Prior to AY2015-16, AY2015-16 and AY2016-17 Responses

## <u>N = 72</u>

- AY2016-2017 11 respondents
- AY2015-2016 30 respondents
- Prior to AY2015-2016 31 respondents

## What type of educator preparation program did you complete at SVSU?

Traditional Undergraduate: 1st Teacher Certification	57	79.17%
Post Bachelor Program: 1st Teacher Certification	5	6.94%
Post Bachelor Program: Accelerated Certification with Residency (ACR)	0	0%
Master's Degree in Special Education while earning a special education teaching endorsement	7	9.72%
Master's Degree in Early Childhood while earning a ZS endorsement	3	4.17%

## In which area(s) were you prepared to teach at SVSU for your teaching license(s) and/or endorsement? N=161

Autism Spectrum Disorder	4	2.48%
Biology	2	1.24%
Chemistry	3	1.86%
Cognitive Impairment	8	4.97%
Early Childhood & Special Education	13	8.07%
Economics	2	1.24%
English	12	7.45%
English as a Second Language	1	.62%
French	1	.62%
Geography	5	3.11%
History	13	8.07%
Integrated Science	16	9.93%
Language Arts	15	9.32%
Learning Disabilities	2	1.24%
Mathematics	22	13.66%
Music Education	1	.62%
Physical Education	7	4.35%
Physics	1	.62%

Political Science	5	3.11%
Social Studies	23	14.29%
Spanish	3	1.86%
Speech	1	.62%
Visual Arts Education	1	.62%

## Level(s) you are certified to teach

Early Childhood	13	13.4%
Elementary (K-5/K-8)	39	40.21%
Secondary (6-12)	28	28.87%
К-12	17	17.53%

## Which statement(s) best describes your current position?

Full-time general education teacher	51	40.8%
Full-time special education teacher	14	11.2%
Part-time general education teacher	0	0%
Part-time special education teacher	0	0%
Full time substitute teacher	1	0.8%
Currently teaching in some capacity	3	2.4%
Currently teaching in the SVSU content/subject area identified in item 3	19	15.2%
Currently teaching outside of area of certification identified in item 3	2	1.6%
Currently teaching in Michigan	28	22.4%
Currently teaching out-of-state	2	1.6%
Currently not working in education field	1	0.8%
Other	4	3.2%

## Where did you primarily take your classes?

SVSU Main Campus	68	95.77%
SVSU Macomb Campus	3	4.23%

## Did you transfer any teacher preparation courses from another institution?

No	59	83.1%
Yes	12	16.9%

	Kov	#	% SA	# A	% A	# D	% D	#	% SD	#	Total	Mean	Media	Mode	ST.D.
	Key Strongly Agree (SA)	# SA	% <b>5</b> A	# A	% A	#0	% <b>D</b>	# SD	% SD	"NR	Valid	wean	n	wode	51.D.
	Agree (A)	JA						30			Resp		"		
	Disagree (D)										onse				
	Strongly Disagree (SD)										s				
	No Response (NR)										5				
	Standard Deviation (ST.D.)														
InTASC Gener	al Category 1: Learner and Learning					1						1			
	<i>, , , , , , , , , ,</i>														
When respond	ling to the following items, please keep in mind the most re	ecently	completed	program	you identif	fied in Qu	vestions 1 -3								
InTASC 1															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2									1	1					
CAEP 4.4															
See ITEM 1															
of Employer	1. I was prepared to understand how students learn														
Survey	and develop.	26	36.11%	42	58.33%	4	5.56%	0	0%	0	72	3.31	3.00	3.00	0.57
InTASC 1															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 1.4	2. I was prepared to understand how to provide a														
CAEP 4.2	variety of opportunities that support student learning	20	40.000/	10		2	4.470/		00/		70	2.26	2.00	2.00	0.56
CAEP 4.4	and development.	29	40.28%	40	55.56%	3	4.17%	0	0%	0	72	3.36	3.00	3.00	0.56
InTASC 2															
CAEP 1.3 CAEP 4.2	3. I was prepared to understand and use knowledge of														
CAEP 4.2 CAEP 4.4	school, family, cultural, and community factors that														
Diversity	influence the quality of education for all students.	23	31.94%	38	52.78%	11	15.28%	0	0%	0	72	3.17	3.00	3.00	0.67
InTASC 2		25	51.94%	50	52.76%	11	15.20%	0	0%	0	72	5.17	5.00	5.00	0.07
CAEP 1.4															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
Diversity															
See ITEM 2															
of Employer	4. I was prepared to effectively teach students from								1	1					
Survey	diverse backgrounds.	19	26.76%	35	49.3%	17	23.94%	0	0%	1	71	3.03	3.00	3.00	0.71
InTASC 3		-				1			1		1	-			
CAEP 4.2									1						
CAEP 4.4	5. I was prepared to establish a caring relationship								1						
Diversity	with students developed through engagement and														
	high expectations for all learners.	37	51.39%	34	47.22%	1	1.39%	0	0%	0	72	3.50	4.00	4.00	0.53

						1			1		1	- <b>F</b>	-		
InTASC 3															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
Diversity															
See ITEM 3 of															
	C Luce proposed to manage student hehavior in the														
Employer	6. I was prepared to manage student behavior in the	45	20.020/	27	F4 200/	47	22 6404	2	4 4 70/		70	2.00	2.00	2.00	0 77
Survey	classroom.	15	20.83%	37	51.39%	17	23.61%	3	4.17%	0	72	2.89	3.00	3.00	0.77
InTASC 3															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4	7. I was prepared to create an environment that														
Diversity	encourages positive social interaction among														
/	students.	25	35.21%	41	57.75%	5	7.04%	0	0%	1	71	3.28	3.00	3.00	0.59
InTASC 3			55.2270		5	-		Ű	2/0	-		5.20	0.00	0.00	0.00
CAEP 1.3		1													
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
Diversity															
See ITEM 4 of	8. I was prepared to establish a classroom														
Employer	environment of respect and rapport that provides a														
Survey	culture for learning.	29	40.28%	39	54.17%	4	5.56%	0	0%	0	72	3.35	3.00	3.00	0.58
InTASC Genera	Category 2: Content														
	0,1														
When respondi	ng to the following items, please keep in mind the most re	ecently	completed	proaram	vou identif	fied in Or	estions 1 -3								
InTASC 4			compicted	l	you racing			1	1	1	T		1	1	T
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4	9. I was prepared to have in-depth content														
	knowledge of my professional field.	26	36.62%	39	54.93%	5	7.04%	1	1.41%	1	71	3.27	3.00	3.00	0.65
InTASC 5															
CAEP 1.3		1													
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4	10. I was prepared to encourage students to see,	1													
Diversity	question, and interpret ideas from diverse														
Diversity	perspectives and content areas.	23	31.94%	39	54.17%	10	13.89%	0	0%	0	72	3.18	3.00	3.00	0.65
	perspectives and content dieds.	23	51.94%	22	54.17%	10	12.09%	0	070	U	12	5.10	5.00	5.00	0.05
InTASC 5															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4		1													
See ITEM 5 of		1													
Employer		1													
1 1 1 T		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Survey															
Survey	11 Lwas prepared to teach the core concents of my														
Survey	11. I was prepared to teach the core concepts of my content major.	30	42.25%	36	50.7%	5	7.04%	0	0%	1	71	3.35	3.00	3.00	0.61

	a transmist Or the attenue of Durantica														
in ASC General Ca	ategory 3: Instructional Practice														
When responding	to the following items, please keep in mind the most re	contly	completed	nroaram	vou identif	ied in Ou	vections 1 - 2								
InTASC 6	to the johowing items, please keep in mind the most re		completeu	program	you lucituj			•				1	1	1	
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.2 CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 1.3 CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
	12. I was prepared to employ appropriate														
	assessment techniques in order to measure the		a= =				10								
	learning of all students.	27	37.5%	35	48.61%	9	12.5%	1	1.39%	0	72	3.22	3.00	3.00	0.71
InTASC 6															
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.3															
	13. I was prepared to engage in assessment activities														
CAEP 4.4 t	to identify areas for student improvement.	22	30.56%	36	50%	13	18.06%	1	1.39%	0	72	3.10	3.00	3.00	0.73
InTASC 6															
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
See ITEM 7 of															
Employer 1	14. I was prepared to use data for instructional														
· · · ·	decision making.	23	31.94%	26	36.11%	20	27.78%	3	4.17%	0	72	2.96	3.00	3.00	0.87
InTASC 7		-		-		-		_		-					
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 1.4															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
-	15. I was prepared to develop lesson plans that align														
	with district, state standards and/or national														
	standards.	40	55.56%	29	40.28%	1	1.39%	2	2.78%	0	72	3.49	4.00	4.00	0.67
Survey s InTASC 7	stanuarus.	40	55.50%	23	40.20/0	1	1.35/0	2	2.70/0	0	12	5.45	4.00	4.00	0.07
CAEP 1.3								1							
								1							
CAEP 1.4								1							
CAEP 4.2								1							
	16. I was prepared to implement lesson plans that build on the students' existing knowledge and skills.	29	40.28%	39	54.17%	4	5.56%	0	0%	0	72	3.35	3.00	3.00	0.58
InTASC 7															
CAEP 1.3								1							
CAEP 4.2								1							
CAEP 4.4								1							
See ITEM 9 of								1							
	17. I was prepared to create lesson plans that							1							

InTASC 8						1									
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2	18. I was prepared to effectively use questioning														
CAEP 4.4	skills to promote higher level thinking skills of my	22	20.000/	42		6	0.45%	•	00/		74	2.22	2.00	2.00	0.50
	students.	22	30.99%	43	60.56%	6	8.45%	0	0%	1	71	3.23	3.00	3.00	0.59
InTASC 8															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2	19. I was prepared to use effective communication														
CAEP 4.4	techniques in order to develop a positive learning	20	40.200/	42	50.220/		4 200/	•	00/	~	70	2.20	2.00	2.00	0.50
	environment.	29	40.28%	42	58.33%	1	1.39%	0	0%	0	72	3.39	3.00	3.00	0.52
InTASC 8															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
See ITEM 10	20. I was prepared to employ teaching skills that														
of Employer	reflect current theory, research, and		27 50/	26	500/		40 50/		00/	•	70	a a=	2.00	2.00	0.66
Survey	evidence/research-based practice.	27	37.5%	36	50%	9	12.5%	0	0%	0	72	3.25	3.00	3.00	0.66
InTASC 8															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 1.4															
CAEP 4.2	21. I was prepared to provide student-centered														
CAEP 4.4	instruction that is characterized by clarity, creativity,	22	20.000/		64.070/	-	7.040/		00/				2.00	2.00	0.57
	variety, and flexibility.	22	30.99%	44	61.97%	5	7.04%	0	0%	1	71	3.24	3.00	3.00	0.57
Technology															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
See ITEM 19															
of Employer	22. I was prepared to differentiate instruction to		22.224	20	E 4 4 70/		40 50/		00/	•	70	2.24	2.00	2.00	0.64
Survey	meet the strengths and needs of all students.	24	33.33%	39	54.17%	9	12.5%	0	0%	0	72	3.21	3.00	3.00	0.64
InTASC 8															
CAEP 1.3								1							
CAEP 1.4															
CAEP 4.2								1							
CAEP 4.4								1							
Diverisity								1							
See ITEM 11								1							
of Employer	23. I was prepared to provide feedback to students,					_	0.051								
Survey	which allows them to improve their learning.	25	35.21%	39	54.93%	7	9.86%	0	0%	1	71	3.25	3.00	3.00	0.62

InTASC Conora	l Category 4: Professional Responsibility														
IIITASC Genera	i Category 4. Professional Responsibility														
When respondi	ing to the following items, please keep in mind the most re	ecently	completed	orogram	you identif	ied in Qu	estions 1 -3.								
InTASC 8															
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
See ITEM 12															
of Employer	24. I was prepared to communicate with family and														
Survey	community members to make them partners in the														
	educational process.	18	25.35%	38	53.52%	14	19.72%	1	1.41%	1	71	3.03	3.00	3.00	0.71
InTASC 9															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
See ITEM 13															
of Employer	25. I was prepared to collaborate with educational				/										
Survey	personnel to support student learning.	25	34.72%	39	54.17%	8	11.11%	0	0%	0	72	3.24	3.00	3.00	0.63
InTASC 9															
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4 See ITEM 14															
	26. I was prepared to employ self-reflection to														
of Employer Survey	improve my teaching practice.	40	56.34%	31	43.66%	0	0%	0	0%	1	71	3.56	4.00	4.00	0.50
InTASC 9	improve my teaching practice.	40	50.34%	31	43.00%	0	0%	0	0%	1	/1	3.50	4.00	4.00	0.50
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.2 CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2	27. I was prepared to understand the state and														
CAEP 4.4	federal laws that directly impact schools.	22	30.56%	32	44.44%	15	20.83%	3	4.17%	0	72	3.01	3.00	3.00	0.82
InTASC 9		22	50.5070	52		15	20.0370	5	4.1770	Ŭ	72	5.01	5.00	5.00	0.02
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4	28. I was prepared to use multiple resources														
See ITEM 15	including professional literature, mentoring, and														
of Employer	interaction with colleagues, to aid my growth as an														
Survey	educator.	26	36.11%	40	55.56%	6	8.33%	0	0%	0	72	3.28	3.00	3.00	0.61
InTASC 9															
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4	29. I was prepared to understand the legal practices														
	in education.	18	25%	29	40.28%	22	30.56%	3	4.17%	0	72	2.86	3.00	3.00	0.84

								1		1			1		
InTASC 10															
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2	30. I was prepared to understand the ethical														
CAEP 4.4	practices in education.	25	35.21%	36	50.7%	10	14.08%	0	0%	1	71	3.21	3.00	3.00	0.67
InTASC 10															
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
See ITEM 16															
of Employer	31. I was prepared to positively impact P-12 student														
Survey	academic learning.	30	42.25%	40	56.34%	1	1.41%	0	0%	1	71	3.41	3.00	3.00	0.52
Technology															
InTASC 9															
CAEP 1.2															
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4															
See ITEM 17															
of Employer	32. I was prepared to use a variety of media														
Survey	resources to present information.	31	43.66%	37	52.11%	2	2.82%	1	1.41%	1	71	3.38	3.00	3.00	0.61
Technology		-		-											
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 1.5															
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.4	33. I was prepared to use technology effectively to														
0,121 111	enhance student learning.	32	44.44%	36	50%	3	4.17%	1	1.39%	0	72	3.38	3.00	3.00	0.63
Technology		52	11.11/0	30	5070	5		-	1.0070	Ŭ		5.50	5.00	5.00	0.00
CAEP 1.3															
CAEP 1.5 CAEP 1.5								1							
CAEP 4.2															
CAEP 4.2 CAEP 4.4								1							
See ITEM 18								1							
of Employer	34. I was prepared to provide opportunities for my														
Survey	students to utilize technology.	27	37.5%	38	52.78%	6	8.33%	1	1.39%	0	72	3.26	3.00	3.00	0.67
Technology		21	37.370	30	JZ.70/0	0	0.3370	1	1.35/0	0	12	3.20	3.00	3.00	0.07
CAEP 1.3								1							
								1							
CAEP 1.5															
CAEP 4.2								1							
CAEP 4.4	35. I was prepared to use technology to enhance my	20	10.000		54.000/	_	C 0 40(		4.2004		70	2.24	2.00	2.00	0.00
	overall professional work.	29	40.28%	37	51.39%	5	6.94%	1	1.39%	0	72	3.31	3.00	3.00	0.66

Directions to Respondents: When responding to the following items, please indicated the extent to which you were satisfied or dissatisfied.

When considering the year identified in Question 1 and reflecting on my educator preparation program at SVSU, I am VERY SATISFIED to VERY DISSATISFIED ...

	Key Very Satisfied (VS) Satisfied (S) Dispatisfied (D)	# VS	% VS	# S	% S	# D	% D	# VD	% VD	# NR	Total Valid Responses	Mean	Median	Mode	ST.D.
	Dissatisfied (D) Very Dissatisfied (VD)														
	No Response (NR)														
	Standard Deviation (ST.D.)														
	1. With the overall quality of instruction in														
CAEP 4.4	my degree/program	27	38.03%	40	56.34%	4	5.63%	0	0%	1	71	3.32	3.00	3.00	0.58
CAEP 2.3	2. With the field-based school experiences														
CAEP 4.4	related to my degree/certification program	46	64.79%	19	26.76%	6	8.45%	0	0%	1	71	3.56	4.00	4.00	0.64
CAEP 2.3	3. With the student teaching/practicum experience related to my degree/certification														
CAEP 4.4	program	44	61.97%	20	28.17%	6	8.45%	1	1.41%	1	71	3.51	4.00	4.00	0.71
CAEP 2.3				-		-									
CAEP 4.4	4. With the opportunity to work in diverse														
Diversity	school settings	38	53.52%	29	40.85%	3	4.23%	1	1.41%	1	71	3.46	4.00	4.00	0.65
	5. With the intellectual challenge provided by														
CAEP 4.4	my program	32	45.07%	36	50.7%	3	4.23%	0	0%	1	71	3.41	3.00	3.00	0.57
	6. With being prepared to teach and														
CAEP 4.4	positively impact P-12+ student learning	31	43.66%	35	49.3%	5	7.04%	0	0%	1	71	3.37	3.00	3.00	0.61
CAEP 4.4															
Diversity	7. With understanding special education	15	21.13%	31	43.66%	22	30.99%	3	4.23%	1	71	2.82	3.00	3.00	0.81
	8. With faculty interactions	23	32.39%	41	57.75%	4	5.63%	3	4.23%	1	71	3.18	3.00	3.00	0.72
	9. With staff interactions (certification Office,														
	Dean's office, Clinical Experiences office)	19	26.76%	42	59.15%	8	11.27%	2	2.82%	1	71	3.10	3.00	3.00	0.70
	10. With administration interactions	24	34.29%	39	55.71%	5	7.14%	2	2.86%	2	70	3.21	3.00	3.00	0.69
	11. With program advising received through														
	the College of Education Certification Office.	25	35.21%	32	45.07%	11	15.49%	3	4.23%	1	71	3.11	3.00	3.00	0.81
	12. With education program advising														
	received through the SVSU Academic														
	Advisement Center (Wickes Hall).	14	20.59%	34	50%	15	22.06%	5	7.35%	4	68	2.84	3.00	3.00	0.83
	13. With program advising received faculty in														
	the College of Education.	25	35.21%	34	47.89%	10	14.08%	2	2.82%	1	71	3.15	3.00	3.00	0.76

### CAEP 4.4 What is your present attitude toward the SVSU degree/program you completed? See ITEM 20 of Employer Survey

Strongly Positive	36	50.7%
Positive	33	46.48%
Negative	2	2.82%
Strongly Negative	0	0%

Considering your knowledge, skills, and abilities as an educator, would you recommend SVSU's teacher preparation program to other prospective educators?

See ITEM 21 of Employer Survey

Yes	61	84.72%
No	3	4.17%
Unsure	8	11.11%

### What type of course did you primarily take?

Traditional face-to-face	58	80.56%
Hybrid	3	4.17%
Online	0	0%
Combination of all three	11	15.28%

#### How would you describe your school building/district for which you are currently employed?

Public	61	84.72%
Private	2	2.78%
Charter	4	5.56%
Parochial	3	4.17%
N/A	2	2.78%

#### How would you describe the setting of your school building? See ITEM 25 of Employer Survey

Urban Area (City/Town) (pop. of 50,000 or more) (i.e., Saginaw, Flint or Detroit or Oakland)	17	22.97%
Urban Cluster (pop. more than 25,000 and less than 50,000) (i.e., Bay City or Midland or Huron)	17	22.97%
Town (pop. more than 2,500 and less than 25,000) (i.e, Owosso, Traverse City, Auburn Hills)	10	13.51%
Small town (pop. less than 25,00) (i.e., West Branch, Tawas, Harbor Beach)	30	40.54%

## How would you define the area of your school building? (Select one)

Urban	12	17.14%
Suburban	27	38.57%
Rural	31	44.29%

#### Please consider selecting which of the following choices best reflects your race/ethnicity (Note: More than 1 selection could be made)

#### See ITEM 26 of Employer Survey

American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	0
Black or African American	3
Hispanic	2
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	0
White	66
Multi-Racial	2
Prefer not to respond	3

#### **Findings**

#### N = 72 Respondents

- AY2016-2017 11 respondents
- AY2015-2016 30 respondents
- Prior to AY2015-2016 31 respondents

## Category 1: Learner and Learning (InTASC Category 1; CAEP 1.3, 1.4, 4.2, 4.3 - and 4.4 (IP))

Eight items of the survey addressed Category 1: Learner and Learning. At least 92% and up to 98.61% of the initial program completers responded *strongly agree* to *agree* to 5 of the 8 items. Specifically, 98.61% of respondents (n = 71) were favorable to the following item:

• Prepared to establish a caring relationship with students through engagement and high expectations for all learners.

Other items with a majority of favorable responses:

- Prepared to understand how to provide a variety of opportunities that support student learning and development (n = 69, 95.84%)
- Prepared to establish a classroom environment of respect and rapport that provides a culture for learning (68, 94.45%)
- Prepared to understand how students learn and develop (n = 68, 94.45%)
- Prepared to create an environment that encourages positive social interaction among students (n = 66, 92.96%)

Items, although rated favorably by a majority of respondents, that faculty may want to pay closer attention to when considering program improvement:

- Prepared to understand and use knowledge of school, family, cultural and community factors that influence the quality of education for students (n = 61, 84.72%)
- Prepared to effectively teach students from diverse backgrounds (n = 54, 76.06%)
- Prepared to manage student behavior in the classroom (n = 52, 72.22%)

## Category 2: Content (InTASC Category 2; CAEP 1.3, 4.2, 4.3 - and 4.4 (IP))

Three items on the survey were specific to Category 2: Content. Sixty-six (n = 66, 92.96%) of respondents *strongly agreed* or *agreed* that they were prepared to teach the core concepts of their content major. "Prepared to have in-depth content knowledge of my professional field" was also favorably rated (n = 65, 91.55%). As a part of program improvement efforts, the EPP may want to consider the responses to "Prepared to encourage students to see, question, and interpret ideas from diverse perspectives and content areas" as 62, (86.11%) of respondents stated they agreed with the item.

## Category 3: Instructional Practices (InTASC Category 3; CAEP 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 4.2, 4.3 - and 4.4 (IP))

Twelve items were dedicated to Category 3: Instructional Practices.

Highest to lowest rankings when combining strongly agree and agree ratings.

#### Well prepared to:

- 1. use effective communication techniques in order to develop positive learning environments (n = 71, 98.61%)
- 2. develop lesson plans that align with standard (n = 69, 95.84%)
- 3. create lesson plans that promote critical thinking of students (n = 69, 95.84%)
- 4. implement lessons that build on students' existing knowledge and skills (n = 68, 94.44%)
- 5. provide student-centered instruction, and provide feedback (n = 66, 92.96%)
- 6. effectively use questioning skills to promote high thinking skills of students (n = 65, 91.55%)
- 7. provide feedback to students (n = 64, 90.14%)
- 8. employ teaching skills that reflect theory and evidence-based practices (n = 63, 87.5%)
- 9. differentiate instruction (n = 63, 87.5%)
- 10. employment of appropriate assessment techniques (n = 62, 86.11%)
- 11. engage in assessment activities to identify areas for student improvement (n = 58, 80.56%)
- 12. use data to make instructional decisions (n = 49, 68.05%)

# Category 4: Professional Responsibility (InTASC Category 4; CAEP 1.2, 1.3, 4.2, 4.3 - and 4.4 (IP))

Eight items on the survey pertained to Category 4: Professional Responsibility.

Highest to lowest rankings when combining strongly agree and agree ratings.

## Well prepared to:

- 1. employ self-reflection to improve reaching practice (n = 71, 98.61%)
- 2. positively impact student learning (n = 70, 98.59%)
- 3. use multiple resources...to aid my growth as an educator (n = 66, 92.96%)
- 4. collaborate with educational personnel support (n = 64, 90.14%)
- 5. understand the ethical practices of education (n = 61, 84.72%)
- 6. communicate with family and community members (n = 56, 78.87%)
- 7. understand state and federal laws that impact schools (n = 54, 75%)
- 8. understand the legal practices in education (n= 47, 65.28%)

#### 13

#### Technology

Four (4) items were specific to technology use.

Highest to lowest rankings when combining strongly agree and agree ratings.

#### Well prepared to:

- 1. use a variety of media (n = 68, 94.44%)
- 2. use technology to enhance student learning (n = 68, 94.44%)
- 3. use technology to enhance their overall professional work (n = 66, 92.96%)
- 4. provide opportunities for students to utilize technology (n = 65, 91.55%)

### Satisfaction

With the exception of 1 of 7 items specific to their program, initial program completers were either *very satisfied* to *satisfied* with their experiences at SVSU. In rank order from most favorable

- 1. Intellectually challenged by program (n = 68, 94.44%)
- 2. Overall quality of instruction in the degree/program (n = 67, 94.37%)
- 3. Working in diverse school settings (n = 67, 94.37%)
- 4. Prepared to positively impact P=12+ student learning (n = 66, 92.96%)
- 5. Field-based experiences (n = 65, 91.55%)
- 6. Student teaching/practicum (n = 64, 90.14%)

'Understand Special Education' is an area that faculty may want to consider while working on program improvement as 46 (64.79%) of the respondents were satisfied with their preparation on the subject.

Please see tables for additional information regarding the level of satisfaction for advising and interactions with SVSU employees (e.g., administrators, faculty, staff).

#### **Additional Results**

When asked, 'what is your present attitude toward the SVSU degree/program you completed,' 97.18% (n = 69) responded as either strongly positive or positive.

When asked about recommending SVSU's teacher preparation program to other prospective educators,' 61 (84.17%) stated that yes, they would.