PRACTICE LOGIC PROOFS SWBAT: Practice the application of several logic laws in the form of Logic

Proofs.

SWBAT: Use the De Morgan's Law and the Laws of Simplification, Conjunction, and Disjunctive Addition.

IZ #2



	Statements	Reasons		
1.	p → (q ∨ t)	^{1.} Given		
2.	p	^{2.} Given		
3.	q ∨ t	3. Law of Detachment (1,2)		
4.	~ q	4. Given		
5.	†	5. Law of Disjunctive Inference (3,4)		

1. Premises:
$$\begin{cases} \sim A \rightarrow (C \land D) \\ A \rightarrow B \\ \sim B \end{cases}$$

Prove: C.

	Statements	Reasons
1.	$A \rightarrow B$	^{1.} Given
2.	~ B	^{2.} Given
3.	~ A	3. Law of Modus Tollens (1,2
4.	$\sim A \rightarrow (C \land D)$	^{4.} Given
5.	C < D	5. Law of Detachment (4,3)
6.	- C	^{6.} Law of Simplification (5)

2. **Example.** Premises: $\begin{cases} P \land Q \\ P \rightarrow \sim (Q \land R) \\ S \rightarrow R \end{cases}$

Prove: $\sim S$.

	<u>Statements</u>	Reason
1.	P ∧ Q	1. Given
2.	Ρ	2. Law of Simplification (1)
3.	$P \longrightarrow \sim (Q \land R)$	3. Given
4.	~ (Q ∧ R)	4.Law of Detachment (3,2
5.	$\sim Q \lor \sim R$	5. De Morgan's Law (4)
6.	Q	6. Simplification (1)
7.	~ R	7. Law of Disjunctive Inference (5
8.	$S \longrightarrow R$	8. Given
9.	~S	9. Law of Modus Tollens (8,7)

$$\begin{cases} \sim (A \lor B) \to C \\ \sim A \\ \sim C \end{cases}$$

3. Example. Premises:

Prove: B.

Statements	Reasons		
^{1.} $\sim (A \lor B) \rightarrow C$	^{1.} Given		
^{2.} ~ C	^{2.} Given		
^{3.} A ∨ B	^{3.} Law of Modus Tollens (1,2)		
4. ~ A	^{4.} Given		
5. B	5. Law of Disjunctive Inference (3)		

4. Either Carmelo was in the game or the Knicks won the game.

If Carmelo was in the game and Lebron was in the game, then D-Wade was not in the game.

If the Knicks won the game, then D-Wade was in the game. If D-Wade was in the game, then Carmelo was in the game. D-Wade was in the game.

The Heat won the game and Lebron was in the game.

Let H represent: Let K represent: Let C represent: Let L represent: Let D represent: The Heat won the game The Knicks won the game Carmelo was in the game Lebron was in the game D-Wade was in the game

Prove: Carmelo was in the game



	<u>Statements</u>	Reasons			
1.	$K \longrightarrow D$	1. Given			
2.	$D \longrightarrow C$	2. Given			
3.	$K \longrightarrow C$	3. Chain Rule (1,2)			
4. (C	∧ L) → ~ D	4. Given			
5.	D	5. Given			
6.	~ (C ∧ L)	6. Law of Modus Tollens (4,5)			
7.	~ C v ~ L	7. De Morgan's Law (6)			
8.	H ^ L	8. Given			
9.	L	9. Simplification (8)			
10.	~ C	10. Law of Disjunctive Inference (7,9)			
11.	~ K	11. Law of Modus Tollens (3,10)			
12.	C v K	12.Given			
13.	С	13. Law of Disjunctive Inference (11,12)			

5. Prove $[(t \rightarrow w) \land \sim w] \rightarrow \sim t$ by setting up a truth table.

t	w	~†	~w	† → w	(† → w) / ~ w	$(\dagger \rightarrow w) \land \sim w \rightarrow \sim \dagger$
Т	Т	F	F	Т	F	Т
Τ	F	F	Т	F	F	Т
F	Т	Т	F	Т	F	Т
F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т

6. Set up a truth table to prove the chain rule is valid. (LEVEL C – Problem)

$[(p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow r)] \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$								
р	q	r	p → d	q →r	(p →q)∧(q →r)	p → r	See above	
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	
Т	Т	F	Т	F	F	F	Т	
Т	F	Т	F	Т	F	Т	Т	
Т	F	F	F	Т	F	F	Т	
F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	
F	Т	F	Т	F	F	Т	Т	
F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	
F	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	