Hydrophobic Segment Lengths of Poly(bola-amphiphile)s
 - 5.7 Kinetic Recognition of Bulky Groups within Poly(bola-amphiphile)s
 - 5.8 Synthesis of Polyrotaxanes from Main Chain Pseudopolyrotaxanes
- 6 Conclusions and Outlook

References

4

Abbreviations

α-CD	α-Cyclodextrin
β-CD	β-Cyclodextrin
γ-CD	γ-Cyclodextrin
CD	Cyclodextrin
CE	Capillary electrophoresis
DMF	N, N-Dimethylformamide
DMSO	Dimethylsulfoxide
DS	Degree of substitution
FITC	Fluoresceine-4-isothiocyanate
GPC	Gel permeation chromatography
IC	Inclusion compounds
ITC	Isothermal titration calorimetry
LCST	Lower critical solution temperature
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
NOE	Nuclear-Overhauser-effect
OLED	Organic light emitting diode
PCL	Polycaprolactone
PDLA	Poly(D-lactide)

Recognition of Monomers and Polymers by Cyclodextrins

PDMS	Polydimethylsiloxane
PEO	Polyethylene glycol
PIBMA	Poly(isobutene-alt-maleic acid)
PPO	Polypropylene glycol
PLLA	Poly(L-lactide)
PTHF	Polytetrahydrofuran, poly(tetramethylene oxide)
r.t.	Room temperature
THF	Tetrahydrofuran
UV–vis	UV–vis spectroscopy
WAXS	Wide angle X-ray scattering

1 Introduction

The recognition of one person from a crowd of people requires the distinction of certain human attributes, such as tallness, voice, hair color, or gestures. Recognition becomes more selective when increasing numbers of these attributes are checked for various persons. Our society would not function without the ability to recognize certain people. Recognition is one of the most important prerequisites of the development of the human culture, since it allows creation, transformation, and collection of information. The information content of a system increases with the selectivity of recognition of one event out of many others. Therefore, selectivity gained by recognition allows for writing of information into and reading from a system, which would be otherwise random, arbitrary and chaotic [1].

The recognition of one molecule out of a crowd of many other molecules requires distinction of certain molecular attributes, such as size, polarity, hydrogen bond pattern, chirality, or other physicochemical properties. If several attributes can be checked simultaneously, recognition becomes more selective. Recognition between an enzyme and a substrate was described first by Emil Fischer as the wellknown *lock and key principle* [2]. Molecular recognition between complementary DNA strands [3] or protein ligand interactions [4] is very important for the molecular function of living systems.

Recognition between two sorts of molecules, A and B, is caused by reversible, noncovalent interactions such as Coulomb, van der Waals, and solvophobic interactions, as well as hydrogen bonds. D.J. Cram coined the terms host and guest for two complementary molecules [5]. The complex of both is called a *host–guest complex* or, according to Lehn, *supramolecular structure* or *super molecule* [6]. Supramolecular structures may also be composed of more than two molecules. Selectivity of recognition increases as hosts and guests fit together better. Binding constants increase with increasing preorganization of a host for a certain guest [7].

Intelligent molecular systems can be created based on host-guest recognition, which can self-organize and behave differently than nonorganized matter. Supramolecular structures formed by molecular recognition can be used to create molecular systems with specific functions, such as motors or stimulus-responsive artificial muscles [8,9], surfaces with photo-switchable polarities [10], intelligent drug carriers [11], or high density information storage systems [12, 13].

Therefore, supramolecular structures will be of great importance for the development of new technologies in the near future. Despite there being a plethora of secondary literature available about molecular recognition of monomeric guests by organic host molecules, little systematic and comprehensive knowledge is available about molecular recognition of polymers. Therefore, I wish to address this topic in this chapter, focusing on one important class of organic host molecules, namely, the cyclodextrins (CDs). CDs form complexes, so-called "Einschlussverbindungen" [14], or inclusion compounds (ICs), with hydrophobic or amphiphilic guests. Among other hosts [15], such as crown ethers [16], cryptands [17], spherands [7], cucurbiturils [18], cyclic amides [19], and cyclic peptides [20], CDs offer several advantages:

- CDs are produced on an industrial scale in high purity (>5,000 tons per year)
- CDs form supramolecular structures in water
- CDs are highly biocompatible, biodegradable and show low toxicity
- CDs can be selectively modified
- CDs already have some industrial applications [21]

Since the literature about CDs is rapidly expanding (~45,000 references in the CAS database from September 2008), this review is focused on stating basic principles exemplified by original literature. Older literature about CDs has already been summarized in several review articles dealing with CDs in general [22, 23], CD crystallography [24, 25], CD derivatives [26], stabilities of CD ICs [27], CD rotaxanes [28], and CD polyrotaxanes [29–31].

2 Cyclodextrins and Cyclodextrin Derivatives

2.1 Cyclodextrins

CDs are cyclic oligomers consisting of 6, 7, 8, or more $\alpha(1 \rightarrow 4)$ linked anhydroglucose units called α -, β -, γ -CDs, and so on, respectively (see Fig. 1). They were discovered by Villiers [32], identified by Schardinger [33], and systematically investigated by Freudenberg [34] and Cramer [35]. They are produced by enzymatic degradation of starch by CD glucosyltransferases (CGTases), already on an industrial scale. The ring sizes n = 6, 7, and 8 are isolated from the reaction mixture with high purities by specific precipitation agents (*n*-octanol, toluene, and cyclohexadec-8-en-1-one for α -, β -, and γ -CD, respectively) [23]. Since there are no precipitation agents available for the higher oligomers, these oligomers still need to be isolated by chromatographic methods. Nevertheless, even the structure of the 26-membered ring is known [24]. In addition, the five-membered cyclic oligomer, cyclomaltopentaose, has been obtained by chemical synthesis in small quantities [36]. We shall focus in Recognition of Monomers and Polymers by Cyclodextrins

Fig. 1 Schematic drawings of cyclodextrins (CDs), n = 6, 7, 8 for α -, β -, γ -CD, respectively



Table 1 Inner widths of cyclodextrins obtained by molecular modeling

Cyclodextrin	п	d _{prim} [Å] CPK ^a	d _{sec} [Å] CPK ^a	d _{min} [Å] AM1 ^b
α-CD	6	4.7	5.2	4.4
β-CD	7	6.0	6.4	5.8
γ-CD	8	7.5	8.3	7.4

^aMeasured from CPK models [22]

^bCalculated by Gaussian03 [42] and MolShape [40]

the review mainly on the hosts α -, β -, and γ -CDs because of their ready availability. These CDs are moderately to highly soluble in water (see Table 2) [37] and highly soluble in strongly polar organic solvents like DMF, DMSO, and pyridine.

As shown by X-ray and neutron beam crystallography, α -CD, β -CD, and γ -CD molecules look like hollow truncated cones (Fig. 1) [24]. The primary C-atoms C-6 are located at the narrow side, called the primary rim, while the secondary C-atoms C-2 and C-3 are located at the wide side, called the secondary rim. Since the glucose moieties cannot rotate within these rings because of steric constraints, no conformational isomers are possible, unlike for calixarenes [38]. Furthermore, the CD macrocycles are rigidified by intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the secondary hydroxyl groups [39]. The diameters of the internal cavities range from about 4.5 to 8 nm, depending on the ring size (see Table 1). These cavities are not cylindrically shaped but conical with a constriction in the middle, as depicted in Fig. 1 [22,40,41]. The heights of α -CD, β -CD, and γ -CD molecules are very similar and around 0.8–0.9 nm [24].

2.2 Cyclodextrin Derivatives

The hydroxyl groups at the primary (C-6) and the two secondary positions (C-2, C-3) are prone to displacement reactions at the O- or C-atoms leading to CD derivatives [26]. There are several motivations for the derivatization of CDs:

- Improvement of solubility in water
- Improvement of solubility in organic solvents
- Improvement of molecular recognition potential
- Reduction of toxicity

Derivatizations can be performed in well-defined regioselective ways, in which 1, 2, 2n, or 3n (n = 6, 7, or 8 for α -CD, β -CD, or γ -CD, respectively) substituents were attached at certain positions of the CD scaffold [26]. In addition, CDs have also been derivatized statistically at various positions. Statistical derivatizations require much less effort for synthesis and purification and give rise to higher yields than regioselective ones, but the products are difficult to reproduce and to characterize because of their heterogeneity. All synthetic methods will be briefly summarized subsequently. Those derivatives used most often are listed in Table 2.

The main entry for CD derivatives, mono-substituted at the C-6 position, are the tosylates for α -CD and β -CD and 2,4,6-triisopropylbenzene sulfonate for γ -CD [43–45]. The 6-*O*-sulfonates of CDs can be converted to functional CD deriva-

Abbreviation	Ring size, <i>n</i>	Substituents	Positions	Degree of substitution per CD	Aqueous solubility at 25°C, %	Aqueous solubility at 60°C, %
α-CD	6	No		0	13	>33
β-CD	7	No		0	1.8	9
γ-CD	8	No		0	26	>50
RAMEA	6	Methyl	All	10	>50	>50
RAMEB	7	Methyl	All	12-13	>50	>50
DIMEB	7	Methyl	2,6-0	14	>50	1.8
TRIMEB	7	Methyl	All	21	29	2.6
HPα-CD	6	Hydroxypropyl	All	3–5	>50	>50
HPβ-CD	7	Hydroxypropyl	All	4–5	>50	>50
HPγ-CD	7	Hydroxypropyl	All	4–6	>50	>50
Tosyl-β-CD	7	Tosyl	6-0	1	0.06	0.6
NH ₂ -β-CD	7	Amino	6-C	1	7.5	>30
SBE7-β-CD	7	Sulfonatobutyl	All	7	>50	>50
SET7-β-CD	7	Sulfonatoethylthio	6-C	7	>50	>50
AET7-β-CD	7	Aminoethylthio	6-C	7	>50	>50

 Table 2
 The supramolecular toolbox: CDs and common CD derivatives and their solubilities in water

tives, such as the 6-azido [46], 6-amino [44], or 6-thioether [47] by nucleophilic displacement reactions. Additionally, different regio-isomers (AB, AC, or AD) of CDs, disubstituted at O-6 [48,49], and trisubstituted at O-6 [50] can be obtained by a reaction of β -CD with sulforyl chlorides. Furthermore, sulfonates at the secondary hydroxyl groups can be synthesized and readily transferred to the 2.3-anhydro-CDs. which can further react with nucleophiles to furnish β-CD derivatives functionalized at the secondary rim [51]. On the other hand, hepta-2,3,6-tri-O-methyl-β-CD and hepta-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-B-CD can be regioselectively dealkylated at two welldefined primary positions with DIBAL [52, 53]. The resulting free OH groups can be further modified to other functional groups [54, 55]. Per-6-iodo-6-deoxy-CDs, readily available by reaction of CDs and triphenylphosphine/I₂ [56], are the key intermediates for the synthesis of CD derivatives with *n* substituents at the primary rim by nucleophilic displacement reactions. Substitution by azide and subsequent reduction furnishes the corresponding per-6-amino-6-deoxy-CD derivatives [57]. Reaction of the per-6-iodo-6-deox-CDs with thiol functions leads to various nfunctional thioethers, such as heptaamines, heptacarboxylates, and heptasulfonates [47,58]. Several glycoclusters bearing *n* sugar groups (mannose, glucose, galactose) at the primary rim were synthesized this way, as well [59].

All regioisomers of per-*O*-methyl-CDs (2-*O*-, 3-*O*-, 6-*O*-) and per-di-*O*-methyl- β -CDs (2,6-di-*O*-, 2,3-di-*O*-, 3,6-di-*O*-) have been synthesized by regioselective methods using protecting group chemistry [60–63]. Analogous functional β -CD derivatives bearing 7, 14, or 21 carboxymethyl groups, as well as 7 amino and 7 carboxymethyl groups, were synthesized by Kraus et al. via the corresponding allyl ethers [64–67].

In addition to the regioselectively derivatized CDs, a number of statistically substituted CDs are in use. Highly water-soluble statistic derivatives are obtained by reaction of CDs with methyl halides [68], with epoxides (e.g., ethylene oxide, propylene oxide [69, 70], or allyl glycidylether [71]), and with cyclic sulfates (e.g., butane sultone [72]). Statistical allyl ethers were converted to sulfonates by addition of sulfite [71]. Monochlorotriazinyl- β -CD is another available reactive CD. Since these synthetic procedures are rather simple compared to the regioselective ones, many of these statistical compounds are available at the technical scale.

The solubilities of native CDs in water are moderate, with β -CD showing the lowest solubility, as shown in Table 2 [37]. Solubilities generally increase drastically with increasing temperature. Only methylation leads to lower solubilities at elevated temperatures. In other words, methylated CDs show a lower critical solution temperature (LCST). Hydroxypropyl-CDs are highly water-soluble at any temperature [69, 70]. Ethylated CDs are amphiphilic [73], while alkylated CDs with alkyl chain lengths >2 are already insoluble in water, but soluble in organic solvents like chloroform or toluene [74]. Both anionic CD derivatives like SBE- β -CD or SET7- β -CD and cationic CD derivatives like AET- β -CD are also highly water-soluble and therefore well suited for the solubilization of hydrophobic guest molecules [47, 58, 75].

2.3 Cyclodextrin Dimers and Polymers

Since space is limited within the internal cavities of α -, β -, and γ -CDs, it was desirable to connect two and more CD rings to achieve some cooperativity in binding large guests. Two α -CD rings had been connected by one or two bridges at the primary rims [76]. Two β -CD had been connected, as well, via one or two bonds to a dimer by Breslow et al. [49, 77–81]. Recently, a heterodimer of α -CD and β -CD was also synthesized [82].

CD polymers can be synthesized (1) by radical polymerization of monofunctional CD monomers, (2) by polymer-analogous reaction of polymers with CDs, and (3) by partial crosslinking of CDs, as exemplified below.

 α -CD and β -CD, both conjugated with an acryloyl group, were polymerized by radical initiators [83]. Similarly, phenylacetylene [84] and polyphenylene ethynylene [85] backbones with pendant α -CD, β -CD, and γ -CD groups were synthesized by polymerization of the respective CD monomers. Polymer-analogous reaction turned out to be a highly efficient method of CD polymer synthesis. Native CDs were attached via an ester bond to alternating poly(maleic anhydride) copolymers in high yields to produce water-soluble poly CD maleates [86–89]. α -CD and β -CD were also conjugated to polyallylamine [90], poly(ethyleneimine) dendrimers [91], chitosane [92, 93], and alginate [94]. Similarly, 6-amino- β -CD was attached via an amide bond to a succinylated α -CD-PEO polyrotaxane [95].

Crosslinking of α -CD with epichlorohydrin in aqueous solution under well controlled conditions furnished hyperbranched water-soluble α -CD polymers [96, 97]. On the other hand, template directed crosslinking of α -CD, threaded on PEG, gave rise to linear CD polymers with two to three bridges between every two neighboring rings, or so-called molecular tubes, as shown in Fig. 2 [98–101].

3 Recognition of Monomeric Guests by CDs and CD Derivatives

3.1 General Remarks

The following section exemplifies how different molecular attributes of a guest, such as length and thickness, chirality, functional groups, and end groups are recognized by CDs and CD derivatives. Molecular recognition is always controlled by interactive forces between the guest and the host, as described below.

The internal cavities of CDs are mainly hydrophobic and able to attract guest molecules by hydrophobic, van der Waals, and other dispersive interactions [102]. Since these interactions are strongly distance dependent [103], thickness recognition is especially pronounced. The dominance of solvophobic interactions is evident in the fact that the inclusion of guests in CDs occurs preferentially in aqueous solutions. The addition of small amounts of organic solvents to aqueous solutions is enough to render ICs significantly less stable [104]. These attractive interactions are mainly controlled by space filling: the more the hydrophobic part of the guest fills

Recognition of Monomers and Polymers by Cyclodextrins



Fig. 2 Template directed synthesis of molecular tubes (MT) Harada et al.

the internal space of the CD host, the stronger the host–guest interaction. Therefore, the hydrophobic area of the guest mainly determines the nonspecific attractive interactions. For example, binding free energy values $-\Delta G^0$ of several homologous series of guests in β -CD linearly increase by 2.8 ± 0.6 kJ mol⁻¹ per methylene group [27, 105].

Molecular recognition of guests by CDs is not only controlled by interactions between CD and guest but also indirectly by interactions between CDs and between guests. Four different types of CD ICs can be classified, as depicted in Fig. 3. ICs of type I consist of a native CD and a hydrophobic guest. These ICs are generally insoluble in water, since channel ICs are formed by hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl groups of the CDs and hydrophobic interactions between the guests. In general, at least one hydrophilic group at the guest leads to the formation of soluble ICs of type II. Solubility of the guest is increased by IC formation because the hydrophobic part of the guest is masked by the CD. So-called *bola-amphiphiles* [106, 107], amphiphilic molecules with two terminal hydrophilic groups, form ICs

G. Wenz



Fig. 3 Classification of CD ICs: type I: hydrophobic guest, insoluble channel IC, type II: amphiphilic guest, solubilization of a guest, type III: bola-amphiphilic guest, homogenous IC formation, type IV: charged CD derivative, solubilization of a hydrophobic guest

of type III under homogenous conditions, since all of CD, guest, and IC are highly water-soluble. Furthermore, charged CD derivatives form water-soluble ICs of type IV even with hydrophobic guests. In ICs of types II–IV, repulsive interactions between the hydrophilic groups and solvation effects prevent the formation of water insoluble channel ICs typical for type I.

For the quantification of molecular recognition, binding constant *K* and binding free energy ΔG^0 are defined by (1) and (2), respectively. Since determination of *K* requires at least measurable concentrations of each component, *K* and ΔG^0 can only be accurately determined for ICs of types II–IV:

$$K = \frac{[CD \cdot G]}{[CD][G]},\tag{1}$$

$$\Delta G^0 = -RT \ln K. \tag{2}$$

Molecular recognition is not only limited to differentiation of binding free energies ΔG^0 , called *thermodynamic recognition*, but can also originate from differentiation of the kinetics of IC formation and dissociation, denoted as *kinetic recognition*. Activation energies of both complex formation and dissociation influence the binding kinetics, which can influence binding selectivities in nonequilibrium states. Kinetic recognition occurs especially for bola-amphiphiles because the bulky end groups can significantly hinder formation and dissociation of the ICs. Inclusion becomes an activated process controlled by an activation energy, which increases with increasing size of the bulky end groups (see Fig. 4). Activation energies $E_A = \Delta H^{\neq}$ are determined according to the Arrhenius equation from the temperature dependence of the respective rate constants. Activation free energies, ΔG^{\neq} , are directly



Fig. 4 Energy diagram for the dissociation of the IC of a bola-amphiphile, E: hydrophilic end group, ΔG_{diss}^{\neq} : activation free energy of dissociation, $\Delta G_{diss}^{0} = -\Delta G^{0}$: free energy of dissociation (reprinted with permission from [31], copyright of the American Chemical Society)

calculated from the corresponding rate constants k using the Eyring theory [108] (3), with Planck's constant h and Boltzmann's constant k_B . Both activation energies are often in the same range. Those ICs in which dissociation is sterically hindered are *pseudorotaxanes*, and those where activation energy exceeds 50 RT (the 50-fold average thermal energy) are *rotaxanes* [31]:

$$\Delta G^{\neq} = -RT \ln \frac{kh}{k_{\rm B}T}.$$
(3)

3.2 Thermodynamic Recognition of the Size of a Guest

Due to their well-defined internal diameters (see Table 1) and their rigid structure, CDs are able to recognize the thicknesses of various guest molecules. Thermodynamic thickness recognition of some often used guests by α -, β -, and γ -CDs is summarized in Table 3. α -CD is capable of complexing linear aliphatic chains. Benzene, naphthalene, adamantane, or ferrocene moieties fit well within β -CD. γ -CD can accommodate pyrene or two azobenzene moieties. $-\Delta G^0$ values of 30 kJ mol⁻¹ can be reached if the guest fits well inside a CD cavity. More detailed information about ICs of α -, β -, and γ -CDs is provided subsequently.

3.2.1 ICs of α-CD

The minimal internal diameter of α -CD, d = 4.4 Å, limits the formation of ICs mainly to linear alkyl chains. For example, α -CD forms crystalline ICs with

Guest	$-\Delta G^0/\mathrm{kJ}~\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ lpha-CD	$\frac{-\Delta G^0/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}}{\beta\text{-CD}}$	$-\Delta G^0/\mathrm{kJ}~\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ γ -CD	Ref.
1,10-Decandiol	22	19	<10	[109,110]
1-Adamantane-carboxylate	13	26	21	[111]
4-tert-Butybenzoate	13	24	<10	[58,112]
2-Naphthalene sulfonate	15	31	7	[113]
Pyrene	12	15	17	[114]
methyl orange anion	23	19	41 ^a	[115]

Table 3 Recognition of the thicknesses of guests by CDs according to their binding free energies ΔG^0 in kJ mol⁻¹ at pH 7

^a2:1 Complex formed



Fig. 5 Crystal structure of the channel IC of *n*-decane in α-CD [116]

n-alkanes insoluble in water. These ICs show a channel structure in which CDs are oriented in a nearly parallel fashion, and the alkane is confined within the channel, as exemplified in Fig. 5. The α -CD rings are connected by hydrogen bonds between the primary rims and the secondary rims each. Since the alkyl chain nearly fills the α -CD cavity, the internal water molecules of native α -CDs are totally ejected by the guest. Most C–C bonds of the guest are in the *trans* conformation.



Fig. 6 Binding free energies $-\Delta G^0$ as a function of the lengths of the hydrophobic binding sites, quantified by the number of methylene groups n(CH₂), for (*filled circle*) bola-amphiphiles, α , ω -diaminoalkanes, and (*open circle*) poly-bolaamphiphiles, poly(imino-oligomethylene)s at pH 6.7 and 25 °C, determined by ITC [119]

Some limited mobility of the alkanes was detected by solid state DNMR as a function of temperature [117]. This mobility explains why alkanes such as pentane can be driven out of the ICs by heating, leaving behind empty channel structures that differ from the well known native herringbone type structures of CDs [118].

There is no binding data of *n*-alkanes available, since the α -CD type I ICs are insoluble in water. Hydrophilic groups, such as carboxylate, amino, or hydroxyl groups, at one or both ends of linear alkyl chains render the ICs water-soluble and allow the determination of binding data [27]. The binding free energies ΔG^0 are becoming linearly more negative with increasing number *n* of methylene groups, as shown for the homologous series of α , ω -diamino alkanes in Fig. 6.

For n = 6 or less, no binding was found at all because the highly hydrophilic protonated amino groups avoid staying inside the hydrophobic CD cavity [119]. For comparison, the corresponding α , ω -diols are able to form ICs with shorter spacer lengths, since the hydroxyl groups are less hydrophilic than the protonated amino groups [109]. For short spacer lengths *n*, repulsive interactions between end-groups of bola-amphiphiles and α -CD are pronounced, but they level off with increasing *n*. If *n* is 12 or greater, even two α -CDs can thread onto bola-amphiphiles [120]. At present, no systematic binding data is available for amphiphilic guest molecules with unsaturated and branched alkyl chains, such as isoprenoids.

Benzene or cyclohexane rings can still pass through the α -CD ring, but they are already too thick to be complexed within the center of the α -CD cavity. Therefore, only rather unstable ICs are formed, in which the ring is situated at the wider secondary rim of the α -CD cavity. They are called *shallow ICs*. Benzoic acid derivatives are complexed exceptionally well and deeply, since the COOH group prefers to remain in the cavity [121]. Biphenyl derivatives are not bound at all as well as the dibromo–diphenylethane derivative in Fig. 7.





On the other hand, stilbene and tolane derivatives are tightly complexed by α -CD, since the narrow parts (waists) of these guests fit perfectly within the constriction of the host [40]. Interestingly, the values of ΔG^0 of tolane derivatives are more negative than the ones of corresponding stilbene derivatives, despite the space filling of the α -CD cavity is better with the stilbene moiety. Perfect space filling is accompanied with a high loss of entropy, which counteracts the attractive forces. The slimmer tolane moiety is bound better because it loses less entropy due to a looser fit. Consequently, a loose fit between host and guest supports binding as long as no water molecules can intrude in the empty space between host and guest. The preference of a slightly loose fit was also found earlier [122].

3.2.2 ICs of β-CD

Since the internal diameter of β -CD is 5.8 Å, it is able to accommodate guest molecules that are thicker than the ones complexed by α -CD. Hydrophobic moieties such as benzene [123], naphthalene [113, 124], anthracene [125], adamantane [126, 127], and ferrocene [128] are bound well by the β -CD cavity. Again, hydrophilic groups have to be attached to these hydrophobic binding sites to insure water

Fig. 8 Structure of bile salts



Table 4 Recognition of the pattern of hydroxylation of bile salts by β -CD [129]

Steroid	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	R ³	$-\Delta G^0/\mathrm{kJ}~\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
Cholate	OH	Н	OH	21
Deoxycholate	Η	Η	OH	20
Chenodeoxycholate	OH	Η	Η	30
Ursodeoxycholate	Η	OH	Н	34
Lithocholate	Η	Н	Н	36

solubility of the ICs. Binding constants *K* of up to 10^5 M^{-1} are reached with unsubstituted β -CD for the guest 1-adamantyl ammonium [27]. The bola-amphiphilic guest 4,4'-bis(imidazolyl-methylen)-biphenyl is already long enough for being complexed by two β -CD molecules, while the corresponding benzene derivative is only complexed by a single one. Furthermore, amphiphilic steroids such as bile salts cholate, deoxycholate, and lithocholate depicted in Fig. 8 form very stable complexes with β -CD. The binding data collected in Table 4 show that hydroxyl groups at the center of the guest diminish binding, while the highest $-\Delta G^0$ of 36 kJ mol⁻¹ was found for lithocholate, the guest with no hydroxyls at positions 7 and 12 [129]. This example shows that a large continuous hydrophobic surface is necessary at the guest to achieve a strong affinity to CDs. The hydrophilic hydroxyl groups seem to prevent a deep inclusion of the guest within the host.

If many hydroxypropyl groups, or one or more ionic substituents, are attached to β -CD, fully hydrophobic guests can be solubilized in water by formation of type IV ICs. For example, sulfobutyl- β -CD SBE7- β -CD is even able to solubilize steroids like testosterone in water [75]. Naphthalene was solubilized in water by 3-sulfonatopropyl-oxy-hydroxypropyl- β -CD [71]. Hepta-6-aminoethyl-thio- β -CD, AET7- β -CD, renders the anticancer drug camptothecin soluble in water to a high degree. In every case, the highly hydrophilic ionic group at the β -CD prevents formation of water-insoluble channel ICs.

3.2.3 ICs of γ-CD

 γ -CD possesses an internal diameter that is even larger than the previously discussed CDs, d = 7.4 Å (see Table 1), allowing inclusion of large guests such as polycyclic aromatics, e.g., pyrene [130, 131], perylene [132], and even C₆₀ [133, 134].

Furthermore, γ -CD can complex two guests at the same time. For example, two stilbene [135], naphthalene [136], or anthracene [137] moieties can fit in the γ -CD cavity. Attractive interactions between the end groups of two included guests enhance the stability of the ICs [136]. Because of the close proximity between two included guests, bimolecular reactions like [2+2]-cycloadditions [135] and Diels–Alder-reactions [138–140] are strongly accelerated by these ICs.

3.3 Thermodynamic Recognition of Chiral Guests

Since CD hosts are chiral molecules, enantiomers of a chiral guest can indeed be distinguished due to diastereomeric interactions, but differences in binding free energy $\Delta\Delta G_{R,S}$ are generally small (0.1–2kJ mol⁻¹) because CDs deviate only slightly from a cylindrical shape. The influence of substituents at β -CD on $\Delta\Delta G_{R,S}$ was systematically investigated for amino acids and their *N*-protected derivatives, shown in Table 5.

For native β -CD, chiral recognition is very small; alkyl substituents lead to an increase, while mono phenylseleno derivatives show exceptionally high selectivities, with $\Delta\Delta G_{R,S}$ of up to 8kJ mol⁻¹. One polar substituent appears to have the greatest disturbance of the symmetry of the CD, providing a suitable asymmetric environment for the chiral guest. For example, 6-monoamino- β -CD and 6-*O*-carboxymethyl- β -CD show stronger chiral selectivity for amino acids than native β -CD does [144–147], while disubstituted β -CD derivatives performed even better [148]. This idea was already expressed by the three-point rule by Kano, which states that the guest has to strongly interact at least at three points with the host to gain high enantioselectivity [149].

Despite the values of $\Delta\Delta G_{R,S}^0$ being generally small, they are large enough to be resolved by high performance chromatographic methods such as gas and liquid chromatography. Thousands of successful separations of enantiomers by CD

Host	Guest	Method	$\Delta\Delta G_{ m R,S}$	Ref.
Mono-[6-(<i>o</i> -tolylseleno)-6-deoxy]-β-CD	Alanine	UV–vis	8.10	[141]
Mono-[6-(phenylseleno)-6-deoxy]-β-CD	Alanine	UV-vis	3.40	[141]
TRIMEB	AQC ^a -alanine	CE	0.16	[142]
β-CD polymer	AQC-alanine	CE	0.11	[142]
HP-β-CD	AQC-alanine	CE	0.10	[142]
DIMEB	AQC-alanine	CE	0.10	[142]
β-CD	AQC-alanine	CE	0.05	[142]
6-O-(4-chlorophenyl)-β-CD	Camphor	ITC	3.44	[143]
β-CD	Camphor	ITC	1.25	[143]

Table 5 Chiral recognition of enantiomeric guest molecules by β-CD derivatives

^aAQC = 6-aminoquinolyl-carboxy derivative of amino acid

bonded phases using high performance liquid chromatography [150, 151], gas chromatography [152–154], or capillary electrophoreses [155] have been reported in the literature [156].

3.4 Thermodynamic Recognition of Polar Guests by CD Derivatives

Binding selectivities can be increased by polar interactions, e.g., Coulomb interactions or hydrogen bonds, between functional groups of CD derivatives and functional groups at the guest. Recently, we demonstrated the superior binding properties of hepta-6-S-6-deoxy- β -CD derivatives towards the cancer treatment drug camptothecin [47].

The contribution of Coulomb interactions to the binding of charged guests with statistically substituted sulfobutyl ether β -CD derivatives [75] and charged hepta-6-S-6-deoxy- β -CD derivatives, e.g., AET7- β -CD and SET7- β -CD, has already been demonstrated [58]. We found binding constants *K* exceeding 10⁶ M⁻¹ for complexes of the heptacationic β -CD derivative AET7- β -CD and negatively charged derivatives of *tert*-butyl benzene, listed in Table 6. The orientations of charged guests in the CD cavity are also influenced by Coulomb interactions, exemplified in Fig. 9. Coulomb repulsion forces the guest into a "downward" orientation, while Coulomb attraction forces the guest into an "upward" orientation.

Binding free energy ΔG^0 was strongly dependent on the solvent, and it could be subdivided into two parts: (1) the part ΔG^{00} , due to nonpolar interactions, called *binding affinity*, and (2) the part $\Delta \Delta G^0$, due to polar interactions, called *binding selectivity*, by comparison of the binding data of neutral and charged guest molecules, respectively. On one hand, binding affinity *increased* with increasing salt concentration. This increase of affinity is due to increasing hydrophobic interactions, the so-called salting out effect [124]. On the other hand, binding selectivity *decreased* with increasing salt concentration because of the shielding effects of ion clouds

	-		
Functional group	Number of functional groups	$-\Delta G^0/{ m kJ}~{ m mol}^{-1}$ for cationic guest ^b	$-\Delta G^0/\mathrm{kJ}~\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for anionic guest ^c
$S - CH_2COO^-$	1	23	22
$S-CH_2NH_3{}^+$	1	22	25
$S - CH_2COO^-$	7	35	22
$\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH_2NH_3}^+$	7	23	37

 Table 6
 Molecular recognition between charged hosts^a and guests [58]

^a6-Deoxy-β-CD derivatives

^b4-*tert*-Butyl-1-guanidinium-benzene

^c4-tert-Butyl-benezenesulfonic acid



Fig. 9 Influence of charged groups at β -CD (*left*: SET7- β -CD, *right*: AET1- β -CD) on the orientations of the anionic guest *tert*-butylbenzenesulfonate in the CD cavity, as determined by ROESY NMR spectroscopy (Reprinted with permission of [58], copyright of Wiley)

formed around the functional groups, which diminish Coulomb interactions. This shielding effect can be quantitatively described by the Debye–Hückel–Onsager theory [58].

3.5 Thermodynamic Recognition of Guests by CD Dimers and CD Polymers

CD dimers are ditopic hosts, providing two connected cavities that can accommodate a guest with two binding sites for CDs, a ditopic guest. Since the complexation of the two sites is synergetic, high binding free energies ΔG^{0}_{2} can be expected, ranging up to twice the value of ΔG^{0}_{1} of the corresponding monotopic CD IC. This maximum value of $\Delta G^{0}_{2} = 2\Delta G^{0}_{1}$ is never reached because the CD dimer and the guest lose conformational entropy upon complex formation, as shown in Table 7 [79, 81, 157]. Therefore, the excess binding free energy $\Delta G^{0}_{2} - \Delta G^{0}_{1}$ of CD dimers decreases with increasing flexibility of the linker between the two CDs, as shown by comparison of the excess binding free energies, $\Delta G^{0}_{2} - \Delta G^{0}_{1}$, calculated from ΔG^{0}_{2} of entries 1, 5, 7, and 9 diminished by ΔG^{0}_{1} of the corresponding monotopic complexes (entries 2, 4, 6, and 8, respectively) in Table 6.

In general, CD polymers perform worse than CD dimers, since the CD rings are connected to each other by rather flexible covalent bonds, as shown by comparison of entries 3 and 1 in Table 7. Nevertheless, CD polymers can be advantageous over CD dimers, especially because of their better availabilities and solubilities in water. For example, the fullerene C_{60} can be solubilized by CD polymers [159]. Ditopic binding between a ditopic guest and a CD polymer might be hampered by a mismatch of the distance of the binding sites in the guest and the distance of the CD cavities at the polymer. Therefore, it appears to be favorable to conjugate CD rings to a polyrotaxane, since these CD rings can migrate along the polymer thread to adopt the proper distance for binding the ditopic guest. Dissociation free energies

Recognition of Monomers and Polymers by Cyclodextrins

No.	Spacer	DP CD	Guest	$-\Delta G^0/{ m kJ}$ mol ⁻¹	Ref.
1	-S-	Dimer	Cholesterol	39	[158]
2	No	Monomer	Cholesterol	24	[158]
3	$-OCH_2 - CHOH - CH_2 - O -$	Polymer	Cholesterol	27	[158]
4	No	Monomer	Cholate	21	[129]
5	-OCH2CH2NHCH2CH2O-	Dimer	Cholate	31	[129]
6	No	Monomer	Lithocholate	36	[129]
7	-OCH2CH2NHCH2CH2O-	Dimer	Lithocholate	40	[129]
8	-S - S -	Dimer	tert-Butylphenol	24	[77]
9	-S-S-	Dimer	<i>tert</i> -Butylphenyl- <i>tert</i> - butylbenzoate	46	[77]

Table 7 Comparison of binding potentials of β -CD, β -CD dimers and a β -CD polymer



Fig. 10 Inclusion of dodecyl sulfonate by CD molecular tube [160]

 $-\Delta G^0$ for the guest pyrene are significantly higher for β -CD conjugated to a polyrotaxane, $-\Delta G^0 = 19 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, compared with β -CD conjugated to a regular polymer, $-\Delta G^0 = 15 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, and native β -CD, $-\Delta G^0 = 14 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ [95].

Moreover, CD molecular tubes should be very promising ditopic and multitopic hosts because of their high rigidity due to multifold linkages between the CD rings. Indeed, very stable complexes were found for the α -CD molecular tube and the guest dodecyl sulfonate with $-\Delta G^0 = 29$ kJ mol⁻¹. Only two guests were complexed by one α -CD molecular tube because the anionic end groups of the guests prefer to remain outside the tube, as shown in Fig. 10 [160].

3.6 Steric Effects on Thermodynamic Recognition

Steric effects between hosts and guests generally lead to very high selectivities, since repulsive energies steeply increase with decreasing intermolecular distance r

according to the Lennard–Jones potential $V \sim r^{-12}$. As a consequence, guests not fitting in a CD cavity show depressed values of $-\Delta G^0$. For example β -CD binds 3-nitroaniline much weaker $(-\Delta G^0 = 9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ than the well fitting 4-nitroaniline $(-\Delta G^0 = 14 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ [161]. Similarly, *m*-substituted benzoic acids form less stable ICs than *p*-substituted ones [123]. The interaction of α -CD with stilbene derivatives is even photo switchable: the *cis* isomer is more weakly bound, with $-\Delta G^0 = 14 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, than the *trans* isomer, with $-\Delta G^0 = 18 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ [135]. At this point, little is known about the flexibility of CDs and CD derivatives to adapt to a certain size of a guest.

3.7 Kinetic Recognition by Steric Effects

Steric hindrance exerts a very strong influence on the kinetics of the inclusion of bola-amphiphiles. Before the CD ring reaches the hydrophobic binding site, it must overcome an activation barrier in passing the bulky hydrophilic end group. Due to this steric hindrance, both the formation and the dissociation of ICs of bola-amphiphiles are exceptionally slow (see Table 8).

Since the half-lives of ICs of these bola-amphiphiles range from minutes to hours, they are termed pseudorotaxanes. We denominate the bulky end groups that control the kinetic stabilities as "*pseudostoppers*," in analogy to the term "stoppers" applicable to rotaxane formation. From the first order rate constants of dissociation, k_{diss} , Eyring's free activation energies, $\Delta G_{\text{diss}}^{\neq}$, were calculated according to (3) and listed in Table 8. Additionally, the Arrhenius activation energies can be derived from the temperature dependence of k_{diss} . These had been uptill now in reasonable agreement with $\Delta G_{\text{diss}}^{\neq}$. The activation energies increase with increasing size of the pseudostoppers. Therefore, the strong influence of steric effects is obvious. The activation energy of dissociation $\Delta G_{\text{diss}}^{\neq}$ increases with the length of the hydrophobic binding site, since the binding free energy $-\Delta G^0$ also increases. On the other hand,

Binding site	$-\Delta G^0/\mathrm{kJ}~\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	End group ^a	$k_{\rm diss}/{\rm s}^{-1}$	$\Delta G_{ m diss}^{\neq}/ m kJ~mol^{-1}$	Ref.
-(CH ₂) ₉ -	13	NMe ₃	$3.90 imes 10^{-4}$	92	[162]
$-(CH_2)_{10}-$	15	NMe ₃	$8.65 imes 10^{-5}$	96	[163]
$-(CH_2)_{11}-$	20	NMe ₃	1.60×10^{-5}	100	[162]
-(CH ₂) ₁₂ -	22	NMe ₃	$9.00 imes 10^{-6}$	102	[162]
$-(CH_2)_{10}-$	17	NMe ₂ Et	$2.70 imes 10^{-6}$	105	[163]
$-(CH_2)_{12}-$	10	C(OH)MeEt	$1.45 imes 10^{-3}$	89	[164]
$-(CH_2)_{12}-$	15	C(OH)MeBu	$3.45 imes 10^{-4}$	93	[164]
$-(CH_2)_{10}-$	17	2-MePyr	$9.50 imes10^{-8}$	113	[165]
$-(CH_2)_{10}-$	16	2,5-Me ₂ Pyr	8.50×10^{-8}	113	[165]

Table 8 Kinetic recognition of the size of end groups of bola-amphiphiles by α -CD; k_{diss} , first order dissociation rate constant; ΔG_{diss}^{\neq} , Eyring's free activation energy of dissociation

^aSmaller, rate determining end group, if there are two different end groups

the activation energy of IC formation $\Delta G_{\text{form}}^{\neq} = \Delta G_{\text{diss}}^{\neq} + \Delta G^0$ remains nearly constant, which is reasonable, considering that the thermodynamic stability of the IC should not influence its formation rate. The largest currently known pseudostopper is the 2,5-dimethylpyridinium group, which causes a remarkable half-life of the IC of 48 days [165]. Pseudostoppers might prove to be very useful in the future, since they allow the design of supramolecular structures with a programmed lifetime. Since their formation rate is highly temperature dependent, they can be easily formed at elevated temperatures. Currently, pseudostoppers are only known for the smallest ring size, α -CD.

3.8 Directional Control by Steric Effects

Since the CD molecule has a conical shape in which the primary rim is narrow and the secondary side is wide, steric hindrance should depend on the direction of threading. If the bulky end group approaches the CD cavity from the primary rim, inclusion should be more hindered than for the approach from the secondary rim. Consequently, big pseudostoppers should force CD rings to thread with a preferential orientation. Preferential orientation can indeed be detected for unsymmetrical bola-amphiphiles composed from a pseudostopper and a real stopper, as shown in Fig. 11 and Table 9.

Orientational selectivity depends on the size of the pseudostopper: the smaller trimethyl ammonium group gives rise to a preference of 2:1 for the end group approaching the wide side α -CD [163]. The preference ratio reaches 7:1 for the bigger



Fig. 11 Control of the orientation of CD during threading onto unsymmetrical bola-amphiphiles [166]

Table 9	Control of the	orientations	of α-CD	rings thread	ed onto asvr	nmetric bola-	amphiphiles
	control of the	orrententionio	01 01 010	ingo un euo	ea onto aojr.	minetine conta a	ampinpine o

Stopper	Pseudostopper	Orientational selectivity	$-\Delta\Delta G_{ m orient}$ kJ mol $^{-1}$	Ref.
3,5-Dimethyl-pyridinium	2-Me-pyridinium	7:1	6	[165, 167]
Quinuclidinium	4-tert-Butylpyridinium	3:1	3	[166]
3,5-Dimethyl-pyridinium	NMe ₃	2:1	2	[163]

2-methylpyridinium group, equivalent to $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{orient}}$ of 6kJ mol⁻¹ [165]. These selectivities were based on the formation kinetics, which solely depend on the size of the pseudostopper. The thermodynamic selectivities controlling the final distribution of products might be different, since they also depend on interactions of the CD with the binding site and the stopper. Examples are known where the CD rings changed their mind: they began by threading in one direction (kinetically controlled) but, in the end, they threaded in the other direction (thermodynamically controlled) [166, 168].

3.9 Formation of Rotaxanes

[n]-Rotaxanes are molecular entities consisting of one or more rings and one or more axes, where the axes are confined inside the rings by bulky substituents (so-called *stoppers*) at both ends of the axes. The number of interlocked components is represented by n [169, 170]. This number is implicitly 2 if it is not specified. In contrast to the aforementioned pseudostoppers, stoppers completely prevent dissociation of a rotaxane. Since rotaxanes are not in dynamic equilibrium with their components, they cannot be classified as supramolecular structures. Nevertheless, they are briefly described with focus on molecular recognition they are readily synthesized from ICs by attachment of stoppers. This coupling reaction, called 'rotaxanation,' has to proceed in high yield, preferably in aqueous solution, since the use of inert organic solvents immediately causes dissociation of most ICs. Details of rotaxane synthesis have been summarized elsewhere [31,171].

A stopper for α -CD has to significantly exceed the internal diameter of α -CD, d = 4.4Å. The smallest known stopper groups for α -CD are 3,5-dimethylphenyl [172], 3,5-dimethylpyridinium, and 3,5-phenyldicarboxylate [173] groups. It is striking that the 2,5-dimethylpyridinium group can still pass through α -CD, being a pseudostopper, whilst the 3,5 isomer cannot, already being a stopper. This difference demonstrates the high sensitivity of steric interactions. Beside the above-mentioned blocking groups, larger ones such as TEMPO [174], picryl [175], naphthalene disulfonate [176], Fe- [177] Co- [178], and Pt- [179] complexes have also been employed as stoppers for rotaxanation of α -CD.

Since the internal diameter of β -CD, d = 5.8 Å, is larger than the one of α -CD, larger stoppers, such as *m*-terphenyl [125] or β -CD [180, 181], must also be used. Alternatively, an axis molecule containing azobenzene was functionalized at both ends with 4,4'-bipyridinium groups. After complexation of the azo benzene moiety with α -CD, the 4,4'-bipyridinium groups were complexed with the cyclic host cucurbituril- [7], acting as a supramolecular stopper because of its very high binding constant (shown in Fig. 12) [182]. This work shows a very striking example of *orthogonal molecular recognition*: the azobenzene moiety is selectively recognized by α -CD, while the 4,4'-bipyridine group is selectively recognized by cucurbituril-[7]. Orthogonal recognition, known from natural systems such as base pairing of RNA and DNA, remains one of the challenges in supramolecular chemistry.

Recognition of Monomers and Polymers by Cyclodextrins



Fig. 12 Supra molecular stoppering of a β-CD inclusion compound by cucurbituril [182]

Finally, γ -CD, with an internal diameter of 7.4 Å, requires very large stoppers for rotaxane synthesis. Therefore, only a few γ -CD rotaxanes are presently known. The Anderson group showed that *m*-terphenyl-4, 4'dicarboxylic acid is sufficiently large. They were able to synthesize a [2]-rotaxane from the IC of γ -CD and a stilbene derivative using this stopper. The [2]-rotaxane obtained had sufficient space remaining to accommodate another axis molecule that could be stoppered, as well to furnish the first [3]-rotaxane with two axes through one CD ring. Both homo- and hetero- [3]-rotaxanes with two equal and two different axes, respectively, could be synthesized this way, as shown in Fig. 13 [183].

In principle, CD rotaxanes can be used for molecular information processing if they are reversibly switchable between two states, such as CD [2]-rotaxanes comprising two different binding sites within their axes, as shown in Fig. 14. In the "off" state, the threaded CD recognizes the better binding site and settles there. Once the



Fig. 13 Stepwise synthesis of a [3]-rotaxane from γ -CD [183]



Fig. 14 [2]-Rotaxane, switchable by light [184]

Table 10	Stimulus-respon	sive, switcha	ble α-CD [2]-rotaxanes
----------	-----------------	---------------	-------------	-------------

Binding site 1	Binding site 2	"On" stimulus	"Off" stimulus	Ref.
Tetrathiafulvalene	1,2,3-Triazole	$-e^{-}, +0.32 V$	$+e^{-},+0.22V$	[185]
Azobenzene	Biphenyl	hv, 365 nm	Δ , 60 °C	[186]
Azobenzene	Stilbene	<i>h</i> v, 380 nm	hv, 450 nm	[184]
Stilbene	Azobenzene	<i>h</i> v, 313 nm	<i>h</i> v, 280 nm	[184]
Azobenzene	$-CH_2-CH_2-$	<i>h</i> v, 360 nm	<i>h</i> v, 430 nm	[187]

structure of this binding site is changed by an external stimulus, such as light or an electron, the CD ring moves to the other binding site. This places the system in the "on" state until it is switched off by another external stimulus. Then the ring will move back to its original position. Several switchable CD rotaxanes are already known and summarized in Table 10. Switching was highly reversible in most cases.
4 Recognition of Polymers with Pending Binding Sites

4.1 Recognition of Guest Polymers by Monomeric CDs

Guest moieties attached as side chains to a polymer backbone are complexed by CD hosts in the same fashion as corresponding monomeric guests, as depicted in Fig. 15. Again, the size of the hydrophobic binding site of a polymer is recognized by the CD cavity. In general, the observed binding free energies for the guest polymers are somewhat lower than the ones for the monomeric guests (see Table 11). This might be due to repulsion between CD rings complexing adjacent binding sites because of steric constants.

The first example of the inclusion of a guest polymer was reported by Harada's group. *n*-Alkyl and *tert*-butyl groups were attached to a polyacrylamide chain. Polymeric ICs were formed with α -CD and β -CD, respectively. Similarly, *tert*-butyl-phenyl and adamantanyl groups were attached to poly(maleicacid-*alt*-methylvinylether) and poly(maleic acid-*alt*-isobutylene), respectively [189, 190]. Dissociation free energies of these polymers with β -CD were in the range of $-\Delta G^0 = 22-25$ kJ mol⁻¹, compared to $-\Delta G^0 = 24-26$ kJ mol⁻¹ for the corresponding monomeric guests. It is also evident from the data in Table 11 that $-\Delta G^0$ decreases with increasing degree of substitution of the pending binding sites at the



Fig. 15 Schematic drawing of inclusion of side chain guest polymers by CDs

Polymer backbone	Binding site	DS	CD	$-\Delta G^0/\mathrm{kJ}$ mol^{-1}	Ref.
Hydroxypropyl-methylcellulose	Azobenzene	0.0035	α-CD	20	[188]
Hydroxypropyl-methylcellulose	Azobenzene	0.031	α-CD	17	[188]
Poly(maleic acid- <i>alt</i> -isobutene)	tert-Butylanilide	0.08	β-CD	25	[189]
Poly(maleic acid- <i>alt</i> -isobutene)	1-Adamantane-amide	0.1	β-CD	22	[190]
Poly(maleic acid- <i>alt</i> -isobutene)	1-Adamantane-amide	0.2	β-CD	21	[190]
Polyacrylamide	n-Dodecyl	0.17	α-CD	17	[191]
Polyacrylamide	tert-Butyl	0.17	β-CD	14	[191]

 Table 11 Recognition of binding sites conjugated as side chains to polymers

polymer backbone. This decrease of complex stability may also be due to steric hindrance of complexation between adjacent binding sites.

Amphiphilic polymers tend to aggregate and to form gels or micelles. The inclusion of hydrophobic groups at such polymers generally leads to an increase of solubility and a reduction of viscosity in water. One commercial application was patented by Rohm and Haas, in which viscosity of an associative thickener was controlled by addition of CDs [192, 193]. Hydrophobically modified polyurethanes form highly viscous aqueous solutions, which are very difficult to handle. Addition of CDs, especially RAMEB, dramatically reduces the viscosity and allows for preparation of highly concentrated stock solutions. Complexation of the hydrophobic groups eliminates the polymer–polymer interactions. The high viscosity can be restored on demand by addition of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). Since SDS is a competitive guest forming more stable ICs than the polymer, bound CDs are removed from the polymer chain. As a consequence, the liberated hydrophobic sites of the polymer aggregate, causing a large increase in viscosity (see Fig. 16).

Both RAMEB and SDS are called *rheology modifiers*, since they greatly increase and decrease viscosity. Since their discovery, CD-based rheology modifiers have been found for a great variety of associative polymers, such as α -CD for dodecylamido–polyacrylic acid [194], HP- β -CD for hexadecyl modified hydroxyethyl-cellulose [195], and RAMEB for adamantane modified polyacrylamide [196].

The IC of RAMEB and an adamantane modified polyacrylamide is thermosensitive: heating of the aqueous solution of the IC of this guest polymer leads to a very steep increase of both viscosity and turbidity at a certain temperature, which is due to temperature induced decomplexation, followed by an aggregation of the polymer [196].

In addition, photosensitive associative hydrogel systems have been constructed based on dodecyl modified polyacrylic acid, α -CD, and a photoresponsive competitive guest 4,4'-azodibenzoic acid. This guest can be switched from the *trans* to the *cis* state by light back and forth. Since only the *trans* state is complexed by α -CD, gel formation can be switched on and off by light [197]. Thus, molecular recognition and photo switching together induce changes of macroscopic material properties.



4.2 Recognition of Guest Polymers by Dimeric and Polymeric CDs

The interaction of CD dimers or CD polymers with side chain guest polymers leads to the reversible formation of three-dimensional supramolecular networks, as shown in Fig. 17.

The first supramolecular networks of CD polymers and guest dimers or guest polymers were described in 1996 [87, 198]. Sebille's group used β -CD epichlorohydrin polymers and adamantane terminated PEO [198–200], while we combined β -CD conjugated to poly(isobutene-*alt*-maleic acid) PIBMA and *tert*-butyl aniline conjugated to PIBMA [87, 88, 201, 202]. With both systems, viscosity increased by four to five orders of magnitude after mixing solutions of the two components because of formation of crosslinked host–guest complexes. Molecular recognition between polymer bound CD and guest moieties was clearly demonstrated by a continuous variation plot of the viscosity shown in Fig. 18, which revealed a maximum of viscosity at a 1:1 stoichiometry of β -CD and guest moieties [190]. Viscosity decreased with increasing shear rate, possibly because of mechanical ruptures of the host–guest interactions.

Gel formation could be switched off either by dilution with water or by addition of monomeric β -CD or guest [190]. Several other polymeric systems, with complementary binding sites conjugated to polyacrylic acid [194, 203], polyacryl amide [204], and chitosan [205–207] backbones, have been described subsequently, all with similar properties. Compared to regular covalent networks, these noncovalent, supramolecular networks offer several advantages:

Fig. 17 Formation of supramolecular networks from CD polymers and guest polymers



- CD supramolecular networks are uniform and transparent
- Supramolecular network formation is reversible
- Supramolecular networks can adapt to a certain form, e.g., in a mold
- Supramolecular network formation can be switched on and off by an external stimmulus
- Supramolecular networks can be dissolved by high dilution
- Supramolecular networks are water-based and biocompatible

Therefore, these gelling systems based on two components might find interesting applications in the future. These and other CD based gels have been described by Li et al. [208].

A remarkably different system comprised of a β -CD polymer and a guest polymer was recently described by Gref et al. [209]. They mixed aqueous solutions of neutral β -CD epichlorohydrin polymer and a neutral lauryl ester of dextran, both of high molecular weights, and received no macroscopic gels but well-defined and



Fig. 18 Viscosities of mixtures of a β -CD polymer (β -cyclodextrinyl-PIBMA) and a guest polymer (*tert*-butyl anilide of PIBMA) as functions of the molar fraction of guest groups in water for different shear rates D (s⁻¹) of 66 (*filled diamonds*), 131 (*filled squares*), 196 (*filled circles*), 393 (*open triangles*), and 590 (*open circles*) at constant total polymer concentration of 2 wt% [202]

stable nanoparticles with diameters of about 200 nm. These nanoparticles may be very interesting as carriers for targeted drug delivery.

4.3 Recognition of Guest Polymers by CDs Attached to Surfaces

CDs arranged in a two-dimensional order at a surface are also able to interact with side chain guest polymers. Amphiphilic β -CD derivatives were organized as double layers in spherical vesicles. These ordered CDs complexed hydrophobic *tert*-butyl-anilid conjugated to PIBMA, leading to vesicles wrapped by the polymer. These vesicles are stabilized by supramolecular interactions and resemble cells protected by a cyto-skeleton, as shown in Fig. 19. The binding free energy for the guest polymer with this two-dimensional β -CD array, $-\Delta G^0 = 36$ kJ mol⁻¹, is much higher than for the same polymer with monomeric β -CD, $-\Delta G^0 = 23$ kJ mol⁻¹, demonstrating the high cooperativity of binding [210].

Furthermore, β -CD heptathioethers were immobilized at planar gold surfaces in regular hexagonal arrays, so-called molecular print boards [211]. Dimers, polymers, and dendrimers with two and more attached adamantane or ferrocene binding sites are nearly irreversibly complexed by these β -CD arrays because of the cooperativity of the binding events and negligible entropic loss due to the high rigidity of the CD array. The polymer coil may first bind with a small number of binding sites before being compressed to a flat conformation, which allows more host–guest interactions, as depicted in Fig. 20 [212–215]. These molecular CD print boards may find very interesting applications for the assembly of molecular devices by ink jet printing or dip pen nanolithography.



Fig. 19 Cyclodextrin vesicles stabilized by complexation of the guest polymer, *tert*-butylanilid-PIBMA [210]



Fig. 20 Schematic representation of different binding modes for guest polymers with planar CD arrays [213]

5 Recognition of Linear Polymers with Binding Sites in the Main Chain

5.1 General Considerations

Complexation of a polymer main chain by CDs differs significantly from complexations of polymer side chains. Complexations of side chains occur in parallel, while complexation of a main chain is a serial process in which consecutive steps are dependent on each other. Since complexation of a main chain polymer, so-called threading, requires a one-dimensional transport of CD rings along the chain, it requires much more time than complexation of a side chain polymer. While the first segments of a polymer chain are rapidly complexed, migration along the polymer is slow and a molecular version of a "traffic jam" can occur.

The linear alignment of threaded CD rings allows attractive interactions between the rings. Native CD rings can each form intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the primary hydroxyls and the secondary hydroxyls. Therefore, alternating headto-head and tail-to-tail orientations of threaded rings are usually found as soon as the complete polymer chain is covered by CDs, as shown in Fig. 21a. These attractive interactions between threaded CD rings deliver a major contribution to the binding free energy [216]. The rodlike polymer complexes further organize into water-insoluble crystals, so-called channel structures, similar to type I ICs of hydrophobic monomeric guests described in Sect. 3.1.

On the other hand, bulky hydrophilic groups within the polymer chain prevent a dense coverage of the polymer (see Fig. 21b) and therefore lead to watersoluble ICs.

5.2 Recognition of the Thickness of a Polymer Chain

The formation of insoluble channel ICs is a very common feature for the interaction of various polymers with α -, β -, and γ -CDs. The recognition of the thickness of a polymer by the internal diameter of the CD is demonstrated by the data of Table 12. Selectivities of IC formation for polymers are even higher than for similar





Polymer	Structure	$MW g^{-1} mol^{-1}$	α-CD (%)	β-CD (%)	γ-CD (%)
PVA	<i>t</i> →	22,000	0	0	0
PAAm	O NH2	10,000	0	0	0
PEG	{~~^}	1,000	92	0	Trace
PPG	{~~~^0}	1,000	0	96	80
PMeVE	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	2,000	0	0	82
PE	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right\}$	563	63	0	0
PP	$\left\{ \gamma \right\}$	800	0	40	7
PIB	$\left\{ \right\}$	800	0	8	90

 Table 12
 Yields of IC formation for various polymers as a function of the CD ring size [217]

monomers, possibly because of the high cooperativity of the inclusion process. The formation rate of channel type ICs decreases with increasing molecular weight and decreasing solubility of the polymer. Therefore, an upper molecular weight limit often exists for IC formation between 3,000 and 20,000 g mol⁻¹. Some more detailed information about IC formation of polymers is given below.

5.2.1 IC Formation of α-CD

The formation of an IC of a polymer main chain was clearly evidenced for the first time by the Harada group in 1990 [218]. They observed precipitation of the polymeric IC a few minutes after mixing saturated aqueous solutions of α -CD and poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) in high yield. Later, they found that threading occurs even after mixing α -CD and PEO without any solvent present [219]. The stoichiometry of this IC is about one α -CD ring per two PEO units. Since the length of two oxyethylene units is similar to the height of an α -CD torus (8 Å), the authors anticipated complete coverage of the PEO chain with α -CD rings. This hypothesis was confirmed by X-ray diffraction data and solid state NMR-spectroscopy, proving a channel structure [220, 221]. Interestingly, the PEO dimer does not form any IC

with α -CD, probably because of the terminal OH groups, which are too hydrophilic to intrude into the cavity [222]. Formation of the channel structure starts with the trimer, from which an X-ray structure is known [223]. Strong intermolecular hydrogen bonds within the channel structure appear to be necessary to gain sufficient complex stability. This also explains why any substituent at the α -CD ring prevents precipitation of the PEO complex, since this substituent prevents dense packing of CD rings along the PEO thread.

It was found meanwhile that nearly every slim unbranched polymer chain, such as poly(trimethylene oxide) [224], poly(1,3-dioxolane) [225], poly(tetramethylene oxide) [226], poly(ethylene imine) [227], poly(3-hydroxy propionate), poly (4-hydroxybutyrate) and poly(6-hydroxyhexanoate) [228,229], poly(butylene succinate) [229], polyadipates [230], nylon-6 [231], and even oligomers of polyethylene [232], form α -CD ICs with channel structures. In all of these cases, inclusion is a heterogeneous process, since the guest polymer and its CD complex are almost insoluble in water. Therefore, extensive sonication had to be applied to accelerate the diffusion process. The polymer was also dissolved in an organic solvent, e.g., nylon-6 in formic acid, and this solution was added to the solution of α -CD [231]. Alternatively, a monomer, such as 11-aminoundecanoic acid, was included in α -CD and polymerized to nylon-11 was formed under conservation of the crystal packing [233–235].

In contrast to monomeric α -CD derivatives, molecular tube (α -CD-MT) shows a high binding affinity to poly(tetramethylene oxide) moieties, which might be due to the high cooperativity of binding exerted by the α -CD rings, rigidly preorganized within the MT. Since these ICs are water-soluble, binding free energies could be determined by ITC. Values of $-\Delta G^0 = 33$ kJ mol⁻¹ were reached [236].

5.2.2 IC Formation of β -CD

The more spacious β -CD, with its internal diameter of 5.8 Å, is able to complex polymers thicker than PEO. It forms insoluble ICs with channel structures with polypropylene glycol (PPO) [237], and even poly(tetrafluorethylenoxide*co*-difluormethylenoxide) [238]. This does not mean that slim polymers, such as poly(trimethylene oxide), are complexed less by β -CD, but less stable ICs are formed, in which the polymer does not completely fill the cavity. The structure of the IC is shown in Fig. 22 [239]. Poly(dimethylsiloxane) [217, 240] and poly(dimethylsilane) [241, 242] are also complexed by β -CD when their molecular weights are less than 400 g mol⁻¹.

Polyconjugated polymers, such as polyaniline [243, 244] and polythiophene [245, 246], seem to be complexed in β -CD, as well. Because of the low solubilities of these polymers, polymerization and inclusion have to be performed simultaneously. These so-called *molecular wires* are promising electrical and photonic materials [247].

Fig. 22 Structure of poly(trimethylene oxide) complexed in β -CD; the *dot*-*ted lines* are intermolecular hydrogen bonds; the *circles* are water molecules



5.2.3 IC Formation of γ-CD

The largest commercially available CD, with a minimum internal diameter of 7.4 Å, γ -CD is wide enough to complex rather thick polymers, such as polyisobutylene [248], polymethylvinylether [249], polystyrene [250,251], polyvinyl chloride [252], polysiloxanes and polysilanes of molecular weights 1,000–3,000 g mol⁻¹ [217, 253], poly(perfluorpropylene oxide) [238], and *N*-acetylethylenimine [254], leading to water-insoluble ICs with channel structures. PEO chains are slim enough to form a double stranded IC in γ -CD [255, 256]. However, preparation of these complexes is very difficult because the loss of entropy for the two PEO chains is very high. Naphthalene groups terminating the PEO chains facilitate simultaneous threading of two PEO chains through one γ -CD ring, since they preorganize properly by forming dimeric aggregates in aqueous solution [255]. Similar double stranded ICs of poly(caprolactone-*b*-THF-*b*-caprolacton) triblock-copolymers in γ -CD have been found subsequently [257].

5.3 Site-Selective Complexation of Block Copolymers

As already shown in Table 12, α -CD prefers complexation of nonbranched aliphatic chains, such as *n*-alkyl or oxy-alkyl chains, while β -CD prefers thicker polymers,



Fig. 23 Schematic drawing of site-selective complexation of PPO-PEO-PPO triblock-copolymer by α -CD

such as PPO. On the other hand, PPO can still thread through α -CD, and β -CD can form a loose complex with PEO, but both of these ICs are unfavorable. Selectivity of IC formation is unveiled by competition experiments using blockcopolymers. For example, triblock-copolymers of PEO and PPO were complexed by α -CD. The location of the threaded α -CD-rings can be checked by NOE spectroscopy or by indirect methods such as X-ray diffraction or DSC. As a result, the α-CDs are concentrated at the PEO segments even for PPO-PEO-PPO triblockcopolymers, for which α -CD rings have to pass the bulky PPO blocks, as shown in Fig. 23. Threading times strongly increase here with the lengths of the PPO blocks [258]. On the other hand, β -CD selectively complexes the PPO segments of these block-copolymers [259]. Consequently, the CD-rings can recognize the appropriate segments; such complexation is called *site-selective*. There are many examples of site-selective complexations, e.g., α -CD selectively binds the PEO segments of PEO-poly(3-hydroxybutyrate)-PEO [260] and PEO-poly(N,N-dimethylaminoethylmethacrylate) [261] and the PCL blocks of PCL-PTHF-PCL [257] and PCL-PPO-PCL [262]. Site-selective complexation can be quite useful, since it allows selective masking of the hydrophobicity of a segment in a block-copolymer for some time. In addition, the partially complexed block-copolymers remain more soluble than the fully complexed homopolymers. For example, PEO-poly(N,Ndimethylaminoethyl-methacrylate) selectively complexed at the PEO blocks by α -CD forms nanoparticles [261].

5.4 Enantioselective Recognition of Chiral Polymers

Since the CD molecule is chiral, it could recognize the winding of a chiral polymer, functioning like a nut threading onto a bolt. Since the spatial differences of two corresponding enantiomers are small, the fit between the CD nut and the polymer bolt should be as tight as possible to allow chiral recognition. The first example of a stereoselective inclusion of a polymer was given by the Tonelli group [263]. They found that isotactic poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) is included in α -CD, while atactic poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) is not. The first enantioselective inclusion



Fig. 24 Schematic drawing of enantioselective complexation of polylactides by α-CD [264]

of a polymer was observed by Yui et al. for isotactic polylactides, which indeed resemble molecular screws by forming 3₁₀-helices. Poly(L-lactide) (PLLA) with α -CD forms an IC of high coverage (42%) at 170°C, which is stable enough even to survive dissolution in DMSO (depicted in Fig. 24). Conversely, the other enantiomer poly(D-lactide) (PDLA) is only complexed by a few α -CD rings, leading to a very low coverage of 7%. Because of its wrong screw sense, this polymer does not fit in the α -CD ring [264]. From the coverages of both enantiomeric polymers, the estimated chiral recognition, $\Delta\Delta G_{R,S} \ge 7$ kJ mol⁻¹, is higher than the ones observed for enantiomeric monomers, as listed in Table 5. This very high enantioselectivity is indeed remarkable. It might be due to the cooperativity of binding caused by the hydrogen bonds between adjacent α -CD rings within the IC. In the future, molecular machines [265, 266] might be constructed which make use of the unidirectional rotation of an α -CD nut moving along the PLLA screw.

5.5 Recognition of the Polarity of the Polymer

Since the major driving force of inclusion is hydrophobic interaction, stabilities of ICs depend strongly on the polarity of the polymer. The more hydrophobic the polymer is, the higher is the affinity of CDs towards it. On the other hand, solubility in water decreases with increasing hydrophobicity of the polymer. Since affinity and solubility have to be compromised, an optimum of polarity of the polymer should exist for complexation by CDs. It is difficult to quantify the binding free energies of CD channel inclusion compounds, since they are insoluble in water. Stabilities of these polymeric ICs can be qualitatively compared by competition experiments. For example, PLLA and PCL were competitively included in α -CD. The IC of PCL was



Fig. 25 pH dependent coverage of PEI-PEO-PEI

nearly exclusively formed, which means that the caproate groups are better binding sites for α -CD than lactate groups because caproate is less polar than lactate [267].

Polyethylenimine (PEI) only forms ICs with α -CD and γ -CD at rather basic conditions, pH > 8, since only the nonprotonated PEI is sufficiently hydrophobic to be included in these CDs. These ICs are insoluble in water and behave similarly to the ICs of PEG. Protonated PEI is not included at all by CDs, since it is too polar [227]. Interestingly a triblock-copolymer PEI–PEO–PEI becomes homogenously covered by α -CD at a pH of 10. As soon as the pH is lowered to pH 4.4, α -CD rings escape from the PEI segments to the PEO segments, as shown in Fig. 25 [268].

If anthracene stoppers are attached, this pH driven locomotion of the CD rings becomes completely reversible and can be monitored in situ using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) of suitable fluorescent probes attached to the CD rings and to both ends of the polymer [269].

5.6 Recognition of the Hydrophobic Segment Lengths of Poly(bola-amphiphile)s

Since longer alkylene segments in polyamines should lead to more stable ICs, we investigated the interaction of α -CD with a homologous series of poly(iminooligomethylene)s, PI-6, PI-8, PI-10, PI-11, and PI-12 (formulas shown in Fig. 26) in aqueous solution at pH 4.8 [270, 271]. With the exception of PI-6, these formed water-soluble ICs under homogenous conditions. Because of their similarity to bolaamphiphiles, these polymers were classified as *poly(bola-amphiphile)s*. The high solubility of the ICs is caused by the bulky hydrophilic groups within the polymers, which prevent a dense packing of threaded CD-rings that would otherwise lead to an insoluble channel structure. Threading kinetics of α -CD rings onto these polymers could be followed in situ by solution ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. Binding free



Fig. 26 Poly(bola-amphiphile)s that form water-soluble ICs with α -CD

energies $-\Delta G^0$ could be calculated from the limiting conversions of the threading processes or by ITC. The $-\Delta G^0$ values of the poly(bola-amphiphile)s increase with the lengths of the hydrophobic segments and are nearly identical to those of the monomeric bola-amphiphiles, as depicted in Fig. 6. Polymer PI-6 was not complexed at all by α -CD at pH 4.6, while the most stable IC was obtained for PI-12. From a thermodynamic point of view, these poly(bola-amphiphile)s behave very similarly to their monomeric counterparts.

Thus, molecular recognition of poly(bola-amphiphile)s mainly depends on the structure of one limited binding site and not on the structure of the polymer as a whole. Consequently, the knowledge about molecular recognition of monomeric bola-amphiphiles can be brought forward to understand molecular recognition of polymeric bola-amphiphiles.

Besides poly(imino-oligomethylene)s PI-*n* [272], many other poly(bolaamphiphile)s, such as poly(*N*-methyl-imino-oligomethylene)s PMI-*n* [273], poly

(N,N-dimethyl-iminium-oligomethylene)s (also called ionenes) I-n [278], poly(N,N-4, 4'-bipyridinium-oligomethylene)s [279], PV-n poly(N-methyl-imino-oligo methylene-N-oxide)s PMINO-n [273], and poly(oligomethylene-phosphate)s PP*n* [277] summarized in Fig. 26, also form water-soluble ICs with α -CD if the length of the hydrophobic segments is sufficient (n > 10). Binding free energies range from $-\Delta G^0 = 14$ to 20 kJ mol⁻¹, increasing with *n*. This minimum segment length is necessary to allow the ionic groups to stay completely out of the α -CD cavity so that they do not disturb inclusion. Inclusion of poly(bola-amphiphile)s is mainly driven by hydrophobic interactions. The separation of the threaded CD rings by the ionic groups does not allow any additional stabilization of the IC by hydrogen bonds between the rings, as described for the channel inclusion compounds. Beside α -CD, β -CD and γ -CD, as well as CD derivatives, are able to thread onto these poly(bola-amphiphile)s under homogenous conditions [275, 280, 281]. In addition, there is no upper limit of the molecular weight for the formation of ICs, since threading is a homogenous process. Functional CDs and poly(bola-amphiphile)s can form a supramolecular toolbox for the design of functional pseudopolyrotaxanes.

5.7 Kinetic Recognition of Bulky Groups within Poly(bola-amphiphile)s

In Sect. 3.6 we have described how the bulky ionic end groups of monomeric bolaamphiphiles can strongly influence formation and dissociation rates of CD ICs. The same is true for polymeric bola-amphiphiles. The kinetics of IC formation could be measured in real time by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, since complexation is a homogenous process. Inclusion times are extremely dependent on the sizes of the hydrophilic groups within the polymer. Threading α -CD onto a secondary polyamine, poly(imino-undecamethylene), was completed after only about 1 h at r.t. [270]. Threading onto a quaternary polyamine, poly(*N*,*N*-dimethylammoniohexamethylene-*N'*, *N'*-dimethylammonio-decamethylene), took more than 2 years at r.t. [278]. Inclusion kinetics could be quantitatively described by an empirical function, a so-called stretched exponential $y = y_{\infty}(1 - e^{-\sqrt{kt}})$ with the yield of complexation *y* and the limiting yield y_{∞} . The time t_{90} for reaching 90% of the limiting yield was calculated from the rate constant *k* according to $t_{90} = (\ln 10)^2/k$. Results are listed in Table 13 [273].

The polymers with large hydrophilic groups had to be measured at elevated temperatures (60°C), since otherwise kinetics are too slow. Kinetics become much faster at higher temperatures, indicating that threading is an activated process. The time t_{90} strongly depends on the size of the bulky groups within the polymer chain. It ranges from a few minutes for the imino group to several weeks for the more bulky dimethylammonium group. If there are two bulky dimethylammonium groups in close proximity to each other, the steric barrier becomes even higher, and the threading time t_{90} is about 2 months at 60°C.

(- 2)n []						
X	п	t_{90}/h at 25 °C	t_{90}/h at 60 °C	$d/\text{\AA}$	Ref.	
$-NH_2^+-a$	11	0.47		4.28	[273]	
$-N^+ \tilde{H}Me^{-a}$	11	10.8	0.16	5.06	[273]	
$-N^+MeO^$	11		58	5.49	[273]	
$-N^+Me_2-$	11		413	5.73	[273]	
$-N^{+}Me_{2}(CH_{2})_{6}\;N^{+}Me_{2}- \\$	10		1,370	5.73	[278]	

Table 13 Threading times t_{90} for reaching 90% of final yield for various poly(bola-amphiphiles $-(CH_2)_n - X - [273]$

^aAt pH 4.6



Fig. 27 Model for the threading poly(bola-amphiphile)s; rate constants are: k_D for dissociation, k_F for formation, and k_P for propagation (reprinted with permission from [278], copyright of the American Chemical Society)



Fig. 28 Kinetics of the inclusion of ionene-6,10 (points) by α -CD, line calculated by the simulation program Abakus (reprinted with permission from [278], copyright of the American Chemical Society)

The slow rate of the threading process can be rationalized on a molecular level as a hopping process of the CD rings over the periodic potential caused by the repulsive interactions exerted by the bulky hydrophilic groups, illustrated in Fig. 27.

Threading kinetics was quantitatively described by the Monte Carlo simulation program Abakus [278]. As shown in Fig. 28, the agreement of experimental data and the Abakus fit is reasonably good, demonstrating the validity of the kinetic model.

The binding constant *K* and the propagation rate constant k_p are obtained as fitting parameters. The time a CD ring rests on a segment, $\tau_{1/2} = \ln 2/k_p$, was derived from the rate constant k_p . From the temperature dependence of this rate constant, the activation energies E_a were estimated by Arrhenius plots. The obtained activation energies of propagation over dimethyl ammonium groups ($E_a = 90$ kJ mol⁻¹) were on the same order of magnitude as the activation free energy for the corresponding monomeric bola-amphiphile, 1,10-bis-trimethylammonium-decane, already listed in Table 8.

The diameters *d* of the hydrophilic groups of poly(bola-amphiphile)s were calculated by semiempirical quantum mechanical calculations and are listed in Table 13 [273]. They correlate well with the threading times t_{90} . That means that the diameter *d* of the hydrophilic groups in poly(bola-amphiphile)s is sensitively recognized by α -CD-rings.

Most α -CD complexes of above-mentioned poly(bola-amphiphile)s are stable enough to be isolated; therefore, they are classified as pseudopolyrotaxanes. Dissociation kinetics of CD pseudorotaxanes can easily be detected by following the CD concentration during dialysis or ultrafiltration, by which free α -CD rings are continuously removed, as depicted in Fig. 29.

The polymers that are rapidly complexed by α -CD also dissociate rapidly. Those polymer ICs, like those of ionenes, which require high temperatures and long threading times to be formed, are almost kinetically stable at r.t.

Threading and dissociation rates of polymeric secondary amines PI-11 and PI-3,10 are highly dependent on the pH: at high pH, threading of PI-3,10 is im-



Fig. 29 Schematic drawing of the ultrafiltration system for the measurement of the dissociation kinetics of polymer ICs. Concentrations of CD both in the filtrate and the retentate were determined from the optical rotations of the solutions



Fig. 30 pH dependence of dissociation of the IC of PI-3,10 and α -CD as measured by the decay of specific optical rotation α_{sp} of α -CD in the retentate during dialysis [271]

measurably fast; at pH 3, it takes several days. Therefore, the rate of threading can be controlled by the pH [282]. A change of pH seems to influence strongly steric hindrance of threading: protonated imino groups significantly hinder threading, while neutral ones do not. Since the steric hindrance of a single proton cannot cause this strong effect, the change of the charge also has to be taken into account. Cationic protonated imino groups attract water molecules, forming a solvation shell, which might exert the observed pronounced steric hindrance. Additionally, the rate of dissociation of the IC can be switched by small variations of the pH, as shown in Fig. 30. At pH 4.6, the IC of PI-3,10 is almost stable, demonstrated by a nearly horizontal line.

The decay at the beginning was due to excess free α -CD still present from the preparation of the IC. Raising the pH from 4.6 to 6.6 causes the immediate release of all threaded CD rings, while raising the pH to 5.6 leads to a much slower release, requiring about 1 day for liberation of the threaded rings [271]. These switchable pseudopolyrotaxanes might be useful for delivery and pH programmed release within organisms of drugs bound to threaded CD rings.

Complexation and dissociation of poly(bola-amphiphile)s by the larger ring, β -CD, is too fast to allow isolation of the ICs. In addition, this complexation is not detectable by ¹HNMR, since there is no complexation induced shift of the signals due to the loose fit of the polymers in β -CD. α -CD rings can be used as stoppers to stabilize the β -CD IC. Much higher coverages of the polymer by CD rings are found after subsequent threading of β -CD and α -CD than for α -CD alone, shown in Fig. 31. Apparently, a stable triblock copolyrotaxane with the sequence (α -CD)_n (β -CD)_m (α -CD)_n of threaded rings has been formed [276].



Fig. 31 Formation of $(\alpha$ -CD)_n $(\beta$ -CD)_n $(\alpha$ -CD)_n triblock pseudopolyrotaxane by sequential threading of (1) β -CD and (2) α -CD onto I-10,6. (*filled circles, filled triangles*) Coverage y' (total number of anhydroglucose units of α -CD and β -CD per repeat of I-10,6) as a function of threading time for α -CD, (*open circles*) control, coverage of threading α -CD solely [276]

Since threaded α -CD rings could not be distinguished from threaded β -CD rings by NMR, β -CD rings had to be labeled to prove unambiguously the structure of the triblock copolyrotaxanes. Therefore, 6-amino-6-deoxy- β -CD was covalently labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate. The fluorescent β -CD derivative FITC- β -CD was threaded onto the polymer I-10,6. α -CD was threaded afterwards to stabilize the supramolecular structure. The resulting pseudopolyrotaxane was purified from free α -CD and FITC- β -CD rings by ultrafiltration. The fluorescence of the isolated product clearly proved that the sequential threading protocol of FITC- β -CD and α -CD onto I-10,6 had worked out as proposed [280]. The α -CD rings acted as supramolecular stoppers for the β -CD rings.

5.8 Synthesis of Polyrotaxanes from Main Chain Pseudopolyrotaxanes

The pseudopolyrotaxanes described above can be converted to polyrotaxanes by the attachment of bulky groups, which completely prevent dissociation of threaded rings. Bulky groups can be attached either (1) along the chain or (2) at both chain ends.

First, CD polyrotaxanes were synthesized by us by polymer analogous reaction of the amino groups of the PI-11/ α -CD pseudopolyrotaxane with nicotinoyl chloride. A 25% conversion of the imino groups led to a permanent 67% coverage of

the polymer. The stability of the so-formed polyrotaxane was tested by extensive ultrafiltration, during which the α -CD rings remained on the polymer chain for longer than 1 week [272]. Polyrotaxanes of β -CD and PI-11 were synthesized in an analogous way. Since the nicotinoyl stoppers were too small to block β -CD, a larger reagent, 2,4-dinitrofluor-5-aniline, had to be used for the synthesis of the β -CD polyrotaxane [119].

The ICs of PEO by α -CD were also converted to polyrotaxanes by the attachment of various blocking groups at the chain ends. Since the reactivity of the terminal hydroxyl groups is low, chain ends were functionalized prior to complexation by α -CD. Terminal amino groups were used by Harada's group in the first synthesis of a PEO- α -CD polyrotaxane. These were coupled with 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene to furnish the polyrotaxane in 60% yield, since this end group was large enough to completely prevent dissociation of threaded rings even in organic solvents like DMSO [283]. For low molecular weight PEG (1,450 g mol⁻¹), coverage of 91% per diethylene glycol repeat unit was reached, equivalent to 15 threaded rings per chain. For polymer threats of higher molecular weight, coverage was lower, e.g., 31% for molecular weight of 20,000 g mol⁻¹, equivalent to 70 threaded rings [284].

Subsequently, further rotaxanation reactions, shown in Table 14, were developed, offering several advantages. A high reactivity of the functional chain end with the stopper reagent is very important because dissociation of threaded rings occurs during the reaction, especially when the reaction is performed in an organic solvent like DMF or DMSO, in which the ICs are not stable.

Similarly, the readily accessible PEO tosylates were stoppered by etherification with 3,5-dimethylphenol [286]. As already observed for the synthesis of monomeric α -CD rotaxanes, a 1,3-disubstituted phenyl group or an adamantane group is already large enough to completely block dissociation of threaded α -CD rings. Modern click chemistry, using the Huisgen [2 + 3] cycloaddition of azides and propargyl derivatives, also appears to be very effective for polyrotaxane synthesis [288]. Oligopeptide stoppers offer the advantage of being enzymatically cleavable on demand by proteases. This might be useful for the controlled release of drugs attached to the threaded α -CD rings [293]. The achievable coverage of the polymer chain with CD rings usually decreases with increasing molecular weight of the polymer thread for all stoppering reactions investigated to date.

Bigger stoppers, such as naphthalene-6,8-disulfonic acid [290] or tetraphenylmethane [292], are necessary for blocking the larger β -CD rings. For the synthesis of a β -CD polyrotaxane, a PEO–PPO–PEO triblock polymer functionalized with terminal amino groups was regioselectively complexed at the PPO block with β -CD and stoppered with fluorescein-4-isothiocyanate. The hydrophilic PEO blocks offer the advantage of increasing solubility in water, since they are scarcely covered by β -CD rings. Five β -CD rings were threaded within the polyrotaxane. The fluorescein blocking group was large enough to prevent their dissociation. At room temperature, these five β -CD rings were distributed over the full length of the polyrotaxane axis, while they were concentrated at the PPO segment at elevated temperatures [291, 294].

Polymer	MW g mol ⁻¹	End group	CI	D Reagent	X%	Ref.
PEG	1,450	-NH ₂	α		91	[285]
PEG	20,000	-NH ₂	α		31	[285]
PEG	1,500	-O - Tos	α	но-	70	[286]
PEG	20,000	-O - Tos	α	но	19	[286]
PEG	35,000	-СООН	α	H ₂ N	22	[287]
PEG	1,500	N N H N N S N S	α	H-=-CH ₂ ·O-R	75	[288]
PTHF	1,100	-NH ₂	α	OCN-	96 ^a	[289]
PEG– PPG–PEG	4,200	-o O-Su	β	H ₂ N SO ₃ K SO ₃ H	49	[290]
PEG-	4,200	-NH ₂	β	FITC	12	[291]
PPG – PEG PDMS	1,250	O	β	H ₂ N	28	[292]

Table 14 Synthesis of polyrotaxanes by terminal coupling of stopper groups at polymer ICs with
X coverage, number of CD rings per two polymer repeat units

^aPer single repeat unit

Su: N-succinimide; FITC: fluorescein-4-isothiocyanate

Finding stoppers for γ -CD is difficult, because of its large diameter. Therefore β -CD and γ -CD were cothreaded on a polymer and rotaxanated by stoppers which block β -CD rings. Since the threaded γ -CD rings cannot overtake β -CD rings, they were blocked as well [275].

6 Conclusions and Outlook

It was shown that CDs and CD derivatives are very versatile hosts for the recognition of various monomeric and polymeric guests. Experience collected from binding studies with monomeric guests can often be applied and generalized for polymeric guests as well. Side chain and main chain guest polymers behave quite differently. While the recognition events happen in parallel for side chain guest polymers, they occur sequentially for main chain guest polymers. Slow inclusion of bolaamphiphiles and poly(bola-amphiphile)s offers certain advantages in comparison to other guests, since the ICs with them are kinetically stable for a defined time. Complex molecular architectures can be created without the necessity of covalent chemistry in water by using a supramolecular tool box.

Recognition potential of CDs can be greatly enhanced by regioselective derivatization of CDs. Recognition of polymers by CD derivatives is still an open field. Recognition might also be combined with catalytic activity of CDs or functional CD derivatives. Catalytic CDs might selectively bind to certain regions of destination of a block-copolymer to perform a specific reaction. Additionally, molecular machines might be created that exploit switchable site-selective binding.

Since molecular recognition of CDs and guests functions in water, it can also be combined with bio-molecular recognition. Ligands for certain cell specific receptors, such as lactose, were already linked to CD polyrotaxanes. These functional polyrotaxanes selectively bound to the human receptor protein galectin-1, inhibiting agglutination with T-cells already at low concentrations [295–297]. Therefore, CD polyrotaxanes might be very useful as vehicles for targeted drug delivery.

Since CDs are highly biocompatible, readily available, and easy to functionalize, and since they self-organize in a rather predictable way in aqueous solutions, they will become one of the most important supramolecular building blocks of the future.

Acknowledgments The author thanks his coworkers R. Heisel, M. Keil, C. Michel, T. Stauner, C. Teuchert, H. Wang, and S. Witti for assistance.

References

- 1. Eigen M (1971) Naturwissenschaften 58:465
- 2. Fischer E (1894) Ber Dtsch Chem Ges 27:2985
- 3. Watson JD, Crick FHC (1953) Nature 171:737
- 4. Houk KN, Leach AG, Kim SP, Zhang XY (2003) Angew Chem Int Ed 42:4872

- 5. Cram DJ (1988) J Inclusion Phenom Macrocyc Chem 6:397
- 6. Lehn J-M (1988) Angew Chem Int Ed 27:89
- 7. Cram DJ (1986) Angew Chem Int Ed 25:1039
- Dietrich-Buchecker C, Jimenez-Molero MC, Sartor V, Sauvage JP (2003) Pure Appl Chem 75:1383
- 9. Leigh DA, Wong JKY, Dehez F, Zerbetto F (2003) Nature 424:174
- 10. Berna J, Leigh DA, Lubomska M, Mendoza SM, Perez EM, Rudolf P, Teobaldi G, Zerbetto F (2005) Nat Mater 4:704
- 11. Moon C, Kwon YM, Lee WK, Park YJ, Yang VC (2007) J Control Release 124:43
- 12. Vignon SA, Jarrosson T, Iijima T, Tseng H-R, Sanders JKM, Stoddart JF (2004) J Am Chem Soc 126:9884
- 13. Green JE, Choi JW, Boukai A, Bunimovich Y, Johnston-Halperin E, DeIonno E, Luo Y, Sheriff BA, Xu K, Shin YS, Tseng HR, Stoddart JF, Heath JR (2007) Nature 445:414
- 14. Freudenberg K, Meyer-Delius M (1938) Ber Dtsch Chem Ges 71:1596
- 15. Oshovsky GV, Reinhoudt DN, Verboom W (2007) Angew Chem Int Ed 46:2366
- 16. Pedersen CJ (1988) Angew Chem Int Ed 27:1021
- 17. Lehn JM (1978) Acc Chem Res 11:49
- 18. Kim K (2002) Chem Soc Rev 31:96
- 19. Lukin O, Vogtle F (2005) Angew Chem Int Ed 44:1456
- 20. Rodriguez-Docampo Z, Pascu SI, Kubik S, Otto S (2006) J Am Chem Soc 128:11206
- 21. Hedges AR (1998) Chem Rev 98:2035
- 22. Saenger W (1980) Angew Chem Int Ed 19:344
- 23. Wenz G (1994) Angew Chem Int Ed 33:803
- 24. Saenger W, Jacob J, Gessler K, Steiner T, Hoffmann D, Sanbe H, Koizumi K, Smith SM, Takaha T (1998) Chem Rev 98:1787
- 25. Harata K (1998) Chem Rev 98:1803
- 26. Khan AR, Forgo P, Stine KJ, D'Souza VT (1998) Chem Rev 98:1977
- 27. Rekharsky MV, Inoue Y (1998) Chem Rev 98:1875
- 28. Stoddart JF (1998) Chem Rev 98:1959
- 29. Harada A (1997) Adv Polym Sci 133:141
- 30. Harada A, Hashidzume A, Takashima Y (2006) Adv Polym Sci 201:1
- 31. Wenz G, Han B-H, Müller A (2006) Chem Rev 106:782
- 32. Villiers A (1891) Compt Rend Acad Sci 112:536
- 33. Schardinger F (1903) Z Untersuch Nahr u Genussm 6:865
- 34. Freudenberg K, Jakobi R (1935) Liebigs Ann 518:102
- 35. Freudenberg K, Cramer F (1950) Chem Ber 83:296
- 36. Nakagawa T, Ueno K, Kashiw M, Watanabe J (1994) Tetrahedron Lett 35:1921
- 37. Jozwiakowski MJ, Connors KA (1985) Carbohydr Res 143:51
- 38. de Namor AFD, Cleverley RM, Zapata-Ormachea ML (1998) Chem Rev 98:2495
- 39. Zabel V, Saenger W, Mason SA (1986) J Am Chem Soc 108:3664
- 40. Müller A, Wenz G (2007) Chem Eur J 13:2218
- 41. Lichtenthaler FW, Immel S (1994) Tetrahedron Asymmetry 5:2045
- 42. Frisch MJT, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, Montgomery JA Jr, Vreven T, Kudin KN, Burant JC, Millam JM, Iyengar SS, Tomasi J, Barone V, Mennucci B, Cossi M, Scalmani G, Rega N, Petersson GA, Nakatsuji H, Hada M, Ehara M, Toyota K, Fukuda R, Hasegawa J, Ishida M, Nakajima T, Honda Y, Kitao O, Nakai H, Klene M, Li X, Knox JE, Hratchian HP, Cross JB, Adamo C, Jaramillo J, Gomperts R, Stratmann RE, Yazyev O, Austin AJ, Cammi R, Pomelli C, Ochterski JW, Ayala PY, Morokuma K, Voth GA, Salvador P, Dannenberg JJ, Zakrzewski VG, Dapprich S, Daniels AD, Strain MC, Farkas O, Malick DK, Rabuck AD, Raghavachari K, Foresman JB, Ortiz JV, Cui Q, Baboul AG, Clifford S, Cioslowski J, Stefanov BB, Liu G, Liashenko A, Piskorz P, Komaromi I, Martin RL, Fox DJ, Keith T, Al-Laham MA, Peng CY, Nanayakkara A, Challacombe M, Gill PMW, Johnson B, Chen W, Wong MW, Gonzalez C, Pople JA (2003) Program Gaussian03, Revision b.03, Pittsburgh, PA

- 43. Defaye J, Crouzy S, Evrard N, Law H (1999) WO9961483
- 44. Tang W, Ng SC (2008) Nat Protocols 3:691
- 45. Palin R, Grove SJA, Prosser AB, Zhang M-Q (2001) Tetrahedron Lett 42:8897
- 46. Djedaini-Pilard F, Desalos J, Perly B (1993) Tetrahedron Lett 34:2457
- 47. Steffen A, Thiele C, Tietze S, Strassnig C, Kämper A, Lengauer T, Wenz G, Apostolakis J (2007) Chem Eur J 13:6801–6809
- 48. Ueno A, Minato S, Osa T (1992) Anal Chem 64:2562
- 49. Yuan D-Q, Immel S, Koga K, Yamaguchi M, Fujita K (2003) Chem Eur J 9:3501
- 50. Atsumi M, Izumida M, Yuan D-Q, Fujita K (2000) Tetrahedron Lett 41:8117
- 51. Yuan D-Q, Tahara T, Chen W-H, Okabe Y, Yang C, Yagi Y, Nogami Y, Fukudome M, Fujita K (2003) J Org Chem 68:9456
- 52. Bistri O, Sinay P, Sollogoub M (2005) Tetrahedron Lett 46:7757
- 53. du Roizel B, Baltaze J-P, Sinay P (2002) Tetrahedron Lett 43:2371
- 54. Bistri O, Sinay P, Sollogoub M (2006) Tetrahedron Lett 47:4137
- 55. Bistri O, Sinay P, Sollogoub M (2006) Chem Commun:1112
- 56. Gadelle A, Defaye J (1991) Angew Chem Int Ed 30:78
- 57. Ashton PR, Koniger R, Stoddart JF, Alker D, Harding VD (1996) J Org Chem 61:903
- 58. Wenz G, Strassnig G, Thiele C, Engelke A, Morgenstern B, Hegetschweiler K (2008) Chem Eur J 14:7202
- Garcia-López JJ, Hernández-Mateo F, Isac-Garcia J, Kim JM, Roy R, Santoyo-González F, Vargas-Berenguel A (1999) J Org Chem 64:522
- 60. Takeo K, Mitoh H, Uemura K (1989) Carbohydr Res 187:203
- Cousin H, Cardinael P, Oulyadi H, Pannecoucke X, Combret JC (2001) Tetrahedron Asymmetry 12:81
- 62. Ashton PR, Boyd SE, Gattuso G, Hartwell EY, Königer R, Spencer N, Stoddart JF (1995) J Org Chem 60:3898
- 63. Uccello-Barretta G, Sicoll G, Balzano F, Salvadori P (2005) Carbohydr Res 340:271
- 64. Kraus T, Budesinsky M, Zavada J (1997) Carbohydr Res 304:81
- 65. Kraus T, Budesinsky M, Zavada J (2001) J Org Chem 66:4595
- 66. Kraus T, Budesinsky M, Cisarova I, Zavada J (2002) Angew Chem Int Ed 41:1715
- 67. Kraus T, Budesinsky M, Cisarova I, Zavada J (2004) Eur J Org Chem:4060
- 68. Wimmer T (1995) EP0646602A1
- 69. Brauns U, Mueller BWW (1985) DE3346123A1
- 70. Muller BW, Brauns U (1986) J Pharm Sci 75:571
- 71. Wenz G, Höfler T (1999) Carbohydr Res 322:153
- 72. Stella VJ, Rajewski R (1994) Wo9402518
- 73. Uekama K, Hirashima N, Horiuchi Y, Hirayama F, Ijitsu T, Ueno M (1987) J Pharm Sci 76:660
- 74. Wenz G (1991) Carbohydr Res 214:257
- 75. Zia V, Rajewski RA, Stella VJ (2001) Pharm Res 18:667
- 76. Lecourt T, Mallet J-M, Sinay P (2002) Tetrahedron Lett 43:5533
- 77. Breslow R, Greenspoon N, Guo T, Zarzycki R (1989) J Am Chem Soc 111:8296
- 78. Okabe Y, Yamamura H, Obe KI, Ohta K, Kawai M, Fujita K (1995) J Chem Soc Chem Commun:581
- 79. Breslow R, Halfon S, Zhang BL (1995) Tetrahedron 51:377
- 80. Yuan DQ, Koga K, Kouno I, Fujioka T, Fukudome M, Fujita K (2007) Chem Commun:828
- 81. Liu Y, Chen Y (2006) Acc Chem Res 39:681
- 82. Bistri O, Lecourt T, Mallet J-M, Sollogoub M, Sinay P (2004) Chem Biodiversity 1:129
- 83. Harada A, Furue M, Nozakura SI (1976) Macromolecules 9:701
- 84. Maeda K, Mochizuki H, Watanabe M, Yashima E (2006) J Am Chem Soc 128:7639
- 85. Ogoshi T, Takashima Y, Yamaguchi H, Harada A (2006) Chem Commun:3702
- 86. Weickenmeier M, Wenz G (1996) Macromol Rapid Commun 17:731
- 87. Huff J, Kistenmacher A, Schornick G, Weickenmeier M, Wenz G (1996) DEDE 19612768A1
- 88. Wenz G, Weickenmeier M, Huff J (2000) ACS Symp Ser 765:271
- 89. Renard E, Volet G, Amiel C (2005) Polym Int 54:594

- 90. Seo T, Kajihara T, Iijima T (1987) Makromol Chem 188:2071
- 91. Suh J, Hah SS, Lee SH (1997) Bioorganic Chem 25:63
- 92. Tojima T, Katsura H, Han S-M, Tanida F, Nishi N, Tokura S, Sakairi N (1998) J Polym Sci 36:1965
- 93. Sakairi N, Furusaki E, Ueno Y, Nishi N, Tokura S (1996) Carbohydr Polym 29:29
- 94. Pluemsab W, Sakairi N, Furuike T (2005) Polymer 46:9778
- 95. Choi HS, Takahashi A, Ooya T, Yui N (2006) ChemPhysChem 7:1668
- 96. Renard E, Barnathan G, Deratani A, Sebille B (1997) Macromol Symp 122:229
- 97. Renard E, Deratani A, Volet G, Sébille B (1997) Eur Polym J 33:49
- 98. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1993) Nature 364:516
- 99. Choi B-K, Suwa Y, Hashizume T, Terada Y, Ito K, Shimomura T (2005) Jp2005272664
- 100. Harada A, Okada M, Kawaguchi Y, Kamachi M (1999) Polym Adv Technol 10:3
- 101. Ceccato M, LoNostro P, Rossi C, Bonechi C, Donati A, Baglioni P (1997) J Phys Chem B 101:5094
- 102. Liu L, Guo Q-X (2002) J Inclusion Phenom Macrocyc Chem 42:1
- 103. Meyer EA, Castellano RK, Diederich F (2003) Angew Chem Int Ed 42:1210
- 104. Connors KA (1997) Chem Rev 97:1325
- 105. Eftink MR, Andy ML, Bystrom K, Perlmutter HD, Kristol DS (1989) J Am Chem Soc 111:6765
- 106. Fuhrhop JH, Boettcher C, Spiroski D (1993) J Am Chem Soc 115:1600
- 107. Fuoss RM, Chu VFH (1951) J Am Chem Soc 73:949
- 108. Eyring H (1935) J Chem Phys 3:107
- 109. Bastos M, Briggner LE, Shehatta I, Wadsö I (1990) J Chem Thermodyn 22:1181
- 110. Shehatta I (1996) React Funct Polym 28:183
- 111. Cromwell WC, Bystrom K, Eftink MR (1985) J Phys Chem 89:326
- 112. Wenz G (2008) unpublished data
- 113. Inoue Y, Hakushi T, Liu Y, Tong L-H, Shen B-J, Jin D-S (1993) J Am Chem Soc 115:475
- 114. Blyshak LA, Warner IM, Patonay G (1990) Anal Chim Acta 232:239
- 115. Miyajima K, Komatsu H, Inoue K, Handa T, Nakagaki M (1990) Bull Chem Soc Jpn 63:6
- 116. Klüfers P, Wenz G, Goel P (1997) unpublished data
- 117. Schmider J, Fritsch G, Haisch T, Muller K (2001) Mol Cryst Liquid Cryst Sci Technol A Mol Cryst Liquid Cryst 356:99
- 118. Wenz G, Steinbrunn M (1998) WO9838222A2
- 119. Keller B (1994) Dissertation, Universität Karlsruhe, Germany
- 120. Eliadou K, Yannakopoulou K, Rontoyianni A, Mavridis IM (1999) J Org Chem 64:6217
- 121. Simova S, Schneider H-J (2000) Perkin 2:1717
- 122. Schneider HJ, Blatter T, Simova S (1991) J Am Chem Soc 113:1996
- 123. Höfler T, Wenz G (1996) J Inclusion Phenom Macro Chem 25:81
- 124. Sau S, Solanki B, Orprecio R, Van Stam J, Evans CH (2004) J Inclusion Phenom Macrocyc Chem 48:173
- 125. Stone MT, Anderson HL (2007) Chem Commun:2387
- 126. Gelb RI, Schwartz LM (1989) J Inclusion Phenom Mol Recog Chem 7:537
- 127. Briggner LE, Ni X-R, Tempesti F, Wadsö I (1986) Thermochim Acta 109:139
- 128. Godínez LA, Patel S, Criss CM, Kaifer AE (1995) J Phys Chem 99:17449
- 129. de Jong MR, Engbersen JFJ, Huskens J, Reinhoudt DN (2000) Chem Eur J 6:4034
- 130. Kano K, Matsumoto H, Yoshimura Y, Hashimoto S (1988) J Am Chem Soc 110:204
- 131. Kano K, Matsumoto H, Hashimoto S, Sisido M, Imanishi Y (1985) J Am Chem Soc 107:6117
- 132. Pistolis G, Malliaris A (2004) J Phys Chem B 108:2846
- 133. Yoshida ZI, Takekuma H, Takekuma SI, Matsubara Y (1994) Angew Chem Int Ed 33:1597
- 134. Buvari-Barcza A, Rohonczy J, Rozlosnik N, Gilanyi T, Szabo B, Lovas G, Braun T, Samu J, Barcza L (2001) J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2:191
- 135. Herrmann W, Wehrle S, Wenz G (1997) Chem Commun: 1709
- 136. Tan WH, Ishikura T, Maruta A, Yamamoto T, Matsui Y (1998) Bull Chem Soc Jpn 71:2323
- 137. Belosludov R, Hiwada T, Kawazoe Y, Ohno K, Yoshinari T, Ohnishi A, Nagasaka S-I (1999) J Solid State Chem 144:263

- 138. Schneider HJ, Sangwan NK (1987) Angew Chem 99:924
- 139. Sternbach DD, Rossana DM (1982) J Am Chem Soc 104:5853
- 140. Kim SP, Leach AG, Houk KN (2002) J Org Chem 67:4250
- 141. Liu Y, Li B, Wada T, Inoue Y (1999) Supramol Chem 10:173
- 142. CladrowaRunge S, Rizzi A (1997) J Chromatogr A 759:157
- 143. Liu Y, Yang EC, Yang YW, Zhang HY, Fan Z, Ding F, Cao R (2004) J Org Chem 69:173
- 144. Kitae T, Nakayama T, Kano K (1998) J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2:207
- 145. Rekharsky M, Yamamura H, Kawai M, Inoue Y (2001) J Am Chem Soc 123:5360
- 146. Rekharsky MV, Inoue Y (2002) J Am Chem Soc 124:813
- 147. Hacket F, Simova S, Schneider H (2001) J Phys Org Chem 14:159
- 148. Yamamura H, Rekharsky MV, Ishihara Y, Kawai M, Inoue Y (2004) J Am Chem Soc 126:14224
- 149. Kano K (1997) J Phys Org Chem 10:286
- 150. Armstrong DW, Demond W (1984) J Chromatogr Sci 22:411
- 151. Armstrong DW, Ward TJ, Armstrong RD, Beesley TE (1986) Science 232:1132
- 152. Konig WA, Lutz S, Wenz G (1988) Angew Chem Int Ed 27:979
- 153. Ehlers J, König WA, Lutz S, Wenz G, tom Dieck H (1988) Angew Chem Int E Engl 27:1556
- 154. Schurig V, Nowotny HP (1990) Angew Chem Int Ed 29:939
- 155. Fanali S (2000) J Chromatogr A 875:89
- 156. Li S, Purdy WC (1992) Chem Rev 92:1457
- 157. Hasegawa Y, Miyauchi M, Takashima Y, Yamaguchi H, Harada A (2005) Macromolecules 38:3724
- 158. Breslow R, Zhang B (1996) J Am Chem Soc 118:8495
- 159. Hu H-C, Liu Y, Zhang D-D, Wang L-F (1999) J Inclusion Phenom Macrocyc Chem 33:295
- 160. Ikeda T, Hirota E, Qoya T, Yui N (2001) Langmuir 17:234
- 161. Harata K (1981) Bioorg Chem 10:255
- 162. Lyon AP, Banton NJ, Macartney DH (1998) Can J Chem 76:843
- 163. Baer AJ, Macartney DH (2005) Org Biomol Chem 3:1448
- 164. Smith AC, Macartney DH (1998) J Org Chem 63:9243
- 165. Oshikiri T, Takashima Y, Yamaguchi H, Harada A (2007) Chem Eur J 13:7091
- 166. Baer AJ, Macartney DH (2007) Supramol Chem 19:537
- 167. Yamaguchi H, Oshikiri T, Harada A (2006) J Phys Condens Matter 18:S1809
- 168. Park JW, Song HJ, Cho YJ, Park KK (2007) J Phys Chem C 111:18605
- 169. Safarowsky O, Windisch B, Mohry A, Vögtle F (2000) J für Praktische Chemie-Pract Appl Appl Chem 342:437
- 170. Wilks ES (2003) Polym Prepr 4:1278
- 171. Nepogodiev SA, Stoddart JF (1998) J Am Chem Soc 98:1959
- 172. Craig MR, Claridge TDW, Hutchings MG, Anderson HL (1999) Chem Commun 1537
- 173. Park JS, Wilson JN, Hardcastle KI, Bunz UHF, Srinivasarao M (2006) J Am Chem Soc 128:7714
- 174. Mezzina E, Franchi P, Lucarini M (2007) J Inclusion Phenom Macrocyc Chem 57:179
- 175. Onagi H, Carrozzini B, Cascarano GL, Easton CJ, Edwards AJ, Lincoln SF, Rae AD (2003) Chem Eur J 9:5971
- 176. Wang Q-C, Ma X, Qu D-H, Tian H (2006) Chem Eur J 12:1088
- 177. Baer AJ, Macartney DH (2000) Inorg Chem 39:1410
- 178. Ogino H (1981) J Am Chem Soc 103:1303
- 179. Suzaki Y, Taira T, Osakada K (2006) Dalton Trans 5345
- 180. Sakamoto K, Takashima Y, Yamaguchi H, Harada A (2007) J Org Chem 72:459
- 181. Skinner PJ, Blair S, Kataky R, Parker D (2000) New J Chem 24:265
- 182. Ma X, Wang Q, Qu D, Xu Y, Ji F, Tian H (2007) Adv Funct Mater 17:829
- 183. Klotz EJF, Claridge TDW, Anderson HL (2006) J Am Chem Soc 128:15374
- 184. Qu D-H, Ji F-Y, Wang Q-C, Tian H (2006) Adv Mater 18:2035
- 185. Zhao Y-L, Dichtel WR, Trabolsi A, Saha S, Aprahamian I, Stoddart JF (2008) J Am Chem Soc 130:11294
- 186. Qu DH, Wang QC, Tian H (2005) Mol Cryst Liquid Cryst 430:59

- 187. Murakami H, Kawabuchi A, Kotoo K, Kunitake M, Nakashima N (1997) J Am Chem Soc 119:7605
- 188. Zheng PJ, Wang C, Hu X, Tam KC, Li L (2005) Macromolecules 38:2859
- 189. Weickenmeier M, Wenz G, Huff J (1997) Macromol Rapid Commun 18:1117
- 190. Weickenmeier M (1998) Dissertation, Karlsruhe, Germany
- 191. Harada A, Adachi H, Kawaguchi Y, Kamachi M, Harada (1997) Macromolecules 30:5181
- 192. Eisenhart EK, Merritt RF, Johnson EA (1991) EP0460896A2
- 193. Lau W, Shah VM (1994) EP614950A1
- 194. Tomatsu I, Hashidzume A, Harada A (2005) Macromol Rapid Commun 26:825
- 195. Beheshti N, Bu H, Zhu K, Kjoniksen A-L, Knudsen KD, Pamies R, Hernandez Cifre JG, Garcia de la Torre J, Nystroem B (2006) J Phys Chem B 110:6601
- 196. Kretschmann O, Steffens C, Ritter H (2007) Angew Chem Int Ed 46:2708
- 197. Tomatsu I, Hashidzume A, Harada A (2005) Macromolecules 38:5223
- 198. Amiel C, Sebille B (1996) J Inclusion Phenom Mol Recognit Chem 25:61
- 199. Amiel C, Sebille B (1997) Rev Inst Fr Pet 52:248
- 200. Amiel C, Sebille B (1999) Adv Colloid Interf Sci 79:105
- 201. Weickenmeier M, Wenz G, Huff J (1997) Macromol Rapid Commun 18:1117
- 202. Weickenmeier M (1998) Dissertation, University of Karlsruhe, Germany
- 203. Guo XH, Abdala AA, May BL, Lincoln SF, Khan SA, Prud'homme RK (2005) Macromolecules 38:3037
- 204. Takashima Y, Nakayama T, Miyauchi M, Kawaguchi Y, Yamaguchi H, Harada A (2004) Chem Lett 33:890
- 205. Bistri O, Mazeau K, Auzély-Velty R, Sollogoub M (2007) Chem Eur J 13:8847
- 206. Auzely-Velty R, Rinaudo M (2002) Macromolecules 35:7955
- 207. Lecourt T, Sinay P, Chassenieux C, Rinaudo M, Auzely-Velty R (2004) Macromolecules 37:4635
- 208. Li J (2009) Adv Polym Sci same volume, in press
- 209. Gref R, Amiel C, Molinard K, Daoud-Mahammed S, Sebille B, Gillet B, Beloeil J-C, Ringard C, Rosilio V, Poupaert J, Couvreur P (2006) J Control Release 111:316
- 210. Ravoo BJ, Jacquier J-C, Wenz G (2003) Angew Chem Int Ed 42:2066
- 211. Schönherr H, Beulen MWJ, Bügler J, Huskens J, van Veggel FCJM, Reinhoudt DN, Vancso GJ (2000) J Am Chem Soc 122:4963
- 212. Ludden MJW, Mulder A, Tampe R, Reinhoudt DN, Huskens J (2007) Angew Chem Int Ed 46:4104
- 213. Crespo-Biel O, Peter M, Bruinink CM, Ravoo BJ, Reinhoudt DN, Huskens J (2005) Chem Eur J 11:2426
- 214. Ludden MJW, Li X, Greve J, van Amerongen A, Escalante M, Subramaniam V, Reinhoudt DN, Huskens J (2008) J Am Chem Soc 130:6964
- 215. Ling XY, Reinhoudt DN, Huskens J (2008) Chem Mat 20:3574
- 216. Horsky J (2000) Macromol Theory Simul 9:759
- 217. Okumura H, Kawaguchi Y, Harada A (2001) Macromolecules 34:6338
- 218. Harada A, Kamachi M (1990) Macromolecules 23:2821
- 219. Harada A, Okada M, Kawaguchi Y (2005) Chem Lett 34:542
- 220. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1993) Macromolecules 26:5698
- 221. Harada A, Kamachi M (1994) Macromolecules 27:4538
- 222. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1994) Macromolecules 27:4538
- 223. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M, Kitagawa Y, Katsube Y (1998) Carbohydr Res 305:127
- 224. Harada A, Okada M, Kamachi M (1995) Acta Polym 46:453
- 225. Li JY, Yan DY (2001) Macromolecules 34:1542
- 226. Harada A (1997) Carbohydr Polym 34:183
- 227. Choi HS, Ooya T, Lee SC, Sasaki S, Kurisawa M, Uyama H, Yui N (2004) Macromolecules 37:6705
- 228. Shin K, Dong T, He Y, Taguchi Y, Oishi A, Nishida H, Inoue Y (2004) Macromol Biosci 4:1075
- 229. Dong T, Kai W, Pan P, Cao A, Inoue Y (2007) Macromolecules 40:7244

- 230. Harada A, Nishiyama T, Kawaguchi Y, Okada M, Kamachi M (1997) Macromolecules 30:7115
- 231. Huang L, Allen E, Tonelli AE (1999) Polymer 40:3211
- 232. Li J, Harada A, Kamachi M (1994) Bull Chem Soc Jpn 67:2808
- 233. Wenz G, Steinbrunn MB (1997) De19608354
- 234. Steinbrunn MB, Landfester K, Wenz G (1997) Tetrahedron 53:15575
- 235. Steinbrunn MB, Wenz G (1996) Angew Chem Int Ed 35:2139
- 236. Ikeda T, Lee WK, Ooya T, Yui N (2003) J Phys Chem B 107:14
- 237. Harada A, Kamachi M (1990) J Chem Soc Chem Commun 19:1322
- 238. Jiao H, Goh SH, Valiyaveettil S, Zheng JW (2003) Macromolecules 36:4241
- Kamitori S, Matsuzaka O, Kondo S, Muraoka S, Okuyama K, Noguchi K, Okada M, Harada A (2000) Macromolecules 33:1500
- 240. Harada A, Okumura H, Okada M, Kawaguchi Y (2000) Macromolecules 33:4297
- 241. Okumura H, Kawaguchi Y, Harada A (2003) Macromolecules 36:6422
- 242. Okumura H, Kawaguchi Y, Harada A (2002) Macromol Rapid Commun 23:781
- 243. Shimomura T, Yoshida K, Ito K, Hayakawa R (2000) Polym Adv Technol 11:837
- 244. Yoshida K-i, Shimomura T, Ito K, Hayakawa R (1999) Langmuir 15:910
- 245. Yamaguchi I, Kashiwagi K, Yamamoto T (2004) Macromol Rapid Commun 25:1163
- 246. van den Boogaard M, Bonnet G, Van't Hof P, Wang Y, Brochon C, van Hutten P, Lapp A, Hadziioannou G (2004) Chem Mater 16:4383
- 247. Belosludov RV, Farajian AA, Kikuchi Y, Mizuseki H, Kawazoe Y (2006) Comput Mater Sci 36:130
- 248. Harada A, Li J, Suzuki S, Kamachi M (1993) Macromolecules 26:5267
- 249. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1993) Chem Lett:237
- 250. Uyar T, Rusa M, Tonelli AE (2004) Macromol Rapid Commun 25:1382
- 251. Uyar T, Gracz HS, Rusa M, Shin ID, El-Shafei A, Tonelli AE (2006) Polymer 47:6948
- 252. Martinez G, Gomez MA, Villar-Rodil S, Garrido L, Tonelli AE, Balik CM (2007) J Polym Sci A Polym Chem 45:2503
- 253. Sanji T, Kato M, Tanaka M (2005) Macromolecules 38:4034
- 254. Rusa M, Wang X, Tonelli AE (2004) Macromolecules 37:6898
- 255. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1994) Nature 370:126
- 256. Panova IG, Gerasimov VI, Kalashnikov FA, Topchieva IN (1998) Vysokomolekulyarnye Soedineniya Seriya A Seriya B 40:2077
- 257. Li J, Chen B, Wang X, Goh SH (2004) Polymer 45:1777
- 258. Li J, Ni XP, Zhou ZH, Leong KW (2003) J Am Chem Soc 125:1788
- 259. Ooya T, Ito A, Yui N (2005) Macromol Biosci 5:379
- 260. Li X, Li J, Leong KW (2003) Macromolecules 36:1209
- 261. Huang J, Ren L, Zhu H, Chen Y (2006) Macromol Chem Phys 207:1764
- 262. Shuai XT, Porbeni FE, Wei M, Bullions T, Tonelli AE (2002) Macromolecules 35:2401
- 263. Shuai XT, Probeni FE, Wei M, Bullions T, Tonelli AE (2002) Macromolecules 35:3778
- 264. Ohya Y, Takamido S, Nagahama K, Ouchi T, Ooya T, Katoono R, Yui N (2007) Macro-molecules 40:6441
- 265. Kinbara K, Aida T (2005) Chem Rev 105:1377
- 266. Balzani V, Credi A, Raymo FM, Stoddart JF (2000) Angew Chem Int Ed 39:3349
- 267. Rusa CC, Fox J, Tonelli AE (2003) Macromolecules 36:2742
- 268. Choi HS, Ooya T, Yui N (2006) Macromol Biosci 6:420
- 269. Choi HS, Hirasawa A, Ooya T, Kajihara D, Hohsaka T, Yui N (2006) Chem Phys Chem 7:1671
- 270. Wenz G, Keller B (1992) Angew Chem Int Ed 31:197
- 271. Keller B (1994), Dissertation, University of Mainz, Germany
- 272. Wenz G, Keller B (1992) Angew Chem Int Ed 31:197
- 273. Wenz G, Gruber C, Keller B, Schilli C, Albuzat T, Mueller A (2006) Macromolecules 39:8021
- 274. Gruber C (2002), Dissertation, University of Karlsruhe, Germany
- 275. Herrmann W, Schneider M, Wenz G (1997) Angew Chem Int Ed 36:2511
- 276. Herrmann W (1997) Dissertation, Universität Karlsruhe, Germany

- 277. Baudendistel N (2001) Diploma Thesis, University of Karlsruhe, Germany
- 278. Herrmann W, Keller B, Wenz G (1997) Macromolecules 30:4966
- 279. Meier LP, Heule M, Caseri WR, Shelden RA, Suter UW, Wenz G, Keller B (1996) Macromolecules 29:718
- 280. Krauter I, Herrmann W, Wenz G (1996) J Inclusion Phenom Mol Recog Chem 25:93
- 281. Kelch S, Caseri WR, Shelden RA, Suter UW, Wenz G, Keller B (2000) Langmuir 16:5311
- 282. Wenz G, Keller B (1994) Macromol Symp 87:11
- 283. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1992) Nature 356:325
- 284. Harada A, Li J, Nakamitsu T, Kamachi M (1993) J Org Chem 58:7524
- 285. Harada A (1996) Supramol Sci 3:19
- 286. Zhao TJ, Beckham HW (2003) Macromolecules 36:9859
- 287. Araki J, Zhao C, Ito K (2005) Macromolecules 38:7524
- 288. Loethen S, Ooya T, Choi HS, Yui N, Thompson DH (2006) Biomacromolecules 7:2501
- 289. Arai T, Takata T (2007) Chem Lett 36:418
- 290. Fujita H, Ooya T, Kurisawa M, Mori H, Terano M, Yui N (1996) Macromol Rapid Commun 17:509
- 291. Fujita H, Ooya T, Yui N (1999) Macromol Chem Phys 200:706
- 292. Marangoci N, Farcas A, Pinteala M, Harabagiu V, Simionescu BC, Sukhanova T, Bronnikov S, Grigoryev A, Gubanova G, Perminova M, Perichaud A (2008) High Perform Polym 20:251
- 293. Ooya T, Yui N (1998) Macromol Chem Phys 199:2311
- 294. Fujita H, Ooya T, Yui N (1999) Macromolecules 32:2534
- 295. Belitsky JM, Nelson A, Hernandez JD, Baum LG, Stoddart JF (2007) Chem Biol 14:1140
- 296. Nelson A, Belitsky JM, Vidal S, Joiner CS, Baum LG, Stoddart JF (2004) J Am Chem Soc 126:11914
- 297. Nelson A, Stoddart JF (2003) Org Lett 5:3783

Functional Cyclodextrin Polyrotaxanes for Drug Delivery

Nobuhiko Yui, Ryo Katoono, and Atsushi Yamashita

Dedicated to Professor Naoya Ogata on the occasion of his 77th birthday (Kiju)

Abstract The mobility of cyclodextrins (CDs) threaded onto a linear polymeric chain and the dethreading of the CDs from the chain are the most fascinating features seen in polyrotaxanes. These structural characteristics are very promising for their possible applications in drug delivery. Enhanced multivalent interaction between ligand–receptor systems by using ligand–conjugated polyrotaxanes would be just one of the excellent properties related to the CD mobility. Gene delivery using cytocleavable polyrotaxanes is a more practical but highly crucial issue in drug delivery. Complexation of the polyrotaxanes with DNA and its intracellular DNA release ingeniously utilizes both CD mobility and polyrotaxane dissociation to achieve effective gene delivery. Such a supramolecular approach using CD-containing polyrotaxanes is expected to exploit a new paradigm of biomaterials.

Keywords CD mobility, Gene delivery, Multivalent interaction, Saccharide/protein interaction, Supramolecular dissociation

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preparation and Properties of Polyrotaxanes for Biomedical Use
- 3 Enhancing Multivalent Ligand–Receptor Interactions Using Polyrotaxanes

N. Yui (🖂) and R. Katoono

JST CREST, 5 Sanbancho, Chiyoda, Tokyo 102-0075, Japan

e-mail: yui@jaist.ac.jp, katoono@jaist.ac.jp

A. Yamashita

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2009

School of Materials Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Asahidai, Nomi, Ishikawa 923-1292, Japan and

School of Materials Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Asahidai, Nomi, Ishikawa 923-1292, Japan e-mail: a-yamata@jaist.ac.jp

- 4 Inhibitory Effect of Ligand-Conjugated Polyrotaxanes on Intestinal Transports
- 5 Designing Cytocleavable Polyrotaxanes for Intracellular Gene Delivery
- 6 Future Aspects
- 7 Conclusions

References

1 Introduction

One of the structural features seen in polyrotaxanes is the absence of any covalent binding between cyclic compounds and a linear polymeric chain capped with bulky end-groups at both terminals [1]. It looks like a necklace: the cyclic compounds are mechanically locked by the linear polymeric chain. The cyclic compounds in a polyrotaxane can slide and/or rotate along the axial polymeric chain if the polyrotaxane is soluble in a certain solvent. Furthermore, such mechanical locking between the cyclic compounds and the linear polymeric chain will be opened once one of the terminal bulky end-groups is cleaved by any external conditions. These characteristics are only observable in and specific to polyrotaxanes and are never seen in conventional polymeric architectures (Fig. 1).

The first report on preparing a polymeric inclusion complex (polypseudorotaxane) using cyclodextrin (CD) was published by Ogata and his coworkers in 1976 [2]. They prepared several inclusion complexes consisting of an aromatic or aliphatic diamine with β -CD and then applied the complex to polycondensation reactions with an acid chloride such as isophthaloyl and terephthaloyl dichloride to prepare CDincluded polyamides. In 1990, Harada and Kamachi reported a very straightforward method to prepare an inclusion complex (pseudopolyrotaxane) between α -CD and a linear polymeric chain such as poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) of various molecular weights in aqueous conditions [3]. They found that one can obtain pseudopolyrotaxanes as a precipitate within a short period of time if the saturated aqueous solution of α -CD is directly mixed with aqueous solutions of PEG at room temperature. This unexpected finding led to explore quite a new paradigm of the world of polyrotaxane as functional polymers. At almost the same period of time, Wenz and his coworker reported the first polyrotaxane synthesis from α -CD and a polyamine [4]. Harada and Kamachi have analyzed not only the formation of a variety of pseudopolyrotaxanes, but also the preparation of polyrotaxanes and their related architectures, and their significant efforts contributed much to the progress in understanding polyrotaxanes using CDs [5].

From the viewpoint of biomaterials which are to be utilized in contact with a living body, the combination of α -CD and PEG as building-blocks allowed us to envision the novel design of biologically inert and/or biodegradable polymers. CDs have been approved by the FDA as food additives and as drug formulations, and PEG is a worldwide-used water-soluble polymer for conjugating biologically active agents such as drugs and proteins. It is thus easily established that biodegradable polyrotaxanes consisting of α -CD molecules and a PEG chain capped with bulky end-groups via biodegradable linkages have much potential in drug delivery.

Functional Cyclodextrin Polyrotaxanes for Drug Delivery



Fig. 1 Images of the mobility of mechanically interlocked cyclic compounds and stimuli responsive dissociation of the polyrotaxanes

Supramolecular dissociation of the polyrotaxanes into constituent molecules such as α -CD and PEG was quite a new image as a mode of biodegradation in a living body. This insight was the first step to initiate our studies on polyrotaxanes as biomaterials 15 years ago [6].

In general, the most important strategy when initiating the design of materials using polyrotaxanes lies in the effectiveness of such a supramolecular structure regarding their functionality. If the functionality of the polyrotaxanes were already achieved in conventional materials, the use of polyrotaxanes would of course not make any sense. Quite a new functionality which has never been achieved in previous studies should be thoroughly considered as it is strongly required for initiating and proposing a new concept. From this point of view, it should be noted that the characteristics of polyrotaxanes depicted in Fig. 1 are so fascinating that they create a paradigm shift in materials science [7]. Of course, one should thoroughly consider the following three issues when initiating the design of materials: (1) the strategy

of designing the functionality of biomaterials, (2) the tactics of preparing new architectures which fit the design concept, and (3) the logistics of cost performance and feasibility. When taking these issues into account, polyrotaxanes will constitute one of the greatest challenges to achieving far-reaching applications in the future. From these perspectives, the fact that polyrotaxanes have a lot of supramolecular characteristics including the mobility of cyclic compounds threaded onto a linear polymeric chain and the perfect dissociation at specific sites in a living body seems to constitute a sophisticated paradigm.

This chapter deals with CD-based functional polyrotaxanes for drug delivery, our main achievements over the last decade. First, we present an overview of the perspectives of polyrotaxane preparations for biomaterials applications. Then we highlight recent topics of our studies on drug delivery using CD-based polyrotaxanes. In particular, we describe a concept for enhancing multivalent interaction of a ligand-mobile polyrotaxane with receptor proteins. This issue is significantly related to receptor-mediated drug delivery and to modulation of cellular and tissue metabolism. The efficiency of such ligand-conjugated polyrotaxanes is also demonstrated in the design of inhibitors which are recognized by intestinal transporters in mammalian tissues but neither absorbed into the tissue nor exhibiting any toxicity. Finally, in order to expand this concept to more practical applications, we also introduce our studies on cytocleavable polyrotaxanes for gene delivery as an ultimate modern therapy. The dynamic motion of polyrotaxanes would contribute significantly to forming a polyplex with DNA to be delivered into target cells, and dissociating the polyrotaxane structure in intracellular environments is an effective way to release DNA for transfection at the nucleus.

2 Preparation and Properties of Polyrotaxanes for Biomedical Use

A large number of methods for the preparation of polyrotaxanes using CDs have been made available, and reported elsewhere [8,9]. In particular, one of the common strategies to prepare polyrotaxanes has been the capping of both terminals of pseudopolyrotaxanes with bulky groups, since Harada and Kamachi reported a unique preparation method of pseudopolyrotaxanes and polyrotaxanes [3, 5]. In order to design polyrotaxanes for medical and pharmaceutical applications, special attention should be paid to their biocompatibility as well as to their nontoxicity. For instance, assuming that polyrotaxanes will be used as a drug carrier, they should be watersoluble as well as functional for drug conjugation. If their molecular weight is higher than 10,000, in order to be excreted from urine, their digestion or degradation in a living body may be considered. Of course, biological inertness during their usage is also one of the necessary conditions to perform their functionality in contact with a living body. In this section, we describe a common preparation of polyrotaxanes for use in a living body by capping pseudopolyrotaxanes with amino acid derivatives as bulky end-groups via a condensation reaction, and a chemical modification of CD Functional Cyclodextrin Polyrotaxanes for Drug Delivery



Fig. 2 Synthetic scheme of polyrotaxanes, in which α -CDs are threaded onto a PEG chain capped with Z-L-Tyr

molecules in polyrotaxanes for improving water-solubility and/or for functionalizing by conjugation of biologically-active agents.

Basically, the preparation of polyrotaxanes for biological applications consists of the following two steps: the preparation of a pseudopolyrotaxane by mixing α -CD and PEG-bis(amine) (PEG-BA) in water, and a subsequent capping reaction with amino acid derivatives such as *N*-benzyloxycarbonyl-L-tyrosine (Z-Tyr) (Fig. 2). In the first step, of course, pseudopolyrotaxanes consisting of α -CD and PEG–BA are commonly prepared according to the method reported by Harada and his coworkers as mentioned above. In the second step, Z-Tyr is allowed to react with the terminal amino groups of PEG–BA in pseudopolyrotaxanes by using a variety of suitable condensing agents in DMF or MeOH. When biologically labile linkages such as disulfide bond are introduced into the polyrotaxane, an SS-introduced PEG–BA is employed in place of PEG–BA mentioned in the above protocol.

Purification of the polyrotaxanes is one of the important aspects in preparing biomaterials. In the course of the polyrotaxane preparations mentioned above, contamination from unthreaded CD and other chemicals is highly problematic and is to be completely removed. Usually, purification of polyrotaxanes by reprecipitation and dialysis in DMSO and water is very promising in removing these undesirable contaminants. Also, GPC measurements in DMSO or in suitable aqueous solutions are helpful to verify the purification of polyrotaxanes. Finally, chemical composition such as the number of threading CD molecules in the obtained polyrotaxane is calculated from the ratio of peak integrations for both C(1) protons in α -CD around 4.8 ppm and methylene protons in PEG around 3.5 ppm in the NMR spectrum measured in D₂O/NaOD, as shown later (Fig. 14).

Water solubility of polyrotaxanes is a critical issue when considering their medical and pharmaceutical applications, such as drug delivery. In general, polyrotaxanes prepared following the above-mentioned methods are poorly soluble in water, illustrated by the fact that the insolubility of pseudopolyrotaxanes in water enabled their isolation with ease. In order to endow intact polyrotaxanes with water solubility, a variation of the chemical modifications which have been previously reported for CD chemistry [10] is applicable. In particular, charged functional groups such as amino and carboxyl groups can be introduced at the hydroxyl groups of CDs through suitable spacers, since such chemical modifications are useful not only for improving water solubility but also for further functionalizing polyrotaxanes with biologically-active moieties in the following step. For the introduction of carboxyl groups, CD-containing polyrotaxanes are allowed to react with dicarboxylic acid anhydrides such as succinic anhydride in pyridine. A variety of biologically active agents can be introduced at these groups in the polyrotaxanes via condensation reactions. The dialysis against water, GPC and NMR measurements in water are conventional steps to ascertain purification and chemical compositions. These protocols are depicted in Fig. 3.

3 Enhancing Multivalent Ligand–Receptor Interactions Using Polyrotaxanes

Control of the binding of biologically active agents or ligands to receptor sites of proteins on the plasma membranes of cells is a crucial factor for modulating receptor-mediated cellular metabolism as well as endocytosis for drug delivery. One of the important aspects in this event is how effectively and specifically the binding on membrane proteins using very low quantities of the agents or ligands can be achieved. In this perspective, a "multivalent interaction" using a functional polymer has been proposed and extensively studied over the last decades [11]. The term of multivalency is defined as a way to bind simultaneously multiple copies of ligands with receptor sites of proteins. This approach is believed to be promising for enhancing the binding constant of ligand–receptor interaction, and is expected to exploit significant improvements of such applications as targeting drugs, drugmediated drug delivery and tissue regenerations (Fig. 4).





Fig. 3 Synthetic scheme of synthesis of functional polyrotaxanes, in which carboxyl groups were introduced into hydroxyl groups in CDs

A variety of functional polymers has been designed and demonstrated to be a tool of multivalent ligand-immobilized polymers. However, the binding constant using such polymers was not as enhanced as expected. Such unsatisfying results using a functional polymer were mainly attributed to a spatial mismatch between the
N. Yui et al.



Fig. 4 Mobile cyclic compounds enhance molecular recognition. Cyclic compounds can rotate and/or slide along a polymeric chain in the structure of polyrotaxanes, and the mobility of ligands linked by the cyclic compounds play a key role in enhancing multivalent interaction with biomacromolecules. This concept can be used in sugar recognition and plasmid DNA polyplex formation [7]

ligand–polymers and receptor sites of proteins. Increasing the number of ligands in the polymer eventually causes an excessively large density of ligands, and this excess density is thermodynamically unfavorable to multivalent interactions between the ligands and receptors [12, 13].

From these perspectives, we tried to enhance the multivalent interactions using polyrotaxanes. As mentioned above, the most striking features observed in polyrotaxanes is the freely mobile nature of cyclic compounds threaded onto a linear polymeric chain capped with bulky end-groups. Thus, we believe that polyrotaxanes are advantageous in deriving thermodynamic benefits for enhancing multivalent interaction with biological systems. Freely mobile ligands conjugated to the cyclic compounds in polyrotaxanes would effectively bind to receptor proteins in a multivalent manner, which is based on the enthalpic gain due to enhanced opportunity of the binding for increasing internal energy of the bond molecules via their excellent mobility close to low molecular-weight compounds (Fig. 5 a,ba). Although the multivalent event is entropically unfavorable, the enthalpic gain would overcompensate it in comparison with conventional ligand–polymer conjugates (Fig. 5 a,bb).

In order to prove our hypothesis, we examined the interaction of ligandimmobilized polyrotaxanes with receptor proteins [14–17]. For instance, we studied the multivalent interaction of saccharide–conjugated polyrotaxanes with a lectin, a model receptor protein. A series of the maltose–conjugated polyrotaxanes with



Fig. 5 a,b The effects of the mobile motion of the cyclic compounds in polyrotaxanes on binding receptor proteins in a multivalent manner: Image of binding/dissociating equilibrium **a** between a ligand–polyrotaxane conjugate and receptor sites, and **b** between a ligand–immobilized-polymer and receptors [7]

different numbers of threading α -CD molecules (50, 85, and 120) was prepared from an inclusion complex consisting of α -CD and an α , ω -diamino-PEG with an average molecular weight of 20,000. Here, approximately 220 molecules of α -CD can be theoretically threaded onto this PEG chain, assuming that two repeating units of ethylene glycols are included into the cavity of an α -CD molecule. At first, polyrotaxanes with different number of threading α -CDs were prepared by capping both amino groups in the inclusion complex with Z-protected Ltyrosine in the presence of benzotriazol-1-yloxytris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophospate (BOP reagent), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt), and *N*,*N*diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) in DMSO/DMF, and were then allowed to react with succinic anhydride, resulting in carboxypropanoyl-modified polyrotaxanes (C-PRxs). Then, β -maltosylamine was conjugated with the carboxyl groups of C-PRxs in the presence of BOP reagent, HOBt, and DIEA. In these polyrotaxanes, the number of maltose groups conjugated to α -CD molecules was varied to some extent.¹

¹ The number of maltose groups was not determined exactly due to the lack of attention to some issues about the purification and assignment.



Fig. 6 Chemical structure of maltose–polyrotaxane conjugates consisting of α -CDs, PEG, benzyloxycarbonyl–tyrosine and maltose (Mal- α /E20-TYRZs, 1–3), maltose- α -CD (4), and maltose-poly(acrylic acid) (5) conjugates [14]

The interaction of maltose–conjugated polyrotaxanes with their receptor proteins was evaluated by estimating the inhibitory effect of the polyrotaxanes on Concanavalin A-induced hemagglutination of red blood cells (Fig. 6). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the maltose unit was determined as a measure of the relative potency vs the lectin. The maltose–conjugated polyrotaxanes were found to exhibit a much stronger inhibitory effect than maltose itself, up to 3,000 times larger. We also found that maltose-conjugated poly(acrylic acid)s have a much smaller inhibitory effect than the polyrotaxane conjugates. These results highlight the remarkable effect of polyrotaxanes on ligand–receptor multivalent interactions.

These results suggest the importance of structural aspects of polyrotaxanes, such as the mobility of α -CD molecules along the PEG chain including sliding or rotational motion, play a crucial role in binding of Con A. Indeed, we examined NMR measurements of the spin-lattice relaxation time (T_1) and the spin-spin relaxation time (T_2) for C(1) protons of α -CD, maltosyl C(1) and PEG methylene protons in maltose-polyrotaxane conjugates, which reveal that the mobility of α -CD in the polyrotaxane governs the molecular motion of maltosyl groups in α -CD molecules in polyrotaxane.

It is worth mentioning that the inhibitory effect of maltose-polyrotaxane conjugates on Con A-induced hemagglutination was found to be closely related to the T_2 values of maltosyl groups (Fig. 7). The T_2 value of maltosyl C(1) proton in the polyrotaxane exhibiting the greatest potency was almost the same as that in maltose–conjugated α -CD. This finding strongly supports our suggestion that the high mobility of maltose ligands on α -CD threaded onto the PEG chain contributes significantly to enhancing the multivalent binding with Con A. The T_2 of methylene



Fig. 7 Relationship between the T_2 value of maltosyl C(1) protons in the polyrotaxane and the relative potency of Con-A-induced hemagglutination inhibition based on the MIC of the maltose unit

protons in the PEG chain tends to become longer with a decrease of the number of α -CD molecules in polyrotaxanes, suggesting that the PEG chain is more flexible in the regions where their ethylene glycol units are exposed to the aqueous medium.

These findings suggest that the mechanically threaded structure of polyrotaxanes with controlled number of threading α -CD molecules can have favorable thermodynamic effects on multivalent interactions. Finally, we have established the concept that the combination of multiple copies of ligands and their supramolecular mobility along the mechanically threaded polyrotaxane structure should contribute to the novel design of polymeric architectures aiming at enhanced multivalent interactions.

Alternatively, Stoddart and his coworkers prepared water-soluble pseudopolyrotaxanes consisting of lactose-appended α -/ β -CDs threaded onto hydrophobic polymers such as poly(tetrahydro)pyrane and poly(propylene)glycol, respectively [18], and subsequently examined the binding of these self-assembled pseudopolyrotaxanes with lectins [19]. In their studies, they demonstrated great enhancement on multivalent interaction between lactose and lectin by using the lactose–conjugated pseudopolyrotaxanes, and suggested a flexible and dynamic ligand, including highly mobile ligands as a result of the CD rotating about the polymer chain, creating a new dimension for the study of protein–carbohydrate interactions.

4 Inhibitory Effect of Ligand–Conjugated Polyrotaxanes on Intestinal Transports

One of the possible applications directly related to multivalent ligand–receptor interactions will be the design of polymeric inhibitors which specifically bind receptors existing on cellular membranes to inhibit the uptake of biological substrates via the receptors. For example, there are many kinds of intestinal membrane transporters for the specific uptake of digested food (proteins and carbohydrates) as well as drugs such as antibiotics. The restriction of these uptakes is strongly required for patients suffering from chronic renal diseases. In this sense, it is useful, for improving their quality of life, to achieve temporal inhibition of the uptake using an inhibitor. In particular, we note that such an inhibitor should be specifically recognized by a transporter without being absorbed to preclude kidney damage. From these points of view, it is obvious that ligand–conjugated polyrotaxane can be advantageous as a multivalent ligand–conjugated polymer.

First we prepared dipeptide-conjugated polyrotaxanes and studied their inhibitory effect on digested peptide uptake by intestinal human peptide transporter (hPEPT1) [20]. Here, Val–Lys as a dipeptide was conjugated to α-CD threaded onto a PEG 4,000 in polyrotaxanes, and we examined the inhibitory effect of the polyrotaxanes on the uptake of a model dipeptide via hPEPT1 using hPEPT1-expressing HeLa cells. The uptake of the model dipeptide was significantly inhibited by the polyrotaxanes, and the inhibition was much greater than dipeptide-conjugated reference samples such as dextran and α -CD. Also, we found that the effect of the polyrotaxanes was significantly enhanced by preincubation with hPEPT1expressing cells (30 min before adding the model dipeptide), although the inhibitory effect of dipeptide–conjugated α -CD on the uptake was reduced by the preincubation. These results suggest that the supramolecular structure of the polyrotaxanes contributes to inhibiting the uptake via hPEPT1 in a multivalent manner. Furthermore, we confirmed that the inhibitory effect is dependent upon the molecular weight of the PEG chain in the polyrotaxanes when the average molecular weight of PEG was changed between 4,000 and 100,000. At the same concentration of dipeptide, the highest molecular weight of PEG (M_n : 100,000) showed the best inhibitory effect. After selecting the optimum molecular weight, dependence of hPEPT1 expression was also examined by changing the amount of the expressed hPEPT1 (10, 20, 60µg per 15-cm dish). When the amount of expressed hPEPT1 on HeLa cell surface was the highest (60µg per 15-cm dish), the dipeptide-conjugated polyrotaxane was found to exhibit the greatest inhibitory effect on the uptake of [³H]Gly–Sar. This result suggests that high expression level of hPEPT1 was essential for inducing multivalent interaction [21].

In *vivo* performance of biomaterials after in vitro studies is one of the important steps for proving their applicability. When we applied the dipeptide–conjugated polyrotaxanes to an in *vivo* model using mice, the result was not as striking as expected. We considered this failure is due to insufficient blockage of the binding sites of the hPEPT1 located on the intestinal membrane: it is not so easy to occupy fully all the binding sites of the transporter with dipeptide molecules conjugated to the polyrotaxane. It is also well known that the activity of hPEPT1 is governed by proton concentration at the membrane. In order to maintain the binding with hPEPT1 via the multivalent interaction and then decrease the surrounding proton concentration on the intestinal membrane to complete the inhibition of the uptake, a polyrotaxane conjugated with dipeptide as well as sodium carboxlylate was prepared as a revised sample. This polyrotaxane was found to decrease the pH of the intestinal tract significantly and to cause the inhibition of the dipeptide uptake in mice [21]. Functional Cyclodextrin Polyrotaxanes for Drug Delivery

Presumably, the polyrotaxane can bind the intestinal membranes via multivalent interaction with hPEPT1 and effectively contribute to decreasing the local pH on the membrane, resulting in a significant inhibition of the uptake in mice. These results clearly indicate the efficacy of the ligand–conjugated polyrotaxanes as an inhibitor in intestinal uptake in *vivo*.

Furthermore, we prepared 2-(N,N-dimethylamino)ethylcarbamoyl (DMAEC)polyrotaxanes and examined their inhibitory effect on the uptake of cations via carnitine/organic cation transporter, OCTN2, existing on the intestinal membrane and in tissues [22]. The DMAEC-polyrotaxanes were prepared by treating polyrotaxane with carbonyldiimidazole (CDI) in DMSO, followed by condensation with N,N-dimethylethylenediamine. The inhibitory effect was evaluated in terms of the uptake of L-carnitine in OCTN2-transfected HEK293/PDZK1 cells. The DMAECpolyrotaxanes prepared from a PEG 20,000 were found to inhibit completely the uptake, although DMAEC-CD, the constituent molecule, and the polyrotaxanes with a shorter PEG 4,000 showed far less inhibition (Fig. 8). This result indicates that DMAEC groups along the polyrotaxanes are actually recognized simultaneously with multiple copies of OCTN2 on the cell surfaces, resulting in the complete inhibition of L-carnitine uptake.

Another interesting feature seen in the cationic polyrotaxanes in this study is their very low cytotoxicity. In general, cationic polymers such as polyarylamine (PAAm, Nitoo Boseki Co. Ltd., Japan; $-(CH_2CH(CH_2NH_2))_n$ -) have been known to show high cytotoxicity when applied to native tissues and cells, due to nonspecific interaction with plasma proteins, sometimes leading to intracellular



Fig. 8 Inhibitory effects of cationic polyrotaxanes (61DMAEC-16 α /E4, 75DMAEC-15 α /E20, 240DMAEC-50 α /E20) on OCTN₂-mediated carnitine uptake in HEK293/PDZK₁ cells: HEK293/PDZK₁ cells were transiently transfected with YFP-OCTN₂, and the uptake of L-[³H]carnitine was measured for 3 min in the presence of each compound [22]



Fig. 9 Viability of HEK293/PDZK₁ cells in the presence of cationic polyrotaxanes (61DMAEC-16 α /E4, 75DMAEC-15 α /E20, 240DMAEC-50 α /E20) and of cationic polymer (PAAm25,000) assessed by MTT assay. *Statistically significant difference from the control (p < 0.05)

damage, including mitochondrial energy transfer. Indeed, the PAAm inhibited the uptake markedly, but showed very high cytotoxicity in terms of the MTT assay. The MTT assay used here measures the reduction of a tetrazolium compound (MTT) to an insoluble formazan product by the mitochondria of living cells [23]. Thus, this result suggests that the inhibition observed for PAAm is not due to the specific binding with OCTN2 but to a nonspecific binding with plasma proteins, resulting in undesirable cytotoxicity through mitochondrial metabolism. However, our designed DMAEC-polyrotaxanes showed less cytotoxicity in term of the MTT assay, and the cell viability was almost the same as that of a control (Fig. 9). Presumably, the lower density of DMAEC groups along the polyrotaxane structure is considered to prevent intracellular uptake (endocytosis) of the polyrotaxane accompanied by electrostatic interactions with plasma proteins. The mobility as well as low density of DMAEC groups along the polyrotaxane structure appears to prevent cytotoxicity. These results strongly suggest that the cationic polyrotaxanes which we designed are promising candidates for an effective inhibition of cation transport via OCTN2 with less cytotoxicity.

5 Designing Cytocleavable Polyrotaxanes for Intracellular Gene Delivery

In order to expand our concept of "mobile" ligands seen in polyrotaxanes to more practical applications, we have focused on the design of cytocleavable polyrotaxanes as a nonviral gene carrier. Gene delivery using polycations is one of the greatest





Fig. 10 Images of differences in intracellular trafficking and pDNA release timing

challenges for inventing nonviral gene carrier systems instead of toxic virus-based vector systems [24–26]. Polycations have been widely believed to form a polyion complex (polyplex) with anionic DNA to deliver it to target cells via endocytosis, eventually leading to the nucleus. However, several difficulties have arisen in this strategy: how, when, and where the DNA polyplexes must be dissociated to deliver and release the DNA (Fig. 10), in particular for the polyplex to escape from endoso-mal/lysosomal digestion to release the DNA into the cytoplasm, and finally to reach the nucleus through the nucleus membrane. The cytotoxicity of polycations has also been seriously pointed out during this research. For instance, high molecular-weight polycations such as polyethyleneimine (PEI) have been studied as nonviral gene vectors that effectively form a polyplex with plasmid DNA (pDNA), whereas low molecular-weight polycations are very advantageous in terms of pDNA release from the polyplex as well as of a low cytotoxicity [27].

In order to solve such a controversy, the introduction of biodegradable moieties into polycations to dissociate the polyplex has been recently proposed elsewhere [28–31]. For example, introducing many disulfide (SS) linkages into the main chain of polycations has been reported as a key for controlling intracellular gene delivery, because the pDNA polyplex is dissociated in the cytoplasm through the cleavage of disulfide linkages. However, excess amount of the disulfide linkers can induce the over stabilization of polyplex. Kissel and his coworkers reported that the transfection activity decreases with an increase in the SS crosslinking degree in a polyplex, due to decreasing the amount of released DNA [32].

From these perspectives, we designed a cytocleavable polyrotaxane that has a necklace-like structure between many α -CDs with attached cationic groups and



Fig. 11 Polyplex formation and pDNA release accompanied by the supramolecular dissociation of DMAEC-SS-polyrotaxanes

an SS-terminated PEG chain [33, 34]. Here, DMAEC- α -CDs are threaded onto a PEG chain ($M_n = 4,000$) capped with Z-Tyr via SS linkages that exist only at both terminals of the PEG chain (DMAEC-SS-PRX). Also, we expect that the polyrotaxane shows sufficient cleavage of SS linkages under reducible conditions. The SS cleavage will lead to triggering pDNA release via the dissociation of the noncovalent linkages between α -CDs and PEG, looking like a necklace broken into pieces (Fig. 11).

In our design of polyrotaxanes here, the respective numbers of threaded α -CDs and DMAEC groups per polyrotaxane were estimated to be around 23 and 40 for DMAEC-SS-PRx, 30 and 40 for DMAEC-PRx from their ¹H-NMR spectra. The complexation of DMAEC-(SS)-PRXs with pDNA was compared with that of a linear PEI with a M_n of 22,000 (LPEI22k) in terms of gel electrophoresis. A band for free pDNA in the electrophoresis was disappeared upon complexation with a smaller quantity of amino groups in the polyplex with the polyrotaxane than with the LPEI.

In vitro pDNA dissociation experiments in the presence of 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) as a reducing agent confirmed that pDNA is perfectly released from the DMAEC-SS-PRX polyplex in the presence of a counter polyanion (Dextran sulfate, $M_n = 25,000$). However, the polyplex of DMAEC-PRX, which has no SS linkages, is stable in the same conditions. Since the DMAEC- α -CD release was confirmed in the same reducible condition by GPC, we consider that the SS cleavage under the reducible condition led to the dissociation of the polyplex via PEG dethreading from DMAEC- α -CD cavities, and an interexchange with polyanions caused the pDNA release. The intracellular trafficking was measured through a quantitative threedimensional analysis using a confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) technique. It is surprising that the DMAEC-SS-PRX polyplex (the N/P ratio was around 5) completely escaped from the endosome and/or the lysosome 90 min after the transfection. We note that ca. 30% of the pDNA cluster was found in the nucleus, as it was clearly shown by the CLSM image. In the case of the LPEI22k polyplex, the pDNA cluster was not located in the nucleus, and ca. 30% of the pDNA was still located in the endosome and/or the lysosome for the same incubation time. Therefore, the high localization of the pDNA cluster in the nucleus is due to rapid endosomal escape of the DMAEC-SS-PRX polyplex.

Furthermore, the DMAEC-SS-PRX polyplex affects the transfection of pDNA using NIH3T3 cells. The transfection of the DMAEC-SS-PRX polyplex is likely to be independent of the N/P ratio, whereas that of the LPEI polyplex is apparently dependent on this ratio. These results suggest that the transfection of the DMAEC-SS-PRX polyplex is independent of the amount of free polycations. It is obvious that the SS cleavage played a key role in the gene expression, since the transfection of the DMAEC-SS-PRX polyplex was much higher than that of the DMAEC-PRX (Fig. 12). Also, we found that the DMAEC-SS-PRX exhibited low cytotoxicity independently of the N/P ratio, whereas the LPEI showed a higher cytotoxicity with an increase in the N/P ratio (Fig. 13). This result indicates that the supramolecular weights can contribute to the elimination of the cytotoxicity that is usually observed for high molecular weight polycations.



Fig. 12 Transfection activities of the DMAEC-SS-polyrotaxanes, DMAEC-polyrotaxanes, and the LPEI22k at different N/P ratios in NIH/3T3 cells. Luciferase activity in the NIH/3T3 cells was measured at 48 h after the addition of the polyplexes. Results were expressed as relative lights units (RLU) per mg of cell protein

N. Yui et al.



Fig. 13 Cells viability of the DMAEC-SS-polyrotaxanes, DMAEC-polyrotaxanes and LPEI22k at different N/P ratios measured via the MTT assay

The finding as observed above is likely due to the mobile motion of α -CDs in the necklace-like structure of the polyrotaxane. The pDNA dissociation of the polyplex occurred through the SS cleavage in the polyrotaxane and the subsequent interexchange with polyanions. This is presumably due to a reduction on the potency of the multivalent interaction between the cationic polyrotaxane and the anionic pDNA through the supramolecular dissociation. A rapid endosomal escape and pDNA delivery to the nucleus using such cytocleavable polyrotaxanes can be achieved through systematic analyses of polyrotaxane structures.

From this point of view, we further investigated pDNA transfection as well as polyion complexation with pDNA using a variety of DMAEC-SS-PRXs with different numbers of DMAEC groups (the number of α -CDs in the polyrotaxane was fixed to be 18) (Fig. 14) [35]. Positively charged polyplex with pDNA was observed for all the DMAEC-SS-PRXs when the N/P ratio was over 1, although the LPEI22k polyplex showed still negative value. This finding seems to be one of the unique characteristics of the polyrotaxanes related to their structures (Fig. 15). These data suggest that the DMAEC-SS-PRXs can form a polyplex with pDNA in small quantity of cationic groups. Therefore, it is likely that the driving force for complexation of the DMAEC-SS-PRX with the pDNA should be not only an electrostatic interaction but also any other factors.

The ethidium bromide assay revealed that the compaction of pDNA was significantly influenced by the number of DMAEC groups in DMAEC-SS-PRXs: a larger number of DMAEC groups in the DMAEC-SS-PRXs resulted in more tightly packed polyplex formation (Fig. 16). However, the highest transfection of pDNA was observed for a DMAEC-SS-PRX at appropriate number of DMAEC groups



Fig. 14 NMR spectrums of α 18-SS-polyrotaxane and 29DMAEC- α 18-SS-polyrotaxane in NaOD/D2O



Fig. 15 ζ -Potential of the pDNA polyplexes with DMAEC- α 18-SS-polyrotaxanes for various N/P ratios

(Fig. 17). In particular, from the CLSM analysis it was observed that the DMAEC-SS-PRX with the lowest number of DMAEC groups exhibited a much faster pDNA release in cytoplasm. These results strongly suggest the importance of timing for DNA release under a reducible condition as well as of the stability of the pDNA polyplex before lysosomal and/or endosomal escape in the course of DNA delivery into the nucleus. Finally, we conclude that both polyplex stability and intracellular pDNA release for transfection were achieved by optimizing the number of DMAEC groups in the DMAEC-SS-PRXs [35].



Fig. 16 Ethidium bromide displacement assay of the DMAEC- α 18-SS-polyrotaxanes polyplex and the LPEI22k polyplex. The recorded fluorescent intensities (F.I.) were expressed relative to the fluorescence intensity of the DNA–EtBr solution in the absence of polycation, after subtracting the fluorescence of EtBr in the absence of DNA under the same buffer conditions



Fig. 17 Transfection activities of the DMAEC- α 18-SS-polyrotaxanes. Luciferase activity in the NIH/3T3 cells was measured 48 h after the addition of polyplexes. Results were expressed as relative light units (RLU) per mg of cell protein

6 Future Aspects

Further studies on the design of polyrotaxanes exploit not only a far-reaching technology of nonviral gene delivery, but also a variety of practical applications for beneficial biomedical devices and tools. Controlling the mobility of cyclic compounds threaded onto a linear polymeric chain and the interlocked structure seen in polyrotaxanes will convincingly lead to a paradigm shift in biomaterials design, and such a supramolecular approach becomes more important not only in biomaterials but also in any other functional materials.

Stimuli-responsive control of the mobility of cyclic compounds along a polymeric chain is distinctive as one of our future works on supramolecular biomaterials. We have already demonstrated the pH-responsive control of the α -CD mobility along a PEI–PEG–PEI triblock-copolymer capped with bulky end-groups [36–38]. The majority of α -CDs were found to be located at the center-block (PEG) in the copolymer in acidic conditions, although they were freely mobile along the copolymer in basic environment. Not only the location but also the mobility of α -CDs could be controlled by a pH change within a physiological range of pH 5–8. Such a control of the mobility of ligands along the polymeric chain can lead to either modulate the *K* value in the interaction with receptor proteins, or to control the fluidity (clustering) of receptor proteins on plasma membranes. This method can exploit the extracellular modulation of cytoplasmic metabolism at target cells and/or into tissues.

Another important aspect of the design of polyrotaxanes as biomaterials will be to create two- or three-dimensional architectures for cellular and tissue modulation. Regarding this issue, we are now preparing polyrotaxane surfaces which exhibit the mobility of CDs along a linear polymeric chain fixed both terminals to a solid substrate such as Si and/or Au. We strongly expect this unique surface to enhance specific binding with certain proteins and cells through a multivalent ligand–receptor interaction as well as to prevent nonspecific interaction with



Fig. 18 Modulation of cellular metabolism and tissues via a multivalent binding of plasma membrane proteins with ligand conjugated polyrotaxanes immobilized on solid substrates at the terminal groups

biological molecules such as proteins, as illustrated in Fig. 18. In such a case, any reactive groups toward the Au surface, such as thiol, are introduced into the end-capping molecule of Z-Tyr, prior to the capping reaction of the pseudopolyro-taxanes.

7 Conclusions

Our recent studies on polyrotaxanes as biomaterials have been summarized in this chapter. The mobility of cyclic compounds along a linear polymeric chain is one of the fascinating characteristics seen in polyrotaxanes, and we have clarified that a modulating multivalent interaction with biological systems is strongly related to this unique feature. Another important issue regarding polyrotaxanes is the easiness in dissociating the supramolecular structures into pieces when cleaving one of the bulky end-groups at the terminals. This allows us to modulate the binding constant with biological systems, and is actually useful when designing cytocleavable polyrotaxanes as nonviral DNA vectors.

Acknowledgement The authors acknowledge Prof. Akira Tsuji, Prof. Ikumi Tamai, Prof. Masao Kato, Dr. Yoshimichi Sai, from Kanazawa University; Prof. Hideyoshi Harashima, Dr. Kentaro Kogure, Dr. Hidetaka Akita, from Hokkaido University; Prof. Atsushi Maruyama, Dr. Arihiro Kano, from Kyushu University; Prof. Tooru Ooya, from Kobe University; and Prof. Goro Mizutani, Dr. Haruyuki Sano, Dr. Sang Cheong Lee, Dr. Masaru Eguchi, Dr. Hak Soo Choi, and Dr. Hideto Utsunomiya, from JAIST, for their collaboration in part of the studies presented in this chapter. Also, the authors thank Prof. Jean-Christophe Terrillon, from JAIST, for his help in English editing. Part of this work was performed through Special Coordination Funds for Promoting Science and Technology and a Grant|s-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, the Japanese Government.

References

- 1. Wenz G, Han BH, Muller A (2006) Chem Rev 106:782-817
- 2. Ogata N, Sanui K, Wada J (1976) J Polym Sci Polym Lett Ed 14:459-462
- 3. Harada A, Kamachi M (1990) Macromolecules 23:2821-2823 and references therein
- 4. Wenz G, Keller B (1992) Angew Chem Int Ed 31:197-199
- 5. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1992) Nature 356:325-327
- 6. Ooya T, Mori H, Terano M, Yui N (1995) Macromol Rapid Commun 16:259-263
- 7. Yui N, Ooya T (2006) Chem Eur J 12:6730–6737
- 8. Wenz G (1994) Angew Chem Int Ed 33:803-822
- 9. Loethen S, Kim JM, Thompson DH (2007) Polym Rev 47:383-418
- 10. Khan AR, Forgo P, Stine KJ, D'Souza VT (1998) Chem Rev 98:1977-1996
- 11. Mammen M, Choi SK, Whitesides GM (1998) Angew Chem Int Ed 37:2754-2794
- 12. Cairo CW, Gestwicki JE, Kanai M, Kiessling LL (2002) J Am Chem Soc 124:1615-1619
- Gestwicki JE, Cairo CW, Strong LE, Oetjen KA, Kiessling LL (2002) J Am Chem Soc 124:14922–14933
- 14. Ooya T, Eguchi M, Yui N (2003) J Am Chem Soc 125:13016-13017

Functional Cyclodextrin Polyrotaxanes for Drug Delivery

- 15. Ooya T, Utsunomiya H, Eguchi M, Yui N (2005) Bioconjugate Chem 16:62-69
- 16. Hirose H, Sano H, Mizutani G, Eguchi M, Ooya T, Yui N (2004) Langmuir 20:2852-2854
- 17. Hirose H, Sano H, Mizutani G, Eguchi M, Ooya T, Yui N (2006) Polym J 38:1093-1097
- 18. Nelson A, Stoddart JF (2003) Org Lett 5:3783-3786
- 19. Nelson A, Belitsky JM, Vidal S, Joiner CS, Baum LG, Stoddart JF (2004) J Am Chem Soc 126:11914–11922
- 20. Yui N, Ooya T, Kawashima T, Saito Y, Tamai I, Sai Y, Tsuji A (2002) Bioconjugate Chem 13:582–587
- 21. Eguchi M (2003) Dissertation, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Tokyo
- 22. Utsunomiya H, Katoono R, Yui N, Sugiura T, Kubo Y, Kato Y, Tsuji A (2008) Macromol Biosci 8:655–669
- 23. Mosmann T (1983) J Immunol Methods 65:55-63
- 24. Wolff JA (2002) Nat Biotechnol 20:768-769
- 25. Nori A, Kopecek J (2005) Adv Drug Deliv Rev 57:609-636
- 26. Nishiyama N, Iriyama A, Jang W, Miyata K, Itaka K, Inoue Y, Takahashi H, Yanagi Y, Tamaki Y, Koyama H, Kataoka K (2005) Nat Mater 4:934–941
- Kunath K, von Harpe A, Fischer D, Petersen H, Bickel U, Voigt K, Kissel T (2003) J Control Release 89:113–125
- 28. Saito G, Swanson JA, Lee KD (2003) Adv Drug Deliv Rev 55:199-215
- 29. Gosselin MA, Guo W, Lee RJ (2001) Bioconjugate Chem 12:989–994
- 30. Miyata K, Kakizawa Y, Nishiyama N, Harada A, Yamasaki Y, Koyama H, Kataoka K (2004) J Am Chem Soc 126:2355–2361
- 31. Oupicky D, Carlisle RC, Seymour LW (2001) Gene Ther 8:713-724
- 32. Neu M, Germershaus O, Mao S, Voigt KH, Behe M, Kissel T (2007) J Control Release 118:370–380
- 33. Ooya T, Choi HS, Yamashita A, Yui N, Sugaya Y, Kano A, Maruyama A, Akita H, Ito R, Kogure K, Harashima H (2006) J Am Chem Soc 128:3852–3853
- 34. Yamashita A, Yui N, Ooya T, Kano A, Maruyama A, Akita H, Kogure K, Harashima H (2006) Nat Protocols 1:2861–2869
- 35. Yamashita A, Katoono R, Yui N, Ooya T, Maruyama A, Akita H, Kogure K, Harashima H (2008) J Control Rel 131:137–144
- 36. Lee SC, Choi HS, Ooya T, Yui N (2004) Macromolecules 37:7464-7468
- 37. Choi HS, Lee SC, Yamamoto K, Yui N (2005) Macromolecules 38:9878-9881
- 38. Choi HS, Hirasawa A, Ooya T, Kajihara D, Hohsaka T, Yui N (2006) Chem Phys Chem 7:1671–1673

Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels

Jun Li

Abstract This chapter reviews the advances in the developments of supramolecular hydrogels based on the polypseudorotaxanes and polyrotaxanes formed by inclusion complexes of cyclodextrins threading onto polymer chains. Both physical and chemical supramolecular hydrogels of many different types are discussed with respect to their preparation, structure, property, and gelation mechanism. A large number of physical supramolecular hydrogels were formed induced by self-assembly of densely packed cyclodextrin rings threaded on polymer or copolymer chains acting as physical crosslinking points. The thermo-reversible and thixotropic properties of these physical supramolecular hydrogels have inspired their applications as injectable drug delivery systems. Chemical supramolecular hydrogels synthesized from polypseudorotaxanes and polyrotaxanes were based on the chemical crosslinking of either the cyclodextrin molecules or the included polymer chains. The chemical supramolecular hydrogels were often made biodegradable through incorporation of hydrolyzable threading polymers, end caps, or crosslinkers, for their potential applications as biomaterials.

Keywords Biomaterials, Cyclodextrin, Drug delivery, Hydrogel, Inclusion complex, Polyrotaxane, Supramolecule

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Physical Hydrogels Formed by Polypseudorotaxanes and Polypseudorotaxanes

J. Li

Institute of Materials Research and Engineering, A*STAR (Agency for Science, Technology and Research), 3 Research Link, Singapore 117602, Singapore

Division of Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering, National University of Singapore, 7 Engineering Drive 1, Singapore 117574, Singapore e-mail: bielj@nus.edu.sg

[©] Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2009

- 2.1 Gelation Induced by Inclusion Complexation Between α -CD and PEO
- 2.2 Gelation Induced by Inclusion Complexation Between α -CD and PEI
- 2.3 Gelation Induced by Inclusion Complexation Between α-CD and Grafted PL
- 2.4 Gelation Induced by Inclusion Complexation Between β-CD and Grafted PPO
- 2.5 Gelation Induced by Double Chain Inclusion Complexation between γ -CD and Grafted PEI
- 2.6 Supramolecular Hydrogels as Injectable Drug Delivery Systems
- 2.7 Gelation Induced by Physical Interaction of Threaded Methylated CDs in Polyrotaxanes
- 3 Chemical Hydrogels Formed by Polypseudorotaxanes and Polyrotaxanes
 - 3.1 Gelation Induced by Chemical Crosslinking of CDs
 - 3.2 Gelation Induced by Chemical Crosslinking of Threading Polymers
- 4 Concluding Remarks

References

1 Introduction

Hydrogels are three-dimensional crosslinked macromolecular networks formed by hydrophilic polymers swollen in water. The three-dimensional networks can retain large volumes of water in the crosslinked structures. The extent of swelling and the content of water are largely dependant on the hydrophilicity of the polymer chains and the crosslinking density. The crosslinks can be formed by either covalent bonds or physical cohesion forces between the polymer segments such as ionic bonds, hydrogen bonds, van der Waals forces, and hydrophobic interactions [1–4]. Polymeric hydrogels have been of great interest relative to biomaterials applications because of their favorable biocompatibility [5, 6]. High in water content, they are attractive for delivery of delicate bioactive agents such as proteins [2, 7, 8]. For example, chemically crosslinked poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) hydrogels have been extensively studied for this purpose [9–11].

Cyclodextrins (CDs) are a series of natural cyclic oligosaccharides composed of 6, 7, or 8 D(+)-glucose units linked by α -1,4-linkages, and named α -, β -, or γ -CD, respectively (Fig. 1). The geometry of CDs gives a hydrophobic inner cavity having a depth of ca. 8.0 Å, and an internal diameter of ca. 4.5, 7.0, and 8.5 Å for α -, β -, and γ -CD, respectively [12]. Various molecules fit into the cavity of CDs to form supramolecular inclusion complexes, which have been extensively studied as models for understanding the mechanism of molecular recognition [13–15].

The overwhelming interest on the developments of CD inclusion polymers arose since the discovery of α -CD inclusion complexes with PEO of different molecular weights [16] and the subsequent synthesis of the polyrotaxanes composed of multiple α -CD rings threaded and entrapped on a polymer chain (Fig. 2) [17,18]. So far, a large number of reports have been published on the CD-based polypseudorotaxanes and polyrotaxanes [12, 18–49] and their applications in biomaterials [50–58].

Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels



Fig. 2 The synthesis of polyrotaxane composed of multiple α -CD rings threaded and entrapped on a PEO chain [17]

The first report on a CD inclusion polymer forming hydrogels dates back to 1994, which describes the discovery of the sol-gel transition during the inclusion complex formation between α -CD and high molecular weight PEO in aqueous solution [59]. The gelation was induced by the partially formed α -CD-PEO inclusion complexes, which self-assembled into water-insoluble domains acting as a kind of

physical crosslinking. This review will update the developments of hydrogels based on the inclusion complexes, both polypseudorotaxanes and polyrotaxanes, formed between CDs and various polymers and copolymers. There are basically two classes of CD inclusion complex hydrogels: the physical hydrogels formed from polypseudorotaxanes induced by the self-assembly of inclusion complex domains acting as the physical crosslinks and the chemical hydrogels crosslinked through intermolecular covalent bonding either between CD molecules or between included polymers.

There are also physical hydrogels self-assembled from modified CDs in the absence of polymers [60], or physical hydrogels obtained by reversible inclusion compound formation between CD polymers and guest dimmers [61] or guest-containing copolymers [62–64], and between CD dimmers and thermosensitive guest-containing copolymers [65]. Another interesting thermosensitive pseudo-block copolymer system with controllable low critical solution temperature (LCST) based on CD-star polymer and guest-bearing PEO was reported recently [66]. These CD-based systems do not involve the inclusion complexation of polymer chains in CD cavities, and are out of the scope of this review. Readers can refer to the relevant literature for the details.

2 Physical Hydrogels Formed by Polypseudorotaxanes and Polypseudorotaxanes

2.1 Gelation Induced by Inclusion Complexation Between α-CD and PEO

2.1.1 Hydrogels of α-CD and PEO Homopolymer

The formation of inclusion complexes between α -CD and PEO of medium or low MW is accompanied by the precipitation of the formed polypseudorotaxanes from the aqueous solutions. The polypseudorotaxane precipitates are crystals that are self-assembled from the inclusion complexes. This phenomenon indicates that the formation of the polypseudorotaxanes altered the water solubility of their components, i.e., α -CD and PEO, which are both very hydrophilic and water-soluble. However, the α -CD–PEO polypseudorotaxanes are less water-soluble.

When high molecular PEO (MW higher than 3,500) is used to form polypseudorotaxanes with α -CD, the PEO chains thread into α -CD from the two ends to form inclusion complexes, which subsequently self-assemble into crystalline and waterinsoluble domains, while the middle segments of PEO still remain uncomplexed and hydrophilic. As a result, the polypseudorotaxanes of α -CD and high molecular PEO form hydrogels (Fig. 3) [59]. This is an interesting class of supramolecular hydrogels in which only physical crosslinking is involved. The hydrogels of α -CD and high molecular PEO were further studied in terms of their structures, properties, and applications as an injectable drug delivery system [67]. Table 1 lists the α -CD–PEO Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels



Fig. 3 Hydrogel formed between α -CD and high molecular weight PEO based on the partially formed self-assembled inclusion complex domains as physical crosslinks [59]

α-CD-PEO hydrogel ^a	Average MW of PEO	Gel composition		
		α -CD (g mL ⁻¹)	PEO $(g m L^{-1})$	
Gel-8K-60	8,000	0.0967	0.133	
Gel-10K-60	10,000	0.0967	0.133	
Gel-20K-60	20,000	0.0967	0.133	
Gel-35K-20	35,000	0.0967	0.044	
Gel-35K-40	35,000	0.0967	0.088	
Gel-35K-60	35,000	0.0967	0.133	
Gel-35K-80	35,000	0.0967	0.177	
Gel-35K-100	35,000	0.0967	0.222	
Gel-100K-60	100,000	0.0967	0.133	

^aThe hydrogels were prepared by mixing 0.3 mL of α -CD aqueous solution $(0.145 \text{ g mL}^{-1})$ with 0.15 mL of PEO solution. The first and second numbers denote the number-average MW of PEO and the amount of PEO used in the hydrogel preparation in mg, respectively. For example, Gel-10K-60 means 60 mg of PEO with number-average MW of 10,000 was used

hydrogels studied in that work, including the molecular weights of PEO and the gel compositions. Mixture of the PEO and α -CD solutions became opaque within minutes or hours of contact, depending on the concentrations of PEO and α -CD, as well as on the molecular weight of PEO. It may take even longer until the gel forms. For most compositions, the supramolecular hydrogels turned fluid upon heating at above 70°C.

The threading of α -CD on the PEO chains and formation of inclusion complexes and their supramolecular self-assembly in the hydrogels were confirmed Fig. 4 a–e Wide-angle X-ray diffractograms for α -CD– propionic acid complex **a**, crystalline stoichiometric α -CD–PEO (MW 1,000) inclusion complex **b**, and α -CD–PEO supramolecular hydrogels Gel-10K-60 **c**, Gel-20K-60 (**d**), and Gel-35K-60 **e** [67]



with wide-angle X-ray diffraction studies of the hydrogels in comparison with other inclusion complexes of α -CD with propionic acid and PEO of low molecular weight (MW 1,000) (Fig. 4) [67]. In Fig. 4a, the pattern of α-CD-propionic acid complex represents a cage-type structure of α -CD inclusion complexes. In Fig. 4b, the pattern of crystalline α -CD-PEO (MW 1,000) complex with a number of sharp reflections represents the channel-type structure of necklace-like stoichiometric inclusion complex of α -CD and low molecular weight PEO, which is totally different from that of α -CD-propionic acid complex. In particular, the sharp reflection at $2\theta = 19.4^{\circ}$ (d = 4.57 Å) is a characteristic peak for the channel-type α -CD inclusion complexes. In Fig. 4c-e, the characteristic peak as well as a similar pattern to α -CD–PEO (MW 1,000) complex are observed, suggesting the gel system contains necklace-like inclusion complexes formed by α -CD densely threaded on parts of the PEO segments, and its self-assembling acts as physical cross-links and provides the primary driving force for the gelation of the solutions of α -CD and the high molecular weight PEOs. The patterns in Fig. 4c-e are quite broad as compared to that of the crystalline stoichiometric α -CD-PEO (MW 1,000) complex. This is in accordance with the fact that the crystalline domains within the hydrogel are rather small. The hydrogel contains large amorphous domains of PEO chains besides the crystalline ones. The amorphous domains are necessary for the hydrogel to retain large volumes of water in its supramolecular structure.

Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels

The gel formation can be confirmed visually [59], or be traced with the viscosity of the PEO/ α -CD systems, which increased significantly during the gelation [67]. The gelation kinetics is dependent on the concentrations of the polymer and cyclodextrin, as well as on the molecular weight of the PEO used. Generally, the higher the molecular weight, the slower the gelation process, because the PEO must thread through α -CD at the two ends, and the concentration of the ends becomes lower with increasing molecular weight PEO [59].

2.1.2 Hydrogels of α-CD and PEO Block Copolymers

Since α -CD and high molecular weight PEO can form supramolecular hydrogels where the gelation is induced by inclusion complex domains formed by the partially complexed PEO segments, a block copolymer comprising a flanking PEO block is also possible to form a hydrogel with α -CD. A number of works on supramolecular hydrogel formation between α -CD and triblock or diblock copolymers comprising PEO block and other thermosensitive or biodegradable polymer blocks were reported [68–72].

Although PEO–PPO–PEO triblock copolymers (also known as Pluronics) form hydrogels at high concentrations and elevated temperatures, it was found that α -CD could aid the formation of hydrogels of PEO–PPO–PEO triblock copolymers with 25 wt% or more of PEO segment at much lower copolymer concentrations [68]. The hydrogel formation was traced by the changes in viscosity of the solutions. The viscosity of a solution containing 13 wt% of copolymer EO₁₀PO₄₄EO₁₀ and 9.7 wt% of α -CD reached up to 10⁴ cP at 22°C, while that of the same solution without a-CD remained at a level lower than a few hundred cP. The studies also tested the viscosities of the same copolymer EO₁₀PO₄₄EO₁₀ solution at 13 wt%, or the same solution with 9.7 wt% of D(+)-glucose at 4 and 22°C, respectively. The viscosities of the two solutions at both temperatures were found to remain unchanged with time at a low level.

The inclusion complexes formed by α -CD and PEO blocks of Pluronics are thought to aggregate into microcrystals, which act as physical crosslinks and induce formation of a supramolecualr polymer network, consequently resulting in the gelation of the solutions. The micellization of the PPO block is also important in the gelation of the copolymer and α -CD solutions. At the elevated temperatures, the hydrophobic interaction between the PPO segments of Pluronic facilitates the formation of the polymer network. This is why a solution of Pluronic and α -CD could not form hydrogels at 4°C, at which temperature the interaction between PPO segments of Pluronic is weak and the micelles tend to dissociate. Therefore, the driving force for the gelation of Pluronic and α -CD solutions is a combination of the inclusion complexation between α -CD and PEO blocks and the micellization of the PPO block of Pluronic copolymer.

The inclusion complex formation between PEO blocks of the Pluronic copolymers and α -CD in the hydrogels was confirmed with wide-angle X-ray diffraction studies of the hydrogels [68]. The effect of α -CD to aid the gelation of PEO-PPO-PEO copolymer aqueous solutions was further evidenced by the phase diagrams of the mixtures of PEO-PPO-PEO copolymer and α -CD aqueous solution [70]. Figure 5 presents the temperature–polymer concentration phase diagrams of PEO-PPO-PEO copolymer EO13PO30EO13 aqueous solutions at the absence and presence of α -CD with different α -CD concentrations. The regions marked with gel indicate that the formulations of EO₁₃PO₃₀EO₁₃ and α -CD aqueous solutions form a hydrogel, which remains intact when the tube is inverted upside down. The regions marked with sol indicate that the formulations are either a clear or turbid solution, or a mixture containing partially gel and fluid portions, which will flow when the tube is inverted. The region where the pure $EO_{13}PO_{30}EO_{13}$ can form a hydrogel is very small (α -CD 0%), while the concentration must be very high. With increase in α -CD concentration, the gelation regions become larger and larger, and the lowest concentration at which the copolymer can form hydrogel becomes much lower with higher α -CD concentrations. When plotting the lowest gelation concentrations of $EO_{13}PO_{30}EO_{13}$ as function of α -CD concentration at 31 °C, it was found that, with the increase in α -CD concentration, the lowest copolymer gelation concentration decreased from 50 to about 20%. It is clear that the partially formation of inclusion complexes between the EO blocks of EO₁₃PO₃₀EO₁₃ with α -CD largely changed the hydrophobicity of the PEO-PPO-PEO copolymer, and significantly lowered its gelation concentration.

biodegradable poly(ethylene oxide)-poly[(R)-3-hydroxybutyrate]-poly А (ethvlene oxide) (PEO-PHB-PEO) triblock copolymer was synthesized [73] and used to form supramolecular hydrogel with α -CD (Fig. 6) [71]. It was found that the preferential inclusion complexation of α -CD with the PEO block together with the hydrophobic interaction between the middle PHB blocks cooperatively result in a self-assembly system that induces a strong macromolecular network. The strong intermolecular hydrophobic interaction between the middle PHB blocks of PEO-PHB-PEO triblock copolymer was proved by their very low critical micellization concentration (cmc) values (Table 2) [74,75]. Although the two copolymers were water-soluble, they formed micelles in aqueous solution at very low concentrations. The cmc values of PEO-PHB-PEO triblock copolymers were found to be significantly smaller than their counterparts of Pluronic PEO-PPO-PEO or PEO-PLLA-PEO triblock copolymers with similar compositions [71,74-76].

Despite the formation of micelles, 13 wt% of aqueous solution of both polymers did not form hydrogels. However, aqueous solutions containing 13 wt% of either PEO–PHB–PEO copolymers and 9.7 wt% of α -CD formed hydrogels at room temperature. In other words, α -CD aided the gel formation for PEO–PHB–PEO triblock copolymers at a low copolymer concentration. Here the inclusion complexes formed by α -CD and PEO blocks of PEO–PHB–PEO triblock copolymers are thought to aggregate into microcrystals, which act as physical crosslinks and induce formation of a supramolecular polymer network, consequently resulting in the gelation of the solutions. The micellization of the PHB block is also important in the gelation process. The hydrophobic interactions between the PHB blocks facilitate the formation of the polymer network. Therefore, the gelation of the aqueous solutions of PEO–PHB–PEO triblock copolymers and α -CD is the result of a cooperation of the



Fig. 5 The temperature–polymer concentration phase diagrams of PEO–PPO–PEO copolymer $EO_{13}PO_{30}EO_{13}$ aqueous solutions at the absence and presence of α -CD with different α -CD concentrations [70]



Fig. 6 a–c The synthesis of PEO–PHB–PEO triblock copolymer **a**, and the schematic illustrations of the proposed structures of a-CD–PEO–PHB–PEO inclusion complex **b**, and a-CD–PEO–PHB–PEO supramolecular hydrogel **c** [71]

	1 2	1 1	, ,	L 1
Triblock copolymer ^a	M _n	Block length (M_n)		$cmc^b (g L^{-1})$
		PEO	PHB	
PEO-PHB-PEO(5000-1750-5000)	11,270	4,830	1,750	$5.7 imes 10^{-2}$
PEO-PHB-PEO(5000-3140-5000)	12,160	4,830	3,140	$2.7 imes 10^{-2}$

Table 2 PEO-PHB-PEO triblock copolymers used for preparation of hydrogels with α-CD [71]

^aThe numbers in the parentheses show the indicative block length of each block in g mol⁻¹ ^bCritical micellization concentration (cmc) determined by dye absorption experiments for PEO– PHB–PEO copolymers at 23 °C

inclusion complexation between α -CD and PEO blocks and the micellization of the PHB block of the triblock copolymers.

Based on the results of the X-ray diffraction studies, the structure of the α -CDPEO-PHB-PEO supramolecular hydrogels was proposed (Fig. 6c). In the α -CD-PEO-PHB-PEO complexes (Fig. 6b), PEO chains penetrate α -CD rings from both ends, and then form necklace-like inclusion complexes. The molecular weight of each PEO block is ca. 5,000 Da in the supramolecular hydrogels. Only a length of PEO segments of about molecular weight 2,000 Da or less from each end of the copolymers could be included by α -CD rings based on the studies on complex formation between α -CD and PEO-PHB-PEO triblock copolymers with PEO length of 2,000 Da, where only crystalline solid complexes formed [30, 37]. The hypothesis was also supported by the number of α -CD rings threaded on a PEO chain and capped by bulky groups at the two ends, as described in the study on synthesis of α -CD-PEO polyrotaxanes [17]. In the courses of preparation of polyrotaxanes, a maximum average number of 23 α -CD rings, corresponding to a length of PEO molecular weight 2,000 Da, were trapped over a PEO chain, even if the molecular weight of PEO used was more than 3,000 Da. In the cases of PEO-PHB-PEO block copolymers with PEO block length of 5,000 Da, the PEO segments covered by α -CD rings should be shorter than 2,000 Da from each end because the cooperative gelation process may hinder α -CD further threading over the PEO blocks. The partial inclusion complexation of α -CD with PEO block together with the hydrophobic interaction between the middle PHB blocks cooperatively result in a self-assembly system that induces a strong network and gives a novel supramolecular hydrogel.

Another supramolecular hydrogel was formed between α -CD and biodegradable amphiphilic poly(ethylene oxide)-*b*-poly(ε -caprolactone) (PEO–PCL) diblock copolymer [72]. In this study, a PEO–PCL diblock copolymer of molecular weight of 5,000 for PEO and 5,000 for PCL was used. A turbid solution was obtained after dispersing this diblock copolymer into water under sonicating and stirring, and no gelation was observed. However, a viscous mixture was obtained when α -CD aqueous solution was employed instead of the pure water. The viscous mixture could further transfer to homogeneous hydrogel in a few minutes. It was assumed that the gelation is induced by the supramolecular self-assembly of the PEO–PCL diblock polymer chains partially included by α -CD. It was proposed that the PEO block is preferentially complexed by α -CD, while the complexed hydrophobic PCL block forms aggregates due to the hydrophobic interaction.

2.1.3 Hydrogels of α-CD and PEO-Grafted Copolymers

Based on similar principles to the hydrogels formation between α -CD and various block copolymers comprising a PEO block, there were a few reports on hydrogel formation between α -CD and PEO-grafted hydrophilic polymers CD [77–80].

Supramolecular hydrogels were prepared based on the inclusion complexation between PEO-grafted dextrans and α -CD in aqueous media (Fig. 7) [77]. The gel-sol transition was reversible with hysteresis. The transition temperature was controllable by variation in the polymer concentration and the PEO content in the graft copolymers as well as the stoichiometric ratio between the guest and host molecules. The X-ray diffraction data indicated that the hydrogel contains a channel-type crystalline structure, demonstrated by a strong reflection at $2\theta =$ 20° (d = 4.44 Å). The authors suggested that the supramolecular hydrogels comprise a mico-phase separated structure consisting of hydrophobic and channel-type crystalline PEO inclusion complex domains and hydrated dextran matrices.

In a similar way, supramolecular hydrogels were prepared on the basis of inclusion complex formation between PEO-grafted chitosans and α -CD [78]. A series of PEO-grafted chitosans were synthesized by coupling reactions between chitosan and monocarboxylated PEO using water-soluble carbodiimide as coupling agent. The PEO side-chains on the chitosan backbones were found to form inclusion complexes with α -CD, resulting in the formation of channel type crystalline micro-domains, which play a role of physical crosslinking for the hydrated chitosan chains. The gelation property was affected by several factors including the PEO content in the polymers, the solution concentration, the mixing ratio of host and guest molecules, temperature, pH, etc. All the hydrogels in acidic conditions exhibited thermo-reversible gel–sol transitions. The authors proposed that the supramolecular hydrogels comprise a phase-separated structure that consists of hydrophobic crystalline inclusion complexes domains formed by the α -CD and the grafted PEO segments, and the hydrated chitosan matrices below the *pK*a.

α-CD inclusion complex formation of highly densely PEO-grafted polymer brushes and resulting hydrogel formation were reported (Fig. 8) [79]. The polymers grafted with PEO macromonomers were prepared through the "grafting from" approach by atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) of poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate, PEOMA, from a well-defined poly(macroinitiator), poly(2-(2-bromoisobutyryloxy)ethyl methacrylate) (PBIEM). The inclusion complexation and hydrogel formation of this type of polymer brush with α -CD were studied. The column-type crystalline structures of the hydrogels were confirmed by XRD measurement. The mechanism for the hydrogel formation was proposed by the authors as follows. The polymer brush PBIEM-g-P(PEOMA) with very higher densely grafted PEO chains formed hydrogels with α -CD due to the density of grafted PEO segments. The PEO segments that located at the outer end of the side chains could be threaded relatively easily and formed column inclusion complex aggregates to give a physical crosslinking point. The formed inclusion complex columns are parallel to the main chain, and the orientation of the inclusion complex columns for the polymer brush may promote the physical crosslinking for the



Fig. 7 The synthesis route for PEO-grafted dextran \mathbf{a} and the schematic illustration of proposed structure of supramolecular hydrogel by inclusion complexation between PEO-grafted dextran and α -CD \mathbf{b} [77]



Fig. 8 a–c The schematic illustrations of comb-like PEO-grafted polymer P(PEOMA) **a**, double grafted polymer brush P(BIEM-g-P(PEOMA)) **b**, and structure of hydrogel formed from polymer brush P(BIEM-g-P(PEOMA)) and α -CD **c**. *Arrows* indicate the crosslinking points [79]

gelation (Fig. 8c, arrows). On the other hand, owing to the steric hindrance, the PEO segments attached close to the backbone were very crowded and difficult to be threaded by α -CD. Therefore, some PEO segments were free of complexation and were swollen by water. The combination of above two factors induced formation supramolecular networks of the hydrogels.

The preparation of supramolecular hydrogels formed between α -CD and Pluronic copolymers hybridized with single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) was reported as well (Fig. 9) [80]. Such hydrogel hybridized with SWNTs was prepared by mixing an aqueous solution of α -CD with an aqueous dispersion of SWNTs stabilized by Pluronic copolymer. In the hydrogels, the SWNTs were well dispersed through interaction of their walls with the PPO blocks of Pluronic copolymer. In other words, the PPO blocks are aggregated to the SWNTs while the PEO blocks look like grafted chains of the SWNTs. Therefore, the



Fig. 9 Proposed structure of the supramolecular hydrogel formed between α -CD and Pluronic copolymer in the presence of well-dispersed single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) [80]

Pluronic copolymer plays dual roles in dispersing SWNTs as well as forming inclusion complexes with α -CD in the hydrogels. Although the introduction of well-dispersed SWNTs changed the gelation mechanism as compared to that of the native hydrogels between Pluronic copolymers and α -CD, the study showed that there was no obvious influence on the structure and morphology. The resultant hybrid hydrogel retained the basic characteristics of the supramolecular hydrogels, especially the shear-thinning and reversible temperature-responsive properties.

2.2 Gelation Induced by Inclusion Complexation Between α-CD and PEI

It was reported that a series of linear polyethylenimines (PEIs) with various molecular weights were synthesized and used to prepare polypseudorotaxanes with α -CD [81]. The PEIs were found to form stable polypseudorotaxanes at suitable pH and/or temperature, because PEIs have ionizable secondary amino groups (pKa =8.9), which play a role of an energy barrier for the polypseudorotaxane formation. Some of the polypseudorotaxanes with 40–55% of α -CD coverage could form hydrogels, similar to that of the gelation of the polypseudorotaxanes of α -CD and PEO of high molecular weight where a PEO chain is partially included by α -CD [59].

To decrease the energy barrier for polypseudorotaxane formation, the PEIs and α -CD solutions were mixed at 60 °C. After adjusting the pH to 11.0, the mixture was cooled down gradually. At a certain temperature (the gelation temperature), the solutions became turbid and formed a gel-like network. It was found to be interesting that the hydrogels could undergo a transition from gel state to crystalline precipitate state during a repeated heating and cooling processes (Fig. 10) [81].

On slow heating up to the gel-melting temperature, the formed hydrogels became soft and transparent. Stirring at this temperature led to further threading of α -CD onto the PEI chains. With a decrease in temperature after this process, the solutions did not form hydrogels but became turbid. Repeated heating and cooling processes resulted in crystalline precipitates after several cycles. It was found that the coverage of the PEI chains by α -CD was significantly increased in the crystalline precipitates [81].



Fig. 10 a–d Optical images of polypseudorotaxane formed by α -CD and PEI: gel-like network formed at gelation temperature **a**, equilibrated hydrogel at gelation temperature **b**, and crystalline precipitate formed by thermal process **c**; and the schematic illustration of the transition process from the hydrogels to the crystalline precipitates **d** [81]

2.3 Gelation Induced by Inclusion Complexation Between α-CD and Grafted PL

A pH-sensitive and thermo-reversible supramolecular hydrogel system formed between α -CD and poly(ε -lysine) (PL)-grafted dextran was reported [82]. The PL grafting chains could form inclusion complexes with α -CD. The gelation was induced from a phase-separated structure comprising hydrated dextrans and hydrophobically aggregated inclusion complexes in buffer solution at pH 10.0. A rapid phase transition from gel to sol was observed upon decreasing the pH value to 4.0, which resulted from the dissociation of the inclusion complexes because of the protonation of the guest PL polymer chains.

2.4 Gelation Induced by Inclusion Complexation Between β -CD and Grafted PPO

Poly(propylene oxide) (PPO)-grafted dextran was synthesized and used to form inclusion complexes and supramolecular hydrogels with β -CD [83]. In this work, the average number of grafted PPO per dextran was in the range of 1.1–38.5 per polymer chain. The supramolecular hydrogels were formed induced by the formation of inclusion complexes between the grafted PPO and β -CD. Similar to the hydrogels formed by α -CD and PEO-grafted dextran [77], the supramolecular hydrogels between β -CD and PPO-grafted dextran comprise a microphase separated structure consisting of the hydrophobic and channel-type crystalline inclusion complex domains as the physical crosslinks and the hydrated dextran matrices which contain a large amount of water in the structure. The significance of this β -CD inclusion complex hydrogel system is that the gelation process is very fast.

2.5 Gelation Induced by Double Chain Inclusion Complexation between γ-CD and Grafted PEI

It was found that the large γ -CD molecule can include two chains of PEO into its cavity to form double-strand polypseudorotaxanes [22]. For developing a supramolecular network which can lead to formation of hydrogels, a PEO–PEI-grafted dextran (PEO–PEI–dex) was synthesized and used to form supramolecular hydrogels with γ -CD based on the double-strand inclusion complexation (Fig. 11) [84]. When adding γ -CD to the aqueous solution of PEO–PEI–dex, double strands of the PEG–PEI chains grafted on dextran backbones can intrude into the inner cavity of γ -CD, in either the same or opposite directions, which leads to formation of double-strand inclusion complexes with either a parallel or antiparallel direction. Therefore, the whole hydrogel system consists of a phase separated structure of the double-strand inclusion complex domains and the hydrated dextran



Fig. 11 a,b Schematic representation for the formation of PEO–PEI–dex· γ -CD networks. **a** A supramolecular network is formed via the double-strand complex between γ -CD and double strands of the PEO–PEI chains. The double-strand complexes are either of the parallel or antiparallel type. **b** α -CD and γ -CD include single and double strands of PEI, respectively. Stoichiometric ratios of α -CD or γ -CD to the repeating unit of PEI are 1:2 and 1:4, respectively [84]

matrices. The PEI segments can be protonated at low pH, which increases their hydrophilicity and leads to dissociation of the inclusion complexes. Therefore, the supramolecular hydrogels formed between PEO–PEI–dex and γ -CD are pH-sensitive. Their viscosity changes depending on the pH as shown in Fig. 12 [84]. It is commented that, although PEO–PEI–dex and α -CD will not form double-strand inclusion complexes, the single-strand inclusion complex may still form self-assembled crystalline domains, which can play a role of physical crosslinking and lead to the formation of a hydrogel as reported elsewhere [77, 78, 82].

2.6 Supramolecular Hydrogels as Injectable Drug Delivery Systems

Polymeric hydrogels have been attractive biomaterials for drug delivery, particularly for controlled release of delicate bioactive agents such as proteins and peptides. However, chemically crosslinked hydrogels can be applied only as implantables,

Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels



Fig. 12 a–c Proposed structures of supramolecular networks under different conditions. **a** PEO–PEI–dex· γ -CD network is formed with a full double-strand complex at pH 10. **b** The full double-stand complex of PEO–PEI–dex· γ -CD network is transformed to a partial double-stand complex at pH 4 owing to protonation of the PEI chains. **c** PEO–PEI–dex· α -CD networks do not form any double-strand complex at pH 10 [84]

and the incorporation of drugs by sorption may be time-consuming and limiting in the loading level. In addition, the cross-linking reaction may conjugate the drug to the hydrogel or impair the chemical integrity of the drug. Therefore, a delivery system where gelation and drug loading can be achieved simultaneously, taking place in an aqueous environment and without covalent crosslinking, would be attractive. Since both PEO and CDs are known to be biocompatible and bioabsorbable, the supramolecular hydrogels formed between CDs and PEO or its copolymers could be excellent candidates a new class of bioabsorbable physical hydrogels for controlled drug delivery [67].

Generally, the supramolecular hydrogels of CDs and polymers based on the formation of inclusion complexes which play the role of physical crosslinking are thermo-reversible. [59, 67, 68, 77–79]. The supramolecular hydrogels were also found to be thixotropic and reversible. For example, the viscosity of the hydrogel form between α -CD and PEO greatly diminished as it was agitated (Fig. 13a). This property renders the hydrogel formulation injectable even through a fine needle. The diminished viscosity of the hydrogels eventually restored towards its original value, in most cases within hours, when there was no more agitation (Fig. 13b). The thixotropic and thermo-reversible properties of the gel afforded us with a unique injectable hydrogel drug delivery system. One can first incorporate bioactive agents, such as drugs, proteins, vaccines, or plasmid DNA, into the hydrogel in a syringe at room temperature without any contact with organic solvents. The drug-loaded hydrogel formulation can then be injected into the tissue under pressure because of the thixotropic property. After restoration of the gelation in situ, the hydrogel serves as a depot for controlled release (Fig. 14) [50]. As compared to implantable hydrogels, the injectable hydrogel will be much more attractive.



Fig. 13 a, b Changes of the viscosities of Gel-20K-60 as a function of agitation time at a shear rate of $120s^{-1}$ **a**, and restoration of the viscosities of the gel after 20 min agitation at a shear rate of $120s^{-1}$ **b** [67]

The effect of the injection through needles on the viscosity of the hydrogels was studied (Table 3) [67]. The viscosity dropped significantly after injection from the syringes. Inducing a higher shear rate, the finer needle caused a steeper decrease in viscosity of the hydrogel. The maximum speeds at which the hydrogels can be injected through a syringe of different needle sizes were also reported [67]. The hydrogels formed from PEOs of higher molecular weights were more difficult to be injected though fine needles. Injection of Gel-35K-60 through a 27G needle was limited to only $0.09 \,\mathrm{mL}\,\mathrm{min}^{-1}$.

Since the formation of the hydrogels is induced by the supramolecular selfassembling in aqueous solution, and there is no any chemical crosslinking reagents,
Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels



Fig. 14 Injectable drug delivery system based on supramolecular hydrogels formed by α -CD and PEO [50]

Table 3	Maximum	speeds	at whi	ich the	hydrogels	can	be	injected	though	needles	of	different
sizes [67]												

Needle size ^a	Maximum injection speed of hydrogel (mL min ^{-1})						
	Gel-8K-60	Gel-10K-60	Gel-20K-60	Gel-35K-60			
$18G \times 1.5$ in	_b	75.1	32.8	8.93			
$19G \times 1.5$ in	_b	47.5	15.3	3.15			
21G imes 1.5 in	33.9	13.8	5.72	1.66			
$22G \times 1.5\text{in}$	22.6	4.35	3.41	0.72			
$27G\times 0.5\text{in}$	2.61	1.01	0.36	0.09			

^aTerumo 6-mL syringes were used for the experiments

^bThe injection speed is higher than 100 mL min⁻¹

bioactive agents, the drugs, can be incorporated into the hydrogel in situ at room temperature without any contact with organic solvents. The drug carrier properties of the supramolecular hydrogels were evaluated in vitro using a model system [67]. Fluorescein isothiocyanate labeled dextran (dextran-FITC) was physically entrapped in the hydrogels and their in vitro release properties were characterized. Figure 15 shows the in vitro release profiles of dextran-FITC from the hydrogels with PEO of different molecular weights or different PEO concentrations. The release rate decreases sharply with an increase in the molecular weight of PEO up to 35,000, presumably because of the chain entanglement effect and different complex stability (Fig. 15a). The release rate is quite steady with time for gels formed with PEO 35,000 (Gel-35K-60) and 100,000 (Gel-100K-60). Although Gel-100K-60 shows the most sustained release kinetics, it was studied here mostly for



Fig. 15 a,b In vitro release profiles for dextran-FITC (MW 20,000) released from α -CD–PEO hydrogels formed from PEO of different molecular weights **a** and different concentrations **b**. Refer to Table 1 for the compositions of the hydrogels [67]

understanding of the structure–property relationship as PEO at this large would be undesirable for in *vivo* applications due to the difficulty of its clearance from the body. When α -CD used was fixed at 0.0967 g mL⁻¹, hydrogel compositions with PEO of 0.133–0.177 g mL⁻¹ (Gel-35K-60 and Gel-35K-80) gave the most sustained release profiles (Fig. 15b). Compositions with PEG contents outside this range resulted in more rapid release. Therefore, the optimal formulations of the α -CD–PEO hydrogels for sustained release of drugs may be those of Gel-20K-60 and Gel-35K-60.

Hydrogels formed by PEO block copolymers have previously been proposed as sustained release matrix [85, 86]. The α -CD–PEO hydrogel delivery system differs in that the gelation relies on the formation of a polymer inclusion complex induced by the PEO-threaded CDs. The properties of the supramolecular hydrogel can be fine-tuned with the composition, molecular weight and chemical structure of the polymer or copolymers.

Although the α -CD–PEO hydrogels were demonstrated as a potential injectable drug delivery system, we are facing some challenges in applying the hydrogels in clinical applications. Generally, the release kinetics is too fast, and only suitable for short-term drug release with a time span of less than 1 week, because the dissociation of the hydrogel in aqueous environment is rapid due to the hydrophilic nature of PEO. In addition, the use of high molecular weight PEO of more than 10,000 in *vivo* will be problematic, because PEO is not biodegradable, and the high molecular weigh PEO is not suitable for filtration through human kidney membrane due to the large hydrodynamic radius.

To overcome the above mentioned problems, the supramolecular hydrogels self-assembled between α -CD and the biodegradable PEO–PHB–PEO triblock copolymers were studied for controlled drug delivery applications. It was found that the preferential inclusion complexation of α -CD with PEO block together with the hydrophobic interaction between the middle PHB blocks cooperatively result in a self-assembly system that induces a strong macromolecular network to give a supramolecular hydrogel system which is suitable for long-term sustained release of drugs [71].

The in vitro controlled release properties of the α -CD-PEO-PHB-PEO hydrogels were studied using fluorescein isothiocyanate labeled dextran (dextran-FITC) as a model macromolecular drug. Table 4 lists the dextran-FITC encapsulated α -CD-PEO-PHB-PEO hydrogels with different compositions that were used for the in vitro release kinetics studies. The α -CD-PEO (35,000 Da) hydrogel formulation was used as a comparison. Figure 16 shows the in vitro release profiles for dextran-FITC released from the supramolecular hydrogels. Both PEO-PHB-PEO triblock copolymers at 13 wt% in water were solutions, and could not sustain release of dextran-FITC because they dispersed in large quantity of water or PBS buffer in seconds. The α -CD-PEO homopolymer hydrogel even with very high PEO molecular weight (35,000 Da) dissociated and dissolved in PBS within 5 days (Fig. 16a, Gel-1) [67]. However, the α-CD-PEO-PHB-PEO (5000-3140-5000) hydrogels with reasonably high α -CD concentration (9.7 wt%, Fig. 16e, Gel-5) showed excellent controlled release property, sustaining the release of dextran-FITC for more than 1 month. The hydrogels with lower α -CD concentrations resulted in much faster release kinetics (Fig. 16c, Gel-3, and Fig. 4d, Gel-4), indicating

Hydrogel	Polymer used	Gel composition (wt%)					
formulation		α-CD	Polymer	Dextran-FITC	H ₂ O		
Gel-1	PEO(35000)	9.7	13.3	0.66	76.3		
Gel-2	PEO-PHB-PEO(5000-1750-5000)	9.7	13.3	0.66	76.3		
Gel-3	PEO-PHB-PEO(5000-3140-5000)	5.4	13.3	0.66	80.6		
Gel-4	PEO-PHB-PEO(5000-3140-5000)	7.9	13.3	0.66	78.1		
Gel-5	PEO-PHB-PEO(5000-3140-5000)	9.7	13.3	0.66	76.3		

Table 4 α -CD-PEO–PHB–PEO supramolecular hydrogel formulations used for in vitro controlled release studies [71]



Fig. 16 a–e In vitro release profiles for dextran-FITC released from α -CD–PEO–PHB–PEO hydrogels with different compositions in comparison with α -CD–PEO hydrogel: **a** Gel-1; **b** Gel-2; **c** Gel-3; **d** Gel-4; **e** Gel-5 [71]. The compositions of the hydrogels are listed in Table 4

that the complexation between α -CD and the PEO blocks play a key role in formation of a stable supramolecular hydrogel. Interestingly, α -CD-PEO-PHB-PEO (5000-1750-5000) hydrogel with shorter PHB block only sustained the release of dextran-FITC for 6 days (Figs. 16 and 2b, Gel-2), although its α-CD concentration was high (9.7 wt%). The results indicate that the PHB block length is also critical for the stability of the supramolecular hydrogels, which further support the hypothesis that the cooperation effect of both α -CD complexation with PEO segments and the hydrophobic interaction between PHB blocks results in the formation of hydrogels with strong supramolecular networks as well as the long-term sustained release property that many simple triblock copolymer delivery systems could not achieve. These findings implicate that, the properties of the supramolecular hydrogels can be fine-tuned not only with different lengths of PHB block, but also with different copolymers, which may open up a wide range of possible biomedical applications. Although there were supramolecular hydrogel formation between α -CD with homo-PEO [59, 67], the α -CD–PEO–PHB–PEO hydrogels were different because there was the cooperative effect of the self-assembly of inclusion complexes of α -CD with PEO segments and the hydrophobic interaction between the PHB segments, which led to the formation of a strong hydrogel network. Not only are lower molecular weights of the copolymer needed in the α -CD–PEO–PHB–PEO hydrogels for sustained release, but also the biodegradable PEO-PHB-PEO copolymers will have the advantage that the hydrogel formulations will be bioabsorbable after the drug delivery and dissociation of the hydrogels into their components [71].

Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels

Recently, another supramolecular hydrogel formed between α -CD and biodegradable and amphiphilic PEO–PCL diblock copolymer was also studied for controlled drug delivery. The hydrogel was found to have significantly improved sustained release property compared to α -CD–PEO supramolecular hydrogels. The release of dextran-FITC from α -CD–PEO–PCL hydrogels could be lasted for 1 month even though the molecular weight of PEO block is only 5,000, which is attributed to the strong hydrophobic interaction between uncovered PCL blocks. Thus, the requirement of high molecular weight PEO for long term delivery system is avoided [72].

It was found that the α -CD–PEO–PCL hydrogel showed similar performance to those formed by PEO–PHB–PEO triblock copolymers and α -CD in controlled release of macromolecular drugs [71]. So, the α -CD–PEO–PCL hydrogel can be an alternative to achieve the sustained release using different copolymers. Particularly, PEO–PCL diblock copolymer is easier to synthesize and commercially available, thus it is attractive for further application studies using the α -CD–PEO– PCL hydrogels.

2.7 Gelation Induced by Physical Interaction of Threaded Methylated CDs in Polyrotaxanes

Recently a polyrotaxane composed of multiple methylated α -CD rings threaded on a high molecular weight PEO chain and end-capped by bulky adamantyl groups was synthesized (Fig. 17) and investigated in terms of its thermo-reversible sol–gel transition and hydrogel formation [87].

The aqueous solutions of the polyrotaxane of methylated α -CD (MePR), where the degree of substitution (DS) of the methylated α -CD, the number of modified



Fig. 17 Molecular structure of polyrotaxane formed by methylated α-CD (MePR) [87]

hydroxyl groups per glucose unit, was 2.8, showed a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) and form an elastic hydrogel with increasing temperature. When the concentrations of the MePR was 2 wt%, the solution became turbid at 40 °C. The MePR solution of 4 wt% formed a hydrogel at 20 °C, and became a sol at lower temperature. The X-ray diffraction investigation revealed that the localization and highly ordered arrangement of methylated α -CD along the PEG chain in the gel increased with increasing temperature. The arrangement of methylated α -CD was also reflected by the changes in elasticity and long relaxation behavior of the solution around the sol–gel transition.

Based on the investigations by the authors using X-ray diffraction, microdifferential scanning calorimetry, and rheometry, they proposed a mechanism for the sol–gel transition of the MePR/water system (Fig. 18) [87]. The crystal-like crosslinks of MePR in water are originated from the regularly ordered structure of methylated α -CDs along a PEG chain. The thermal gelation of MePR solution is induced not merely by the hydrophobic association among methylated α -CD, but also by the strong crystal-like aggregation as proved by the X-ray diffraction study.

3 Chemical Hydrogels Formed by Polypseudorotaxanes and Polyrotaxanes

3.1 Gelation Induced by Chemical Crosslinking of CDs

Through chemically crosslinking the α -CD molecules in polyrotaxanes, a class of supramolecular networks which are also called "sliding gels" has been developed (Fig. 19) [88], where cyanuric chloride, divinyl-sulfone, and bifunctional PEO were used as the chemical crosslinking agents [88–95].

Okumura and Ito reported the synthesis of sliding gels by chemically crosslinking α -CD molecules in the polyrotaxanes formed by multiple α -CD rings threaded on a PEO chain and end-capped by 2,4-dinitro-phenyl groups, using cyanuric chloride as the crosslinking agent. The crosslinking points are also described as figure-of-eight crosslinks (Fig. 20) [89]. The resultant sliding gels are transparent gels with good tensility, low viscosity, and large swellability in water. It is interesting that the threading PEO polymer chains in the gels are neither covalently crosslinked like in a chemical gel, nor physically crosslinked by weak cohesion forces like in a physical gel. Instead, they are topologically interlocked by the figure-of-eight cross-links. It is expected that this kind of special crosslink can pass along the polymer chains freely to equalize the 'tension' of the threading polymer chains like a pulley. Therefore, the nanoscale heterogeneity in structure and stress may be automatically equalized in the gel. Another example of sliding gels was reported by Hadzziioannou and coworkers, where the α -CD molecules in the polyrotaxanes were crosslinked by using divinyl-sulfone as the crosslinking agent (Fig. 21) [88].



Fig. 18 a, b Schematic illustration of the sol-gel transition of the polyrotaxane of methylated α -CD in Water. There are isolated chains and only limited clusters of polyrotaxane in the solution at lower temperature **a** and large number of crosslinks consisting of crystal-like aggregates among localized methylated CDs in the gel at higher temperatures **b** [87]



Fig. 19 Schematic illustration of the sliding gel [88]



Fig. 20 a The polyrotaxane formed by α -CD and PEO with 2,4-dinitro-phenyl end caps. b The figure-of-eight cross-link: covalently cross-linked cyclodextrins. c Schematic diagram of the polyrotaxane gel prepared from the sparse polyrotaxane by covalently cross-linking cyclodextrins using cyanuric chloride as crosslinking agent [89]



Fig. 21 General scheme for chemically crosslinking α -CD molecules in a polyrotaxane formed by α -CD and PEO with 2,4-dinitro-phenyl end caps by divinyl-sulfone as crosslinking agent [88]



Fig. 22 Schematic illustration for the preparation of polyrotaxane hydrogels by chemically crosslinking α -CD molecules in the polyrotaxane with hydrolyzable end caps, using PEO-bisamine as the crosslinking agent [92]

Yui's lab prepared hydrogels by chemically crosslinking α -CD molecules in the polyrotaxanes using bifunctional PEO as the crosslinking agent (Fig. 22) [92–95]. In this design, a polyrotaxane with hydrolyzable end caps was used in order to obtain a biodegradable hydrogel. In addition, PEO is also a popularly used biocompatible polymer in biomaterials, therefore, the polyrotaxane hydrogels crosslinked PEO may be of interest in biomedical applications.

A series of polyrotaxane hydrogels crosslinked by PEO was prepared and their hydrolytic erosion behavior was studied [92–95]. Because of the interlocked supramolecular structure, the hydrogel degradation in a physiological condition followed a bulk mechanism. This characteristic may be useful for a biodegradable polymer hydrogel scaffold for tissue engineering application. The control of the gel erosion time with bulk mechanism can allow the scaffold to maintain its structures and properties during the tissue regeneration, and then rapidly decompose and disappear by the bulk erosion after the tissue regeneration has completed. From the result of the erosion study, the time to reach complete gel erosion was found to be prolonged by increasing the PEO/ α -CD ratio, the number of PEO chains per one α -CD molecule in the hydrogels. These results indicate the enhanced stability of ester hydrolysis in the hydrogels with highly water swollen state. The erosion profile of the hydrogels can be controlled by the molecular weight of the PEO-bisamine used, in addition to the PEO/ α -CD ratio [93]. The fibroblast adhesion and proliferation on the polyrotaxane hydrogels were also studied [94].

3.2 Gelation Induced by Chemical Crosslinking of Threading Polymers

A class of supramolecular hydrogels was developed by Feng's group through chemically crosslinking the threading polymers in the polypseudorotaxane hydrogels [96–99]. Basically, polypseudorotaxanes were prepared from α -CD and photopolymerizable PEO copolymers bearing acrylate terminals. Since the PEO block has large molecular weight, the polypseudorotaxanes formed physical hydrogels as described in Sect. 2.1. The chemically crosslinked supramolecular hydrogels were prepared from the polypseudorotaxane hydrogels in a mixed solvent of water and dimethyl sulfoxide via in situ photopolymerization under UV irradiation using 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenyl acetophenone as the photoinitiator. In the resultant chemical hydrogels, multiple α -CD rings are threaded and immobilized onto the network chains with the crosslinking junctions as topological stoppers to prevent the dethreading of the α -CD rings, forming permanent supramolecular hydrogels (Fig. 23) [96, 97].

The threading acrylate-terminated PEO copolymers used in these hydrogels preparation include PCL–PEO–PCL triblock polymer [96], PLA–PEO–PLA triblock polymer [97], 4-arm PEO star polymer [98], and PCL–Pluronic–PCL block polymer [100]. Particularly for those formed from biodegradable block copolymers as the threading polymers, the hydrogels may be of interest in biomaterials applications because of their potential biodegradability.



Fig. 23 Schematic illustration of the preparation of chemically crosslinked polyrotaxane hydrogels through crosslinking the ends of the threading polymers via UV photopolymerization [97]

Thermosensitive supramolecular hydrogels of this type were synthesized via copolymerization of *N*-isopropylacrylamide (NIPA) with photocurable and biodegradable polypseudorotaxanes as crosslinkers under UV irradiation, where the polypseudorotaxane precursors were prepared by α -CD and amphiphilic PLA–PEO–PLA copolymers end-capped with methacryloyl groups [99]. It was reported that the crosslinked hydrogel made of only the macromer guest shows also thermosensitive. However, this stimuliresponsive property disappears when α -CD rings are threaded onto the PLA–PEO–PLA polymeric backbone and reappears when PNIPA segments are introduced. The thermosensitivity of these hydrogels could be modulated by changing the PNIPA content as well as the α -CD to macromer ratio.

Most recently, three-dimensional crosslinked networks based on the α -CD polypseudorotaxane hydrogels formed from thiolated 4-arm PEO were prepared by thiol-disulfide interchange reaction using a three-step oxidation (Fig. 24) [101]. The channel-type crystalline structure of inclusion complexes is still maintained after the oxidation processes. The hydrogels may undergo a reversible gelation–decomposition transition through the oxidation and reduction processes because of the disulfide crosslinks. The swelling behaviors and degradation properties can be readily regulated by tuning the feed compositions of α -CD and PEO-thiolated prepolymer.



Fig. 24 Schematic illustration of the preparation of chemically crosslinked polyrotaxane hydrogels through crosslinking the ends of the threading polymers via oxidation crosslinking processes [101]

4 Concluding Remarks

The advances in the studies on the inclusion complexes of CDs threading onto polymer chains have led to interesting development of supramolecular hydrogels with many different molecular and supramolecular structures. Both physical and chemical hydrogels of many different types were developed based on the CD-based polypseudorotaxanes and polyrotaxanes.

Physical hydrogels were formed induced by self-assembled water-insoluble and crystalline polypseudorotaxane domains which act as physical crosslinking points. Such physical hydrogels include the polypseudorotaxane systems of α -CD threading on PEO or its copolymers, α -CD threaded on PEI, PL, or their copolymers, and even β -CD or γ -CD threaded PPO or PEI copolymers. The thermo-reversible and thixotropic properties of these supramolecular hydrogels have inspired their applications as injectable drug delivery systems. Physical hydrogels induced by physical interaction of threaded CD molecules in polyrotaxanes were also developed.

Chemical hydrogels formed from polypseudorotaxanes and polyrotaxanes were based on the chemical crosslinking of either CD molecules or the threading polymer chains. Polyrotaxane hydrogels with chemically crosslinked CD rings are also called sliding gels. A number of different crosslinking agents including PEO and small molecules were used for the crosslinking. Photopolymerizable or oxidative crosslinkable threading copolymers were used to form polypseudorotaxane hydrogels followed by chemical crosslinking. Such processes resulted in formation of another type of supramolecular chemical hydrogels. Notably, the chemical hydrogels formed from polypseudorotaxanes and polyrotaxanes were often made biodegradable through incorporation of hydrolyzable threading polymers, end caps, or crosslinkers, for their potential applications as biomaterials.

The hydrogels based on CD-based polypseudorotaxanes and polyrotaxanes will continue to be a hot topic because of the tremendous possibility of the different properties and functionalities induced by the supramolecular structures.

Acknowldgments The author acknowledges the financial support from the Academic Research Fund, Ministry of Education, Singapore (Grant codes: R-397-000-031-112 and R-397-000-019-112) and the Institute of Materials Research and Engineering, A*STAR, Singapore.

References

- 1. Peppas NA (1987) Hydrogels in medicine and pharmacy, vol. 1. CRC, Florida
- 2. Park K, Shalaby WSW, Park H (1993) Biodegradable hydrogels for drug delivery. Technomic, Lancaster
- 3. Kishida A, Ikada Y (2002) Hydrogels for biomedical and pharmaceutical applications. In: Dumitriu S (ed) Polymeric biomaterials. Marcel Dekker, New York
- 4. Li J (2004) Polymeric hydrogels. In: Teoh SH (ed) Biomaterials engineering and processing series, vol. 1. Engineering materials for biomedical applications. World Scientific, New Jersey
- 5. Park H, Park K (1996) Pharm Res 13:1770-1776

Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels

- 6. Kim S, Bae Y, Okano T (1992) Pharm Res 9:283-290
- 7. Heller J (1993) Adv Drug Deliv Rev 10:163-204
- 8. Kamath KR, Park K (1993) Adv Drug Deliv Rev 11:59-84
- 9. Graham NB (1987) Poly(ethylene oxide) and related hydrogels. In: Peppas NA (ed) Hydrogels in medicine and pharmacy, vol. 2. CRC, Boca Raton
- 10. Harris JM (1992) Poly(ethylene glycol) chemistry: biotechnical and biomedical applications. Plenum, New York
- 11. Apicella A, Capello B, Del Nobile MA, La Rotonda MI, Mensitieri GL, Nicolais S, Seccia S (1994) Poly(ethylene oxide)-based delivery systems. In: Ottenbrite M (ed) Polymeric drugs and drug administration. American Chemical Society, Washington DC
- 12. Dimitrov I, Trzebicka B, Muller AHE, Dworak A, Tsvetanov CB (2007) Prog Polym Sci 32:1275–1343
- 13. Bender ML, Komiyama M (1978) Cyclodextrin chemistry. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- 14. Szejtli J (1982) Cyclodextrins and their inclusion complexes. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest
- 15. Szejtli J (1998) Chem Rev 98:1743-1753
- 16. Harada A, Kamachi M (1990) Macromolecules 23:2821-2823
- 17. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1992) Nature 356:325–327
- 18. Wenz G, Keller B (1992) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 31:197-199
- 19. Wenz G (1994) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 33:803-822
- 20. Wenz G, Han BH, Muller A (2006) Chem Rev 106:782-817
- 21. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1993) Macromolecules 26:5698-5703
- 22. Harada A, Li J, Kamachi M (1994) Nature 370:126-128
- 23. Li J, Harada A, Kamachi M (1994) Bull Chem Soc Jpn 67:2808-2818
- 24. Li J, Uzawa J, Doi Y (1998) Bull Chem Soc Jpn 71:1953-1957
- 25. Fujita H, Ooya T, Yui N (1999) Macromolecules 32:2534-2541
- 26. Li J, Li X, Toh KC, Ni XP, Zhou ZH, Leong KW (2001) Macromolecules 34:8829-8831
- 27. Li J, Toh KC (2002) J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2:35-40
- 28. Jiao H, Goh SH, Valiyaveettil S (2002) Macromolecules 35:1980-1983
- 29. Li J, Ni XP, Leong K (2003) Angew Chem Int Ed 42:69-72
- 30. Li X, Li J, Leong KW (2003) Macromolecules 36:1209-1214
- 31. Li J, Ni XP, Zhou ZH, Leong KW (2003) J Am Chem Soc 125:1788-1795
- 32. Jiao H, Goh SH, Valiyaveettil S, Zheng JW (2003) Macromolecules 36:4241-4243
- 33. Shuai XT, Porbeni FE, Wei M, Shin ID, Tonelli AE (2001) Macromolecules 34:7355-7361
- 34. Lu J, Mirau PA, Shin ID, Nojima S, Tonelli AE (2002) Macromol Chem Phys 203:71-79
- 35. Zhao TJ, Beckham HW (2003) Macromolecules 36:9859-9865
- 36. Li J, Chen B, Wang X, Goh SH (2004) Polymer 45:1777-1785
- 37. Li X, Li J, Leong KW (2004) Polymer 45:6845-6851
- Girardeau TE, Zhao TJ, Leisen J, Beckham HW, Bucknall DG (2005) Macromolecules 38:2261–2270
- 39. Liu Y, Liang P, Chen Y, Zhang YM, Zheng JY, Yue H (2005) Macromolecules 38:9095-9099
- 40. Liu Y, Yang YW, Chen Y, Zou HX (2005) Macromolecules 38:5838-5840
- 41. Huang JC, Li X, Lin TT, He CB, Mya KY, Xiao Y, Li J (2004) J Polym Sci B Polym Phys 42:1173–1180
- 42. Huang FH, Gibson HW (2005) Prog Polym Sci 30:982-1018
- 43. Choi HS, Yui N (2006) Prog Polym Sci 31:121-144
- 44. Nepogodiev SA, Stoddart JF (1998) Chem Rev 98:1959-1976
- 45. Raymo FM, Stoddart JF (1999) Chem Rev 99:1643–1663
- 46. Rusa CC, Tonelli AE (2000) Macromolecules 33:1813-1818
- 47. Rusa CC, Rusa M, Gomez M, Shin ID, Fox JD, Tonelli AE (2004) Macromolecules 37:7992–7999
- Hernandez R, Rusa M, Rusa CC, Lopez D, Mijangos C, Tonelli AE (2004) Macromolecules 37:9620–9625
- 49. Liu KL, Goh SH, Li J (2008) Macromolecules 41:6027-6034
- 50. Li J, Loh XJ (2008) Adv Drug Deliv Rev 60:1000-1017

- 51. Yui N, Ooya T (2006) Chem Eur J 12:6730-6737
- 52. Yui N, Ooya T, Kumeno T (1998) Bioconjugate Chem 9:118-125
- 53. Ooya T, Yui N (1999) J Control Release 58:251-269
- 54. Li J, Yang C, Li HZ, Wang X, Goh SH, Ding JL, Wang DY, Leong KW (2006) Adv Mater 18:2969–2974
- 55. Yang C, Wang X, Li HZ, Goh SH, Li J (2007) Biomacromolecules 8:3365-3374
- 56. Yang C, Li H, Wang X, Li J (2009) J Biomed Mater Res A: DOI: 10.1002/jbm.a.31976
- 57. Shuai XT, Merdan T, Unger F, Wittmar M, Kissel T (2003) Macromolecules 36:5751–5759
- 58. Loethen S, Kim JM, Thompson DH (2007) Polym Rev 47:383-418
- 59. Li J, Harada A, Kamachi M (1994) Polym J 26:1019-1026
- 60. Deng W, Yamaguchi H, Takashima Y, Harada A (2007) Angew Chem Int Ed 46:5144-5147
- 61. Amiel C, Sebille B (1999) Adv Colloid Interf Sci 79:105-122
- 62. Weickenmeier M, Wenz G, Huff J (1997) Macromol Rapid Commun 18:1117-1123
- 63. Wenz G, Weickenmeier M, Huff J (2000) ACS Symp Ser 765:271
- Guo XH, Abdala AA, May BL, Lincoln SF, Khan SA, Prud'homme RK (2005) Macromolecules 38:3037–3040
- 65. Kretschmann O, Choi SW, Miyauchi M, Tomatsu I, Harada A, Ritter H (2006) Angew Chem Int Ed 45:4361–4365
- 66. Zhang ZX, Liu X, Xu FJ, Loh XJ, Kang ET, Neoh KG, Li J (2008) Macromolecules 41:5967–5970
- 67. Li J, Ni XP, Leong KW (2003) J Biomed Mater Res A 65A:196-202
- 68. Li J, Li X, Zhou ZH, Ni XP, Leong KW (2001) Macromolecules 34:7236-7237
- 69. Li J, Li X, Ni XP, Wang X, Li HZ, Zhou ZH (2005) Key Eng Mater 288–289:117–120
- 70. Ni X, Cheng A, Li J (2009) J Biomed Mater Res A: DOI: 10.1002/jbm.a.31906
- 71. Li J, Li X, Ni XP, Wang X, Li HZ, Leong KW (2006) Biomaterials 27:4132-4140
- 72. Li X, Li J (2008) J Biomed Mater Res A 86A:1055–1061
- 73. Li J, Li X, Ni XP, Leong KW (2003) Macromolecules 36:2661-2667
- 74. Li J, Ni XP, Li X, Tan NK, Lim CT, Ramakrishna S, Leong KW (2005) Langmuir 21:8681–8685
- 75. Li X, Mya KY, Ni XP, He CB, Leong KW, Li J (2006) J Phys Chem B 110:5920-5926
- 76. Jongpaiboonkit L, Zhou ZH, Ni XP, Wang YZ, Li J (2006) J Biomater Sci Polym Ed 17:747–763
- 77. Huh KM, Ooya T, Lee WK, Sasaki S, Kwon IC, Jeong SY, Yui N (2001) Macromolecules 34:8657–8662
- Huh KM, Cho YW, Chung H, Kwon IC, Jeong SY, Ooya T, Lee WK, Sasaki S, Yui N (2004) Macromol Biosci 4:92–99
- 79. He LH, Huang J, Chen YM, Xu XJ, Liu LP (2005) Macromolecules 38:3845-3851
- 80. Wang ZM, Chen YM (2007) Macromolecules 40:3402-3407
- Choi HS, Ooya T, Sasaki S, Yui N, Kurisawa M, Uyama H, Kobayashi S (2004) ChemPhysChem 5:1431–1434
- 82. Choi HS, Yamamoto K, Ooya T, Yui N (2005) ChemPhysChem 6:1081-1086
- Choi HS, Kontani K, Huh KM, Sasaki S, Ooya T, Lee WK, Yui N (2002) Macromol Biosci 2:298–303
- 84. Joung YK, Ooya T, Yamaguchi M, Yui N (2007) Adv Mater 19:396
- 85. Jeong B, Bae YH, Lee DS, Kim SW (1997) Nature 388:860–862
- 86. Jeong B, Bae YH, Kim SW (2000) J Control Release 63:155-163
- Kataoka T, Kidowaki M, Zhao CM, Minamikawa H, Shimizu T, Ito K (2006) J Phys Chem B 110:24377–24383
- 88. Fleury G, Schlatter G, Brochon C, Hadziioannou G (2005) Polymer 46:8494-8501
- 89. Okumura Y, Ito K (2001) Adv Mater 13:485-487
- 90. Takata T (2006) Polym J 38:1-20
- 91. Ito K (2007) Polym J 39:489-499
- 92. Watanabe J, Ooya T, Park KD, Kim YH, Yui N (2000) J Biomater Sci Polym Ed 11:1333– 1345
- 93. Ichi T, Watanabe J, Ooya T, Yui N (2001) Biomacromolecules 2:204-210

Cyclodextrin Inclusion Polymers Forming Hydrogels

- 94. Watanabe J, Ooya T, Nitta KH, Park KD, Kim YH, Yui N (2002) Biomaterials 23:4041-4048
- 95. Ichi T, Ooya T, Yui N (2003) Macromol Biosci 3:373-380
- 96. Feng ZG, Zhao SP (2003) Polymer 44:5177-5186
- 97. Wei HL, He JY, Sun LG, Zhu KQ, Feng ZG (2005) Eur Polym J 41:948-957
- 98. Wei HL, Zhang AY, Qian LJ, Yu HQ, Hou DD, Qiu RX, Feng ZG (2005) J Polym Sci Pol Chem 43:2941–2949
- 99. Wei HL, Yu HQ, Zhang AY, Sun LG, Hou DD, Feng ZG (2005) Macromolecules 38: 8833–8839
- 100. Zhao SP, Zhang LM, Ma D, Yang C, Yan L (2006) J Phys Chem B 110:16503-16507
- 101. Yu HQ, Feng ZG, Zhang AY, Sun LG, Qian LJ (2006) Soft Matter 2:343-349

Polymerization of Included Monomers and Behaviour of Resulting Polymers

SooWhan Choi, Sadik Amajjahe, and Helmut Ritter

Abstract Cyclodextrins (CDs) are of interest to synthetic chemists because of their chemical stability and the possibility to modify these molecules in a regioselective manner. For supramolecular chemistry CDs are of great importance, since they represent a homologous series of water-soluble and chiral host molecules which can be used as models for studying weak interactions. In addition, their low price provides motivation for the discovery of new applications. Thus, CDs are used for the solubilization and encapsulation of drugs, perfumes, and flavourings. These applications are due to their low toxicity and their biodegradablity. Finally, they are of general interest because they are obtained from a renewable resource, starch (Eggersdorfer, M., Warwel, S., Wulff, G.: Nachwachsende Rohstoffe Perspektiven für die Chemie. VCH, Weinheim (1993).

Keywords Cyclodextrin, Cyclodextrin complex, Hydrophilic hosts, Inclusion, Polymerization

Contents

- 1 Cyclodextrins as Hydrophilic Hosts
- 2 Influences of CDs on Co- and Homopolymerization
 - 2.1 Polymerization of CD Complexed Monomers
 - 2.2 Polymerization of a CD Complexed Photoinitiator and a Water Soluble Monomer

2.3 Polymerization of CD Complexed Monomer and Water Soluble Monomer References

S. Choi, S. Amajjahe, and H. Ritter (🖂)

Institute of Organic Chemistry and Polymer Chemistry, Heinrich-Heine-University of Duesseldorf, Universitaetsstr. 1 40225 Duesseldorf, Germany e-mail: H.Ritter@uni-duesseldorf.de

[©] Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2009

Abbreviations

2,2'-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile)
2-Acrylamido-2-methylpropansulfonate
8-Anilino-1-naphthalinsulfonacid ammonium
Cyclodextrin
Two-dimensional rotating-frame overhauser spectroscopy
Diethyl fumarate
Diethyl maleate
N,N-Dimethyl formaldehyde
Differential scanning calorimetry
N, N-Dimethylacrylamide
3,4-Ethylenedioxythiophene
Fourier transformations infra red
Gel Permeation Chromatography
High pressure liquid chromatography
Lower critical solution temperature
Matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight
mass spectroscopy
Methylated
Polydispersities
<i>N</i> -Isopropylacrylamide
Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
Copolymerization parameters
Reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer
Retention factor
Critical solution temperature
Glass transition temperature
Transparency
Thin layer chromatography
Thiocarbonylsulfanylpropionic acid
Ultra violet spectroscopy
2-Methylpropionamidine dihydrochloride

1 Cyclodextrins as Hydrophilic Hosts

A supramolecular structure results from defined non-covalent interactions between several individual molecules. [1–9]. Host–guest complexes [10–13] are important examples of this type of structure. The host molecule offers the guest molecule a suitable environment, generally a cavity (Scheme 1). The driving forces for complexation can arise from coulombic, dipole–dipole, van der Waals, hydrophobic, solvatophobic, or hydrogen bonding interactions between host and guest [14, 15]. The outer sphere of the host should be compatible with the required solvent in order to avoid aggregation or insolubility problems.



Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of complexation and polymerization of β -CD-complexed monomers

The formation of the complexes leads to significant changes of the solubility and reactivity of the guest molecules without any chemical modification. Thus, water insoluble molecules may become completely water soluble simply by mixing with an aqueous solution of native CD and CD derivatives, e.g. methylated (Me) or hydroxypropylated CD. The water solubility of these inclusion compounds enables detection of complex formation in solution by spectroscopic methods, such as NMR [16], UV, fluorescence, or circular dichroism spectroscopy, as well as by thermodynamic methods, e.g. microcalorimetry [17] or density [18, 19], or by solubility measurements. Likewise, mass spectrometry was used [20].

A crystal of the complexes suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis shows an orthorhombic structure, in which the guest molecule is complexed by the CD cavity (Fig. 1) [21, 22]. It is interesting to note that the complexes are packed in columns, and the CD toruses are tilted in an alternatively oriented way, wherein the orientation of the entire column can be either upwards or downwards. The guest molecules are located almost perpendicularly to the host.

2D–ROESY measurements can be evaluated along with the constitution of the complexes (Fig. 2) [23]. Cross peaks can be detected between the protons of the guest molecule and the inner protons of the Me- β -CD torus.

A further important and easy method to prove the formation of a complex is thin layer chromatography. For example, the R_f-values of methylated β -CD (Me- β -CD, $R_f = 0.69$) and uncomplexed phenyl methacrylate ($R_f = 0.92$) in methanol are significantly different from the values of the complex ($R_f = 0.54$) [24].



Fig. 1 a X-ray structure of 2,4-dihydroxyphenyl-4'-hydroxybenzylketone/Me-B-CD [21]. b Arrangement of α -CD dimers and diethyl fumarate molecules to columns along [001] in the crystal (note: packing of host/guest columns) [22]



Fig. 2 2D-ROESY-NMR-spectrum of N-methacryloyl-1-aminohexane/Me-β-CD [23]

Polymerization of Included Monomers and Behaviour of Resulting Polymers

The FT–IR spectra of the complexed and uncomplexed guest molecules 11-(methacryloylamino)-undecanoic acid showed that the carbonyl bonds of the complexed monomer are shifted to higher frequencies from 1,708 to 1,712 cm⁻¹. This clearly indicates the influence of the host ring molecule on the carbonyl vibration [25].

2 Influences of CDs on Co- and Homopolymerization

We applied CDs to solubilize various types of vinyl monomers which are otherwise water insoluble. This unique behaviour of CD allows it to copolymerize hydrophobic monomers complexed in CDs with water soluble comonomers.

2.1 Polymerization of CD Complexed Monomers

In earlier works we reported on the preparation and structural analysis of 1:1 host– guest compounds of several types of CDs with pyrrole or 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene (EDT), and their oxidative polymerization in water. These complexes were prepared simply by adding one equivalent of monomer to one equivalent of CD in water (Fig. 3) [26].

From some of these complexes, i.e. pyrrole/ α -CD, EDT/ α -CD, and EDT/ β -CD, we were able to obtain single crystals that were studied by X-ray analysis. These show the herringbone fashioned cage-type crystal structure of pyrrole/ α -CD, proving that it is a 1:1 complex with the pyrrole molecule located within the CD-cavity. The solid host–guest complexes are very stable under ambient conditions [21, 22]. After several weeks, the crystals remain unchanged while the unmodified monomers



Fig. 3 Pyrrole or 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene (EDT)/CD complex formation in water and oxidative polymerization of CD complexes

themselves change colour after a short time under the same conditions due to partial oxidation. Surprisingly, in the case of the EDT/ α -CD, X-ray analysis showed the formation of a 1:1 non-inclusion channel-type packed supramolecular complex based on multiple hydrogen bonds. These colourless, crystalline pyrrole/CD and EDT/CD complexes that are barely soluble at room temperature were polymerized in water at 60°C under oxidative conditions.

All experiments showed that the corresponding polymers precipitated from solution. After filtration and washing with hot water, polypyrrole and poly(EDT) were obtained as dark powders in their oxidized state. Conductivity measurements showed that these materials have the same electrical properties $(10-100 \text{ S cm}^{-1})$ as conventionally prepared polypyrrole or poly(EDT) [27,28].

We also reported on the first examples of the free radical polymerization and copolymerization of a fluorinated 2-vinylcyclopropane monomer in aqueous solution via the host–guest complexation with Me- β -CD using a water-soluble initiator [29]. 2-Vinylcyclopropanes containing electron-withdrawing and radical-stabilizing groups are known to undergo radical ring-opening polymerization leading to polymers consisting predominantly, but not solely, of 1,5-linear olefin structures. The fluorinated vinylcyclopropane was homopolymerized in the presence of 7 mol equivalent of random Me- β -CD in aqueous medium at 60°C by the water-soluble azo initiator V50 within 2 h, in 50% yield (Fig. 4).

Fluorinated vinylcyclopropane was copolymerized with different ratios of hexyl substituted vinylcyclopropane via their respective complexes in Me-β-CD in



Fig. 4 Complexation of fluorinated vinylcyclopropane monomer with Me- β -CD and its homopolymerization and copolymerization with hexyl substituted vinylcyclopropane in aqueous solution

Polymer	1 in copol. [mol%]	$\begin{array}{c} T_g \\ [^\circ C] \end{array}$	$T_i{}^a$ $[^\circ C]$	$\Delta H_{ m i}{}^{ m a}$ $[{ m J~g}^{-1}]$
P(1-co-2)a	29	19	173	0.7
P(1-co-2)b	55	18	182	14.6
P(1-co-2)c	77	30	184	15.3
P1	100	35	188	14.1
an	• • •	• .• .		1

Table 1 Phase transition temperatures of fluorinated copolyvinylcyclopropanes (by DSC, scanrate 10° C min⁻¹)

^aSmectic-isotropic or isotropization temperature and enthalpy

aqueous solution in an analogous manner (Table 1). The prepared polymers exhibited liquid crystal behaviour. No crystallinity was detected by our DSC analyses of the fluorinated copolymers and the thermotropic mesophases occurred above the glass transition temperature. The fluorinated homopolymer is known to form smectic phases [30], and we assume that consistently the copolymers poly(1-*co*-2) also formed a smectic mesophase. This was generated by the self-assembly of the fluorinated side groups into an ordered registry of smectic layers. The degree of order of the mesophase could vary with copolymer composition according to the different isotropization enthalpies measured.

It is noteworthy that the formation of a mesophase was sustained in the copolymers even incorporating low proportions of mesogenic fluorinated side groups. Moreover, the introduction of repeat co-units with hexyl substituents lowered T_g by internal plasticization and resulted in a somewhat broader mesophase range for the copolymers relative to the fluorinated homopoymer (e.g. $T_i - T_g = 164^{\circ}$ C for copolymer).

Furthermore, we have reported on the first examples of free radical homopolymerization and copolymerization of 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorostyrene (**3**) with styrene (**5**) and its derivative 4-(*N*-adamantylamino)-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorostyrene (**4**) in aqueous solution via the host–guest complexation with Me- β -CD using water-soluble initiators (Fig. 5) [31]. The fluorinated monomers (**3** and **4**) and styrene (**5**) were complexed by Me- β -CD in water. The stoichiometries of the host-guest complexes were determined by NMR spectroscopy according to the Job method [32–34]. It was clearly shown that styrene (**5**) forms a defined 1:1 complex while the fluorinated monomers (**3** and **4**) are encapsulated by two Me- β -CD molecules. In the case of fluorinated monomer (**3**) this result was not expected since **3** and **5** have the same molecular scaffold and molecular modelling showed that the fluorinated styrene derivative **3** is only slightly bigger than styrene itself (Fig. 6) [35].

The Me- β -CD/monomer complexes **3a** and **4a** were homopolymerized in water at room temperature using the redox initiator system K₂S₂O₈/Na₂S₂O₅ (Figs. 6 and 7). To compare our technique with traditional polymerization methods, all polymerizations were also carried out starting from the free monomers **3**, **4**, and **5** in organic solvents (toluene or benzene) at 80°C using AIBN as initiator (Table 2).

In all cases almost quantitative yields of the homo and copolymers from complexed monomers were obtained. Poly(pentafluorostyrene) (P3a) and polymer

S. Choi et al.



Fig. 5 Job plots by considering the shifts of protons of three kinds of monomers in the presence of Me- β -CD



Fig. 6 Homopolymerization of pentafluorostyrene in toluene and water by CD-complexation of the monomer respectively

Polymerization of Included Monomers and Behaviour of Resulting Polymers



Fig. 7 Homopolymerization of free monomer in toluene and with Me- β -CD in water followed by degradation of Me- β -CD with trifluoroacetic acid

Polymer	Monomer	Solvent	$T\left[^{\circ}C\right]$	M_n	PD	Tg
P3a	3a	CD/water ^a	25	5,600	2.1	100
P3	3	Toluene ^b	80	4,500	1.5	90
P4a	4a	CD/water ^a	25	11,900	3.2	
P4	4	Toluene ^b	80	12,300	3.1	
P(3a-co-4a)	3a,4a	CD/water ^a	25	11,400	3.0	103
P (3 - <i>co</i> - 4)	3,4	Toluene ^b	80	4,500	1.5	90
P3-1	3	CD/water ^a	80	10,900	2.0	104
P3-2	3	Water ^c	80	38,500	3.2	106

Table 2 Characterization of obtained polymers

^bAIBN

^cK₂S₂O₈, semibatch polymerization

P(3a-co-4a) could be isolated via simple filtration (Table 2). Polymer P4a was completely water-soluble due to the presence of non-covalently attached Me-β-CD. After treatment with trifluoroacetic acid to decompose the Me-β-CD ring, polymer P4 precipitated after some hours and could be isolated by filtration (Fig. 7).

As an example, myrcene, an isoprene dimer, is found in many natural oils. Most of the polymerizations of myrcene were affected by a metal catalyst in organic solvents [36–39]. We tried to synthesize copolymers containing myrcene, styrene and diethyl fumarate (DEF) by using Me- β -CD in water (Fig. 8) [40].



Fig. 8 Copolymers containing myrcene, styrene and diethyl fumarate (DEF) after Me-β-CD mediated copolymerization using redox initiator in aqueous media



Fig. 9 Kinetic plots of the radical copolymerization of a 1:1 molar mixture of CD-complexed styrene (*filled triangles*) and diethyl fumarate (*filled squares*) in water at room temperature (using 2.5 mol% redox initiator $K_2S_2O_8/Na_2S_2O_5$)

We have found that the polymerization in homogenous, aqueous CD solution is normally much faster and ends up with higher yields and molecular weights than polymerization under similar conditions in an organic solvent [41,42]. The polydispersities (M_w/M_n) of polymers were similar in all cases. Only the M_w/M_n values of the polymer containing styrene monomer units showed higher values.

The copolymerization of CD-complexed styrene and DEF led to a precipitation of the corresponding water-insoluble copolymers [43]. The reactivity of the styrene complex is higher than that of complexed DEF. The conversions of both monomers were finished after about 6 h at room temperature. The lower reactivity of DEF in comparison to styrene can be explained by the fact that the double bond is sterically hindered by the two ester groups in the *trans*-1,2 position (Fig. 9).



Fig. 10 Preparation of poly(ethoxycarbonylmethylene) from 6 and 7 with/without Me β -CD

Additionally, the double bond is preferentially covered by the CD ring. In contrast, the double bond of styrene is located more or less out of the CD centre, which allows easy access of the growing radicals. Furthermore, copolymerization parameters indicate that styrene is incorporated preferentially into the copolymer chain $(r_{styrene} = 1.95 \pm 0.02 \text{ and } r_{DEF} = 0.97 \pm 0.01)$. The reduced rate of copolymerization of DEF with styrene-rich compositions remains constant up to a fairly high conversion. It can be shown from the $r_{styrene}$ and r_{DEF} values that the monomer composition changes during the progress of the reaction. Thus, the consumption of the DEF monomer is slower than that of styrene, leading to polymer compositions with an increased amount of homo segments. The strong influence of CD on the copolymerization parameters becomes obvious when comparing the values given in the literature for polymerization in an organic solvent ($r_{styrene} = 0.36 \pm 0.04$ and $r_{DEF} = 0.10 \pm 0.02$) giving rise to almost alternating copolymers [44]. In contrast to this, the CD-complexed monomers copolymerize in a completely different manner, as discussed above.

DEF (6) and diethyl maleate (DEM, 7) were complexed with equimolar amount of Me- β -CD and polymerized with redox initiator (K₂S₂O₈/Na₂S₂O₅) at room temperature and with VA44 at 50°C in aqueous media (Fig. 10) [22]. The homopolymerization of CD complexed monomers **6a** and **7a** with redox initiator led to a precipitation of the corresponding water-insoluble polymer **P6**, which was separated by centrifugation.

Normally, the maleic ester *cis*-isomers do to not give any homopolymer under similar condition as applied in the case of *trans*-isomers [45–47]. Surprisingly, in



Fig. 11 MALDI-TOF spectrum of polymer P5

the presence of both Me- β -CD and redox initiator 7 undergoes homopolymerization via complexation with CD to give poly(ethoxycarbonylmethylene) **P5**. We evaluated the independent potential influence of CD and redox initiator on observed isomerization. It was shown that in the presence of only one of the components no isomerization takes place. Since 7 can be radically polymerized via a *cis-trans*-isomerization of the monomer, this reaction obviously needs both redox initiator and CD (Fig. 10).

The molecular weight of polymer was estimated by MALD-TOF MS (Fig. 11). The peaks show exactly the molecular masses of the polymers. The glass transition temperature (T_g) of the polymers obtained from DEF **6** and DEM **7** are almost identical. The effective CD-mediated homopolymerization reactivity of **6a** and **7a** are visualized in Fig. 12. The uncomplexed monomers **6** and **7** did not polymerize under the same conditions.

The unreacted residual *trans*-monomer was found to consist of only fumarate after polymerization of the complex **6a** by means of HPLC. In contrast, the residual **7a** was detected to consist of *cis*- and *trans*-isomer during the polymerization. This means that the complexed *cis*-isomer **7a** isomerized to *trans* configuration in the presence of radicals. Figure 13 shows the chromatograms of monomers obtained during the polymerization of complex **7a** with redox initiator. It indicates that the peak of *trans*-monomer increased with increasing reaction time. Therefore, it was clear that the polymerization of *cis*-olefin monomers **7** in the presence of both CD and redox initiator takes place via an isomerization radical polymerization



Fig. 13 HPLC Chromatograms of **7a** showing *cis/trans* isomerization during the polymerization with redox initiator ($R_{f(DEM)} = 1.63$ and $R_{f(DEF)} = 2.50$)

mechanism, i.e. maleates radicals isomerize first into fumarates and then these fumarates undergo radical polymerization.

Copolymerization of the CD-complexed macromonomer **8a** with hydrophilic comonomers 2-acrylamido-2-methylpropansulfonate (AMPS) and N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMAA) leads to formation of hydrophobically associative polymers (Fig. 14) [48].

To study the aggregation of the synthesized polymers, 8-anilino-1-naphthalinsulfonacid ammonium (ANS) was used as the fluorescence probe. ANS has a minimum solubility of $28 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ in water but a higher solubility in hydrophobic media. With increasing polarity of the media its fluorescence intensity increases sensitively, and a simultaneous blue shift of the fluorescence band was also



Fig. 14 Synthesis of hydrophobically associative polymers P6, P7 and P8



Fig. 15 Fluorescence spectra of ANS in differently concentrated aqueous solution of polymers at RT (P8:contains 5 wt% of the macromonomer)

observed. Its fluorescence is independent on pH value for pH > 6. Therefore, ANS is a suitable fluorescence probe to study the aggregation of the polymers (**P6–P8**) [49]. According to Fig. 15 the fluorescence spectra of ANS in differently concentrated aqueous solutions of polymer **P8** indicate the potential aggregation. It is interesting to note that the copolymer is a sidechain polyrotaxane. The content of CD was proved by GPC equipped with a chiral detector.

Polymerization of Included Monomers and Behaviour of Resulting Polymers



Fig. 16 Plots of the maximum fluorescence intensity as a function of polymer concentration at RT (**P6**: 1.0 wt% of 8; **P7**: 3.0 wt% of 8; **P8**: 4.9 wt% of 8)

In Fig. 16 the maximum fluorescence intensity of ANS was plotted against the concentration of the polymers with different content of associative macromonomer (**P6–P8**). In diluted polymer solutions, the maximum fluorescence intensity increased gradually with increasing polymer concentration. At the same polymer concentration, ANS showed higher fluorescence intensity in a solution of polymer that contains more of the associative macromonomer **8**.

The monomers *N*-methacryloyl-1-aminopropane (9), *N*-methacryloyl-1-aminobutane (10), *N*-methacryl-oyl-1-aminopentane (11), and *N*-methacryloyl-1-aminohexane (12) are synthesized directly from the corresponding amine and methacrylic acid by microwave irradiation [50], or classically by the treatment of amines with methacryloyl chloride (Fig. 17).

¹H–NMR spectroscopic measurements were carried out to investigate the stoichiometry of the CD complexes [51]. D₂O is used as the solvent at pH 7.3 and different mole fractions are considered ranging from 0.1 to 1.0 at increments of 0.1 with Me- β -CD as the host. The plot of $-\Delta\delta^* \bullet X_{CD}$ vs the mole fraction X_4 for the Me- β -CD-complexed hexyl methacrylamide (**12a**) shows a maximum at $X_4 = 0.50$. This is a strong hint that a 1:1 complex was formed (Fig. 18).

The hydrodynamic volumes of both Me- β -CD and the Me- β -CD complexes were measured by use of dynamic light scattering to prove the existence of molecularly dispersed complexes. Interestingly, results indicate that no aggregates are formed. However, because of guest monomer incorporation the hydrodynamic volume of the complex increases slightly in comparison to Me- β -CD (Fig. 19).

To evaluate the effect of Me- β -CD on polymerization kinetics, the free uncomplexed monomers **9–12** and the corresponding Me- β -CD complexes (**9a–12a**) are homopolymerized in water by a free radical mechanism under identical conditions. In the case of the aqueous polymerization of the free monomers **9–12** the initial



Fig. 17 Structures of the complexed Me-\beta-CD monomers and subsequent polymerization

polymerization rate increases with increasing water solubility. The opposite effect is observed in the case of the polymerization of the Me- β -CD complexed methacrylamide monomers **9a–12a**. The polymerization rates are increased with increasing alkyl chain length of the complexed monomers **9a–12a** and the decreasing water solubility of the free monomers **9–12** (Fig. 20).

2.2 Polymerization of a CD Complexed Photoinitiator and a Water Soluble Monomer

For evaluating the influence of CD on the photoinitiated polymerization process, the polymerization of a water soluble monomer, *N*-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAAM), using complex of Me- β -CD and 2-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-phenylpropan-1-one (**13**) as



Fig. 18 Job plot of the complex of monomer 12a in D₂O with Me- β -CD (pH 7.3), the maximum is at $X_4 = 0.50$



Fig. 19 Particle size distribution by dynamic light scattering of free Me- β -CD (*filled circles*) and Me- β -CD complexes 9a (*filled squares*) and 12a (*filled triangles*) in water at 50°C

the initiator, was performed in aqueous medium [52]. After the addition of $2 \mod \%$ of photoinitiator or CD-complexed photoinitiator the mixtures were irradiated simultaneously at constant temperature (20° C) with a high-pressure mercury lamp as the light source. In accordance with TLC measurements the photo initiator stays in the complexed form also in the presence of NIPAAM monomer, indicating that the equilibrium between free monomer and CD-monomer complex can be neglected.



Fig. 20 Initial polymerization rate v_0 of CD complexed monomers **9a–12a** (*open circles*) vs water solubilities of monomers **9–12** (*filled squares*)



Fig. 21 Preparation of the CD-photoinitiator complex in water and proposed decomposition mechanism of the complex $\left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$

UV spectroscopic measurements revealed that the polymerization reaction initiated with CD-complexed photo initiator is faster and, thus, ends up with higher yields than the polymerization reaction initiated with the same molar concentration of uncomplexed photo initiator. Radical photo polymerization is achieved by the homolytic fragmentation of carbon–carbon bonds of a photo-excited molecule as illustrated in Fig. 21.

2.3 Polymerization of CD Complexed Monomer and Water Soluble Monomer

Copolymerization of CD complexed myrcene (14) and NIPAAM was carried out (Fig. 22). The obtained polymer P13 was water soluble and little amounts of CD still remained in the polymers as detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The thermosensitive properties of aqueous solutions of copolymers were investigated by monitoring the changes of turbidity as a function of temperature. Surprisingly, P13 shows exceptional high LCST transition temperature of 80°C. Thus, the turbidity points of copolymers is significantly higher than of poly(NIPAAM) itself (32°C) because of the existence of hydrophilic CD complexes of the side groups.

Polymerization of Included Monomers and Behaviour of Resulting Polymers



Fig. 22 Polymerization of CD-complexed myrcene with NIPAAM in H₂O using redox initiator



Fig. 23 Radical copolymerization of acrylamide with R = adamantyl (15) or cyclohexyl (16) and NIPAAM in DMF without any host compound; n/m = 1 : 20

The LCST value can be influenced, for example, by copolymerization of NIPAAM or by chemical modification of the acrylamide polymer itself. The slightly cross-linked LCST polymers, so-called hydrogels, find potential application in the medical and biochemical fields, for controlled drug delivery [53] and as materials for bioreactors [54]. One general explanation of the LCST effect is that strong hydrogen bonds exist between water molecules and the hydrophilic groups of the polymer at low temperature [55]. With increasing temperature, intramolecular interactions between hydrophobic chain components of the polymer increase.

For the investigation of the LCST behaviour further NIPAAM containing copolymers containing hydrophobic adamantine or cyclohexayl groups were synthesized (Fig. 23) [56–59].

Optical turbidity measurements showed a LCST for aqueous solutions of **P14** up to 17°C. On the other hand, solutions of copolymer with cyclohexyl **P15** have a transition at 31°C, which is 4°C lower than that of pure NIPAAM polymer **P16** (Table 3). The lower LCST for **P15** compared to **P16** is attributed to the hydrophobic effect [60], which is the decrease of the LCST with increasing numbers of hydrophobic side-chain groups.

In addition, the thermosensitive properties of aqueous solutions of copolymers **P17–P19** and of their supramolecular non-covalent cross-linked networks were



 Table 3 The properties of the synthesized copolymers

Fig. 24 NIPAAM and *N*,*N*-dimethylacrylamide containing copolymers (n : m = 20 : 1)

investigated by monitoring the changes of turbidity as a function of temperature [61,62]. The *N*,*N*-dimethylacrylamide-containing copolymer **P17** shows no turbidity point at temperatures between 10°C and 90°C in water. For copolymer **P18** we obtained the LCST transition at 23°C; for copolymer **P19** we found a cloud point of 21°C (Fig. 24). Both copolymers showed a sharp phase transition in response to a small temperature change. The fact that the turbidity points of copolymers **P18** and **P19** are significantly lower than that of poly(NIPAAM) itself (32°C) is caused by the hydrophobic adamantyl units in the copolymers; copolymers **P18** and **P19** are less soluble in water than a homopolymer of NIPAAM.

To investigate the effect of adding monomeric and dimeric CD on the change of phase transition temperature of the polymers **P18** and **P19**, we performed turbidity measurements at the same polymer concentration as above in the presence of a defined amount of Me- β -CD and CD dimer, respectively (Fig. **25 a**,b) [61,62]. As reported previously [56–59], we found that addition of Me- β -CD led to cloud points of polymers **P18** and **P19** of 32°C, which correlates to the LCST of pure poly(NIPAAM). This increase of the cloud points relative to the cloud points of pure **P18** and **P19** results from the inclusion of the hydrophobic adamantyl units by Me- β -CD.

When a CD dimer was added to the aqueous polymer solutions at half the molar ratio (relative to the amount of Me- β -CD), the cloud points decreased significantly. This observed effect again can be explained by the supramolecular



Fig. 25 a,b Turbidity measurements of aqueous solutions of the polymers P18 a and P19 b without addition of host molecules and measurements of the supramolecular complexes of the polymers with CD dimer and Me- β -CD respectively. $C_{\rm P} = 10 \, {\rm g \, L^{-1}}$, $C_{\rm Me-\beta-CD} = 10 \, {\rm g \, L^{-1}}$, and $C_{\rm CD \, dimer} = 5 \, {\rm g \, L^{-1}}$, 10° C

cross-linkage of single polymer chains upon complexation of the CD dimer. The resulting supramolecular structures are restricted in their mobility and solubility, which is reflected in cloud points of 14.0°C for polymer **P18** and 15.7°C for polymer **P19**. As a result of the LCST behaviour of the polymer/CD dimer mixtures the obtained hydrogels show different transparencies. The gel formed from copolymer **P17** is transparent at room temperature, whereas those from polymers **P18** and **P19** are turbid at room temperature and transparent below their cloud points of 14.0°C and 15.7°C, respectively.

As another example, when the transparent solutions of the complexed polymers **P20a** were heated above 70°C, a sudden turbidity was observed [63, 64]. Surprisingly, when the solution was cooled, transparency was completely restored. Exact turbidity measurements were performed to evaluate the solubility as a function of temperature. We found that the complexed monomer **17a** is completely soluble in the temperature range 10–85°C. This means that the monomer complex is stable enough to keep the monomer in solution independent of temperature. In contrast, the polymer complex **P20a** showed a definite clouding point at a temperature of 54°C for the heating run (Fig. **27 a**,ba) and a clearing point of about 50°C for the cooling run (Fig. **27 a**,bb).

These temperatures were found to be a function of the molecular mass of the β -CD-free polymers. Figure 26 shows the reversible decomplexation–complexation process of polymer **P20** in water. The transmittance change of a solution of **P20a** is plotted as a function of temperature in Fig. **27 a**,b. The decrease in the transmittance of the complexed polymer to 0% occurs within a temperature interval of roughly 5°C. In the subsequent cooling step the polymer returns to solution by new formation of polymer/ β -CD complex. In contrast, the β -CD free polymer is not soluble in water at any temperature in this range.


Fig. 26 Temperature-dependent reversible unthreading of CD from the bulky side group of P20a during the heating procedure



Fig. 27 a,b Transmittance of a solution of complexed polymer P20a vs temperature during heating a and cooling b

The interesting polymer-solubility behaviour led us to compare this phenomenon with classical LCST effects. In our case, because of the reversible complex formation between the polymer **P20** and CD, the optical effect is based on supramolecular interactions. This means that the discovered pseudo-LCST behaviour is a result of non-covalent interactions between the CD host and polymer guest. Furthermore, in this system competitive inhibition or control of the LCST is possible by addition of other suitable guest molecules of low molecular weight, for example, potassium 1-adamantylcarboxylate. CD complexes these molecules preferably and the polymer precipitates. This special effect cannot be observed in regular LCST systems.

A further important application of CD is the preparation of relatively low polydispersity polystyrenes (compared to radical CD polymerization) using the water-soluble RAFT reagent 3-benzylsulfanyl thiocarbonylsulfanylpropionic acid (TTC) complexed in aqueous Me- β -CD solution (Fig. 28) [65]. This method also allows the direct synthesis of amphiphilic block copolymers in aqueous solution without compatibility issues.



Fig. 28 Schematic presentation of complexation and RAFT polymerization of Me- β -CD complexed styrene 18a with 3-benzylsulfanylthiocarbonylsulfanylpropionic acid (TTC) as RAFT agent



Fig. 29 Evolution of the full molecular weight distributions in the CD-mediated styrene RAFT polymerization in aqueous solution at 70° C. RAFT agent/initiator to 10:1. Samples were taken after 75, 180, 300 and 420 min, respectively. The individual conversions to polystyrene are given within the figure

Controlled living radical polymerization of CD-complexed styrene in water can be conducted via the RAFT process, especially at low conversion (<20%). The molecular weight of PS can be controlled by variation of the RAFT agent concentration and the number-average molecular weight increases linearly with conversion (Fig. 29).

The polymers produced with the CD-RAFT system exhibited narrower polydispersities $(1.23 < M_w/M_n < 2.36)$ than those without RAFT agent $(5.24 < M_w/M_n < 9.21)$. However, the polydispersities in the CD-RAFT system are considerably higher (especially at increased conversions) than those in conventional (bulk or



Fig. 30 Schematic illustration of the polymerization of 19a using 1 mol% water-soluble azo initiator (VA044) at different temperatures in water

solution) RAFT systems and the molecular weight evolution deviates considerably from the theoretically expected one. We attribute these effects to the continuous precipitation of the polymer with increasing conversion, which effectively leads to a hybrid system between conventional and controlled living free radical polymerization.

Furthermore, we investigated temperature-dependent solution behaviour of Me- β -CD with poly(meth)acrylamides bearing bulky hydrophobic side groups (Fig. 30) [66].

The free radical polymerization of complexed monomer **19a** was carried out at different temperatures in water in the presence of 1 mol% water-soluble azoinitiator. The temperature range of interest was 50–90°C, to determine v_0 below and above the T_{crit} of 65°C of the given CD-polymer system (**P21a**).

In Fig. 31, the transparency τ of an aqueous solution of **P21a** is plotted against the temperature. While heating, it can be observed that the transparency of the solution of **P21a** decreases from almost 100% to 0% around 65°C (\pm 3°C). We would like to emphasize that the point of turbidity certainly depends on the sample concentration. With increasing concentration of CD, the LCST shifts to higher values [67,68]. During the cooling phase, the water insoluble polymer **P21** remains insoluble. However, the reformation of the complex **P21a** takes place within 12 h of stirring at room temperature or below. We suspect that, once precipitated, the bulky adamantyl-side group of polymer **P21** is hardly accessible by the Me- β -CD, so that reconstitution of the complex **P21a** takes hours (Figs. 30 and 31).

The complexation-decomplexation equilibrium of the polymer is strongly entropy driven. The monomer complex 19a (Fig. 30) is stable up to 100°C, since it



Fig. 31 Transparency t of an aqueous solution of P21a ($c = 30 \text{ g L}^{-1}$) against the temperature during heating and cooling

has a high mobility in solution. However, in contrast, a strong increase in total mobility of the polymer system takes place above T_{crit} because the CD rings are released from the polymer.

The incorporation of a flexible spacer between the polymer backbone and the adamantyl groups strongly affects the thermosensitive properties of the supramolecular complex [67,68]. In Fig. 32, the turbidity measurement of a 100 g L^{-1} aqueous solution of polymer/Me- β -CD-complex **P22a** is presented. The heating run indicates the cloud point of **P22a** at 38.6°C, which is 6°C lower than that of **P21a** (Fig. 32). In the cooling run the transparency recovers from 0% to almost 100% at about the same temperature as in the heating run. Apparently recomplexation of the spacer-containing polymer **P22** is significantly faster than that of polymer **P21a**, which contains directly attached adamantyl groups. These results correlate with the degree of mobility of the adamantyl groups attached to the polymer.

In addition, we reported about the behaviour of an LCST copolymer **P23** bearing a covalently attached solvatochromic 4-azastilbene dye and investigated the solvatochromism of this polymer in the presence of Me- β -CD [69].

A characteristic bathochromic shift from orange to dark red could be observed when the aqueous polymer solution at basic pH is heated above the LCST value of about 31°C (Figs. 33 and 34). This means that, during precipitation of the polymer due to heating above the LCST value, most of the polar water molecules are pushed out of the polymer coil. This causes a negative change of polarity next to the dye moiety, which results in a colour change. This temperature-dependent colour change



Fig. 32 Rapid complexation of P22 by Me- β -CD. Transmittance as a function of temperature for an aqueous solution of polymer/Me- β -CD complex P22a at a heating/cooling rate of 1°C min⁻¹. [P22a] = 100 g L⁻¹ (13.75 g L⁻¹ polymer, 86.25 g L⁻¹ Me- β -CD)



Fig. 33 Transmittance (τ) of a solution of polymer P23 in water (5 mg mL⁻¹) at pH 10 vs temperature during heating and cooling

Polymerization of Included Monomers and Behaviour of Resulting Polymers



Fig. 34 Colour effects of copolymer P23 at pH 1 (no shift) and at pH 10 (bathochromic shift)

is fully reversible. However, the protonated copolymer showed no colour change effect due to the solubility change at its LCST.

We clearly found that the bathochromic shift due to negative polarity change was also visible after excessive CD addition to the aqueous polymer solution below its LCST. This means that the complexation of the copolymer **P23** by CD could also be recognized simply by the naked eye.

The unpolar cavity of CD creates an unpolar environment around the dye moiety. The colour change due to CD threading is nearly identical compared to the colour change caused by phase transition. This proves that the dye–dye interaction plays a minor role. As mentioned above, this effect influenced only the deprotonated polymer at high pH. Addition of CD to the polymer solution with the protonated dye (pH 1) showed only a small effect on the visible spectrum. UV measurements started directly after acid addition. Thus, decomposition of CD is not to be expected. This small effect might be due to weak interaction of CD with the protonated dye. In measurements of an aqueous solution of **P23** without CD, glucose was excessively added to this solution to verify the host–guest interactions. As it was expected, no colour change could be detected (Fig. **35 a**,b).

In conclusion, CDs constitute excellent hosts for homo- and copolymerizations. This important property has encouraged the use of CDs in a range of applications related to polymers, as described in this review. These investigations demonstrate the successful application of CDs in polymer synthesis in aqueous medium via free radical polymerization or via a oxidative recombination mechanism. CDs as



Fig. 35 a,b Visible spectra of a 1.25 g L^{-1} aqueous solution of **P23** with (*filled squares*) (addition of 60 mg of CD) or without (*open squares*) (addition of 60 mg of glucose) at pH 10 **a** and at pH 1 **b**

reaction media are useful to alter both polymerization kinetics and copolymerizationparameters. New aqueous LCST systems can be designed with switchable physical properties.

As expected, within only a few decades an important revolution in the polymer chemistry through CD-mediated reactions might change the current production processes in chemical industry. Undoubtedly, the unique host properties of CDs should be taken into account when novel polymerization processes are created.

References

- 1. Lehn J-M (1985) Science 227:849
- 2. Lehn J-M (1988) Angew Chem 100:91
- 3. Lehn J-M (1988) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 27:89
- 4. Lehn J-M (1990) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 102:1347
- 5. Lehn J-M (1990) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 29:1304
- 6. Rebek J Jr (1990) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 102:245
- 7. Rebek J Jr (1990) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 29:261
- 8. Vogtle F (1992) Supramolekulare Chemie. Teubner, Stuttgart
- 9. Vogtle F (1991) Supramolecular chemistry. Wiley, New York
- 10. Cram DJ, Cram JM (1974) Science 183:803
- 11. Cram DJ, Cram JM (1978) Arch Chrom Res 11:8
- 12. Ritter H, Tabatabi M (2002) Prog Polym Sci 27:1713
- 13. Ritter H, Tabatabi M (2003) Adv Macromol Supramol Mater Prog 41
- 14. Schneider HJ (1991) Angew Chem 103:1419
- 15. Schneider HJ (1991) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 30:1417
- 16. Funasaki N, Ishikawa S, Neya SJ (2004) Phys Chem B 108:9593
- 17. Höfler T, Wenz G (1996) J Inclusion Phenom 25:81
- 18. Isaacs NS, Young DJ (1999) Tetrahedron Lett 40:3953
- 19. Abou-Hamdan A, Bugnon P, Saudan C, Lye PG, Merbach AE (2000) J Am Chem Soc 122:592
- 20. Hori K, Hamai S (1999) J Inclusion Phenom Macrocyclic Chem 34:245

Polymerization of Included Monomers and Behaviour of Resulting Polymers

- 21. Mejias L, Schollmeyer D, Sepulveda-Boza S, Ritter H (2003) Macromol Biosci 3(8):395
- 22. Choi SW, Frank W, Ritter H (2006) React Funct Polym 66:149-156
- 23. Steffens C, Choi SW, Ritter H (2006) Macromol Rapid Commun 27:542-547
- 24. Jeromin J, Ritter H (1998) Macromol Rapid Commun 19:377
- 25. Jeromin J, Ritter H (1999) Macromolecules 32:5236
- 26. torsberg J, Ritter H, Peilatzik H, Groenendaal L (2000) Adv Mater 12:567
- 27. Rodriguez J, Grande H-J, Otero TF (1997) Handbook of organic conductive molecules and polymers, vol. 2. Wiley, Chichester, pp 417
- 28. Heywang G, Jonas F (1992) Adv Mater 4:116
- 29. Choi SW, Kretschmann O, Ritter H, Ragnoli M, Galli G (2003) Macromol Chem Phys 204:1475
- 30. Galli G, Gasperetti S, Bertolucci M, Gallot B, Chiellini (2002) Macromol Rapid Commun 23:814
- 31. Cinar H, Kretschmann O, Ritter H (2005) Macromolecules 38:5078-5082
- 32. Blanda T, Horner JH, Newcomb M (1989) J Org Chem 54:4626-4636
- 33. Job P (1928) Ann. Chimica 9:113
- 34. Connors A (1987) Binding constants, the measurement of molecular complex stability. Wiley, New York
- 35. Wenz G, Han A (2006) Chem Rev 106:782
- 36. Frank RL, Adams CL, Blegen JR, Deanin R, Smith PV (1947) Ind Eng Chem 39:887
- 37. Marvel CS, Williams JLR, Baumgarten HE (1949) J Polym Sci 4:583
- 38. Clabaugh WS, Leslie RT, Gilchrist RJ (1955) Res Natl Bur Stand 55:261
- 39. Marvel CS, Hwa CCL (1960) J Polym Sci 28:25
- 40. Choi SW, Ritter H (2007) e-Polymers 045
- 41. Storsberg J, Ritter H (2000) Macromol Rapid Commun 21:236
- 42. Storsberg J, Aert H van, Roost C van, Ritter H (2003) Macromolecules 36:50
- 43. Choi SW, Ritter H (2004) Macromol Rapid Commun 25:716
- 44. Mayo FR, Lewis FM (1944) J Am Chem Soc 66:1594
- 45. Otsu T, Toyoda N (1981) Macromol Chem Rapid Commun 2:79
- 46. Toyoda N, Yoshida M, Otsu T (1983) Polym J 15:255
- 47. Otsu T. Shiraishi K (1985) Macromolecules 18:1795
- 48. Pang Y, Ritter (2006) Macromol Chem Phys 207:201
- 49. Yusa S-I, Shimada Y, Mitsukami Y, Yamamoto T, Morishima Y (2003) Macromolecules 36:4208
- 50. Goretzki C, Krlej A, Steffens C, Ritter H (2004) Macromol Rapid Commun 25:513
- 51. Steffens C, Choi SW, Ritter H (2006) Macromol Rapid Commun 27:542
- 52. Alupei IC, Alupei V, Ritter H (2002) Macromol Rapid Commun 23:55
- 53. Han JH, Krochta JM, Kurth MJ, Hsieh Y-L (2000) J Agric Food Chem 48:5278-5282
- 54. Heo J, Thomas KJ, Seong G, Crooks RM (2003) Anal Chem 75:22-26
- 55. Feil HY, Bae H, Feijen J, Kim SW (1993) Macromolecules 26:2496-2500
- 56. Ritter H, Sadowski O, Tepper E (2003) Angew Chem 115:3279
- 57. Ritter H, Sadowski O, Tepper E (2003) Angew Chem Int Ed 42:3171
- 58. Ritter H, Sadowski O, Tepper E (2005) Angew Chem 117:6253 (Corrigendum)
- 59. Ritter H, Sadowski O, Tepper E (2005) Angew Chem Int Ed 44:6099
- 60. Heskins M, Guilett JE (1969) J Macromol Sci Chem 2:1441
- 61. Kretschmann O, Choi SW, Miyauchi M, Tomatsu I, Harada A, Ritter H (2006) Angew Chem 118:4468-4472
- 62. Schmitz S, Ritter H (2006) Angew Chem Int Ed 45:4361-4365
- 63. Schmitz S. Ritter H (2005) Angew Chem 117:3000–3006
- 64. Schmitz S, Ritter H (2005) Angew Chem Int Ed 44:5658-5661
- 65. Köllisch H, Barner-Kowollik Ch, Ritter H (2006) Macromol. Rapid Commun 27:848
- 66. Steffens C, Kretschmann O, Ritter H (2007) Macromol. Rapid Commun 28:623
- 67. Kretschmann O, Steffens C, Ritter H (2007) Angew Chem 119:1–5 68. Kretschmann O, Steffens C, Ritter H (2007) Angew Chem Int Ed 46:2708
- 69. Koopmans C, Ritter H (2007) J Am Chem Soc 129(12):3502
- 70. Eggersdorfer M, Warwel S, Wulff CT (1993) Nachwachsende Rohstoffe Perspektiven für die Chemie. VCH, Weinheim

Wei Wang and Angel E. Kaifer*

Abstract This chapter reviews the growing body of data on the binding interactions between dendrimers and two types of well-established molecular hosts: cyclodextrins and cucurbit[*n*]urils. Dendrimers are highly branched macromolecules to which functional groups can be attached in spatially defined locations. The attachment of guest functional groups to dendrimers allows the investigation of their binding interactions with freely diffusing hosts/receptors. The effect of dendrimer size on the thermodynamics of these host–guest reactions varies widely depending on factors described here. In optimum cases, it is possible to use these binding interactions to exert redox control on dendrimer self-assembly and even control the size of the resulting assemblies.

Keywords Cobaltocenium, Cucurbit[*n*]urils, Cyclodextrins, Dendrimers, Ferrocene, Host–guest complexation, Inclusion complexes, Viologens

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Cyclodextrins and Cucurbit[*n*]urils
- 3 Cyclodextrin-Containing Dendrimers
- 4 Binding Interactions of Dendrimers with Cyclodextrins
 - 4.1 Multiple Site Dendrimer Guests
 - 4.2 Single Site Dendrimer Guests
- 5 Binding Interactions of Dendrimers with Cucurbit[n]urils
 - 5.1 Multiple Site Dendrimer Guests
 - 5.2 Single Site Dendrimer Guests

e-mail: akaifer@miami.edu

W. Wang and A.E. Kaifer (⊠)

Center for Supramolecular Science and Department of Chemistry, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33124–0431, USA

- 6 Cucurbit[8]uril-Mediated Dendrimer Self-Assembly
- 7 Related Phenomena
- 8 Conclusions and Outlook

References

1 Introduction

Dendrimers [1–6] are highly branched macromolecules spanning from a central core and containing a series of structurally and synthetically different layers, which are usually referred to as "generations." Thus, a first-generation dendrimer is a smallto-medium size molecule, while a fifth-generation dendrimer is a high molecular weight species with a diameter of several nanometers. The generational growth of dendrimers gives rise to large macromolecules, which constitute some of the most important building blocks in soft nanotechnology. Dendrimers are usually considered polymers, because their structure can be described by the repetitive connection of one or two building blocks. However, the hyperbranched character of dendrimers results -in just a few generations of growth- in macromolecules with roughly spherical or globular shapes. In contrast, most polymers are based on the concatenation of monomers into approximately linear chain arrangements. The different morphologies of linear polymers and dendrimers give rise to pronounced differences in the properties of these two types of macromolecules. For instance, linear polymer solutions tend to be highly viscous, while dendrimer solutions at similar concentration levels are much less viscous. Dendrimers are usually noncrystalline materials, while linear polymers exhibit varying degrees of crystallinity. Low generation dendrimers are truly monodisperse, have a perfectly defined molecular weight and can be treated as molecules. On the other hand, linear polymers are polydisperse materials, with a certain degree of variability in their chain lengths and molecular weights.

The globular shape and size of dendrimers allow us to establish some analogies with proteins, and this realization is responsible for some of the substantial research interest attracted by dendrimers in the last two decades or so. With dendrimers it is possible to place functional groups in relatively well-defined molecular locations and control to some extent the distances separating two or more residues in the same macromolecule, which represents another important reason to explain the extraordinary research interest on dendrimers. While dendrimer research was initially dominated by the development of synthetic methodology and characterization issues, the last decade has seen an increased interest in the functionalization of dendrimer structures with metal complexes, redox centers, and groups exhibiting catalytic or light absorption/emission properties, to name a few examples. In simple terms, there are two general ways to approach dendrimer functionalization:

- (1) Attachment of multiple copies of the same functional residue to the dendrimer surface (peripheral functionalization).
- (2) Attachment of dendritic mass branching out from a central functional group (core functionalization).



Fig. 1 Schematic renderings of a peripheral, b core, and c open core functionalized dendrimers

Both functionalization approaches (see Fig. 1 for schematic drawings) have different advantages and target different purposes. Peripheral functionalization is normally used when developing systems capable of multivalent interactions or to achieve chemical amplification, taking advantage of the multiple identical residues attached to the dendrimer surface. In clear contrast to this, core functionalization leads to pronounced changes on the microenvironment of the active residue and brings about the possibility of site isolation and/or encapsulation, which may result in considerable changes of the core residue's properties.

Our group has been interested in peripheral and core functionalization methods [7]. However, we have introduced a slight twist on the latter method. We have focused on the functionalization of an active (typically redox active or fluorescent) residue by covalent attachment of dendritic mass to a single point of the residue (see Fig. 1c). This open core functionalization approach yields macromolecules in which the microenvironment of the active residue may be affected by dendron growth, while maintaining the ability of the residue to interact directly with other species in solution, as long as the growth of the dendrimer mass is kept within reasonable limits. Open core functionalized dendrimers are thus of substantial interest because of the considerable differences in reactivity that are expected depending on the direction of reactant approach. If the reactant approaches on the side of the dendrimer containing the active residue, a fast reaction will result. On the other hand, reactant approach on the opposite side of the dendrimer may give rise to a much slower reaction. This type of orientation effect on reactivity is well known in redox proteins, which engage in faster or slower electron transfer depending on the relative orientation of the protein with the reaction partner (or electrode surface, in the case of heterogeneous electron transfer reactions).

Both peripheral and open core functionalized dendrimers can be regarded as possible guests in host–guest molecular recognition phenomena. Functionalization of the dendrimer periphery with multiple copies of a suitable guest residue and exposure to an appropriate host molecule in the same solution may lead to the formation of multiple host–guest complexes on the dendrimer surface. Similarly, open core functionalized dendrimers can be regarded as "dendronized" guests. If the dendron attached to the guest residue is not large enough to completely engulf it –in other words, if complete encapsulation does not take place– it should be possible for the host molecule to find its way, bind the guest and form an interesting type of host– guest complex. Binding events including multiple guest dendrimers or dendronized guests are of particular interest because they allow us to investigate molecular recognition phenomena that may be strongly affected by multivalency issues or by the microenvironment of the guest itself. In this regard, these noncovalent association reactions borrow some characteristics from biological binding events, in which the receptor–substrate interaction may be strongly affected by nearby components (as in a receptor protruding from a biological membrane) [8].

In this review we will examine the body of research work in which dendrimers have been employed as guests in host–guest complexation reactions. By design, we will not address the possibility of using dendrimers as hosts, which may result from the natural presence of cavities in these macromolecules or from the specific design of receptors within their frameworks.

2 Cyclodextrins and Cucurbit[n]urils

Most of the molecular recognition work performed with dendrimer guests has been done using either cyclodextrins or cucurbit[n]urils as host molecules. Therefore, it seems appropriate to provide here a brief description of both host families, emphasizing their relative advantages and disadvantages. Both cyclodextrins (CD's) and cucurbit [n] urils (CB's) are relatively water-soluble molecules that contain a rather rigid, well-defined cavity whose inner surface is best described as hydrophobic. Both host families are capable of forming inclusion complexes in aqueous solution with a variety of guests. Although the guests are not restricted to those containing hydrophobic moieties, the most stable inclusion complexes are usually formed by guests with a hydrophobic residue that fits well inside the host cavity. In spite of these general similarities between CD's and CB's, it is also important to establish clearly some of their most salient differences. The CD's are natural compounds made by the action of enzymes on starch [9]. They are macrocyclic glucopyranose oligomers, having at least six units linked together by α -(1,4) linkages (see Table 1 for structures). The three most important unmodified (natural) CD's are α -cyclodextrin (α -CD), β -cyclodextrin (β -CD), and γ -cyclodextrin (γ -CD), composed of six, seven, and eight sugar units, respectively. The CB's are synthetic compounds prepared by the condensation of glycoluril with formaldehyde in acidic media [10, 11]. This reaction produces a complex mixture of macrocyclic and acyclic oligomers from which the cyclic pentameric (CB5), hexameric (CB6), heptameric (CB7), octameric (CB8), and decameric (CB10) receptors can be separated in small-to-reasonable yields. Very small amounts of other related compounds with less interesting binding properties can also be isolated from these reaction mixtures, but we will not attempt to provide an exhaustive account of these phenomena here. Because of their range of applications as molecular container hosts and ease of iso**Table 1** General structures of cyclodextrin (left) and cucurbit [n] uril (right) receptors and relevantparameters for the most representative members of both host families

	$ \begin{array}{c} H_{0} \\ f_{0} \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ $		n = 6, CB6 n = 7, CB7	- In
	n – o,	γCD	п – о, сво	
Host	n	M.W.	Cavity dia. [Å]	Aq. Sol. [mM]
α-CD	6	972	4.7–5.3	149
β–CD	7	1,135	6.0-6.5	16
γ−CD	8	1,297	7.5-8.3	178
CB6	6	996	3.9–5.8	0.02
CB7	7	1,163	5.4-7.3	20
CB8	8	1,329	6.9-8.8	< 0.01

lation, we will focus our attention on the hosts CB6, CB7, and CB8 (see Table 1). While the cyclodextrins are chiral, the cucurbit[*n*]urils are not, as they exhibit a well-defined equatorial plane of symmetry and both cavity openings (or portals, as they are usually referred to in the literature) are identical. In CD's the two cavity openings are different in size and chemical nature, with primary hydroxyls lining up the narrower cavity openings and secondary hydroxyls around the wider portal. The cavity openings in the CB hosts are lined by the carbonyl oxygen atoms from the glycoluril units.

The cavity of the CD's has the shape of a truncated cone, reaching its maximum diameter at the wider opening. In contrast to this, the cavity of the CBn's has the shape of a barrel and its maximum diameter is located at the molecular equator. The inner surface of the cavity has hydrophobic character in both host families, but the cavity portals are lined up by negative charge density (from the carbonyl oxygen atoms) in the CB's [12]. The hydroxyl groups on the CD portals are believed to be extensively hydrogen bonded, and electrostatic surface potential calculations show little net charge density accumulated on the CD cavity openings. This also constitutes an important difference between both types of hosts.

The CD's form stable inclusion complexes in aqueous solution with guests containing hydrophobic residues that fit well inside the host cavity [13]. The stability of the inclusion complex is the result of hydrophobic interactions and is usually enthalpically driven. Typically, the equilibrium association constant (K) between an excellent guest and the β -CD host is in the range $10^3 - 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$, with very few CD inclusion complexes exceeding this level of binding affinity. However, the formation of highly stable CB inclusion complexes is usually driven by a combination of hydrophobic forces and ion-dipole interactions between strategically located positive charges on the guest and the rim of carbonyl oxygen atoms on the cavity portals. As a result, CB inclusion complexes in aqueous solution may reach equilibrium association constants as high as 10^{15}M^{-1} (equivalent to that of the avidin-biotin host-guest pair) [14]. Some reported examples suggest that CB hosts are much more sensitive to the presence and nature of charges near the hydrophobic residue [12], giving rise to considerably more pronounced binding selectivities.

The functionalization chemistry of the CD's has been extensively developed at this time [15, 16]. Synthetic methodology is available to either mono-functionalize or perfunctionalize either the primary or secondary hydroxyl portal of these hosts. Derivatization is still a pending subject in the chemistry of cucurbit[n]uril hosts. Kim and coworkers have reported a procedure that allows the equatorial functionalization of the periphery of CB6 [17], but the same procedure is highly inefficient with either CB7 or CB8. Further developments in this area may be of great significance to extend the range of applications of these compounds.

3 Cyclodextrin-Containing Dendrimers

Although this work does not focus on the host properties of dendrimers, it is interesting to mention briefly some work that has been done on the covalent functionalization of cyclodextrins with dendritic structures, to yield what can be appropriately described as dendritic cyclodextrins. Early work on this area was reported by Ahern et al. [18], which prepared β -CD derivatives per-functionalized with hydroxyethylamino chains on the 6-deoxy position (primary face). These CDs, considered as dendrimer precursors, showed a modest degree of binding selectivity with fluorescent guests.

In 1997, Suh, Hah, and Lee [19] reported the covalent attachment of β -CD to poly(ethyleneimine) dendrimers, yielding new compounds that can be viewed as dendrimers with well-defined binding sites on the surface of cyclodextrins with multiple amino groups around the cavity. One year later, Newkome and coworkers [20] reported the per-functionalization of the primary face of β -CD with seven dendrons, giving rise to the dendronized cyclodextrins **1** and **2**. The CD cavity of these compounds remains open and active for inclusion complexation of suitable guests, as was demonstrated with experiments using the competing guests phenolphthalein and aminoadamantane.



The use of cyclodextrins as a scaffold for the preparation of dendrimers has been explored further by other groups [21–25], but this is beyond the scope of this work.

4 Binding Interactions of Dendrimers with Cyclodextrins

In this section we will focus on the utilization of dendrimers as cyclodextrin guests. Given the solubility limitations of the cyclodextrins and their optimal operation as molecular receptors in aqueous solution, the dendrimers must have significant solubility in water in order to make these studies possible. This constitutes a more serious problem, as we will see, when dealing with dendrimers functionalized with multiple guest units on their surfaces. In general terms, we can divide the work done in this area into two categories, depending on the structure of the dendrimer guests. First, we will review the research work done with dendrimers containing multiple copies of a guest residue on their surfaces (Fig. 1a). Since the guest residue in core dendrimers (Fig. 1b) is shielded from access by freely diffusing hosts, we are left with open core dendrimers (Fig. 1c) as the only other type of dendritic guests available for the investigation of their binding interactions with cyclodextrin hosts.

4.1 Multiple Site Dendrimer Guests

The subject of host–guest binding interactions between water-soluble ferrocene derivatives and CD hosts constitutes a long-standing topic of research interest in our group [26, 27]. Therefore, the preparation of dendrimers containing multiple

ferrocenyl centers on their peripheries attracted our attention pretty quickly. The group of Isabel Cuadrado and the late Moisés Morán (Madrid) started their work on these compounds in the mid 1990s [28, 29]. A little later my group initiated collaborative work with them and we started to investigate the binding interactions between multi-ferrocene dendrimers and CD hosts. Initially, we encountered serious problems related to the lack of solubility of some of these dendrimers in aqueous media. Eventually, we overcame some of these difficulties with the set of dendrimers shown below (4 and 5). Compound 3 was used as a model compound.



The binding interactions between β -CD and model compound **3** can be investigated by voltammetric techniques or ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The latter technique is more convenient to determine the equilibrium constant, *K*, for the association process:

$$3 + \beta$$
-CD \rightleftharpoons $3 \bullet \beta$ -CD

In 0.1 M NaCl/D₂O, using the variation of the chemical shift of the ferrocene protons as a function of the concentration of CD host, K was found to be $1,230 M^{-1}$. The case of dendrimers 4 and 5 is more complicated because their solubility in aqueous media is extremely low. However, two-phase experiments were possible and showed that both dendrimers are effectively extracted from dichloromethane into aqueous solutions containing 6.0 mM β -CD [30]. From the increased solubility of these dendrimers, we concluded that a minimum number of ferrocene residues in the macromolecule must be CD-bound for solubilization in the aqueous medium to take place. Competition experiments with a second guest (2-naphthalenesulfonate) and voltammetric measurements in the aqueous phase revealed that all ferrocene residues in 4 and 5 are accessible for complexation by β -CD. This is a reflection of the lack of crowding on the surface of these relatively small dendrimers. However, a larger dendrimer containing 16 ferrocenyl units on the surface behaved differently under these conditions, and our experimental results showed that not all 16 ferrocene centers were accessible for binding by the CD host [30]. This is a dendritic effect opposite from that observed by Astruc and coworkers (Bordeaux) with structurally

related multi-ferrocene dendrimers, which serve as voltammetric anion sensors [31]. In their case, increasing the size of the dendrimer and the number of peripheral ferrocenyl units creates more surface anion binding sites and leads to increased binding efficiency, probably as a result of multivalency. In our system, binding of each ferrocenyl residue to β -CD requires some free space around the organometallic center. Therefore, dendrimer growth increases surface crowding and some of the ferrocene units become unavailable to interact with the CD hosts.

From a conceptual point of view, these binding interactions have another supramolecular dimension that should be mentioned here. The dendrimer can be considered as a template that organizes the interacting CD hosts in a defined spatial arrangement [30]. The overall supramolecular complexes reach relatively high molecular weights. For instance, the complex formed by eight β -CD hosts interacting with dendrimer 5, has a molecular weight over 11 kDa. Furthermore, the redox activity of the templating dendrimer allows the controlled dissociation of these large complexes. It is well known that ferrocene oxidation decreases substantially its binding affinity with β -CD. With small, water-soluble ferrocene derivatives the K value decreases from the 10³ to 10⁴ M⁻¹ range to less than 50M⁻¹ upon one-electron oxidation [32]. The latter K value is insufficient to guarantee significant complexation at millimolar concentration levels. Therefore, oxidation of the eight ferrocenyl centers on the surface of dendrimer 5 leads to the dissociation of its complex with β -CD (Fig. 2). This constitutes a remarkable example of redox control on supramolecular structure. It is important to state here that the oxidation of the eight ferrocene residues in 5 takes place in a single voltammetric wave. In other words, the range of half-wave potentials for the individual one-electron oxidations is relatively narrow, reflecting the lack of effective electronic communication between the ferrocene centers. Somewhat surprisingly, a similar situation is found in all reported multiferrocene dendrimers, regardless of their relative degree of surface steric crowding (Fig. 2).

After completing this work with multiferrocene dendrimers, we planned to continue our research work with dendritic macromolecules containing multiple cobaltocenium centers on their surfaces. In analogy to ferrocene, cobaltocenium is also an 18-electron sandwich complex, formally composed of two cyclopentadienyl anions and a Co(III) ion. Overall, it has a positive charge and undergoes one-electron, reversible reduction to the neutral compound cobaltocene. Before the beginning of our work with cobaltocenium dendrimers, we investigated the electrochemical reduction of cobaltocenium to cobaltocene in the presence of β -CD in aqueous solution [33]. Our findings indicate that, while the interaction between cobaltocenium and β -CD is very weak, the reduced form, cobaltocene, forms a stable inclusion complex with β -CD ($K \sim 2 \times 10^3 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1}$). In the absence of β -CD, cyclic voltammograms for the reduction of cobaltocenium in aqueous media show distorted, very sharp anodic peaks, which result from the precipitation of the uncharged, hydrophobic cobaltocene on the electrode surface. Addition of β-CD removes these precipitation effects, because the inclusion complex between cobaltocene and β -CD is water-soluble [33].



Fig. 2 Redox-induced dissociation of the complex formed between eight $\beta\text{-CD}$ hosts and dendrimer 5

The cobaltocenium dendrimers were also prepared by the Cuadrado-Morán group. These dendritic macromolecules have multiple positive charges on their surfaces and are, thus, water-soluble, which facilitates the investigation of their binding interactions with CD hosts. We investigated dendrimers with a similar poly(propyleneimine) skeleton to those of the multiferrocene dendrimers. Compounds 6 and 7 are typical examples of these macromolecules. Cyclic voltammetry turned out to be a very powerful technique to monitor the binding interactions between these dendrimers and β -CD. As is the case with simple, underivatized cobaltocenium, compounds 6 and 7 show little interaction with this host [34]. The lack of binding interactions between β -CD and the cobaltocenium forms of **6** and 7 was verified by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The voltammetric behavior, however, is highly dependent on the presence of β -CD. Electrochemical reduction of either dendrimer in the absence of the host leads to a sharp, distorted peak for the reoxidation of the dendrimer from its insoluble cobaltocene form [34]. The presence of excess β -CD removes these precipitation effects, strongly suggesting that the same binding mechanism operates with them and with simple cobaltocenium.



Overall, dendrimers **6** and **7** require *electrochemical activation* (one-electron reduction of each of the cobaltocenium centers) to trigger strong binding interactions with freely diffusing β -CD hosts. Conversely, the ferrocene analogues (**4** and **5**) interact strongly with β -CD in their initial redox states, but a different electrochemical stimulus (one-electron oxidation of each of the ferrocene residues) leads to the breakup of their supramolecular complexes. In both cases, the β -CD hosts form supramolecular complexes with dendrimers containing hydrophobic, uncharged organometallic centers (ferrocene, cobaltocene) on their surfaces, while rejecting the redox forms of the dendrimers decorated with positively charged organometallic residues (ferrocenium, cobaltocenium). We have actually combined both types of stable organometallic centers in a compound containing terminal ferrocene and cobaltocenium residues and shown that the three possible oxidation states of this compound (ferrocenium–cobaltocenium, ferrocene–cobaltocenium, and ferrocene–cobaltocene) can interact with zero, one, or two β -CD

both types of organometallic centers (ferrocene and cobaltocenium) on the surface of poly(propyleneimine) dendrimers, although they did not investigate their binding interactions with β -CD [36].

These poly(propyleneimine) dendrimers (4–7), as well as their higher analogues containing 16, 32, and more ferrocene or cobaltocenium surface units, provide an excellent illustration of peripheral functionalization. We noted quite early that dendrimers with multiple copies of a functional group expressed on their surfaces could be of interest in applications exploiting chemical amplification [30], but we did not explore these phenomena. The group of Reinhoudt (Twente) started to investigate the interactions between dendrimers having multiple adamantyl residues and β -CD hosts [37]. Like ferrocene, adamantane is one of the best substrate moieties for inclusion complexation by β -CD [27], and its hydrophobic character is also quite pronounced. By lowering the pH of the solution and presumably achieving the protonation of some of the internal amine nitrogen atoms in the dendrimer framework, Reinhoudt and coworkers were able to solubilize these dendrimers in aqueous media, which facilitated the study of their interactions with β -CD [37]. This was the beginning of a very successful and exciting research program that this group has developed during the last decade. The highlight of this program is the effective use of multivalent binding interactions [38]. If one considers the binding interactions between a multiferrocene dendrimer, similar to compound 5, with a planar surface covered with a self-assembled monolayer of complementary receptors, such as β -CD, it is easy to see that several ferrocene-CD binding interactions may take place simultaneously. While a single binding interaction of this type is worth ca. -4.5 kcal mol⁻¹ in free energy ($K \sim 2,000$ M⁻¹), the multiple presence of several contact points between the dendrimer and the monolayer leads to a much deeper stabilization of the complex, which constitutes a nice example of multivalent binding. We can easily calculate that three simultaneous binding interactions, each with $\Delta G^{o} = -4.5 \,\mathrm{kcal \, mol^{-1}}$, lead to an effective K value approaching $10^{10} \,\mathrm{M^{-1}}$. Therefore, these multivalent dendrimers are very strongly adsorbed on surfaces containing multiple, organized copies of suitable receptors. This is the fundamental idea behind the concept of the *molecular printboard*, which has led to the elegant development of a new class of soft nanolithographic patterning methods [39].

4.2 Single Site Dendrimer Guests

While our initial interest in dendrimers was concerned with macromolecules containing multiple copies of organometallic centers on their surfaces, we became quickly interested in the idea of using the dendritic framework to partially or fully encapsulate a redox active center, with the main purpose of investigating the effects on the thermodynamics and kinetics of the corresponding electron transfer reactions. In this regard, core functionalization of dendrimers with redox active groups results in macromolecules in which growth of the dendritic components quickly attenuates the rates of most electrochemical reactions [40]. Furthermore,

core functionalization usually affords structures in which the redox center is essentially located in the approximate center of the macromolecule (Fig. 1b), while the active center of many redox proteins is partially buried within the polypeptide framework, but often located away from the center of the protein structure. Therefore, we realized that open core functionalization (Fig. 1c) would offer properties of considerable interest to us, as we tried to design macromolecules that resemble biological molecules [41]. We thus prepared the series of dendrimers **8–10**, which can be succinctly described as dendronized ferrocenes. Full synthetic details for these molecules have been reported elsewhere [42]. Very briefly, their preparation relies on the reaction between chlorocarbonylferrocene and the corresponding amine dendron, which were originally developed by Newkome and coworkers [43]. We fully characterized the structures of these dendrimers by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR and UV–Visible spectroscopic data, as well as MALDI–TOF mass spectrometric and electrochemical data [42].



As anticipated, the electrochemical behavior of these dendrimers in aqueous solution is dominated by the reversible, one-electron oxidation of the ferrocene center [41,42]. Although the rate of this electrochemical process decreases with dendrimer size, the voltammetric behavior remains in the quasi-reversible regime even for the largest dendrimer. Dendrimers **9** and **10** evidenced strong pH-controlled orientation effects on their electrochemical reaction rates on gold electrodes modified with a positively charged monolayer of cystamine [44]. More importantly, although dendrimer growth from **8** to **10** affects the half-wave potential for ferrocene oxidation, the small magnitude of this potential variation suggests that the ferrocene is far from being fully encapsulated by the dendron. This is in perfect agreement with molecular modeling of these dendrimers. We reasoned that the ferrocene center must then be still accessible as a substrate for inclusion complexation by β -CD. In fact, cyclic voltammetric experiments readily verified this hypothesis [42]. Addition of β -CD has two effects on the recorded current-potential curves. First, a general decrease in the current levels of the ferrocene/ferrocenium wave compared to those measured in the absence of CD host is observed in all cases. Second, a β-CD-induced halfwave potential shift to more positive values is also clearly detected. Both effects on the voltammetric data are well established for ferrocene derivatives interacting with β -CD [26]. The current decrease is associated to the larger size of the CD complex, which has lower diffusivity than the free ferrocene derivative, thus leading to smaller currents. The potential shift reflects the preferential stabilization by the CD of the ferrocene center (compared to ferrocenium). In this series of dendrimers, the relative magnitude of both CD-induced effects decreases as we move from compound 8 to compound 10. Clearly, increasing the dendron size diminishes the strength of the ferrocene-CD interaction. In order to quantify this dendrimer size effect, we analyzed the cyclic voltammetric data using digital simulations. From the optimization of the fit between digitally simulated and experimental voltammetric data, we obtained reliable values for the equilibrium association constants between all three dendrimers and β -CD [42]. The corresponding K values (Fig. 3) are 950 ± 140, 250 ± 50 , and 50 ± 10 M⁻¹ for 8, 9, and 10, respectively, at 25°C in 0.1 M NaCl buffered at pH 7 with 0.05 M Tris.

The *K* value for the complexation of the first-generation dendrimer (8) is at the low end of the usual range for complexation of water-soluble ferrocene derivatives. The *K* values decrease very quickly with dendronized guests 9 and 10. With the latter the binding affinity is so low that only a small fraction (ca. 5%) of complex is formed when millimolar concentrations of dendrimer and host are mixed in solution. We ascribe this drastic loss of binding affinity primarily to steric effects, as the growth of the dendron hinders the approach of β -CD to the ferrocene center. However, later investigations (vide infra) of binding affinities between other dendronized guests and freely diffusing hosts led us to believe that additional factors may be at play [8]. Perhaps dendrimer growth increases the hydrophobic character of the microenvironment around the ferrocene, thus decreasing the strength of the host–guest hydrophobic interactions that stabilize the inclusion complex.

Our data with β -CD and dendrimers 8–10 opened a number of interesting questions. Therefore, we decided to investigate binding interactions between various



Fig. 3 Binding equilibrium between β-CD and dendrimer 9

types of dendronized guests and CDs, as well as other molecular hosts. Driven by our interest in the microenvironmental changes that may result from dendron growth around a functional group, we synthesized the dansyl-containing dendrimers, **11–13**, using similar methodology [45]. The photophysical properties associated with the fluorescent emission of these compounds were investigated in collaboration with the group of Frank Bright (Buffalo). From their data, obtained in pH 7 buffered aqueous solution, we concluded that dendron growth partially protects the excited state of the dansyl subunit, while decreasing the effective polarity of its microenvironment [45].



Since dansyl is also a well-known substrate for inclusion complexation by β -CD, we measured the corresponding binding constants for complexation of these dendrimers. As an interesting comparison, the K values for complexation by a selective antidansyl antibody were also determined using fluorescence anisotropy measurements [45]. The results are given in Table 2. Dansyl amine (DA) is included in the table as a model compound, which lacks any dendron component covalently attached to the fluorescent group. The data clearly show that the binding affinity with β -CD is quickly eliminated by dendron growth [45]. In stark contrast to this, the binding affinities with the antibody are not only much higher, as anticipated, but the corresponding K values decrease only slightly in going from dendrimer 11 to 13. This specific host-guest interaction suffers only minor effects from the growth of the dendron component. The contrast between these two sets of data can be understood by considering the intrinsic binding affinities (measured with the model compound DA) with both receptors. The K value with β -CD is five orders of magnitude lower than that for the antibody. Therefore, since complexation with the antibody releases much more free energy, it seems that any required conformational rearrangements on the dendrimer take place, as needed, to allow complex formation with the dansyl

Guest	β – CD Host	Antibody
DA	307 ± 35	$4.97 \pm 0.23 \times 10^7$
11	136 ± 23	$4.07\pm0.20\times10^{6}$
12	<1	$1.91\pm0.12\times10^{6}$
13	~ 0	$.46\pm0.10\times10^{6}$

Table 2 Equilibrium association constants (M^{-1}) between dansyl guests and the indicated receptor in aqueous buffer solution (pH = 7) at 22°C

group. In the case of the β -CD host, the free energy released upon complexation is modest, which prevents complexation when the dendron is sufficiently large (2nd and 3rd generation). In fact, the binding affinity with β -CD decreases so quickly in this series (compared to the series of dendronized ferrocenes) probably because the intrinsic *K* value (measured for DA- β -CD) is lower than that observed between simple ferrocene derivatives, such as compound **3**, and the same host.

Credi, Raymo and coworkers reported in 2002, the preparation of a new type of carbohydrate-coated, dendronized ferrocenes [46]. The structures of these dendrimers are illustrated by compounds **14** and **15**. Binding interactions with β -CD were investigated by liquid secondary ion mass spectrometry, ¹H NMR spectroscopy, voltammetry and circular dichroism. Upon addition of β -CD, cyclic voltammetric experiments showed data similar to those obtained with our dendronized ferrocenes, that is, a CD-induced current level decrease and anodic half-wave potential shift. At 22°C, these authors obtained *K* values of 2,000 ± 200 and 1,300 ± 200 M⁻¹ for the complexation of **14** and **15**, respectively, by β -CD in 0.1 M NaClO₄. Similar dendrimers in which carbohydrate branches are covalently attached to both cyclopentadienyl rings of the ferrocene residue were not bound at all by β -CD, reflecting the shielding of the core ferrocene center in these more symmetric compounds.



In related work, Newkome and coworkers have prepared conifer-shaped dendrimers or dendronized adamantyl derivatives with structures similar to those of compounds **11–13**. In this case the adamantyl group is connected to the dendron by a five-methylene aliphatic tether. The inclusion of the adamantyl group inside the β -CD cavity was demonstrated by NMR spectroscopy but no binding constants were reported [47]. An interesting variation on this general idea has been reported by Ionita and Chechik, who labeled β -CD with a paramagnetic TEMPO subunit and used Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectroscopy to detect binding to sizable guests, such as dendronized adamantyl derivatives [48].

5 Binding Interactions of Dendrimers with Cucurbit[n]urils

Interest in the host binding properties of the cucurbit[n]urils (CBs) is increasing very quickly. As a result of this growing interest, several reports on the binding interactions between CB hosts and dendrimers are available. However, although very promising, the chemistry of CBs is still less developed than that of CDs and the body of work is much smaller in the former case. Furthermore, the lack of practical synthetic methods for CB functionalization limits the possibilities of structural elaboration around CB hosts. While the CDs have been used as scaffolds for the construction of dendrimers or covalently attached to dendritic structures, similar research work with CBs is still in its infancy.

5.1 Multiple Site Dendrimer Guests

A very interesting multisite guest for the CB6 host was reported by Kim and coworkers in 2001 [49]. This group prepared a series of poly(propyleneimine) dendrimers with 4, 8, 16, and 32 protonated diaminobutane terminal units on their surface. These dendrimers are very soluble in aqueous media, due to their polycationic nature. The terminal diaminobutane subunit that decorates the surfaces of these macromolecules is known to be an excellent guest for CB6, especially in acidic medium, where both amine nitrogen atoms are protonated and, thus, positively charged. These authors verified using ¹H NMR spectroscopic data that exposure of the protonated dendrimers to excess CB6 in aqueous solution leads to threading of the CB receptors around the diaminobutane termini, forming pseudorotaxane-terminated dendrimers (Fig. 4). While the uncomplexed dendrimers afford good quality electrospray mass spectrometric data, the authors could not obtain reasonable mass spectrometric data for the CB6-complexed dendrimers [49]. The only exception was the smaller dendrimer, which has only four diaminobutane termini and is capable of binding four CB6 hosts. Molecular dynamic simulations and ¹H NMR relaxation time measurements indicate that multiple CB6 complexation of the peripheral diaminobutane units leads to a very rigid dendrimer shell. The authors suggested that this shell may be useful to trap small molecules in the dendrimer inner phase. In fact, these



Fig. 4 Illustrative structure of the pseudo-rotaxane complex formed between Kim's diaminobutane dendrimer and 32 CB6 hosts

dendrimers can be viewed as cargo delivery vehicles under pH control, since increasing the solution pH to basic values deprotonates the amine nitrogen atoms and triggers the dissociation of the CB6 hosts, which may in turn release the cargo molecules from the dendrimer interior.

We have also performed a number of experiments with multiferrocene dendrimers and discovered that we can extract these hydrophobic macromolecules from dichloromethane into CB7-containing aqueous solutions. The binding interactions between the ferrocenyl residues and the CB7 hosts drive the phase transfer of the dendrimers, in a similar way to our reported findings with β -CD. However, these results have not been published yet and further work needs to be performed in this area.

5.2 Single Site Dendrimer Guests

Most of the research work done in this topic deals with the CB7 host because its cavity has the right size to bind aromatic molecules, such as bipyridinium derivatives, or even organometallic sandwich compounds, such as ferrocene and cobaltocenium. Given the importance of viologens (4,4'-bipyridinium salts) in electron transfer reactions and molecular devices, the first report on the isolation of CB7 prompted us to consider the possible formation of inclusion complexes between this host and methylviologen and related compounds. In 2002, we reported the formation of a stable inclusion complex in aqueous media between CB7 and methylviologen [50]. The corresponding K value at 25°C was found to be $1.03 \pm 0.03 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{M^{-1}}$ in 0.2 M NaCl. Later on, we reported that the apparent K value is very sensitive to the concentration of NaCl and other alkali and alkaline-earth metal salts in the medium [51]. This complex is primarily stabilized by (1) hydrophobic interactions between the cavity of CB7 and the biphenyl core of the viologen, and (2) ion-dipole interactions between the positively charged nitrogen atoms on the guest and the rims of carbonyl oxygen atoms on the corresponding cavity portals. Of course, the N-N distance in any viologen is very similar to the distance between the two cavity openings in CB7, which allows the simultaneous development of favorable ion-dipole interactions at both ends of the complex (see Fig. 5).

Around the time in which we completed this research we had prepared in our group a series of dendronized viologens, 16-18, with a molecular design very similar to those of compounds 8-10 or 11-13. A unique aspect of this dendrimer series, however, is the five-methylene tether connecting the viologen (4,4'-bipyridinium) group to the focal amide from which the dendron spreads out. The length of this tether was dictated by reasons of molecular stability, as we realized that shorter aliphatic tethers lead to unstable compounds. As usual, these compounds were



Fig. 5 Energy-minimized (PM3 method) structure of the methylviologen-CB7 inclusion complex

characterized by UV–Vis, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopies, electrochemical techniques, and MALDI–TOF mass spectrometry [52]. Their open functional core structure may allow the binding of the viologen residue by freely diffusing CB7.



¹H NMR experiments show that the viologen residue interacts effectively with the CB7 host [53]. Voltammetric and mass spectrometric data are also consistent with the formation of inclusion complexes between the dendronized viologens and CB7. As was the case with methylviologen, complexation by CB7 depresses the molar absorptivity coefficient for the viologen UV absorption band and this effect can be conveniently utilized to fit the absorbance data in titration experiments to 1:1 binding isotherms. From the optimization of these fittings we obtained the corresponding equilibrium association constants (Table 3).

The K values in the table reveal some interesting trends. First, the binding affinities are higher at pH 3.2, where the carboxylic acid groups on the dendron periphery are protonated and, thus, uncharged. At neutral pH, the negatively charged, ionized

Table 3 Equilibrium association constants^a (M^{-1}) at 25°C for the complexation of dendronizedviologens 16–18 by the CB7 host in aqueous solution buffered at the indicated pH values

Solution pH		Dendrimer	
	16	17	18
3.2 ^b	$5.9 imes 10^5$	6.2×10^{5}	3.4×10^5
7.3°	$5.5 imes 10^4$	$5.7 imes 10^4$	$1.3 imes 10^4$

^a Error margin: $\pm 12\%$; ^b0.2 – M formic acid buffer; ^c0.03 – M Tris buffer

carboxylates may induce the dicationic viologen unit to fold, decreasing its accessibility for complexation by the host. At either pH value, however, the binding constants show a very slight decrease in going from the first-generation (16) to the third-generation dendrimer (18). In fact, the observed trend in the binding affinities is similar to that found between the dendronized dansyl guests and the antidansyl antibody (Table 2) and very different from those observed with the β -CD host. This suggests once again that a relatively high intrinsic binding affinity, as measured between the undendronized guest and the host, is usually maintained as the attached dendron increases in size from first to third generation. In addition to this factor, we have also speculated before [8] that the host approach (host-to-guest residue) might be less disturbed by dendron growth in the case of CB7 binding a viologen unit than in the case of β -CD binding either a ferrocenyl or a dansyl residue.

At the end of the previous section we mentioned that the ferrocene-CB7 binding interaction is quite strong. For the sake of clarity, it is convenient to describe the binding between this host and several simple, water-soluble ferrocene derivatives before addressing the binding interactions between dendronized ferrocenes 8-10 and CB7. Our group first reported that ferrocene and its oxidized form, ferrocenium, both form stable inclusion complexes with CB7 [54]. However, in order to determine binding constants, one must do experiments with more water-soluble ferrocene derivatives, such as ferrocenemethanol (19), ferrocenecarboxylate (20), and the two positively charged derivatives containing one (21) or two (22) trimethylammonium termini. ¹H NMR spectroscopic, mass spectrometric and voltammetric data provide strong evidence for the formation of highly stable CB7 inclusion complexes with 19, 21, and 22 [12]. In contrast to this, anionic 20 is not bound at all by CB7, a finding which is attributed to the strong electrostatic repulsion between the negative charge on the guest's carboxylate and the negative charge density on the carbonyl oxygen atoms on the host's cavity opening. These results highlight an important difference between β -CD and CB7, as the former host forms stable inclusion complexes ($K \sim 10^3 - 10^4 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1}$) with all these ferrocene derivatives, including ferrocenecarboxylate [13]. The larger selectivity of CB7 is not only evidenced by its complete lack of binding affinity to anionic 20, but also by the wide range of Kvalues measured with the other derivatives. Thus, isothermal titration calorimetry experiments performed in the presence of competing guests yielded the following K values: $3.0 \pm 0.5 \times 10^9$, $2 \pm 1 \times 10^{12}$, and $3 \pm 1 \times 10^{15} \text{M}^{-1}$ for **19**, **21**, and **22**, respectively [12, 14]. These binding affinities are extremely high. In fact, the 22 · CB7 complex exhibits a binding affinity similar to that of the biotin-avidin combination, which constitutes an extremely interesting result in its own right [14]. These binding data allow us to establish a nice comparison between the receptor properties of CD and CB hosts and emphasize that the latter are capable of reaching much higher affinities with suitable guests, while maintaining more selectivity, based on the functional groups directly attached to the main binding site (the ferrocene moiety is included very tightly in the CB7 cavity in all these complexes).



As a result of these findings and given the availability of the dendronized ferrocenes 8–10 in our group, we decided to investigate their binding interactions with CB7. We also prepared a new series of dendronized cobaltocenium guests (23–25), following similar synthetic methodology and characterization techniques [55]. The binding constant in aqueous media between simple cobaltocenium and CB7 was measured in our group using UV–Vis measurements in the presence of a competing, reference guest (1,6-hexanediamine), whose binding constant had been accurately measured by Isaacs and coworkers [56]. At 25°C the obtained K value for cobaltocenium–CB7 association was $5.7 \pm 0.6 \times 10^9 M^{-1}$ [55]. This finding led us to add compounds 23–25 to the list of substrates for inclusion complexation by CB7. All the binding constants were measured using ¹H NMR spectroscopic experiments in which the guest of interest was set to compete for a limited amount of CB7 with a second, reference guest, whose binding constant was previously known. The binding results for the dendronized ferrocene and cobaltocenium derivatives are given in Table 4 [55, 57].



Guest	At pH 2	At pH 7	
8	$3.9 imes 10^4$	n.b. ^b	
9	4.2×10^{6}	$3.8 imes 10^5$	
10	Insol. ^c	$7.7 imes 10^5$	
23	3.2×10^{5}	$1.0 imes 10^4$	
24	1.1×10^{7}	3.4×10^{6}	
25	$4.0 imes 10^{5}$	4.0×10^{5}	

Table 4 Equilibrium association constants^a (M^{-1}) at 25°C measured in aqueous media between dendronized metallocenes and the CB7 host

^aError margin: ±12%; ^bNo binding detected; ^cInsoluble under these conditions

In analogy with the anionic derivative 20, the first-generation ferrocenyl dendrimer 8 is not bound by CB7 at pH 7, where its three carboxylic acid groups are ionized [57]. In contrast, the same compound is bound at pH 2 because protonation removes the negative charges on the carboxylic acid groups. The intensity of these electrostatic effects decreases as the dendron increases its size. Therefore, the second-generation ferrocenyl dendrimer 9 is bound at both solution pH values, although the binding affinity is higher at pH 2. We must point out that a similar pH effect was also visible in the data for the dendronized viologens (Table 3). Unfortunately, the complex between 10 and CB7 was insoluble in pH 2 solution, which prevents us from completing this comparison for the larger ferrocenyl dendrimer. The dendronized cobaltocenium derivatives followed similar binding affinity trends. More stable CB7 complexes are formed at pH 2 than at pH 7 solutions, presumably reflecting the electrostatic repulsions between the terminal carboxylates on the dendrimer and the cavity openings in the host [55]. Electrostatics are not enough in this case, to prevent the formation of a stable complex between CB7 and the firstgeneration compound 23, even at pH 7 where the three terminal carboxylates on the dendron are fully ionized. A comparison between guests 8 and 23 at neutral pH indicates that the presence of a positive charge on the metallocene binding site stabilizes the CB7 complex. This is also consistent with the fact that the dendronized cobaltocenium complexes are more stable in all cases than the corresponding ferrocene complexes. Overall, the binding data between CB7 and dendronized metallocenes suggest that electrostatic effects play a significant role in the overall stability of the complexes, while charge effects play a minor role, if any, when β -CD serves as the freely diffusing host in similar experiments.

6 Cucurbit[8]uril-Mediated Dendrimer Self-Assembly

Increasing the size of the CB host cavity opens up a number of interesting possibilities. Kim pioneered the use of CB8 and realized that this receptor has a cavity large enough to host two aromatic compounds simultaneously, giving rise to ternary



Fig. 6 CB8-mediated dimerization of methylviologen upon one-electron reduction

complexes. One of the first reports on this interesting binding property saw the light in 2002 [58]. Kim et al. demonstrated that, while CB8 forms a very stable 1:1 complex with methylviologen, one-electron reduction of the guest gives rise to the formation of an extremely stable ternary complex in which CB8 encapsulates two methylviologen cation radicals (Fig. 6). Dimerization of viologen cation radicals was, of course, well known before Kim's report. However, the extent of dimerization was found to vary widely depending on the nature of the solvent and the substituents attached to the viologen nucleus. Kim's report opened up the possibility of using CB8-mediated dimerization of viologen cation radicals as a mechanism to drive the formation of interesting supramolecular structures.

Application of these phenomena to the dendronized viologens 16-18 was of immediate interest to us because it may afford a novel mechanism for dimerization of dendrimers under redox control. Therefore, we investigated the one-electron reduction of these compounds in the presence of CB8 [59]. Our experiments provided clear evidence for a similar mechanism operating with the dendronized viologens. For instance, reduction of the second-generation dendrimer 17 in the presence of CB8 leads to a deeply colored blue solution, whose electronic absorption spectrum has the anticipated features of the cation radical dimer and shows essentially no evidence for the presence of monomeric cation radicals. In the absence of CB8 or in the presence of the smaller host CB7, one-electron viologen reduction does not lead to any significant degree of cation radical dimerization. The cyclic voltammetric behavior of 17 also supports extensive dimerization of the cation radical form, as the difference between the half-wave potentials for the two consecutive one-electron viologen reductions increases considerably from what is observed in the absence of CB8. Our experimental results confirm the dimerization of the cation radical form of the dendrimers in all cases, even in solutions containing mixtures of two different dendronized viologens [59]. Detailed analysis of the visible spectra shows that the dimerization of one-electron reduced 18 does take place, but the extent of dimerization is significantly lower than with smaller dendrimers. This was rationalized as a result of the large number of negative charges present on the surface of 18 at the neutral pH in which these experiments were conducted. Overall, our results verify the proposed mechanism (Fig. 7) which constitutes a novel example of the use of redox conversions to control dendrimer assembly.

The success of this approach gave us a powerful reason to explore related mechanisms that may also rely on the binding properties of CB8. For instance, it is well-known that CB8 forms ternary complexes in which electron acceptor and electron donor aromatic groups are simultaneously bound inside the host cavity [10].



Fig. 7 Redox control on the CB8-mediated dimerization of dendronized viologens

We reasoned that the interplay between viologen cation radical dimerization and viologen- π donor interaction could be utilized to develop more sophisticated mechanisms of dendrimer assembly under redox control. Driven by this hypothesis, we prepared a new series of dendrimers, similar to **16–18**, but containing a dialkoxybenzene aromatic donor residue instead of the electron acceptor viologen moiety [60]. The new series of dendronized dialkoxybenzene derivatives (**26–28**) is shown below.



Mixing of equimolar amounts of 27 and the second-generation viologen analogue (compound 17) in pH 7 aqueous solution may give rise to the formation of a charge transfer complex between the viologen acceptor and dialkoxybenzene donor residues. Not surprisingly, complexation is not observed at millimolar concentrations of both dendrimers, since the equilibrium association constants for these charge transfer complexes are typically quite low ($< 50M^{-1}$). However, addition of CB8 leads to the formation of the charge transfer complex as observed by the development of a visible band at 492 nm. In fact, the absorbance at this wavelength increases linearly with the added concentration of CB8, until 1.0 equiv. of the host is present in solution. After this point, the band does not develop any further. This finding reflects the high stability of the complex formed between the two dendrimers and CB8, in which the host includes the charge transfer complex composed by the two (acceptor + donor) aromatic units. ¹H NMR spectroscopy confirms the formation of a viologen-dialkoxybenzene charge transfer complex inside the CB8 cavity, as both sets of aromatic protons shift to higher field as gradually increasing amounts of the host are added to the solution [60]. Similar results are observed when any dendronized viologen is mixed with any dendronized dialkoxybenzene in the presence of CB8. What would be the effect of one-electron viologen reduction on these dendrimer assemblies? It is well known that one-electron reduction of a viologen residue decreases considerably its electron acceptor character and tends to break up any charge transfer complexes with aromatic donors. In this case, the generation of viologen cation radicals may also lead to their dimerization inside the CB8 cavity. In other words, our working hypothesis was that one-electron reduction of the dendronized viologen would cause the dissociation of the charge transfer complex with the dendronized dialkoxybenzene and give rise to self-dimerization, thus effecting a redox-driven change of partner. We verified that this is indeed the case using cyclic voltammetric and electronic absorption spectroscopic data. The cyclic voltammograms obtained with solutions containing equimolar concentrations of 17, 27, and CB8 are essentially identical to those obtained if 27 is not present in the solution, reflecting the fact that the current-potential response is controlled by the viologen cation radical dimerization process. Furthermore, one-electron reduction of solutions containing the three components gives rise to visible spectra fully dominated by the features of the viologen cation radical dimer. Similar results were obtained with all other dendrimer combinations [60]. However, we also observed that the efficiency of the dendrimer assembly (before and after viologen reduction) tends to decrease as the size of the involved dendrimers increases. Figure 8 illustrates a situation in which redox control on the partner translates into size selection of the assembly, which is the most attractive aspect of this dendrimer association scheme.

We are currently investigating the extension of this redox-controlled scheme to multivalent dendrimers, having multiple copies of an aromatic donor unit on their surface, and simple dendronized viologens in order to amplify the size selection aspects of this mechanism.



Fig. 8 Redox control on the size of CB8-mediated dendrimer assemblies

7 Related Phenomena

Binding interactions of dendronized guest residues with other hosts have also been investigated. Although space considerations limit how deeply we can address this topic, we will briefly mention here that binding studies of dendronized viologens by a crown ether host afforded data that can be used to distinguish the space-filling properties of different types of dendrons (Newkome vs. Fréchet) covalently attached to the viologen residues [61].

Dendrimers have also been proposed as gene transfection agents and, in 2001, Baker and coworkers showed that complexation with β -CD improves the efficiency of DNA membrane transport by polyamidoamino (PAMAM) dendrimers [62]. This was thought to be due to the smaller size of the dendrimer-DNA particles that form in the presence of β -CD. A similar concept has been developed extensively by Uekama's group, although they elected to prepare covalent conjugates of PAMAM dendrimers with β -CD [63–65]. Kim and coworkers have also reported on the use of cucurbiturils to improve the gene transfection efficiency of dendrimers [66].

8 Conclusions and Outlook

The work summarized herein shows that considerable progress has been made in just a few years at understanding the binding interactions between dendrimers and cyclodextrin and cucurbituril hosts. An important finding in this body of work is that multivalency can be used to develop very stable binding interactions between dendrimers and organized assemblies of suitable receptors, leading to the concept of the molecular printboard, as developed by Reinhoudt and coworkers. The author's group has investigated extensively the binding interactions between dendrimers containing a single and accessible guest residue and CD and CB hosts. Our findings show a surprising diversity of binding affinity trends as a function of dendrimer size. We have also shown that the host properties of CB8 are extremely useful to develop novel schemes for dendrimer self-assembly under redox control. This body of research has been carried out with relatively simple dendrimers. Increasing the synthetic and structural complexity of the dendrimer components may add further levels of interest to this research work. Developments in the chemistry of cucurbiturils, which may eventually allow their peripheral functionalization, will also add new dimensions to these research efforts.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to the U.S. National Science Foundation for the sustained and generous support of this research. Wei Wang acknowledges a Maytag graduate fellowship from the University of Miami. Angel Kaifer acknowledges the contributions of many excellent graduate students and postdoctoral associates whose names are given in the list of references.

References

- Lo S-C, Burn PL (2007) Development of dendrimers: macromolecules for use in organic lightemitting diodes and solar cells. Chem Rev 107:1097–1116
- Hwang S-H, Shreiner CD, Moorefield CN, Newkome GR (2007) Recent progress and applications for metallodendrimers. New J Chem 31:1192–1217
- 3. Smith DK (2006) Dendritic gels many arms make light work. Adv Mater 18:2773–2778
- Boas U, Christensen JB, Heegaard PMH (2006) Dendrimers: design, synthesis and chemical properties. J Mater Chem 16:3785–3798
- Caminade A-M, Maraval A, Majoral J-P (2006) Phosphorus-containing dendrons: synthesis, reactivity, properties, and use as building blocks for various dendritic architectures. Eur J Inorg Chem 887–901
- Smith DK (2006) Dendritic supermolecules-towards controllable nanomaterials. Chem Commun 35:34–44
- Kaifer AE (2007) Electron transfer and molecular recognition in metallocene-containing dendrimers. Eur J Inorg Chem 5015–5027
- Ong W, Gómez-Kaifer M, Kaifer AE (2004) Dendrimers as guests in molecular recognition phenomena. Chem Commun 1677–1683
- Connors KA (1997) The stability of cyclodextrin complexes in solution. Chem Rev 97:1325– 1358
- Lee JW, Samal S, Selvapalam N, Kim H-J, Kim K (2003) Cucurbituril homologues and derivatives: new opportunities in supramolecular chemistry. Acc Chem Res 36:621–630
- 11. Lagona J, Mukhopadhyay P, Chakrabartri S, Isaacs L (2005) The cucurbit[*n*]uril family. Angew Chem Int Ed 44:4844–4870
- 12. Jeon WS, Moon K, Park SH, Chun H, Ko YH, Lee JY, Lee ES, Samal S, Selvapalam N, Rekharsky MV, Sindelar V, Sobransingh D, Inoue Y, Kaifer AE, Kim K (2005) Complexation of ferrocene derivatives by the cucurbit[7]uril host: a comparative study of the cucurbituril and cyclodextrin host families. J Am Chem Soc 127:12984–12989
- 13. Rekharsky MV, Inoue Y (1998) Complexation thermodynamics of cyclodextrins. Chem Rev 98:1875–1917
- 14. Rekharsky MV, Mori T, Yang C, Ko YH, Selvapalam N, Kim H, Sobransingh D, Kaifer AE, Liu S, Isaacs L, Chen W, Moghaddam S, Gilson MK, Kim K, Inoue Y (2007) A synthetic hostguest system achieves avidin-biotin affinity by overcoming enthalpy-entropy compensation. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 104:20737–20742
- Breslow R, Dong SD (1998) Biomimetic reactions catalyzed by cyclodextrins and their derivatives. Chem Rev 98:1997–2011
- 16. D'Souza VT (2003) Modification of cyclodextrins for use as artificial enzymes. Supramol Chem 15:221–229
- 17. Jon SY, Selvapalam N, Oh DH, Kang J-K, Kim S-Y, Jeon YJ, Lee JW, Kim K (2003) Facile synthesis of cucurbit[*n*]uril derivatives via direct functionalization: expanding utilization of cucurbit[*n*]uril. J Am Chem Soc 125:10186–10187
- Ahern C, Darcy R, O'Keeffe F, Schwinte P (1996) 6-Hydroxyalkylamino-6-deoxycyclodextrins: towards dendrimeric host molecules. J Incl Phenom 25:43–46
- 19. Suh J, Hah SS, Lee SH (1997) Dendrimer poly(ethylenimine)s linked to β -cyclodextrin. Bioorg Chem 25:63–75
- Newkome GR, Godínez LA, Moorefield CN (1998) Molecular recognition using βcyclodextrin-modified dendrimers: novel building blocks for convergent self-assembly. Chem Commun 1821–1822
- Baussanne I, Benito JM, Mellet CO, Fernández JMG, Law H, Defaye J (2000) Synthesis and comparative lectin-binding affinity of mannosyl-coated β-cyclodextrin-dendrimer constructs. Chem Commun 1489–1490
- 22. Ortega-Caballero F, Giménez-Martínez JJ, García-Fuentes L, Ortiz-Salmerón E, Santoyo-Gonzplez F, Vargas-Berenguel A (2001) Binding affinity Properties of dendritic glycosides based on a β-cyclodextrin core toward guest molecules and concanavalin A. J Org Chem 66:7786–7795
- 23. Vargas-Berenguel A, Ortega-Caballero F, Santoyo-González F, García-López JJ, Giménez-Martínez JJ, García-Fuentes L, Ortiz-Salmerón E (2002) Dendritic galactosides based on a β-cyclodextrin core for the construction of site-specific molecular delivery systems: synthesis and molecular recognition studies. Chem Eur J 8:812–827
- 24. Benito JM, Gómez-García M, Ortiz Mellet C, Baussanne I, Defaye J, García Fernández JM (2004) Optimizing saccharide-directed molecular delivery to biological receptors: design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of glycodendrimer-cyclodextrin conjugates. J Am Chem Soc 126:10355–10363
- 25. Gómez-García M, Benito JM, Rodríguez-Lucena D, Yu J-X, Chmurski K, Ortiz Mellet C, Gutierrez Gallego R, Maestre A, Defaye J, García Fernández JM (2005) Probing secondary carbohydrate-protein interactions with highly dense cyclodextrin-centered heteroglycoclusters: the heterocluster effect. J Am Chem Soc 127:7970–7971
- 26. Isnin R, Salam C, Kaifer AE (1991) Bimodal cyclodextrin complexation of ferrocene derivatives containing n-alkyl chains of varying length. J Org Chem 56:35–41
- 27. Godínez LA, Schwartz L, Criss CM, Kaifer AE (1997) Thermodynamic studies on the complexation of aromatic and aliphatic guests in water and water-urea mixtures. Experimental evidence for the interaction of urea with arene surfaces. J Phys Chem B 101:3376–3380
- Alonso B, Cuadrado I, Morán M, Losada J (1994) Organometallic silicon dendrimers. J Chem Soc Chem Commun 2575–2576
- Cuadrado I, Morán M, Casado CM, Alonso B, Lobete F, García B, Ibisate M, Losada J (1996) Ferrocenyl-functionalized poly(propylenimine) dendrimers. Organometallics 15:5278–5280

- Castro R, Cuadrado I, Alonso B, Casado CM, Morán M, Kaifer AE (1997) Multisite inclusion complexation of redox active dendrimer guests. J Am Chem Soc 119:5760–5761
- 31. Valerio C, Fillaut J-L, Ruiz J, Guittard J, Blais J-C, Astruc D (1997) The dendritic effect in molecular recognition: ferrocene dendrimers and their use as supramolecular redox sensors for the recognition of small inorganic anions. J Am Chem Soc 119:2588–2589
- 32. Moozyckine AU, Bookham JL, Deary ME, Davies DM (2001) Structure and stability of cyclodextrin inclusion complexes with the ferrocenium cation in aq. solution: ¹H NMR studies. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2:1858–1862
- Wang Y, Mendoza S, Kaifer AE (1998) Electrochemical reduction of cobaltocenium in the presence of β-cyclodextrin. Inorg Chem 37:317–320
- 34. González B, Casado CM, Alonso B, Cuadrado I, Morán M, Wang Y, Kaifer AE (1998) Synthesis, electrochemistry and cyclodextrin binding of novel cobaltocenium-functionalized dendrimers. Chem Commun 2569–2570
- 35. González, B, Cuadrado I, Alonso B, Casado CM, Morán M, Kaifer AE (2002) Mixed cobaltocenium-ferrocene heterobimetallic complexes and their binding interactions with βcyclodextrin. A three-state, host-guest system under redox control. Organometallics 21:3544– 3551
- 36. Casado C, González B, Cuadrado I, Alonso B, Morán M, Losada J (2000) Mixed ferrocenecobaltocenium dendrimers: the most stable organometallic redox systems combined in a dendritic molecule. Angew Chem Int Ed 39:2135–2138
- Michels JJ, Baars MWPL, Meijer EW, Huskens J, Reinhoudt DN (2000) Well-defined assemblies of adamantyl-terminated poly(propyleneimine) dendrimers and β-cyclodextrin in water. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2:1914–1918
- Huskeens J, Deij MA, Reinhoudt DN (2002) Attachment of molecules at a molecular printboard by multiple host-guest interactions. Angew Chem Int Ed 41:4467–4471
- 39. Ludden MJW, Reinhoudt DN, Huskens J (2006) Molecular printboards: versatile platforms for the creation and positioning of supramolecular assemblies and materials. Chem Soc Rev 35:1122–1134
- Cameron CS, Gorman CB (2002) Effects of site encapsulation on electrochemical behavior of redox-active core dendrimers. Adv Funct Mater 12:17–20
- Cardona CM, Kaifer AE (1998) Asymmetric redox-active dendrimers containing a ferrocene subunit. Preparation, characterization, and electrochemistry. J Am Chem Soc 120:4023–4024
- 42. Cardona CM, McCarley TD, Kaifer AE (2000) Synthesis, electrochemistry, and interactions with β-cyclodextrin of dendrimers containing a single ferrocene subunit located "off-center". J Org Chem 65:1857–1864
- 43. Newkome GR, Behera RK, Moorefield CN, Baker GR (1991) Chemistry of micelles. 18. Cascade polymers: syntheses and characterization of one-directional arborols based on adamantane. J Org Chem 56:7162–7167
- 44. Wang Y, Cardona CM, Kaifer AE (1999) Molecular orientation effects on the rates of heterogeneous electron transfer of unsymmetric dendrimers. J Am Chem Soc 121:9756–9757
- 45. Cardona CM, Alvarez J, Kaifer AE, McCarley TD, Pandey S, Baker GA, Bonzagni NJ, Bright FV (2000) Dendrimers functionalized with a single fluorescent dansyl group attached "off center:" Synthesis and photophysical studies. J Am Chem Soc 122:6139–6144
- 46. Ashton PR, Balzani V, Clemente-Leon M, Colonna B, Credi A, Jayaraman N, Raymo FM, Stoddart JF, Venturi M (2002) Ferrocene-containing carbohydrate dendrimers. Chem Eur J 8:673–684
- Newkome GR, Kotta KK, Moorefield CN (2006) Design, synthesis and characterization of conifer-shaped dendritic architectures. Chem Eur J 12:3726–3734
- Chechik V, Ionita G (2006) Supramolecular complexes of spin-labelled cyclodextrins. Org Biomol Chem 4:3505–3510
- Lee JW, Ko YH, Park S-H, Yamaguchi K, Kim K (2001) Novel pseudorotaxane-terminated dendrimers: supramolecular modification of dendrimer periphery. Angew Chem Int Ed 40:746– 749
- 50. Ong W, Gomez-Kaifer M, Kaifer AE (2002) Cucurbit[7]uril: a very effective host for viologens and their cation radicals. Org Lett 4:1791–1794

- Ong W, Kaifer AE (2004) Salt effects on the apparent stability of the cucurbit[7]uril-methyl viologen inclusion complex. J Org Chem 69:1383–1385
- Ong W, Kaifer AE (2002) Unusual electrochemical properties of unsymmetric viologen dendrimers. J Am Chem Soc 124:9358–9359
- 53. Ong W, Kaifer AE (2003) Molecular encapsulation by cucurbit[7]uril of the apical 4,4" bipyridinium residue in Newkome-type dendrimers. Angew Chem Int Ed 42:2164–2167
- 54. Ong W, Kaifer AE (2003) Unusual electrochemical properties of the inclusion complexes of ferrocenium and cobaltocenium with cucurbit[7]uril. Organometallics 22:4181–4183
- 55. Sobransingh D, Kaifer AE (2006) New dendrimers containing a single cobaltocenium unit covalently attached to the apical position of newkome dendrons: electrochemistry and guest binding interactions with cucurbit[7]uril. Langmuir 22:10540–10544
- 56. Liu S, Ruspic C, Mukhopadhyay P, Chakrabarti S, Zavalij PY, Isaacs L (2005) The cucurbit[*n*]uril family: prime components for self-sorting systems. J Am Chem Soc 127:15959–15967
- 57. Sobransingh D, Kaifer AE (2005) Binding interactions between the host cucurbit[7]uril and dendrimer guests containing a single ferrocenyl residue. Chem Commun 5071–5073
- Jeon WS, Kim H-J, Lee C, Kim K (2002) Control of the stoichiometry in host-guest complexation by redox chemistry of guests: inclusion of methylviologen in cucurbit[8]uril. Chem Commun 1828–1829
- Moon K, Grindstaff J, Sobransingh D, Kaifer AE (2004) Cucurbit[8]uril-mediated redoxcontrolled self-assembly of viologen-containing dendrimers. Angew Chem Int Ed 43:5496– 5499
- Wang W, Kaifer AE (2006) Electrochemical switching and size selection in cucurbit[8]urilmediated dendrimer self-assembly. Angew Chem Int Ed 45:7042–7046
- 61. Ong W, Grindstaff J, Sobransingh D, Toba R, Quintela JM, Peinador C, Kaifer AE (2005) Electrochemical and guest binding properties of Fréchet- and Newkome-type dendrimers with a single viologen unit located at their apical positions. J Am Chem Soc 127:3353–3361
- 62. Roessler BJ, Bielinska AU, Janczak K, Lee I, Baker JR (2001) Substituted β-cyclodextrins interact with PAMAM dendrimer-DNA complexes and modify transfection efficiency. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 283:12–129
- 63. Arima H, Kihara F, Hirayama F, Uekama K (2001) Enhancement of gene expression by polyamidoamine dendrimer conjugates with g α -, β -, and g γ -cyclodextrins. Bioconjugate Chem 12:476–484
- 64. Kihara F, Arima H, Tsutsumi T, Hirayama F, Uekama K (2002) Effects of structure of polyamidoamine dendrimer on gene transfer efficiency of the dendrimer conjugate with α cyclodextrin. Bioconjugate Chem 13:1211–1219
- 65. Tsutumi T, Arima H, Hirayama F, Uekama K (2006) Potential use of dendrimer/a-cyclodextrin conjugate as a novel carrier for small interfering RNA (siRNA). J Incl Phenom 56:81–84
- 66. Lim Y, Kim T, Lee JW, Kim S, Kim H-J, Kim K, Park J (2002) Self-assembled ternary complex of cationic dendrimer, cucurbituril, and DNA: noncovalent strategy in developing a gene delivery carrier. Bioconjugate Chem 13:1181–1185

Index

N-Acetylethylenimine 35
2-Acrylamido-2-methylpropansulfonate (AMPS) 187
Adamantane 14, 27
Additive-CD–ICs 119, 151
Additive-CD–rotaxanes 119, 155
Additives, blends 115
Amides, cyclic 4
8-Anilino-1-naphthalin-sulfonacid ammonium (ANS) 187
Antblaze RD-1 153
Anthracene 14, 16
Antibiotics 66
Azo-dye-CD–rotaxane 119, 155
AzoxRD 156

Benzene 14 Benzotriazol-1yloxytris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophospate 63 3-Benzylsulfanyl thiocarbonylsulfanylpropionic acid (TTC) 197 Bile salts 15 Binding affinity 17 Binding selectivity 17 Biomaterials 55, 79 Bis(imidazolyl-methylen)-biphenyl 15 Block copolymers, coalescence, CD-ICs 148 - site-selective complexation 35 Bola-amphiphiles 9 - α-CD 14 tert-Butylanilid-PIBMA 31

Camptothecin 15 CD handcuff 164 CD-ICs, antibacterial 151 - flame retardant 153 - formation coalescence 119 - insect repellant 153 - spermicide 152 CDs 4, 55, 205 - chiral guests, thermodynamic recognition 16 - co-/homopolymerization 179 - complexed monomers, polymerization 179 - complexes 175 -- additive 150 – cucurbit[n]urils 208 - derivatives 4, 6 - dimers 8 -- guests, thermodynamic recognition 18 -- recognition of guest polymers 28 - glucosyltransferases (CGTases) 5 - hydrophilic hosts 176 - mobility 55 - molecular processing 115 - monomeric, recognition of guest polymers 26 - polar guests, thermodynamic recognition 17 - polymeric, recognition of guest polymers 28 - polymers 8, 18 - recognition of monomeric guests 8 - threaded methylated, induced gelation, polyrotaxanes 103 CD-star polymers 161 CGTases 5 Chain-growth polymers 159 Cholate 15, 19 Cholesterol 19 Cobaltocenium 205 Complexation processing 115 Copolyvinylcyclopropanes, fluorinated 181 Crown ethers 4

Cryptands 4 Cucurbiturils 4, 23, 205, 208 Cyclodextrins *see* CDs Cyclomaltopentaose 5 Cytotoxicity 67

Delivery, additive 119 Dendrimers 205 - binding interactions, cucurbit[n]urils 221 – cyclodextrins 211 - guests, multiple site 211, 221 -- single site 216, 222 - self-assembly, cucurbit[8]uril-mediated 227 Dextran, PEO-grafted 91 - poly(ϵ -lysine)-grafted 95 Diethyl fumarate (DEF) 183 Diethyl maleate 185 N, N-Diethyl-meta-toluamide (DEET) 153 Diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) 63 2,2-Dimethoxy-2-phenyl acetophenone 108 N,N-Dimethyl-acrylamide (DMAA) 187 2-(N, N-Dimethylamino)ethylcarbamoyl (DMAEC)-polyrotaxanes 67 Dimethyl-pyridinium 22 Dipeptide uptake, intestinal, inhibition 66 DNA, polyrotaxanes 55, 69 Dodecyl sulfonate 19 Drug delivery 30, 58, 79, 97, 101, 134, 193 - proteins/peptides 95 Drug delivery system, injectable 99

Einschlussverbindungen 4 Enantioselective recognition, chiral polymers 36 3,4-Ethylenedioxythiophene (EDT) 179

Ferrocene 14, 30, 205, 211 Flame retardant 153 Flammability 154 Fluorescein isothiocyanate labeled dextran (dextran-FITC) 99 Fullerene 18

Gelation, chemical crosslinking, CDs 104 – threading polymers 108 – inclusion complexation, double chain, γ-CD/grafted PEI 95 – - α-CD/grafted PL 95 – - α-CD/PEI 93 – - α-CD/PEO 82 – β-CD/grafted PPO 95
Gene delivery 55
– cytocleavable polyrotaxanes 55
Guests, alanine 17
– AQC-alanine 17
– camphor 17
– dendronized 207
– hydrophobic/amphiphilic 4
– size, thermodynamic recognition 11
– *tert*-butylbenzenesulfonate 18

Hepta-6-S-6-deoxy-β-CD 17 Homopolymers, blends, coalesced 125, 142 Host–guest complexes 3, 176, 205 Hosts, crown ethers 4 – cryptands 4 – cucurbiturils 4 – spherands 4 Hydrogels 79, 82 – injectable 97 – supramolecular, injectable drug delivery systems 96 Hydrophilic hosts 175 1-Hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) 63 8-Hydroxyquinoline 155

Implants, biodegradable/bioabsorbable 96, 97, 141 Inclusion 175 Inclusion compounds (ICs) 1, 79, 116, 137, 205 Insect repellant 153 Intestinal human peptide transporter (hPEPT1) 66 Intracellular trafficking, pDNA release timing 69 Ionenes 40 *N*-Isopropylacrylamide (NIPA) 109

Kinetic recognition 10

Lectin 62 Lithocholate 15, 19

Maltose–polyrotaxane 63 – Con A-induced hemagglutination 64 *N*-Methacryloyl-1-aminohexane/Me-α-CD 178 Molecular print boards 30

Index

Molecular recognition 1 Molecular tubes (MT) 9 Molecular wires 34 Multivalent interaction 55, 60 N-9- α -CD–IC 152 Nanolithography 30 Nanostructuring 119 Nanothreading 119 Naphthalene 14 Naphthalene 14 Naphthalene-6,8-disulfonic acid, stopper 45 Ni detection, azo-dye-CD–rotaxane 157 NIPAAM 190 Nonoxynol-9 (N-9) 152 Nylon-6 34, 135 Nylon-6,6 159

PC/PMMA/PVAc 147 PCL/PLLA 143 PCL-b-PLLA 149 PCL-PPG-PCL-\gamma-CD-IC 150 PDLA 37 **PDMS 166** PEG 46, 56, 120 PEG-bis(amine) 59 PEI 69, 93 Pentafluorostyrene 181 PEO 34, 81, 116 PEO-PCL 89 PEO-PEI-dex 95 PEO-PHB-PEO 86 PEO-PPO-PEO (Pluronics) 85 Peptides, cyclic 4 Per-6-iodo-6-deox-CDs 7 **PET 125** - films, flammability 154 Photoinitiators 108, 190 Photopolymerization 108 Photoresponsiveness 27 PIBMA 28 PLLA 37, 121, 134, 143 Pluronics 85 **PMMA 143** PNIPA 109 Polarity 37 Poly(acrylic acid), acid chlorides 159 Poly(N-acylethylenimine) (PNAI) 122 Poly(bola-amphiphile)s 38 - hydrophobic segments 38 Poly(2-(2-bromoisobutyryloxy)ethyl methacrylate) (PBIEM) 90 Poly(1-butene), isotactic (i-PB) 132 Poly(butylene succinate) 34 Poly(ɛ-caprolactone) (PCL) 89, 120, 134, 142 Poly(caprolactone-b-THF-b-caprolacton) 35 Poly(N, N-dimethylammonio-hexamethylene-N', N'-dimethylammoniodecamethylene) 40 Poly(1,3-dioxolane) 34 Poly(EDT) 180 Poly(ethoxycarbonylmethylene) 185 Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) 120 Poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate (PEOMA) 90 Poly(ethylene imine) 34 Poly(ethylene isophthalate) (PEI) 136 Poly(ethylene terephthalate) 125 Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB) 36, 141 Poly(3-hydroxypropionate) 34 Poly(4-hydroxybutyrate) 34 Poly(6-hydroxyhexanoate) 34 Poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA) 121, 134, 143 Poly(E-lysine) (PL) 95 Poly(macroinitiator) 90 Poly(maleic acid-alt-isobutene) PIBMA 27, 28 Poly(N-methyl-imino-oligomethylene)s 39 Poly(N-methyl-imino-oligomethylene-Noxide)s 40 Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) 143 Poly(NIPAAM) 192 Poly(pentafluorostyrene) 181 Poly(perfluorpropylene oxide) 35 Poly(propylene glycol) (PPG) 150 Poly(tetramethylene oxide) 34 Poly(trimethylene oxide) 34 Poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc) 143 Poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) hydrogels 137 Polyacrylamide 27 Polyadipates 34 Polyamphiphiles 1 Polyarylamine 67 Polycarbonate (PC) 147 Polyethylenimines (PEIs) 38, 93, 116 Polyisobutylene 35 Polylactides 37 Polymer crystallization, nonstoichiometric polymer-CD-ICs 141 Polymer-CD-ICs 118 - nonstoichiometric, nucleating agents 141 Polymers, coalesced, CD-ICs 125 Polymethylvinylether 35 Polypropylene, isotactic (i-PP) 132 Polypseudorotaxanes, chemical hydrogels 104 - physical hydrogels 82 Polyrotaxanes 1, 11, 44, 55, 79, 104 - biomedical use 58 - carboxypropanoyl-modified (C-PRxs) 63 - chemical hydrogels 104

- cyclodextrins, drug delivery 55 - cytocleavable, intracellular gene delivery 68 - ligand-conjugated, intestinal transports 65 - main chain pseudopolyrotaxanes 44 - multivalent ligand-receptor interactions 60 - saccharide-conjugated 62 Polysilanes 35 Polysiloxanes 35 Polystyrene 35 Polyvinyl chloride 35 **PPO 95** PPO-PEO-PPO 140 Protein-carbohydrate interactions 65 Proteins/peptides, drug delivery 95 PS stars 162 Pseudopolyrotaxanes 44 Pseudostoppers 20 **PVA 137**

Quinuclidinium 22

Recognition, kinetic 10 – thermodynamic 10 Rheology modifiers 27 Rotaxanes 11 – formation 22 – polyrotaxanes 1, 44, 55, 79, 104 – pseudopolyrotaxanes 44 – switchable 26

Saccharide/protein interaction 55 Saccharide-conjugated polyrotaxanes 62 SF-\gamma-CD-IC 139 Silk fibroin 139 Siloxane rubbers 152 Sliding gels 104 Sperm, bovine 152 Spermicide 152 Spherands 4 Star polymers 161 Step-growth polymers 159 Stilbene 16 Stoppers 20 Styrenes 181 6-O-Sulfonates 6 Supramolecular chemistry 1, 3 Supramolecular dissociation 55 Supramolecules 79 SWNTs 93

m-Terphenyl-44'dicarboxylic acid 23 Testosterone 15 Thermodynamic recognition 10 Thionyl chloride 159 Threading 115 Tosylates 6 Triclosan 151 Triisopropylbenzene sulfonate 6

2-Undecanone (methyl nonyl ketone) 153 UV irradiation 108

Vinylcyclopropanes, fluorinated 181 Viologens 205