FRAM	RUN	@RATE PROCESS AUDIT
Auditor Name	:	Supplier:
Audit Date:		Plant location:
Component name/ part #:		PO Number:
Drawing revision:	Rev Level:	Customer / Application:
Process audited:		Findings are determined by an automatic formula: if results are >90% = Passed Run@Rate if results are>70% and <90% = Interim Approval for Run@Rate if results are <70% = Failed Run@Rate requirements
	Process	Audit Findings
	Positive Points	Negative Points
	Summary of the R	Run@Rate capacity audit
Status	PASS	Max customer demand (/day) Purchased capacity (/day) Demonstrated capacity (/day) #####
Full approval Interim Rejected	Interim recovery we	orksheet prepared and agreed with the supplier (Y/N)
FRAM Filtration Representativ	ve Name / Signature	Supplier Representative Name / Signature

Г

The Run@Rate can be determined by either conducting the study on individual processes (workcells) and then determing the bottleneck, or if manufacturing is a continuous flow then the study is to be performed on the end product

Process Operations

	Process Name	OP Number	Cycle time (no. of parts / time observed)
Process Operation 1 name			
Process Operation 2 name			
Process Operation 3 name			
Process Operation 4 name			
Process Operation 5 name			
Process Operation 6 name			
Process Operation 7 name			
Process Operation 8 name			



RUN @ RATE CALCULATION WORKSHEET

Type of Run @ Rate:	FRAM Filtration Monitored Supplier Monitored	FRAM Filtration Part Number:	
Supplier Name:		Part Number Rev. Level:	
Supplier Code:		Part Name:	
Supplier Contact Name:		Program:	
Manufacturing Address:			

Supplier Data		FRAM Filtration Da	ata
A. (quantity / week):	please fill in all bordered blank cells to enable <u>formulas</u>	MAX CUSTOMER DEMAND (MCD) [quantity / week]:	
Production Days [days / B. week]:		Workdays of FRAM Filtration plant [days / week]:	
Purchased Daily Capacity C. [quantity / day]:		Daily volume [quantity / day]:	

	Planned Machine Lo	ading:
D.	Gross Hrs./Day(total shift time) [hrs / day]	
E.	Planned Machine Loading For FRAM Filtration [%]:	
F.	Planned Machine Loading For This Part [%]:	
G.	Gross Hrs./Day allocated for this part [hrs / day]	0.0

Supplier Tooling Information:	(quantity, description, etc.)

this part [his / day]	4			
	Supplier Plan for prelimina adjustments.			ctual Run@Rate. ne the status of the
Run @ Rate Results:		nned supplier)	<u>Ac</u>	<u>tual</u>
Date of Run@Rate:				
G. Duration of Run@Rate [hrs]:				
H. Downtime during Run@Rate period	minutes	hours	minutes	hours
1. breaks / shift change over		0.00		0.00
2. lunch		0.00		0.00
3. maintenance		0.00		0.00
<pre>4. part # changeover / setup time</pre>		0.00		0.00
5. unplanned		0.00		0.00
6. Total [1 through 5]	0	0.00	0	0.00
7. % Downtime {=(H6 /G)} [%]	#D1	[V/0!	#D	[V/0!
I. Net Productive Time {=(F*(1-H7) [hrs]	#D1	[V/0!	#D	[V/0!
Part Quantities Produced during the J. Run@Rate				
1. Total Parts [# of]				
2. Rejected [# of]				
		0		0
3. Net Good {=J1-J2} [# of]				

Comments: Action plan for capacity presented

roduction line / Tool Capacity / Schedule	R@R Planning Data. Not for determining final status.	ACTUAL DC	PC	MCD
(, Demonstrated Daily Capacity [quantity / day]	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		
. Demonstrated Weekly Capacity [quantity / week]	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	0	0

RUN @ RATE SUMMARY F	Result	Capacity	Quality	Final Status
ACTUAL DC > PC and ACTUAL DC > MCD	PASS	#DIV/0!		
ACTUAL DC < PC but ACTUAL DC > MCD	OPEN (Failed QTC)	#DIV/0!		
ACTUAL DC > PC but ACTUAL DC < MCD	OPEN (Failed MSV)	#DIV/0!		
ACTUAL DC < PC and ACTUAL DC < MCD	FAIL	#DIV/0!		
		Rerun Date (if required):		

REVISION History Log

Rev	Description	Author
-	Initial Release	JP

Date	
9/7/2012	