## NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT

(21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### How to use this report:


- · Learn more about this school by exploring all sections of this report
- · Start conversations with school community members and ask questions
- · Engage with your school communities to identify where schools are doing well and where they can improve

### Navigating through the reports:

- · Save or print this report by clicking the disk icon at the top of the page to download a PDF
- · Search for specific text or words in the report by using the search box at the top left of the page
- Use the menu bar along the top of the page or the table of contents on the left of the page to move between sections of the report
- Click the Next and Previous buttons at the bottom of the page or the arrows as the top left of the screen to move between pages of the report

### **Other Resources:**

- Review the <u>Summary Report</u> or the <u>district-level report</u> for this school
- Read the Reference Guide, Frequently Asked Questions, or Data Privacy Rules for more detailed information about the data in the report
- · Download the data in the reports for all schools in the state
- Provide feedback on the reports by taking our <u>feedback survey</u>
- Contact us at <u>reportcard@doe.nj.gov</u> with any questions about the reports



2017-2018

This table contains contact information including principal name, address, phone number, email address, and social media information, if provided.

Туре	Contact Information
County	MERCER
District	EWING TWP
Principal Name	MRS. WHITNER
Address	339 EWINGVILLE ROAD EWING, NJ 08638-1721
Phone Number	(609)538-9800
Email Address	JWHITNER@EWINGBOE.ORG
Website	https://www.ewing.k12.nj.us
Twitter	https://twitter.com/TheEwingSchools

School Contact Info

**Report Key:** 



### WILLIAM L ANTHEIL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

(21-1430-140)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

**Enrollment Trends by Student Group** 

group for the past three school years. Data for some student

This table shows the percentage of students by student

groups was not available before 2016-17.

Students in Foster Care

Migrant Students

Military-Connected Students

### **Enrollment Trends by Grade**

This table shows the number of students enrolled by grade for the past three school years.

Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK	51	55	55
KG	105	110	104
1	99	106	102
2	107	103	97
3	128	106	109
4	89	122	108
5	92	96	122
Total	671	698	697

Student Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Female	45.0%	46.3%	47.5%
Male	55.0%	53.7%	52.5%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	41.7%	43.3%	40.6%
Students with Disabilities	22.8%	23.9%	25.3%
English Learners	6.3%	7.3%	4.9%
Homeless Students		0.7%	0.6%

1.6%

0.0%

0.0%

0.4%

0.6%

0.0%

# Enrollment by Racial and Ethnic Group

**N** No Data is available to display

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

\*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students

**†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

This table shows the percentage of students by racial and ethnic group for the past three school years.

Racial and Ethnic Group	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
White	30.7%	30.2%	32.1%
Hispanic	17.9%	18.6%	17.9%
Black or African American	41.1%	40.4%	38.9%
Asian	7.3%	7.7%	8.2%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%
Two or More Races	2.7%	2.9%	2.7%

### Enrollment Trends by Full/Half Day PK and KG


This table shows number of students in full day and half day Pre-Kindergarten (PK) and Kindergarten (KG) for the past three school years.


Grade	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
PK - Half Day	31	34	34
PK - Full Day	20	21	21
KG - Half Day	0	0	0
KG - Full Day	105	110	104

#### Enrollment by Home Language

This table shows the percentage of students by primary home language. Only the top 5 languages with at least 1% of students are shown, and students with other home languages are included in the Other Languages total.

Home Language	% of Students
English	82.8%
Spanish	8.0%
Polish	2.3%
Urdu	1.3%
Arabic	1.0%
Other Languages	4.6%





Student growth is a measure of how much students are learning each year. Each student receives a Student Growth Percentile (SGP) for English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) in grades 4 through 8 and for Mathematics in grades 4 through 7 that explains their progress compared to students who had the same test scores in previous years.

A student's SGP falls between 1 and 99 and can be grouped into three level

Low Growth: Less than 35

Typical Growth: Between 35 and 65

High Growth: Greater than 65


If the student growth percentiles for all students in the school are ordered from smallest to largest, the median student growth percentile (mSGP) for the school is the percentile in the middle of that list. Watch a short <u>video about Student Growth Percentiles</u> that explains how they are calculated for students and how median Student Growth Percentiles (mSGP) are calculated for groups of students.


### Student Growth by Performance Level

These graphs show the percentage of students by 2016-17 PARCC performance level that fall into each of the three levels of growth based on their 2017-18 test scores.




These graphs show the median Student Growth Percentile for students in each grade.





5



#### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the English Language Arts/Literacy section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	338	98.6	47.0	43.2	56.7	47.0	47.5	Met Target†
White	102	100.0	47.1	56.9	65.6	47.1	54.1	Met Target†
Hispanic	64	100.0	46.9	35.5	42.5	46.9	44.7	Met Target
Black or African American	140	97.2	42.1	35.8	37.3	42.1	41.6	Met Target
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	22	95.7	81.8	*	82.3	81.8	70.5	Met Goal
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	52.7	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	63.4	*	**	**
Female	165	98.2	56.4	53.1	64.5	56.4		
Male	173	98.9	38.1	34.0	49.4	38.1		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	136	98.6	33.0	31.6	38.5	33.0	32.4	Met Target
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	202	98.5	56.4	51.9	67.5	56.4		
Students with Disabilities	88	98.9	20.5	*	21.6	20.5	28	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	250	98.4	56.4	*	63.9	56.4		
English Learners	23	100.0	21.7	*	27.3	21.7	N	Ν
Non-English Learners	315	98.5	48.9	*	59.4	48.9		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	20.7	27.7	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	26.3	N		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	69.3	57.4	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	30.1	N		

† Target was met within a confidence interval.



(21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	103	736	738	750	22%	16%	23%	*	*	39%	52%
White	28	741	750	759	*	*	*	*	*	36%	61%
Hispanic	23	737	733	736	*	*	*	43%	0%	43%	38%
Black or African American	41	729	731	733	29%	*	*	*	*	34%	35%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	777	*	*	*	*	*	*	77%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	Ν	743	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	58%
Female	50	743	744	756	*	*	24%	*	*	48%	57%
Male	53	729	731	744	*	*	23%	*	*	30%	46%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	44	727	727	733	30%	*	*	*	*	32%	34%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	59	743	746	762	17%	*	*	*	*	44%	64%
Students with Disabilities	20	706	*	719	60%	*	*	*	*	15%	24%
Students without Disabilities	83	743	*	756	13%	*	*	*	*	45%	57%
English Learners	*	*	709	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	15%
Non-English Learners	*	*	739	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Homeless Students	N	Ν	*	720	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	21%
Students in Foster Care	N	Ν	*	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	751	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	Ν	741	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	48%



(21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	107	745	742	756	*	18%	25%	*	*	49%	58%
White	31	756	753	764	0%	*	*	*	*	58%	68%
Hispanic	19	745	743	744	*	*	*	*	*	58%	44%
Black or African American	49	737	734	739	*	22%	27%	*	*	39%	39%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	782	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	758	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Two or More Races	*	*	742	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	63%
Female	58	753	750	762	*	*	22%	*	*	59%	63%
Male	49	736	734	751	*	*	29%	*	*	37%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	45	733	731	740	*	*	36%	*	*	27%	40%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	62	754	751	767	*	*	18%	*	*	65%	70%
Students with Disabilities	29	710	716	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	25%
Students without Disabilities	78	758	749	762	*	*	*	*	*	*	64%
English Learners	*	*	*	720	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	759	*	*	*	*	*	*	61%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	729	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	*	729	N	N	N	N	N	N	26%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%



(21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018


#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5


This table shows participation and performance on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	116	750	746	755	*	20%	26%	*	*	52%	58%
White	38	749	*	763	*	*	26%	*	*	47%	68%
Hispanic	21	746	740	743	*	*	*	*	*	43%	43%
Black or African American	44	746	741	738	*	23%	27%	*	*	48%	38%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	764	780	*	*	*	*	*	*	84%
American Indian or Alaska Native	Ν	N	N	752	N	N	N	N	N	N	53%
Two or More Races	*	*	752	763	*	*	*	*	*	*	65%
Female	58	759	752	762	*	*	28%	*	*	62%	66%
Male	58	741	740	749	*	*	24%	*	*	41%	51%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	46	739	736	739	*	*	*	*	*	39%	39%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	70	757	754	766	*	*	*	*	*	60%	71%
Students with Disabilities	23	729	*	724	*	43%	*	*	*	22%	22%
Students without Disabilities	93	755	*	762	*	14%	*	*	*	59%	65%
English Learners	*	*	*	712	*	*	*	*	*	*	11%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	60%
Homeless Students	Ν	N	*	731	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	30%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	*	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	27%
Military-Connected Students	Ν	N	N	756	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	59%
Migrant Students	Ν	N	N	732	N	N	N	N	N	N	33%



### English Language Arts/Literacy Assessment - Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level exam on the English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA/L) section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.





(21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Mathematics Assessment - Participation and Performance

This table shows information about the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment both overall and by student group. The PARCC assessment has five performance levels, and students scoring a Level 4 or 5 (Met or Exceeded Expectations) have demonstrated readiness for the next grade level and are on track for college and careers. This table shows the number of valid test scores, the percentage of students that took the test, and the percentage of testers that met or exceeded expectations in the school, the district, and across the state. The last three columns of the table show the proficiency rate used for federal accountability, the annual target, and whether the target was met, as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) accountability. Student group rows where the annual target fields are grayed out are not required to meet annual targets under ESSA accountability. For more details on New Jersey's accountability system, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Valid Scores	% of students Taking Test	School: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	District: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	State: % of Testers Met/Exceeded Expectations	Proficiency Rate for Federal Accountability	2017-18 Annual Target	Met 2017-18 Annual Target
Schoolwide	336	98.0	43.8	36.0	45.0	43.8	54.4	Not Met
White	100	98.0	50.0	52.2	54.1	50.0	69.1	Not Met
Hispanic	64	100.0	48.5	34.2	29.2	48.5	57.6	Met Target†
Black or African American	140	97.2	31.4	24.2	23.4	31.4	38.8	Not Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	22	95.7	77.3	68.1	77.0	77.3	70.5	Met Target
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	42.5	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	53.0	*	**	**
Female	164	97.7	51.2	40.7	46.0	51.2		
Male	172	98.3	36.6	31.8	43.9	36.6		
Economically Disadvantaged Students	135	97.9	31.1	26.6	26.6	31.1	43.4	Not Met
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	201	98.1	52.3	43.2	55.9	52.3		
Students with Disabilities	88	98.9	21.6	*	17.1	21.6	32.3	Not Met
Students without Disabilities	248	97.7	51.6	*	50.5	51.6		
English Learners	23	100.0	34.7	*	24.6	34.7	14	Met Target
Non-English Learners	313	97.8	44.5	*	46.9	44.5		
Homeless Students	*	*	*	10.3	17.3	*		
Students In Foster Care	N	N	N	*	16.2	Ν		
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	38.5	45.8	*		
Migrant Students	N	N	N	N	23.7	N		

+ Target was met within a confidence interval.

# **Report Key:**

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display

Narrative

**†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

**NJ SCHOOL** PERFORMANCE REPORT

#### WILLIAM L ANTHEIL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

(21-1430-140)Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 3

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	102	747	746	752	*	18%	29%	*	*	48%	53%
White	27	756	761	760	0%	*	37%	*	*	56%	64%
Hispanic	23	747	743	739	0%	*	*	*	*	52%	38%
Black or African American	41	738	738	734	*	27%	24%	*	*	37%	32%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	780	*	*	*	*	*	*	83%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	747	N	N	N	N	N	N	49%
Two or More Races	*	*	*	757	*	*	*	*	*	*	59%
Female	50	750	748	752	*	*	20%	*	*	58%	53%
Male	52	743	744	751	*	*	38%	*	*	38%	53%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	44	738	738	736	*	*	32%	*	*	36%	35%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	58	753	753	762	*	*	28%	*	*	57%	66%
Students with Disabilities	20	732	*	730	*	*	*	*	*	15%	29%
Students without Disabilities	82	750	*	756	*	*	*	*	*	56%	57%
English Learners	*	*	723	726	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%
Non-English Learners	*	*	747	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	56%
Homeless Students	N	N	*	723	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	20%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	*	725	N	N	N	N	N	N	23%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Migrant Students	N	Ν	N	737	N	N	N	N	N	N	46%

2017-2018

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

### Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 4

This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	106	738	739	748	12%	19%	29%	*	*	40%	49%
White	30	752	753	755	*	*	*	*	*	57%	60%
Hispanic	19	739	739	737	*	*	*	*	*	47%	34%
Black or African American	49	725	728	730	*	31%	29%	*	*	24%	27%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	*	774	*	*	*	*	*	*	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%
Two or More Races	*	*	746	752	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	57	742	744	748	*	*	26%	*	*	46%	50%
Male	49	733	733	748	*	*	33%	*	*	33%	49%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	44	728	730	733	*	*	34%	*	*	23%	30%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	62	744	746	758	*	*	26%	*	*	52%	62%
Students with Disabilities	29	710	715	725	*	*	*	*	*	*	22%
Students without Disabilities	77	748	745	753	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
English Learners	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%
Homeless Students	*	*	*	722	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	*	723	N	N	N	N	N	N	16%
Military-Connected Students	*	*	*	748	*	*	*	*	*	*	49%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	731	N	N	N	N	N	N	32%


2017-2018

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Mathematics Assessment - Performance by Grade: Grade 5


This table shows participation and performance on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment by grade level exam. This table shows both overall and by student group the number of valid test scores and a summary of performance including mean scale scores, percentages of students scoring in each performance level, and the percentage of students that met or exceeded expectations (Level 4 or 5).

Student Group	Valid Scores	Mean Scale Score	District Mean Scale Score	State Mean Scale Score	% Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations	% Level 2: Partially Met Expectations	% Level 3: Approached Expectations	% Level 4: Met Expectations	% Level 5: Exceeded Expectations	% of Testers Met/ Exceeded Expectations	State % of Testers Met / Exceeded Expectation
Schoolwide	116	745	742	748	*	21%	34%	*	*	45%	49%
White	38	745	*	756	0%	*	37%	*	*	45%	60%
Hispanic	21	746	738	736	*	*	*	*	*	48%	32%
Black or African American	44	738	737	730	0%	27%	41%	32%	0%	32%	26%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	*	*	759	777	*	*	*	*	*	*	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	N	N	N	745	N	N	N	N	N	N	42%
Two or More Races	*	*	747	754	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%
Female	58	749	743	749	*	*	33%	*	*	52%	50%
Male	58	741	741	747	*	*	34%	*	*	38%	48%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	46	740	734	733	*	26%	39%	*	*	33%	29%
Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	70	748	748	758	*	17%	30%	*	*	53%	62%
Students with Disabilities	23	736	*	726	*	*	*	*	*	30%	20%
Students without Disabilities	93	747	*	752	*	*	*	*	*	48%	55%
English Learners	*	*	*	718	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%
Non-English Learners	*	*	*	750	*	*	*	*	*	*	51%
Homeless Students	N	N	*	722	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	17%
Students in Foster Care	N	N	*	722	N	N	N	N	N	N	20%
Military-Connected Students	N	Ν	N	749	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	52%
Migrant Students	N	N	N	728	N	N	N	N	N	N	29%



#### Mathematics Assessment – Performance Trends

This graph shows the percentage of students who met or exceeded expectations on each grade level or end of course exam on the Mathematics section of the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment for the past three years.



† Grade level results do not include students who took the Algebra I, Geometry, or Algebra II end of course tests.



(21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

#### **DLM Alternate Assessment - Participation**

This table shows the number of students taking the Dynamic Learning Maps Alternate Assessment, which tests academic progress in English Language Arts (ELA) and Mathematics for students with different types of significant cognitive disabilities.

Grade	ELA: # Students Tested	Math: # Students Tested
3	*	*
4	*	*
5	*	*

### English Language Progress to Proficiency

This table shows the percentage of English Learners who demonstrated the expected amount of growth on the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English Language proficiency. Expected growth is based on the student's initial level of English Language Proficiency during the 2016-17 school year and the number of years the student has been enrolled in the district. This table also shows the school's 2017-18 ESSA accountability target and whether the target was met.


Student Group	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to Proficiency	2017-18 Target	Met Target?
Schoolwide/English Learners	46.4%	60.7%	Met Target†

† Target was met within one standard deviation

#### English Language Proficiency Test - Participation and Performance

This table shows, by years in district, the number of English learner students taking the ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 Assessment for English language proficiency and the percentage of students tested that received an overall score of 4.5 or above. Students must receive a score of 4.5 or higher to be considered for proficient status.

Years in District	# Students Tested	% Students with Overall Score Below 4.5	% Students with Overall Score of 4.5 and above
0-2	21	81.0%	19.0%
3-4	11	*	*
5 or more	*	*	*



The New Jersey Student Learning Assessment for Science (NJSLA-S) measures student proficiency with the New Jersey Student Learning Standards for Science. All students in grade 5, grade 8, and grade 11 were administered the NJSLA-Science field test in 2017-18.

2017-2018

NJASK Science Assessment Information

New Jersey transitioned from the NJASK to NJSLA-S in 2017-18 to align to new science standards. Results of field tests in 2017-18 will not be reported, but results of NJSLA-S will be added to the performance reports in 2018-19.

- **†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table





(21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018 Report Key:

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.


### Chronic Absenteeism


This table shows the number and percentage of students in grades K-12 both overall and by student group who were chronically absent during the school year. The last two columns show the chronic absenteeism state average for students in the grades offered and whether the rate for each student group was above the state average ("Not Met") or less than or equal to the state average ("Met").

Student Group	Number of students chronically absent	Percent of students chronically absent	State Average	Met State Average
Schoolwide	35	5.4	8.9	Met
White	9	4.3	8.9	Met
Hispanic	8	6.9	8.9	Met
Black or African American	15	6.0	8.9	Met
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	1	1.9	8.9	Met
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	**	**
Two or More Races	*	*	**	**
Economically Disadvantaged Students	25	9.3	8.9	Not Met
Students with Disabilities	13	8.6	8.9	Met
English Learners	1	2.9	8.9	Met

### Days Absent

This graph shows the percentage of K-12 students by the number of days they were absent during the school year.






Student absences provide important information about a school's culture and climate. Research shows that absences impact a student's ability to succeed in school. The New Jersey Department of Education used input from New Jersey communities to select chronic absenteeism as its measure of school quality and student success for the ESSA accountability plan. **Chronic absenteeism** is defined as being absent for 10% or more of the days enrolled during the school year. A student who is not present for any reason, whether excused, unexcused, or for disciplinary action, is considered absent unless permitted by state statute or regulations.

Chronic Absenteeism by Grade

This graph shows the percentage of students by grade level who were chronically absent during the school year.





(21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

\* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy

- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

### Violence, Vandalism, HIB, and Substance Offenses

This table shows the number of incidents reported by type. A single incident may be counted under multiple incident types. The total unique incidents row provides an unduplicated count of incidents. The last row shows the rate of incidents for every 100 students enrolled.

Incident Type	Number of Incidents
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	7
Total Unique Incidents	7
Incidents Per 100 Students Enrolled	1.00

### Harassment, Intimidation, and Bullying (HIB) Investigations

This table shows, by the nature of the incident, the number of alleged and confirmed Harassment, Intimidation, and Bulling (HIB) investigations. The nature of an incident is based on the protected categories listed below, and the nature of a single HIB investigation may include multiple categories. All confirmed incidents must have an identified nature.

HIB Nature (Protected Category)	HIB Alleged	HIB Confirmed	Total HIB Investigations
Race	0	3	3
Religion	0	0	0
Ancestry	0	0	0
Gender	0	0	0
Sexual Orientation	0	1	1
Disability	0	1	1
Other	0	6	6
No Identified Nature	9		9

### **Police Notifications**

This table shows, by incident type, the number of cases where an incident led to police notification.

Incident Type	Incidents Reported to Police
Violence	0
Weapons	0
Vandalism	0
Substances	0
Harassment, Intimidation, Bullying (HIB)	0
Other Incidents Leading to Removal	0

### **Student Disciplinary Removals**

The first table shows, by removal type, the number and percentage of students who received disciplinary removals during the school year. Removal types include students receiving one or more in-school suspensions, one or more out-of-school suspensions, one or more suspension of any type, removal to another school or other educational program, expulsion with no further educational services, or arrest. The second table shows the total number of days missed due to out-of-school suspension for all students during the school year.

Removal Type	Number of Students	Percent of Students	Sch due
In-School Suspensions	64	9.2%	
Out-of-School Suspensions	40	5.7%	
Any Suspension	77	11.0%	
Removal to other education program	0	0.0%	
Expulsion	0	0.0%	
Arrest	0	0.0%	

School Days Missed due to Out-of-School Suspensions

69

Grades Offered: PK-05

2017-2018

#### **N** No Data is available to display

+ This indicates a table specific note see note below table

#### School Day

REPORT

This table shows the start and end times and length of school day for a typical student at this school. Instructional time is the amount of time that a typical student was engaged in instructional activities under the supervision of a certified teacher. Full time students attend this school for more than half of the school day and shared-time students attend the school for half of the school day or less.

Category	School
Typical Start Time	9:00 AM
Typical End Time	3:40 PM
Length of School Day	6 Hrs 40 Mins
Full Time - Instructional Time	5 Hrs 50 Mins
Shared Time - Instructional Time	N

### **Device Ratios**

This table shows the student to device ratio, which is the number of students in tested grades 3-11 per device. Devices are computers such as tablets, iPads, laptops and Chromebooks. The count includes only devices that meet nationally recommended specifications for digital learning in grades 3-11. This information comes from the elective NJTRAx survey, therefore data may not be available for all schools. Additionally, schools that have adopted a Bring Your Own Device policy may appear to have a very low Student to Device ratio due to this policy decision.

School Year	Student to Device Ratio
2017-18	1:1

### Per-Pupil Expenditures (District Level)

This table shows the total current expenses for regular and special education students taught within the district's schools. It includes expenditures for instruction, support services, administration, operations and maintenance, extra-curricular activities, and community service. More information about district and charter school spending can be found using districts' User Friendly Budgets, or the NJDOE Finance District Report Search of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports (CAFRs) and Auditor's Management Reports (AMRs). NJDOE will be collecting school-level per-pupil expenditures data for the 2018-19 school year, and that data will be included in future reports.

Per-Pupil Expenditures	Federal	State/ Local	Total	
District Total (2016-2017)	\$566	\$15,848	\$16,414	





(21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018

#### Report Key:

- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- **N** No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers – Experience

This table shows information about experience for teachers assigned to this school and across the state.

Category	Teachers in School	Teachers in State
Total Number of teachers	74	117,464
Average years experience in public schools	10.1	12.0
Average years experience in district	8.7	10.7
Teachers in district for 4 or more years	63.5%	75.5%

### Administrators – Experience (District Level)

This table shows information about experience for administrators assigned to this district and across the state.

Category	Admin. in District	Admin. in State
Total Number of administrators	22	9,374
Average years experience in public schools	22.7	16.0
Average years experience in district	11.7	12.0
Administrators in district for 4 or more years	81.8%	76.2%

### Student and Staff Ratios

This table shows ratios of students and staff members in the school and district. Ratios for librarians, nurses, counselors, and child study team members are only reported at the district level because many staff in these jobs are assigned only to the district and not to individual schools.

Ratio	School Ratio	District Ratio
Students to Teachers	9:1	10:1
Students to Administrators	349:1	160:1
Teachers to Administrators	37:1	16:1
Students to Librarians/Media Specialists		704:1
Students to Nurses		704:1
Students to Counselors		352:1
Students to Child Study Team		207:1



- \* Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy
- \*\* Accountability calculations require 20 or more students
- N No Data is available to display
- **†** This indicates a table specific note,see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

PERFORMANCE

REPORT

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers

Grades Offered: PK-05

2017-2018

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other district-level administrators

### Teachers and Administrators - Level of Education

This chart shows the highest level of education attained by the teachers and administrators. The Bachelor's category may include other degrees/certificates such as a Specialist's degree. Administrators are required to have a Master's degree or higher.

### Teachers and Administrators - One-Year Retention (District Level)

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators assigned to this district in 2016-17 that were still assigned to this district in 2017-18. Staff who were not retained may have changed districts or no longer work for the state (including retirements).

Job Type	District	State
2016-17 Teachers: Same district 2017-18	88.7%	90.2%
2016-17 Administrators: Same district 2017-18	90.5%	86.2%

### Faculty Attendance


This table shows the percentage of days that faculty members were present during the school year. Approved professional days, personal days, staff training days, bereavement days, jury duty, and absences due to extended illness are not counted against days present, and faculty members on long-term leave or disability are excluded from this calculation.

School Year	% Days Present
2017-18	96.5%

### Bachelor's Degree




### Master's Degree



### **Doctoral Degree**





**†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

Key terms for staff data:

Faculty: All classroom teachers and educational support services personnel (such as Librarians, Nurses, Counselors, and Child Study Team members) Teachers: All classroom teachers


2017-2018

Administrators: Principals, assistant principals, supervisors, coordinators, directors, and other central-office administrators, as well as superintendents and other districtlevel administrators

#### Teachers and Administrators – Demographics

This table shows the percentage of teachers and administrators by gender and by racial and ethnic group.

Category	Teachers	Administrators	
Female	90.5%	100.0%	
Male	9.5%	0.0%	
White	90.5%	50.0%	
Hispanic	4.1%	50.0%	
Black or African American	2.7%	0.0%	
Asian	2.7%	0.0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	
Two or More Races	0.0%	0.0%	



New Jersey's school accountability system identifies schools that are in need of comprehensive and targeted support as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). For more information about New Jersey's accountability system, how indicator and summative scores were calculated, and how federal accountability status is determined, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

### **Comprehensive Status**


This table shows whether this school is identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether it will be eligible to exit comprehensive status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

Requires Comprehensive Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022		
No	n/a	n/a		

### **Targeted Status**

This table shows whether one or more student groups in this school has been identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement during the 2019-20 school year and whether each student group will be eligible to exit targeted status in January 2021 or January 2022. Schools must meet exit criteria in order to exit status. Student groups with a summative score that would be in the bottom 5% of schools and student groups that miss annual targets for all indicators for two years in a row are identified as requiring Targeted Support and Improvement. Note: Schools identified as requiring comprehensive support may have student groups identified as needing targeted support below, but the date they are eligible to exit status is based on their comprehensive status.

Student Group	Requires Targeted Support during the 2019-20 School Year	Eligible to exit status in January 2021	Eligible to exit status in January 2022	
Any Student Groups	No	n/a	n/a	
White	No			
Hispanic	No			
Black or African American	No			
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	No			
American Indian or Alaska Native	No			
Two or More Races	No			
Economically Disadvantaged Students	No			
Students with Disabilities	No			
English Learners	No			




#### Accountability Indicator Scores and Summative Rating - 2017-18 School Year

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement. This table shows the indicator scores for each indicator included in the accountability system and the weight that each indicator receives when calculating the overall Summative Score. The Summative Rating is the percentile rank of the Summative Score as compared to other schools across the state. Schools with a summative score in the bottom 5% of Title I schools or with a four-year graduation rate of 67% or less are identified as requiring Comprehensive Support and Improvement. For more information about New Jersey's accountability system and how these indicator scores were calculated, see these <u>accountability resources</u>.

Accountability Indicator	Score	Weight
English Language Arts Proficiency	29.44	15.0%
Mathematics Proficiency	45.20	15.0%
English Language Arts Growth	47.81	20.0%
Mathematics Growth	49.91	20.0%
Progress Towards English Language Proficiency (coming 2018)	31.28	20.0%
Chronic Absenteeism	72.30	10.0%
Summative Score: Sum of all indicator scores multiplied by indicator weights	44.23	n/a
Summative Rating: Percentile rank of Summative Score	39.69	n/a
Requires Comprehensive Support: Summative Score is in the bottom 5th percentile	No	n/a

† Weights indicated by this symbol were adjusted due to data availability



#### **N** No Data is available to display

**†** This indicates a table specific note, see note below table

#### Accountability Summary by Student Group - 2017-18 School Year

Grades Offered: PK-05


2017-2018

New Jersey has developed a school accountability system as required by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify schools that are in need of Targeted Support and Improvement. Any student group where the summative score would be in the bottom 5% of schools is identified for Targeted Support for a Low-Performing Student Group. Any student group that has missed annual targets for all indicators two years in a row is identified for Targeted Support for a Consistently Underperforming Student Group. In the table below, schools in which one or more student groups are identified for Targeted Sport will be noted in the Schoolwide row. Annual targets for proficiency and graduation rate were set based on a school or student group's performance in 2015-16. The target for chronic absenteeism is the state average for chronic absenteeism based on students enrolled in the grades served by the given school. For more details on accountability targets, see these accountability resources.

Student Group	Summative Score	Summative Score Cut- off for Targeted Support	Requires Targeted Support: Low Performing Student Group	ELA Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Math Proficiency: Met Annual Target	ELA Student Growth: Met Standard	Math Student Growth: Met Standard	Progress Towards English Language Proficiency: Met Annual Target	Chronic Absenteeism: Met State Average	Requires Targeted Support: Consistently Underperforming Student Group - 2017-18
Schoolwide	n/a	n/a	No	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	Met Target†	Met	No
White	46.64	14.08	No	Met Target†	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Hispanic	66.65	14.08	No	Met Target	Met Target†	Met Standard	Exceeds Standard	n/a	Met	No
Black or African American	64.69	14.08	No	Met Target	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	**	**	No	Met Goal	Met Target	**	**	n/a	Met	No
American Indian or Alaska Native	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Two or More Races	**	**	No	**	**	**	**	n/a	**	No
Economically Disadvantaged Students	45.64	14.08	No	Met Target	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Not Met	No
Students with Disabilities	64.50	14.08	No	Not Met	Not Met	Met Standard	Met Standard	n/a	Met	No
English Learners	**	**	No	Ν	Met Target	**	**	**	Met	No

† Target was met within a confidence interval (Proficiency) or within one standard deviation (Progress to English Language Proficiency).

REPORT



Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT			HEIL ELEMENTARY SCHOC (21-1430-140) des Offered: PK-05 2017-2018	)L	** Acco <b>N</b> No D	is not displayed untability calculate ata is available	in order to protect student privacy ations require 20 or more students to display specific note,see note below table
				School Narrative				
				ts, and other important inform narrative section, please conta			ivities, and se	ervices that are offered in their
	Course: Instruct	s, Curriculum ion:	comprehension and wr problem solving and re gifted learners in ELA a		ading oi	strategies group	s. Math instru	
Central	Clubs a	nd Activities:	establishing the behavi morning meeting, we for	sitive Behavior Interventions a oral supports needed for all st ocus on creating and sustainin nunity building activities are ta	udents to g a more	o achieve social, e positive school o	emotional an climate. Spec	d academic success. During

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
	NJ SCHO PERFORM REPORT			HEIL ELEMENTARY SCHOC (21-1430-140) des Offered: PK-05 2017-2018	L	** Acco <b>N</b> No D	is not displayed ountability calculated is available	in order to protect student privacy ations require 20 or more students to display specific note,see note below table
				School Narrative				
				s, and other important informa arrative section, please conta			vities, and se	rvices that are offered in their
		and After Programs:	principles. This is a har LEGO Bricks using one	of a kind model plans by Bric gages students in their learning	uild mac ks 4 Kid	hines, buildings, v z. Odyssey of the	vehicles and Mind is an ir	other motorized models out of nternational creative problem-
2	Staff ar Learnin	nd Professiona ig:	ELA Supervisor as well four times per year and	grade level meetings for ELA as our Math Coach. Additiona staff is encouraged to attend	ally, the d	district provides P	Professional E	Development opportunities

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative	
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		WILLIAM L ANTHEIL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018		L	Report Key:         * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy         ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students         N No Data is available to display         † This indicates a table specific note,see note below table		
<b></b>				School Narrative	<i>.</i>			
				s, and other important informa arrative section, please contac			vities, and services that are offered in their	
4	Studen Service	t Supports and s:	closely together to prov placement and different on site to support stude	ide action plans for students in iated instruction anchored in a	n need. /	Antheil's ESL prog	e team of educators and parents work gram uses standards to determine group building has a full time Child Study Team	
Ċ	Studen Wellnes	t Health and ss:	district has put in place breakfast program prior Kindergarten teachers of classes and review how	a task force focused on the m to the start of school and all s offer dental health where a pre	ental an nacks p senter f ell as wh	d emotional healt urchased for K-5 rom Mercer Child y it is so importan	school culture. For the past year, the h of our students. Antheil offers a students follow strict guidelines. Dentistry comes in for a week to meet with t to brush nightly. Physical Education is	
	Parent Involve	and Communi ment:	enhance both learning a about what is happenin	and community relationships.	The sch	ool's web page an	ntered activities and family nights to ad newsletters keep parents informed acts with our students' academic needs.	

Overview	Demographic Student Grov	Academic Achievement Climate and Environment Staff Accountability Narrative
Ş	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT	WILLIAM L ANTHEIL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018Report Key: * Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy ** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students N No Data is available to display 
This section	allows schools and districts to	School Narrative share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their
		e information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.
11	Climate Surveys:	Antheil surveys the community after each Antheil Parent Teacher Organization event held at the school. We then take this data to continuously improve upon the activities created for our student body and their families.
	Facilities:	SMARTBoard technology is available in all classrooms. We have two full computer labs that students visit as one of their specials, once within a six day cycle. Additionally, Antheil has a full gymnasium, auditorium, multi-purpose room and two art rooms. Our building is fully air-conditioned. We also have three playgrounds on site.
0	School Safety:	The Ewing Schools have placed a priority on establishing a learning environment that is safe, secure, positive and harmonious. Two district administrators, Superintendent Michael Nitti and Supervisor David Hauserman, have completed training by the Department of Education, Homeland Security and FEMA to become certified School Safety Specialists. The position of School Security Coordinator was first implemented by the Board in 2013. Five of these adaptive experts on school security protocol and crisis management are based in each of the district's school campuses and assist the administrative team in all matters pertaining to school security. The Ewing Schools and Ewing Township Police share a close relationship which includes cameras/surveillance systems that can be a powerful tool to provide real-time information to law enforcement, fire, and emergency medical service personnel as a crisis or emergency situation is occurring.

Overview Demographi	c Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative
NJ SCH PERFOR REPORT	DOL MANCE		HEIL ELEMENTARY SCHOO (21-1430-140) des Offered: PK-05 2017-2018	L	** Acco <b>N</b> No D	Key: is not displayed in order to protect student privacy untability calculations require 20 or more students ata is available to display ndicates a table specific note,see note below table
			School Narrative			
own words. If there are q	plogy and	STEM design and proce technology skills includin events, Family Math Nic Science with a Dash of for science and math. A experiments for the nigh Princeton University's M	arrative section, please contact ess is integrated into Science I ing the Google Suite, coding any pht and STEM Night each year Math Night: The annual event side from staff members and p at are TCNJ's Student Chemist	et your so essons o nd a culr for stuc draws h parents, ts Associder Univ	chool directly. on a daily basis. T minating technolo lents in grades kir undreds of Ewing some outside gro siation, Physics Cl	The computer class infuses a variety of gy project. The District hosts two evening indergarten through eight. Antheil Hands on students, parents, and staff with a passion ups that volunteer to come run ub, and the Tri-Beta Biology Club. Women's Soccer teams also worked with

Overview	Demographic	Student Growth	Academic Achievement	Climate and Environment	Staff	Accountability	Narrative				
	NJ SCHOOL PERFORMANCE REPORT		WILLIAM L ANTHEIL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL (21-1430-140) Grades Offered: PK-05 2017-2018 School Narrative		ιL	<ul> <li>Report Key:         <ul> <li>Data is not displayed in order to protect student privacy</li> <li>** Accountability calculations require 20 or more students</li> <li>N No Data is available to display</li> <li>† This indicates a table specific note,see note below table</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
	This section allows schools and districts to share highlights, achievements, and other important information about programs, activities, and services that are offered in their own words. If there are questions about the information provided in the narrative section, please contact your school directly.										
i	Other Ir	nformation:	and coming in early for highly-motivated staff,	before and after school comm working together to maintain a	nittees a product	nd activities. Anth tive atmosphere fo	eil is very pr or academic				