# 2018 FINANCIAL <br> COMPENSATION SURVEY 

FINDINGS AND RESULTS

Dear Colleagues,

CFA Society Chicago, the world's first investment analysts' society, is committed to working with our members and the investment community to achieve our mission through our five organizational pillars of Ethical Leadership, Community, Industry Knowledge, Professional Excellence, and The Greater Good.

A key component of our mission is to serve as the premier local resource for CFA charterholders, CFA exam candidates, and other investment professionals in our community. This effort includes a commitment to share insights that aid our members in developing their careers and achieving their professional goals.

Toward this end, for the second straight year, we have conducted a survey on compensation practices among our members. I am pleased to present a summary of the results in this report, the 2018 Financial Compensation Survey.

I want to thank those who completed the survey. Your contribution to our collective knowledge is appreciated. Your feedback on the survey's content and value is important. Please share with me your questions and comments at info@cfachicago.org.

My best regards,

Thomas Digenan, CFA
Chair of the Board of Directors
CFA Society Chicago

## Executive summary

The 2018 Financial Compensation Survey is a compilation of the results of a survey on compensation practices in the Chicago area conducted earlier this year; 662 professionals completed the survey.

The survey requested data on numerous aspects of compensation. In addition to covering base salary and total compensation, the survey addressed the respondent's CFA Charterholder status, level of education, and occupation. Information on the type and size of the firm as well as the amount of assets under management were included in the survey. The survey captured expected annual salary change data and the respondent's view on the adequacy of compensation and the desire to explore other job opportunities.

The 2018 Financial Compensation Survey data and exhibits are intended to provide general guidance for comparing Chicago-area compensation levels with overall values for key categories. Note that actual compensation potential may vary relative to the numbers generated by the survey given the use of relatively broad category definitions. Also, the estimation of compensation based on any single factor may not predict reasonably accurate estimates. Details surrounding actual job responsibilities and the confluence of multiple factors may result in higher or lower compensation levels.

Years of experience

| $<2$ years | $7.5 \%$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| $2-5$ years | $22.8 \%$ |
| $10-10$ years | $25.4 \%$ |
| $20+$ years | $31.5 \%$ |

Education

| Associate degree | $0.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bachelor degree | $53.6 \%$ |
| Graduate degree | $44.1 \%$ |
| Doctorate | $2.0 \%$ |

Feel valued by their company


Charterholder status


Median total compensation


Feel fairly compensated


Our data show that professionals who attain the CFA designation and/or a graduate degree tend to have higher compensation levels.

Employer size


## AUM of firm



Fifteen most common occupations

*Respondents reported a wide variety of other occupations such as data analyst, product management, and valuation-based roles.

## Organization type



Opportunity for advancement within company


No

Likelihood of looking for a new role in 2018


| Reason for looking for a new role | Very likely | Likely |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Better work/life balance | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Higher compensation | $17 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| More challenging work/career advancement | $21 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Other | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Poor culture/lack of respect | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Recently completed degree/obtained certification | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

The most commonly cited reasons for looking for a new role were pursuing more challenging work/ career advancement followed by higher compensation.

Types of compensation in addition to base salary


Primary Determinant of Bonus


## Expected change in total compensation



| $\square$ Decline | $3.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Stay the same | $12.3 \%$ |
| $\square$ Increased 10-20\% | $23.4 \%$ |
| $\square$ Increased $>$ 20\% | $11.8 \%$ |

Discretionary and business unit/ division performance were the top two determinants of bonus.

How respondents wish their compensation was structured


Median and 75th Percentile Total Compensation by Occupation

| Position | Total Comp | N | Base | Bonus | Other Comp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultant | 124,500 | 54 | 100,000 | 15,000 | 5,785 |
| 75th percentile | 190,000 |  | 150,000 | 31,250 | 12,500 |
| Other | 133,000 | 54 | 110,000 | 22,000 | 8,500 |
| 75th percentile | 203,131 |  | 152,000 | 42,500 | 18,750 |
| Buy-side research analyst (equity) | 190,250 | 48 | 122,500 | 40,000 | 6,750 |
| 75th percentile | 272,000 |  | 156,250 | 117,500 | 20,000 |
| Financial analyst (corporate) | 105,000 | 45 | 87,500 | 12,500 | 5,000 |
| 75th percentile | 136,000 |  | 105,000 | 22,250 | 16,250 |
| Bank/trust/private client portfolio manager | 165,000 | 34 | 122,500 | 20,000 | 13,750 |
| 75th percentile | 216,625 |  | 165,465 | 46,350 | 23,175 |
| Buy-side research analyst (fixed income) | 182,600 | 30 | 130,000 | 50,000 | 10,500 |
| 75th percentile | 309,871 |  | 150,000 | 110,000 | 35,119 |
| Portfolio manager (equities) | 251,500 | 28 | 136,000 | 75,000 | 20,000 |
| 75th percentile | 390,000 |  | 180,000 | 160,000 | 47,500 |
| Portfolio manager (fixed income) | 213,000 | 28 | 132,500 | 75,000 | 19,800 |
| 75th percentile | 312,375 |  | 178,750 | 123,750 | 35,250 |
| Institutional sales representative/relationship manager | 209,000 | 28 | 120,000 | 70,000 | 30,000 |
| 75th percentile | 378,500 |  | 155,000 | 152,500 | 74,403 |
| Financial advisor/broker | 128,375 | 24 | 110,000 | 21,000 | 8,000 |
| 75th percentile | 238,500 |  | 162,500 | 65,000 | 29,700 |
| Risk manager | 172,500 | 24 | 126,060 | 36,000 | 7,000 |
| 75th percentile | 245,000 |  | 160,750 | 80,500 | 14,792 |
| Client services | 104,538 | 22 | 85,000 | 19,000 | 5,263 |
| 75th percentile | 143,388 |  | 114,000 | 35,000 | 21,500 |
| Performance analyst | 105,000 | 20 | 83,500 | 12,500 | 4,640 |
| 75th percentile | 120,455 |  | 96,625 | 21,375 | 12,900 |
| Chief investment officer | 393,700 | 18 | 227,500 | 85,000 | 95,000 |
| 75th percentile | 563,000 |  | 328,250 | 110,000 | 159,000 |
| Operations/reconciliation | 101,420 | 16 | 89,500 | 9,200 | 6,170 |
| 75th percentile | 142,188 |  | 100,000 | 25,000 | 11,250 |
| Manager of managers/director of investments | 234,700 | 15 | 165,000 | 46,500 | 21,536 |
| 75th percentile | 369,400 |  | 193,445 | 116,513 | 66,275 |

continued»

Median and 75th Percentile Total Compensation by Occupation

| Position | Total Comp | N | Base | Bonus | Other Comp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sell-side research analyst (equity) | 133,000 | 13 | 96,250 | 23,500 | 7,000 |
| 75th percentile | 147,000 |  | 111,250 | 30,000 | 8,250 |
| Credit analyst (rating) | 107,500 | 12 | 80,250 | 13,500 | 7,500 |
| 75th percentile | 156,125 |  | 98,000 | 37,500 | 9,550 |
| Manager research (alternatives) | 122,000 | 11 | 100,000 | 20,000 | 4,000 |
| 75th percentile | 134,000 |  | 112,500 | 23,500 | 14,045 |
| Manager research (equity) | 152,000 | 11 | 120,000 | 27,500 | 5,450 |
| 75th percentile | 167,100 |  | 128,500 | 35,000 | 8,375 |

## Note

For certain positions, the Total Comp figure can appear skewed when multiple respondents have no Base, no Bonus and/or no Other Comp to report.Only Reported for positions with more than 10 responses.
Base/Bonus/Other Comp/Total Comp figures were calculated independently from each other.
Suggestion: The best way to read this chart is to start with the Total Comp column ... and then use the Base, Bonus and Other Comp columns to understand generally how Total Comp is structured.

Reported median compensation for those with less than 5 years of experience for selected occupations

| Position | Total <br> Comp | $\mathbf{N}$ | Base | $\mathbf{N}$ | Bonus | $\mathbf{N}$ | Other <br> Comp | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Consultant | 80,950 | 20 | 74,000 | 20 | 6,000 | 17 | 4,100 | 16 |
| Financial analyst (corporate) | 92,750 | 20 | 77,500 | 20 | 8,000 | 19 | 3,000 | 14 |
| Other | 75,000 | 19 | 60,000 | 19 | 5,024 | 12 | 3,642 | 10 |
| Buy-side research analyst (equity) | 93,500 | 18 | 79,000 | 18 | 20,000 | 15 | 4,750 | 12 |
| Performance analyst | 87,800 | 11 | 80,000 | 11 | 6,000 | 9 | 2,712 | 8 |
| Buy-side research analyst (fixed income) | 95,000 | 9 | 80,000 | 9 | 11,200 | 9 | 7,500 | 6 |
| Operations/reconciliation | 72,000 | 8 | 62,500 | 8 | 6,000 | 7 | 5,000 | 7 |
| Client services | 76,225 | 8 | 62,250 | 8 | 11,010 | 6 | 2,000 | 5 |
| Financial advisor/broker | 90,100 | 8 | 70,200 | 8 | 15,000 | 6 | 4,300 | 7 |
| Sell-side research analyst (equity) | 112,500 | 7 | 90,000 | 7 | 27,500 | 7 | 3,050 | 7 |
| Credit analyst (rating) | 82,200 | 7 | 68,000 | 7 | 6,000 | 7 | 6,500 | 6 |
| Investment banker | 148,600 | 6 | 105,000 | 6 | 42,500 | 6 | $n / a$ | 3 |
| Accountant/auditor | 85,000 | 5 | 75,000 | 5 | $n / a$ | 4 | $n / a$ | 4 |
| Risk manager | 150,500 | 5 | 110,000 | 5 | 20,000 | 5 | 5,600 | 5 |
| Private client professional (other) | 70,000 | 5 | 60,000 | 5 | $n / a$ | 4 | $n / a$ | 3 |
| Manager research (alternatives) | 92,000 | 5 | 80,000 | 5 | 12,000 | 5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3 |
| Bank/trust/private client portfolio manager | 78,000 | 5 | 72,000 | 5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3 |
| Buy-side research analyst (quantitative) | 90,000 | 5 | 75,000 | 5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 |

## Note

Cells in yellow reflect fewer than 5 responses.
Base/Bonus/Other Comp/Total Comp figures are median and were calculated independently from each other.
Calculation of median statistics only includes those individuals who stated that they are eligible to receive a given form of compensation. Therefore, we would not expect these numbers to add up to the total compensation figure.
Suggestion: The best way to read this chart is to start with the Total Comp column ... and then use the Base, Bonus and Other Comp columns to understand generally how Total Comp is structured.

Median total compensation for those with less than 5 years of experience is $\$ 87,900$.

Reported median compensation for those with 5 to less than 10 years of experience for selected occupations

| Position | Total <br> Comp | $\mathbf{N}$ | Base | $\mathbf{N}$ | Bonus | $\mathbf{N}$ | Other <br> Comp | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultant | 152,000 | 23 | 119,500 | 22 | 24,000 | 20 | 6,000 | 19 |
| Financial analyst (corporate) | 107,000 | 13 | 95,000 | 13 | 12,000 | 13 | 5,000 | 9 |
| Other | 130,000 | 11 | 110,000 | 11 | 27,500 | 10 | 6,000 | 7 |
| Buy-side research analyst (equity) | 196,250 | 10 | 122,500 | 10 | 35,000 | 9 | 23,000 | 7 |
| Institutional sales representative/relationship manager | 162,000 | 9 | 90,000 | 9 | 50,000 | 7 | 15,000 | 7 |
| Bank/trust/private client portfolio manager | 103,750 | 8 | 89,000 | 8 | 15,000 | 5 | 6,000 | 6 |
| Risk manager | 160,060 | 8 | 124,000 | 8 | 23,000 | 7 | 6,000 | 7 |
| Portfolio manager (fixed income) | 196,000 | 7 | 100,000 | 7 | 70,000 | 7 | 11,000 | 7 |
| Buy-side research analyst (fixed income) | 180,000 | 7 | 136,000 | 7 | 40,000 | 7 | 13,250 | 6 |
| Client services | 121,000 | 7 | 85,000 | 7 | 19,500 | 6 | 5,288 | 6 |
| Financial advisor/broker | 186,200 | 6 | 117,500 | 6 | $n / a$ | 4 | 28,900 | 5 |
| Sell-side research analyst (equity) | 151,000 | 6 | 115,000 | 5 | 21,750 | 6 | 7,000 | 5 |
| Manager research (alternatives) | 130,000 | 5 | 110,000 | 5 | 20,000 | 5 | $n / a$ | 3 |

## Note

Cells in yellow reflect fewer than 5 responses.
Base/Bonus/Other Comp/Total Comp figures are median and were calculated independently from each other.
Calculation of median statistics only includes those individuals who stated that they are eligible to receive a given form of compensation. Therefore, we would not expect these numbers to add up to the total compensation figure.

Suggestion: The best way to read this chart is to start with the Total Comp column ... and then use the Base, Bonus and Other Comp columns to understand generally how Total Comp is structured. those with 5 to less than 10 years of experience is $\$ 137,675$.

Reported median compensation for those with 10 to less than 20 years of experience for selected occupations

| Position | Total <br> Comp | $\mathbf{N}$ | Base | $\mathbf{N}$ | Bonus | $\mathbf{N}$ | Other <br> Comp | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Buy-side research analyst (equity) | 264,300 | 18 | 155,000 | 18 | 115,000 | 17 | 6,500 | 13 |
| Other | 200,000 | 18 | 150,000 | 17 | 35,000 | 16 | 10,000 | 15 |
| Portfolio manager (fixed income) | 247,500 | 15 | 145,000 | 15 | 99,500 | 15 | 20,000 | 15 |
| Manager of managers/director of investments | 234,700 | 13 | 165,000 | 13 | 40,000 | 11 | 6,700 | 13 |
| Bank/trust/private client portfolio manager | 170,000 | 13 | 131,000 | 13 | 20,000 | 13 | 15,000 | 11 |
| Portfolio manager (equities) | 253,000 | 13 | 148,000 | 13 | 55,000 | 13 | 20,000 | 13 |
| Financial analyst (corporate) | 190,500 | 12 | 135,000 | 12 | 29,900 | 12 | 24,000 | 9 |
| Buy-side research analyst (fixed income) | 280,000 | 12 | 146,000 | 12 | 90,000 | 12 | 34,825 | 11 |
| Institutional sales representative/relationship manager | 373,000 | 11 | 150,000 | 10 | 132,500 | 8 | 70,500 | 8 |
| Risk manager | 214,166 | 9 | 139,000 | 9 | 61,000 | 9 | 9,500 | 8 |
| Consultant | 270,164 | 7 | 160,000 | 7 | 51,718 | 7 | 18,582 | 6 |
| Client services | 233,250 | 6 | 132,500 | 6 | 47,500 | 6 | 23,500 | 6 |
| Operations/reconciliation | 151,750 | 5 | 100,000 | 5 | 30,000 | 5 | 9,000 | 5 |
| Financial advisor/broker | 149,000 | 5 | 125,000 | 5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 |
| Performance analyst | 126,800 | 5 | 95,000 | 5 | 21,500 | 5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 |

## Note

Cells in yellow reflect fewer than 5 responses.
Base/Bonus/Other Comp/Total Comp figures are median and were calculated independently from each other.
Calculation of median statistics only includes those individuals who stated that they are eligible to receive a given form of compensation. Therefore, we would not expect these numbers to add up to the total compensation figure.

Suggestion: The best way to read this chart is to start with the Total Comp column ... and then use the Base, Bonus and Other Comp columns to understand generally how Total Comp is structured.

Reported median compensation for those with more than 20 years of experience for selected occupations

| Position | Total <br> Comp | $\mathbf{N}$ | Base | $\mathbf{N}$ | Bonus | $\mathbf{N}$ | Other <br> Comp | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chief investment officer | 393,700 | 12 | 278,000 | 12 | 75,000 | 7 | 46,400 | 9 |
| Portfolio manager (equities)* | 260,000 | 9 | 175,000 | 8 | 103,000 | 8 | 36,000 | 7 |
| Bank/trust/private client portfolio manager | 271,000 | 8 | 187,500 | 8 | 45,000 | 8 | 19,250 | 8 |
| Other | 241,100 | 6 | 170,500 | 6 | 58,500 | 5 | 15,500 | 6 |
| Financial advisor/broker | 261,000 | 5 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3 |

[^0]Compensation Trend Analysis


Compensation satisfaction vs. likelihood of looking for new job


Individuals who do not feel they are fairly compensated report they are more likely to look for a new job than their counterparts who believe they are fairly compensated.

## Survey methodology

## Method

CFA Society Chicago shared an online survey with financial professionals in the region who are subscribers of CFA Society Chicago's online publication and its Linkedln group. The survey was structured so all data gathered were anonymous with no identifiable information requested.

## Respondents

Respondents were financial and investment professionals and totaled 725 unique and completed responses.

## Timing

The survey was active from May 14 - June 22, 2018.

## Assumptions

The findings from this survey were derived from self-reported data. Because the survey used sampling methodology and broadly defined positions, survey findings are not necessarily representative of the total population. The survey is designed to provide general information about pay levels within the industry. Earning any degree or professional designation is no guarantee of employment or compensation.

## About the CFA Society

CFA Society Chicago, the world's first investment analyst society, is committed to working with our members and the investment community to achieve our mission through five organizational pillars:

- Ethical Leadership—Championing ethical behavior in investment markets.
- Community—Fostering a culture of inclusion, diversity and respect.
- Industry Knowledge—Being a respected source of knowledge in investment markets.
- Professional Excellence—Raising standards of professional excellence in the industry.
- The Greater Good-Expanding our investment culture to serve the interests of investors and society.

It is our goal to lead the investment profession by promoting the highest standards of ethics, education, and professional excellence; to shape an investment industry that serves the greater good; and to serve as the premier local resource for Chartered Financial Analyst designees, candidates, other investment professionals and our communities.

If you are interested in learning more about earning your charter, or to learn more about CFA Society Chicago, contact info@cfachicago.org.

## Follow CFA Society Chicago at: 


[^0]:    Note
    *For certain positions, the Total Comp figure can appear skewed when multiple respondents have no Base, no Bonus and/or no Other Comp to report.

    Cells in yellow reflect fewer than 5 responses.
    Base/Bonus/Other Comp/Total Comp figures are median and were calculated independently from each other.
    Calculation of median statistics only includes those individuals who stated that they are eligible to receive a given form of compensation. Therefore, we would not expect these numbers to add up to the total compensation figure.

    Suggestion: The best way to read this chart is to start with the Total Comp column ... and then use the Base, Bonus and Other Comp columns to understand generally how Total Comp is structured.

