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## Introduction

The purpose of this report is to assemble the best information available on past and future trends in employment and in the education requirements of jobs in the post-W orld War II period. Specifically, we look first at data for 1986 and 1996, and at projections to 2006. This is no easy task, and there will be no precise answers. But it is possible to make some useful estimates, as well as reasonable projections into the next century, by analyzing and comparing several sources of information, including studies completed by ETS. Then, we look backwards to what was happening from 1940 up to the 1980s.

All of the efforts of the past, and those we have made for this report, deal with changing require ments that result from structural changes that change the distribution of occupations. No one has been able to examine each occupation to see how requirements may have changed within an occupation; we discuss this in some detail in the report.

Which fields are growing? W hich are stable? And which are declining? H ow much educational preparation do they require to enter? H ow is the mix of occupations changing, and will it change in the future? H ow much capability with the printed word, and with computations, do today's jobs- and the jobs of the
future- require? D o the occupations that are growing require more or less preparation or capability than those that are stable or declining?

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) has long reported employment by occupation, and has projected occupational trends into the future. Since W orld War II, the BLS has issued the 0 ccupational O utlook H andbook, a valuable tool for counselors and for individuals choosing careers. M uch of the information we report here, but not all, comes from analysis of BLS data.

H istorically, the occupational classification system has been derived from rankings that reflect social and economic standing. The ranking began with the professions and worked down to laborers. They told more about the job's social status than the skills and education needed for that job. This problem with classifying jobs based on social status rather than skill requirements is an old one. Adam Smith observed it in TheWealth of $N$ ations, saying that "... many inferior branches of country labour require much more skill and experience than the greater part of mechanic trades." He points out the uniformity of the work on brass and iron, but "the man who ploughs the ground with a team of horses or oxen, works with instruments of which the health,
strength, and temperaments are very different upon different occasions, requiring judgment and discretion. The common ploughman, though generally regarded as the pattern of stupidity and ignorance, is seldom defective in this judgment and discretion."

0 ver the years, C ensus groupings have been refined. And the U.S. D epartment of Labor has produced another useful source of job-related information, the D ictionary of 0 ccupational Titles (D OT ), which describes jobs at a very detailed level for use by Employment Service C ounselors and to facilitate job placement. D ecades ago a "crosswalk" ${ }^{1}$ was constructed that permitted translation of job characteristics provided by the DOT into the classification used by the BLS and the U.S. Bureau of the Census. This melding of information represented a breakthrough, providing a means for making general statements about the nature and direction of occupational change.

W ork has also been done outside the government to regroup the official BLS/Census classifications to shed more light on how jobs and employment patterns are changing. At ETS, for example, Anthony C arnevale and Steven Rose ${ }^{2}$ have reclassified jobs into categories based on where people actually work. This work shows that the greatest job growth has

[^0]been in office jobs, which is where the vast majority of people with advanced educations are employed. Jobs in hospitals and classrooms are also increasing, while "counter" jobs have been stable and factory and farm jobs have been declining.

H ere, we are interested specifically in the literacy, education, and training requirements of jobs. The first section of this report explains what we know from the 1992 $N$ ational Adult Literacy Study, carried out by Educational Testing Service under contract with the National Center for Education Statistics. T hat large assessment surveyed more than 26,000 individuals, measuring prose, document, and quantitative literacy. Section 1 describes that study and discusses what the different levels on the proficiency scales mean, in terms of what adults can do in real-life situations that require use of print materials. This is background for understanding the second section of this report.

Section 2, "Literacy and O ccupations," presents employment trends in 1986, 1996, and projected to 2006, in terms of the literacy requirements of jobs. It looks at the most rapidly growing and declining occupations, the occupations with the highest and lowest literacy requirements, and the average for all employment in those years. Three components are brought together in this effort. The
first cites the BLS/C ensus statistics on employment by occupation, as well as projections to 2006. ${ }^{3}$ The second component consists of the $N$ ational Adult Literacy Study (N ALS), which reports on the literacy levels of employed people. And the third references the Position Analysis Q uestionnaire (PAQ ), a job analysis approach to occupational requirements. At this point it is necessary to introduce the PAQ, for it is the basis for expanding the N ALS proficiency scores to all the occupations that the BLS reports on, as well as converting them to actual job requirements rather than scores of the people who hold the jobs.

The PAQ is a job analysis program that has been performed for 2,200 jobs. It is a structured questionnaire that is used to analyze jobs on the basis of 187 job elements that describe generic human work behaviors. These elements are organized into six dimensions:

1. Information Input (W here and how does the worker get the information that is used in performing the job?)
2. M ental Processes (W hat reasoning, decision-making, planning, and information processing activities are involved in performing the job?)
3. Work O utput (W hat physical activities does the worker perform, and what tools or devices are used?)
4. Relationships with 0 ther Persons (W hat relationships with other people are required in performing the job?)
5. Job C ontext (In what physical and social context is the work performed?)
6. O ther Job Characteristics (W hat activities, conditions, or characteristics other than those described above are relevant to the job?)

A complete description of the PAQ and the PAQ database is provided in the Technical $M$ anual for the Position Analysis Q uestionnaire, by E. J. M cC ormick, R. C. M echam, and P. R. Jeanneret, published by the Consulting Psychologists Press in 1989. ${ }^{4}$

This report translates PAQ results into the N ALS prose, document, and quantitative proficiencies, a process that was made possible by a study carried out in 1996 by D on Rock and Andy Latham at ETS, and P. R. Jeanneret of Jeanneret and Associates, under a contract with the U.S. D epartment of Labor. The title of the study describes its

[^1]purpose: Estimating Prose, D ocument, and Q uantitative Literacy Scores from Position Analysis Q uestionnaire Dimensions: An Empirical Linkage Between Adult Literacy Skills and Job Analysis Information. The correlations between NALS and PAQ were found to be sufficiently high to permit such estimation, and this was carried out for 522 jobs.

To produce this report, however, these N ALS scores had to be linked to the BLS employment data by occupation, and then projected to 2006. The required data for 1996 and 2006 were published in the N ovember 1996 M onthly Labor Review in an article by GeorgeT. Silvester. ${ }^{5}$ The 1986 data was not as detailed as the 1996 data. BLS supplied data for the 1986 occupational trends that more nearly matched the published 1996 data. H owever, the BLS data was still not as detailed as the 1996 data, so there are some individual 1986 occupations for which we do not have comparable data for 1996 and 2006.

The marrying of these estimated N ALS scores to the BLS employment statistics was carried out by Fred Cline in theETS Research Division. An intermediate step was needed, since the estimated scores for these 522 occupations were in the classification system of the Dictionary of O ccupational Titles. The conversion had to be made manually using the
"crosswalk" developed by the D epartment of Labor, referred to above. The methodology used by Cline is summarized in Appendix B. All the data produced for this report on literacy is included in Appendix A. Summary tables are provided in Section 2.

The presentation of data in Section 3, "Employment/Training Requirements and O ccupations," is more straightforward. As stated above, BLS compiles, in tabular form, the key data used in its Employment O utlook H andbook, which is available in a publication called O ccupational Projections and Training D ata. The 1998-1999 edition was published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics as Bulletin 2501. All the data is available at the BLS W eb site, http://www.stats.bls.gov, and can be downloaded in different arrangements, such as by education/training requirement, or the percent increase in employment by occupation, for example. To compile this report, we need to tabulate employment and job opening data by education/ training requirement categories, such as "all occupations that require a B.A. degree."

Since the BLS data is readily available on the Web, we have not included the detailed tables, which provide information for more than 500 occupations. In Section 3, we present our tabulations.

The principle purpose of this report is to generalize the literacy, education, and training requirements of the workforce, as well as to project these requirements into the near future. H owever, the data for individual occupations will be valuable for many purposes, so we have included the literacy tables for such uses. This is al so true of the education/training requirement data, available at the BLS W eb site. The uses of N ALS data are many, and ETS has published a number of studies using this important database. A recent one was Getting D own to Business: M atching Welfare Recipients to Jobs That Train, by Anthony C arnevale and D onna D esrochers, which used N ALS data to analyze jobs and training needs for persons leaving the welfare rolls. Carnevale and D esrochers also have in process a report that takes a broader look at skills and the economy as a whole.

Sections 2 and 3 present the two analyses described above. The fourth section is titled "Looking Backward." It traces trends from the post-W orld War II period to the 1980s. A substantial amount of information is available, coming most importantly from the work of James Scoville. The last section is "Putting it in Context," which tries to explain what this information means, and does not mean, in the broader context of the operation of the labor market.

[^2]
## Section 1:

## Measuring Literacy

This section of the report describes N ALS and its definition of literacy. N ALS provides the most detailed portrait that has ever been available on the conditions of literacy in the United States- and on the unrealized potential of the nation's citizens. ${ }^{6}$

For the 1992 survey, trained staff interviewed nearly 13,600 individuals age 16 and older, who were randomly selected to represent the U.S. adult population; state samples and a sample of federal and state prison inmates pushed the final number of individuals surveyed to more than 26,000 . Each participant was asked to spend about an hour responding to a series of diverse literacy tasks, as well as to questions about his or her demographic characteristics, educational background, reading practices, and other areas related to literacy.

To analyze the literacy skills of any group, it is first necessary to define what is meant by "literacy." The term is often used as the opposite of "illiteracy," which is typically interpreted to mean not being able to read at all, decode the printed word, or comprehend what is written. But literacy has a much richer and deeper meaning than that. Its dictionary definitions range from being able to read and write; to being a well-informed,
educated person; to being familiar with literature.

N ALS was guided by the following definition of literacy, adopted by a broadly representative group of experts:

Using printed and written information to function in society, to achieve one's goals, and to develop onés knowledge and potential.

N ALS focused on three areas of literacy proficiency - prose, document, and quantitative.

Prose literacy - the knowledge and skills needed to understand and use information from texts that include editorials, news stories, poems, and fiction; for example, finding a piece of information in a newspaper article, interpreting instructions for a warranty, inferring a theme from a poem, or contrasting views expressed in an editorial.

D ocument literacy - the knowledge and skills required to locate and use information contained in everyday materials such as job applications, payroll forms, transportation schedules, maps, tables, and graphs; for example, locating a particular intersection on a street map, using a schedule to choose the appropriate bus, or entering information on an application form.

Quantitative literacy - the knowledge and skills required to apply arithmetic operations, either al one or sequentially, using numbers embedded in printed materials; for example, balancing a checkbook, figuring out a tip, completing an order form, or determining an amount of interest from a loan advertisement.

Based on their performance on the literacy tasks, respondents were assigned scores on the three proficiency scales, each ranging from 0 to 500 . W hile most previous studies of literacy have attempted to identify the number of "illiterates," the goal of N ALS was different- to profile the nation's literacy skills. Thus, there is no single point on the literacy scale that separates illiterates from literates, per se. R ather, each scale is divided into five levels of proficiency, each encompassing a range of scores.

Level 1 - scores from 0 to 225
Level 2 - scores from 226 to 275
Level 3 - scores from 276 to 325
Level 4 - scores from 326 to 375

Level 5 - scores from 376 to 500

[^3]Individuals scoring within one of these scale levels have a high probability of performing the tasks at that level successfully. ${ }^{7}$ Those who performed at Level 1 demonstrated the lowest literacy proficiencies, while those at Level 5 displayed the highest proficiencies. Similarly, the tasks that characterized Level 1 were the least challenging in the assessment, while those associated with Level 5 were the most difficult.

Sample tasks are provided here to illustrate the types of literacy
skills exhibited by those who performed at each level. To avoid excessive detail, we have only provided example tasks for prose literacy. Readers who would like to know more about the tasks or see additional examples should refer to other N ALS reports. ${ }^{8}$

## Level 1

Prose. W hat does it mean to score at Level 1? Some individuals scoring at this level on the prose scale demonstrate the ability to read relatively short pieces of text,
such as a brief newspaper article, to find a piece of information that is identical to or synonymous with information given in a directive. Typically, little or no distracting information (information that seems plausible but is incorrect) is present in such tasks. Individuals who perform at Level 1 may succeed in prose tasks that ask them to:

- identify a country mentioned in a short article (score of 149)

Example Task For Prose Literacy, Level 1

## Underline the sentence that tells what M s. C hanin ate during the swim.

# Swimmer completes Manhattan marathon 

The Astociated Press
NEW YORK-University of Maryland senior Stacy Chanin on Wednesday became the first person to swim three $\mathbf{2 8}$-mile laps around Manhattan.

Chanin, 23, of Vinginia, climbed out of the East River at 96th Street at 9:30 p.m. She began the swim at noon on Tuesday.

A spokesman for the swimmer, Roy Brunett, said Chanin had kept up her strength with "banana and honey" sandwiches, hot chocolate, lots of water and granola bars."

Chanin has twice circled Manhattan before and trained for the new feat by swimming about 28.4 miles a week. The Yonkers native has competed as a swimmer since she was 15 and hoped to persuade Olympic authorities to add a long-distance swimming event.

The Leukemia Society of America solicited pledges for each mile she swam.

In July 1983, Julie Ridge became the first person to swim around Manhattan twice. With her three laps, Chanin came up just short of Diana Nyad's distance record, set on a Florida-to-Cuba swim.

[^4]- locate a piece of information in a sports article (score of 210)
- underline a sentence explaining the action stated in a short article (score of 225)

D ocument. Some individuals who score at Level 1 are able to locate a piece of information based on a literal match between the directive and the document, as long as little, if any, distracting information is present. Some adults at this level also display the ability to enter basic information
about themselves onto an application form or other type of document. Specifically, individuals at Level 1 may be able to:

- sign their name on a brief form (score of 60)
- locate a meeting time on a form (score of 180)
- use a pie chart to locate a type of vehicle that had a given number of sales (score of 214)

Q uantitative. Some individuals who score at Level 1 demonstrate
the ability to perform single, relatively simple arithmetic operations, such as addition. The numbers to be used in such tasks are provided, and the operation to be performed is specified. Those scoring at the lowest level on the quantitative scale may be able to:

- total a bank deposit entry (score of 191)


## Level 2

Prose. Individual scoring at this level on the prose scale demonstrate the ability to locate a piece of information in a piece of text

Example Task For Prose Literacy, Level 2
A manufacturing company provides its customers with the following instructions for returning appliances for service:

When returning appliance for servicing, include a note telling as clearly and as specifically as possible what is wrong with the appliance.

A repair person for the company reccives four appliances with the following notes attached. Circle the letter next to the note which best follows the instructions supplied by the company.

A
The clock does not run
correctly on this clock
radio. I tried fixing it, but
I couldn't.

C
The alarm on my clock radio doesn't go off at the time I set. It rings 15-30 minutes later.

This radio is broken. Please
My clock radio is not working. It
B stopped working right after I used it for five days.
repair and return by United Parcel Service to the address on my slip.
even when distracting information is present. They also appear to have little difficulty integrating, comparing, and contrasting two or more pieces of information found in printed material. Individuals at this level are likely to be successful on literacy tasks that ask them to:

- underline the meaning of a term in a brochure on government benefits (score of 226)
- locate two types of information in a sports article (score of 250)
- interpret instructions from an appliance warranty (score of 275)

D ocument. Those scoring at Level 2 on the document scale display skill at matching a piece of information in a form or other type of document with information in a directive, even when distracting information is present. Low-level inferences are sometimes required in performing such tasks. In addition, individuals at Level 2 are likely able to:

- locate an intersection on a street map (score of 230)
- locate eligibility information in a table of employee benefits (score of 246)
- identify and enter background information on a Social Security card application (score of 259)

Q uantitative. Individuals at Level 2 display the ability to
perform a single arithmetic operation using numbers that are given to them or that can easily be located in printed material. Adults at this level are likely able to:

- calculate postage and fees for certified mail (score of 238)
- determine the difference in price between tickets for two shows (score of 246)
- calculate the total cost of purchases from an order form (score of 270)


## Level 3

Prose. Individuals scoring at Level 3 on the prose scale demonstrate the ability to match information in a piece of printed material with information in a directive when low-level inferences are required. They also display skill at integrating information from dense or lengthy text. Level 3 scorers are likely to succeed at literacy tasks asking them to:

- write a brief letter explaining a billing error (score of 288)
- find a sentence in a news article that interprets a situation (score of 304)
- read a lengthy article to identify behaviors that meet a stated condition (score of 316)

D ocument. Individuals performing at Level 3 appear to have little difficulty integrating several pieces of information from one or
more documents. They also display skill at using and interpreting rather complex tables and graphs containing information that is either irrelevant or inappropriate to the task. Adults at this level can:

- identify information in a bar chart showing energy sources for various years (score of 277)
- enter information into an automobile maintenance record form (score of 323)

Quantitative. Individuals at Level 3 demonstrate skill at performing tasks in which two or more numbers must be found in a piece of printed material to solve an arithmetic problem. The mathematical operation(s) to be performed can be determined from the terms used in the directive. Some of the tasks in this level involve the use of a calculator. Adults at Level 3 are likely able to:

- calculate the difference between the regular and sale prices of an item in an advertisement (score of 278)
- determine the discount from an oil bill if paid within 10 days (score of 308)
- calculate miles per gallon using information from a mileage record chart (score of 321)


## Level 4

Prose. Individuals scoring at this level display the ability to match multiple pieces of information in

# Example Task For Prose Literacy, Level 3 <br> List two things that Chen became involved in or has done to help resolve conflicts due to discrimination. 

IDA CHEN is the first Asian-American woman to become a judge of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

## She understands discrimination because she has experienced it herself.

Soft-spoken and eminently dignified Judge Ida Chen prefers hearing about a new acquaintance rather than talking about herself. She wants to know about career plans, hopes, dreams, fears. She gives unsolicited advice as well as encouragement. She instills confidence.

Her father once hoped that she would become a professor. And she would have also made an outstanding social worker or guidance counselor. The truth is that Chen wears the caps of all these professions as a Family Court judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, as a participant in public advocacy for minorities, and as a particularly sensitive, caring person.

She understands discrimination because she has experienced it herself. As an elementary school student, Chen tried to join the local Brownie troop. "You can't be a member," she was told. "Only American girls are in the Brownies."

Originally intent upon a career as a journalist, she selected Temple University because of its outstanding journalism department and affordable tuition. Independence being a personal need, she paid for her tuition by working for Temple's Department of Criminal Justice. There she had her first encounter with the legal world and it turned her career plans in a new direction law school.

Through meticulous planning, Chen was able to earn her undergraduate degree in two and a half years and she continued to work three jobs. But when she began her first semester as a Temple law student in the fall of 1973, she was barely able to stay awake. Her teacher Lynne Abraham, now a Common Pleas Court judge herself, couldn't help but notice Chen yawning in the back of the class, and when she determined that this student was not a party animal but a workhorse, she arranged a teaching assistant's job for Chen on campus.

After graduating from Temple Law School in 1976, Chen worked for the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission where she was a litigator on behalf of plaintiffs who experienced discrimination in the workplace, and
then moved on to become the first Asian-American to serve on the Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations.

Appointed by Mayor Wilson Goode, Chen worked with community leaders to resolve racial and ethnic tensions and also made time to contribute free legal counsel to a variety of activist groups.

The "Help Wanted" section of the newspaper contained an entry that aroused Chen's curiosity - an ad for a judge's position. Her application resulted in her selection by a state judicial committee to fill a seat in the state court. And in July of 1988, she officially became a judge of the Court of Common Pleas. Running as both a Republican and Democratic candidate, her position was secured when she won her seat on the bench at last November's election.

At Family Court, Chen presides over criminal and civil cases which include adult sex crimes, domestic violence, juvenile delinquency, custody, divorce and support. Not a pretty picture.

Chen recalls her first day as judge, hearing a juvenile dependency case "It was a horrifying experience. I broke down because the cases were so depressing," she remembers.

Outside of the courtroom, Chen has made a name for herself in resolving interracial conflicts, while glorying in her Chinese-American identity. In a 1986 incident involving the desecration of Korean street signs in a Philadelphia neighborhood, Chen called for a meeting with the leaders of that community to help resolve the conflict.

Chen's interest in community advocacy is not limited to Asian communities. She has been involved in Hispanic, Jewish and Black issues, and because of her participation in the Ethnic Affairs Committee of the AntiDefamation League of B'nai B'rith, Chen was one of 10 women nationwide selected to take part in a mission to Israel.

With her recently won mandate to judicate in the affairs of Pennsylvania's citizens, Chen has pledged to work tirelessly to defend the rights of its people and contribute to the improvement of human welfare. She would have made a fabulous Brownie.
a piece of writing. Further, they appear to be able to integrate or synthesize information from complex or lengthy pieces of text and to make complex inferences about what they read. They are likely to succeed at tasks that ask them to:

- state in writing an argument made in a lengthy newspaper article (score of 328)
- contrast views expressed in two editorials on fuel-efficient cars (score of 359)
- compare two metaphors used in a poem (score of 374)

D ocument. Individuals at Level
4 are able to make high-level inferences to interpret various types
of documents. They also appear to have little difficulty performing tasks that involve the use of conditional information. They are likely able to:

- use a table to identify the percentage of cases that meet specified conditions (score of 342)


## Example Task For Prose Literacy, Level 4

Contrast Deweys and H anna's views about the existence of technologies that can be used to produce more fuel-efficient cars while maintaining the size of the car.

## Face-Off: Getting More Miles Per Gallon

## Demand cars with better gas mileage

## Don't demand end to cars people want

By Robent Dewey
Oueet columniot

WASHINGTON - Warning: Automakers are rosurrecting their heavymetal dinosaurs, aka gas gurilers.
Government roports show that average new-car mileage has deelined to 28.2 milee per gallon - the 1886 level. To roverse this trend, Congreas must significantly incroase aristing yas-mileage standarde.
More than half our Nobel lauroatea and 700 memberse of the National Academy of Sciences recently called giobal warming "the most serious environmantal threat of the 210t century." In 1989, oil imports climbed to a near-record 46\% of U.S. connumption. Increasing gas mileage in the single biggest step we can take to reduce oil importa and curb global warming. Greatar efficiency aleo lowers our trade defficit (oil imports represent 40\% of it) and decreases the need to drill in pristine arese.
Bigger engines and bigger care moan bigger profite for automakera, who offer us the producte they want us to buy. More than ever, Americans want products that have less of an environmental impact. But with only a fow fuel -ffficient cars to choose from, how do we find ones that meet all our needs?
Government atudies show automakera have the technology to dramatically im-
prove gas mileage - while maintaining the 1887 levels of comfort, performance and aize mix of vohicles. Automakers alico have the ability to make their producta nafer. The cont of thene improvemente will be offiset by savings at the gas pump Cars can average 45 mpg and light trucks 25 mpg primarily by utilizing onsine and tranemisesion technologien al ready on a fow cars today. Further improvements are possible by using technologies like the two-stroke engine and better aerodynamica that have been developed but not used.
When the current vehicle efficiency atandards were proposed in 1974, Ford wrongly predicted that they "would require either all sub-Pinto-sized vehiclen or some mix of vehicles ranging from a sub-aubcompact to perhaps a Maverick. At that time, Congress required a $100 \%$ efficiency increase; raising gat miloage to 45 mpg requires only a $60 \%$ increase. Americans want comfortable, safe and efficient cars. If automakers won't provide them, Congress must mandato them when it considars the issue this summer. Whent's hope lawmakers put the beat interest of the environment and the nation torest of the environment and the nation
ahead of the automakers' lobbyiste and political action committees.
Robert Dewey is a convervation analyst for the Enviconmental Action Foundation.
Reprinted by permiseion of USA Today.

By Thomas H. Hanna
Guent columniat

DETROIT - Do Abericuna look for ward to the day when theyil have to haul grocerien, shuttle the kids to and from rochool or take family vacations in compact and subcompact carn?
I doubt it - which is why U.8. and import carmakers oppose the 40 -miles-per-galion to 45 mpg corporato avarage fuel economy mandaten that woms are puehing in Congrees, either to curb tallpipe carbon dioxde emienions becaune of elleged global warming or for anergy conservation. Since the mid-1970s, automakert have doubled the fleet average fuel economy of now cars to 28 mpg - and further progrees will be made.
Compact and subcompact cars with mileage of 40 mpg or better are now available, yat they appeal to only $5 \%$ of U.S. car buyers.

But to achieve a U.8. fleet averare of 40 mpg to 45 mpg , carmakere would have to sharply limit the availability of familysive models and dramatically trim the sive and weight of mont cars.
There simply are not magic technologies to meet auch a standard.
Almost every car now sold in the USA
would have to be drastically downaived, and many would be obboletoto.
As a result, Americans asch year would be unable to buy the vehicles mont suited for their needs: mid- and family-alee modols, luxury automobiles, mini-vans, emall trucks and utility vehiclea.
The fioet ahift to complectes and rubsoompacts could also force the clowing of areensbly planta, supplier firms and dealervhipa, at a cost of thousande of U.8. jobs.
Although a growing number of ecientints are akseptical of elobial warming the isene doserves thorough international seiontlice evaluation, not premature unilateral U.8. action.
Carbon dioxide emisaions from U.S. vehicles total loes than $2.5 \%$ of woridwide "greenhouse" gases. Even doubling coday corporato average fuel oconomy for U.S. carr-if technically possible - would cut thoee gases about $.8 \%$
Whatever the motivation - alloged global warning or energy conservation the stakes are high for millions of Amerjcansa and thousands of U.8. jobs in unrealistic corporate average fuel oconomy mandatea.

Thomas H. Hanns is president and athief ameutive Oflicer of the Motor Whicle Manufocturers Aspocia. tion of the United States.
Reprisend by permiestion of USA Tbday.

# Example Task For Prose Literacy, Level 5 <br> Identify and summarize the two kinds of challenges that attorneys use while selecting members of a jury. 

## DO YOU HAVE A QUESTION?

## QUESTION: What is the new program for scheduling jurors?

ANSWER: This is a new way of organizing and scheduling jurors that is being introduced all over the country. The goals of this program are to save money, increase the number of citizens who are summoned to serve and decrease the inconvenience of serving.

The program means that instead of calling jurors for two weeks, jurors now serve only one day, or for the length of one trial If they are selected to hear a case. Jurors who are not selected to hear a case are excused at the end of the day, and their obligations to serve as jurors are fulfiled for three years. The average trial lasts two days once testimony begins.

An important part of what is called the One Day - One Trial program is the "standby" juror. This is a person called to the Courthouse if the number of cases to be tried requires more jurors than originally estimated. Once called to the Courthouse, the standby becomes a "regular" juror, and his or her service is complete at the end of one day or one trial, the same as everyone else.
Q. How was I summoned?
A. The basic source for names of eligible jurors is the Driver's License list which is supplemented by the voter registration Ilst. Names are chosen from these combined lists by a computer in a completely random manner.

Once in the Courthouse, jurors are selected for a trial by this same computer and random selection process.
Q. How is the Jury for a particular trial selected?
A. When a group of prospective jurors is selected, more than the number needed for a trial are called. Once this group has been seated in the courtroom, either the Judge or the attorneys ask questions. This is called voir dire. The purpose of questions asked during voir dire is to
ensure that all of the jurors who are selected to hear the case will be unbiased, objective and attentive.

In most cases, prospective jurors will be asked to raise their hands when a particular question applies to them. Examples of questions often asked are: Do you know the Plaintiff, Defendant or the attorneys in this case? Have you been involved in a case similar to this one yourselt? Where the answer is yes, the jurors raising hands may be asked additional questions, as the purpose is to guarantee a fair trial for all parties. When an attorney believes that there is a legal reason to excuse a juror, he or she will challenge the juror for cause. Unless both attorneys agree that the juror should be excused, the Judge must either sustain or override the challenge.

After all challenges for cause have been ruled upon, the attorneys will select the trial jury from those who remain by exercising peremptory challenges. Unlike challenges for cause, no reason need be given for excusing a juror by peremptory challenge. Attorneys usually exercise these challenges by taking turns striking names from a list until both are satisfied with the jurors at the top of the list or until they use up the number of challenges allowed. Challenged jurors and any extra jurors will then be excused and asked to return to the jury selection room.

Jurors should not feel rejected or insulted If they are excused for cause by the Court or peremptorily challenged by one of the attorneys. The voir dire process and challenging of jurors is simply our judicial system's way of guaranteeing both parties to a lawsuit a fair trial.
Q. Am I guaranteed to serve on a jury?
A. Not all jurors who are summoned actually hear a case. Sometimes all the Judges are still working on trials from the previous day, and no new jurors are chosen. Normally, however, some new cases begin every day. Sometimes jurors are challenged and not selected.

- use a schedule to determine which bus to take in a given situation (score of 352)
- use a table to identify a pattern of oil exports over time (score of 352)

Q uantitative. Individuals at this level have little difficulty performing two or more arithmetic operations in a sequence. They can also perform single arithmetic operations in which the quantities are found in different types of displays, or in which the operations must be inferred from the information given or from prior knowledge. These individuals are likely to succeed when asked to:

- use information in a news article to calculate how much money should go to raising a child (score of 350)
- use an eligibility pamphlet to calculate how much money a couple would receive for basic supplemental security income in one year (score of 368)


## Level 5

Prose. Individuals at this level have little difficulty finding information in dense text that contains a considerable amount of distracting information. They can also make high-level inferences and use specialized background knowledge to help them understand what they read. Level 5 scorers can succeed at tasks asking them to:

- compare the approaches stated in a narrative on growing up (score of 382)
- summarize two ways in which lawyers may challenge prospective jurors (score of 410)
- interpret a brief phrase from a lengthy news article (score of 423)

D ocument. These individuals have the ability to search through complex displays that contain several pieces of distracting information. They al so have little difficulty making high-level inferences and using specialized background knowledge to interpret information in documents. They are likely able to:

- use information in a table to complete a graph, including labeling the axes (score of 378)
- use a table to compare credit cards, identify two categories of comparison, and write about the differences (score of 387)
- use information from a table to write a paragraph about a school survey (score of 395)

Quantitative. Individuals at this level can perform multiple arithmetic operations sequentially. They are al so able to find the features of problems in a piece of printed material and to use their background knowledge to
determine the quantities or operations needed. People at this literacy level are likely to succeed with tasks that ask them to:

- use an order form to calculate the shipping costs and total costs of items (score of 382)
- use information from a news article to calculate the difference in times for completing a race (score of 405)
- use a calculator to figure the total cost of carpet for a room (score of 421)


## Section 2:

## Literacy and

## Occupations

In this section we will answer the following questions: (1) H ow much have the overall literacy requirements of jobs in America changed, on average, from 1986 to 1996, and (2) H ow much are they expected to change from 1996 to 2006? M ore specifically, we will examine in detail the expected changes from 1996 to 2006, focusing on the fastest and slowest growing occupations as well as the occupations with the highest and lowest literacy requirements.

The occupational employment data for past years, as well as the projections for 2006, are the product of the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), which has been making such projections since about W orld War II. They have been correct in the direction of change, but often miss the mark in the amount of change in an individual occupation. ${ }^{9}$

The estimates of literacy requirements we will marry to these BLS data and projections were explained in the preceding section. W hat we will be discussing is the change in literacy requirements in the workforce that result from the changing distribution of employment among the
occupations. For example, average literacy requirements in the entire workforce will be affected by a large increase in the number of truck drivers (who have average prose literacy requirements of 268), growing from 2.2 million in 1986 to 2.7 million in 1996, and projected to reach 3.1 million by 2006. We can also measure the effect of this change on the large subgroup of occupations that include truck drivers- "operators, fabricators, and laborers."

H owever, we have no measure of whether the literacy requirement to be a truck driver, for example, was different in 1986 than in 1996, or will change from 1996 to 2006, an issue we discuss in the section, "Putting it in Context." W hen changes in the content of jobs are very large, perhaps because of changes in technology, the result is frequently the creation of an occupation with a new title, rather than a large change within the previously existing occupa-tion- although that may happen also. $O$ ccupations are being created and discarded, although largescale changes of this kind do not likely happen within short-term time frames, such as the 20 -year period being examined here. For example, farriers have been around for a long time, but in ever dwindling numbers.

Articles about past and future workforce trends frequently render conclusions about the direction or magnitude of change in education or skill requirements. The most frequently reported aspect is of the fastest growing occupations, often shown in terms of those occupations with the highest percentage increase. The projections for the 25 occupations with the highest percentage increase can be seen in Figure 1. These 25 occupations combined are expected to grow from 6.8 million in 1996 to 10.5 million in 2006, an increase of 69 percent. For these 25 occupations the combined average prose requirement was 300 in 1996, rising to 302 in 2006. This contrasts to an average score of 291 for all occupations in 1996. These 25 fastest growing occupations have a considerably higher prose, document, and quantitative literacy requirement than the average for all occupations.

Figure 1 also shows the 25 occupations with the largest percentage decrease, dropping from 1.5 million to 1.0 million between 1996 and 2006. For these jobs, the average prose requirement is below the national average. Jobs that are increasing have substantially higher prose/literacy requirements than jobs that are declining, with a net effect of raising average literacy

[^5]Figure 1
25 Occ upations with the Largest Percentage Inc rease and Decrease, 1996 to 2006


Figure 2
25 Occ upations with the Largest Numerical Increase and Decrease, 1996 to 2006


Source: The methodology used to create the data for this figure was detailed in the Introduction.
requirements. H owever, the number of positions involved is relatively small (just over 10 million for those increasing and one million for those decreasing, out of a total of more than 150 million projected for 2006), so this change cannot affect the overall literacy requirements of the workforce. W hile these occupations do not have enough employment to change the average for the work-force as a whole, the information does have significance for career and education planning, and suggests the direction of change for the longer-term future.

The larger impact can be expected to come from the occupations that are growing the most in actual numbers, shown in Figure 2. The 25 occupations with the greatest growth are expected to increase from 40 million in 1996 to more than 48 million in 2006. This kind of growth can have a substantial impact on the entire distribution. However, the average literacy requirements are a bit lower than the overall averages, so this growth is not a source of increase in literacy requirements.

The 25 occupations with the largest expected numerical decline summed to 10.7 million in 1996 and 9.6 million in 2006; big declines are not expected. T hese declining occupations, on average, have literacy requirements almost identical to those of the occupations that are increasing, so on net these declining occupations have not affected the overall average requirements.
$M$ any will be interested in what these rapidly growing occupations are, from the standpoint of education requirements and career planning. The fastest growing occupations, both in rate and number, are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Among those with the highest percentage increase are some occupations with much higher than average literacy requirements, such as computer scientists, computer engineers, and systems anal ysts. But the list also includes occupations substantially below the average in literacy requirements, such as home health aides, physical therapy aides, and amusements and recreational attendants. M ost, though, are above the average.

Among those jobs that are growing most in numbers, reflective of the greatest job opportunities, are occupations on both ends of the literacy scale. Leading the job-growth list are "all other sales and related workers," with literacy requirements somewhat above the average, followed by cashiers, with requirements well below the average, followed by systems analysts, with requirements way above the average.

Another window into literacy requirements in the labor force is to look at the occupations with the highest prose requirements and those with the lowest. The 25 occupations with the highest prose
requirements totaled 6.4 million in 1996, increasing to 8.1 million in 2006, for an increase of 27 percent. T he average prose score for these 25 was 345 . The 25 occupations with the lowest prose requirements totaled 7.0 million in 1996, rising to 8.4 million by 2006, for an increase of 20 percent. T hese 25 occupations had an average prose score of 246 . Both those occupations with the highest literacy requirements and those with the lowest requirements are growing substantially in terms of numbers and are above the average growth for all occupations
(14\%), with occupations with the highest requirements, growing faster than those with the lowest requirements (see Figure 3).

The occupational classification system itself introduces problems in comparisons such as the above. 0 ccupations that have lower literacy and educational requirements tend to be broken down into more detail than those at the top, affecting the comparisons in an artificial way. If broader occupational classifications are used, for example, the growth rate for the 25 with the lowest prose requirements would be substantially less

Figure 3
Occupations with the Highest and Lowest Literacy Requirements


Table 1
Top 25 Occupations With the Largest Percent Increase in Jobs, 1996 to 2006 (in thousands)
Occupation - Largest Percent Increase

Database admin, support specialists, and computer scientists
Computer engineers
Systems analysts
Physical and corrective therapy assistants and aides
Home health aides
Medical assistants
Occupational therapists
Occupational therapy assistants and aides
Teachers, special education
Medical records technicians
Data processing equipment repairers
Dental hygienists
Amusement and recreation attendants
Adjustment clerks
Respiratory therapists
Emergency medical technicians
Bill and account collectors
Residential counselors
Instructors and coaches, sports and physical training
Securities and financial services sales workers
Teacher aides and educational assistants
Dental assistants
Flight attendants
Child care workers
Customer service representatives, utilities

|  | Average Literacy Requirements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prose | Document | Quant |
|  | 351.0 | 362.0 | 354.0 |
|  | 333.0 | 327.0 | 338.0 |
|  | 352.0 | 347.0 | 352.0 |
|  | 266.0 | 268.0 | 264.0 |
|  | 244.0 | 232.0 | 234.0 |
|  | 290.0 | 286.0 | 281.0 |
|  | 320.0 | 314.0 | 315.0 |
|  | 338.0 | 333.0 | 339.0 |
|  | 325.0 | 316.0 | 324.0 |
|  | 300.0 | 295.0 | 297.0 |
|  | 316.0 | 312.0 | 314.0 |
|  | 325.0 | 309.0 | 313.0 |
|  | 289.0 | 275.0 | 286.0 |
|  | 295.0 | 294.0 | 293.0 |
|  | 315.0 | 306.0 | 292.0 |
|  | 333.0 | 326.0 | 308.0 |
|  | 299.0 | 294.0 | 304.0 |
|  | 334.0 | 325.0 | 337.0 |
|  | 316.0 | 309.0 | 296.0 |
|  | 328.0 | 316.5 | 329.0 |
|  | 284.0 | 271.0 | 276.0 |
|  | 276.0 | 268.0 | 263.0 |
|  | 321.0 | 315.0 | 311.0 |
|  | 264.0 | 250.0 | 255.0 |
|  | 294.0 | 289.0 | 294.0 |
| 1996 | 299.7 | 291.3 | 294.0 |
| 2006 | 301.9 | 293.9 | 296.6 |


| Number of Positions |  | Percent Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 | 2006 |  |
| 212 | 461 | 117.45\% |
| 216 | 451 | 108.80\% |
| 506 | 1,025 | 102.57\% |
| 85 | 151 | 77.65\% |
| 498 | 873 | 75.30\% |
| 225 | 391 | 73.78\% |
| 57 | 95 | 66.67\% |
| 16 | 26 | 62.50\% |
| 407 | 648 | 59.21\% |
| 87 | 132 | 51.72\% |
| 80 | 121 | 51.25\% |
| 133 | 197 | 48.12\% |
| 288 | 426 | 47.92\% |
| 401 | 584 | 45.64\% |
| 82 | 119 | 45.12\% |
| 150 | 217 | 44.67\% |
| 269 | 381 | 41.64\% |
| 180 | 254 | 41.11\% |
| 303 | 427 | 40.92\% |
| 263 | 363 | 38.02\% |
| 981 | 1,352 | 37.82\% |
| 202 | 278 | 37.62\% |
| 130 | 178 | 36.92\% |
| 830 | 1,129 | 36.02\% |
| 152 | 206 | 35.53\% |
| 6,753 | 10,485 | 55.26\% |

Note: There were a few occupations among the fastest growing 25 for which we do not have estimated prose literacy requirements, due to the small numbers in the occupation. These are the top 25 for which literacy scores are available.

Table 2
25 Occupations with the Largest Increase in Numbers of Jobs, 1996 to 2006 (in thousands)

| Occupation |  | Average Literacy Requirement |  |  | Number of Positions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent Increase |
|  |  | Prose | Document | Quant. | 1996 | 2006 |  |
| All other sales and related workers |  | 300.5 | 295.0 | 301.0 | 3,503 | 4,314 | 23.15\% |
| Cashiers |  | 281.0 | 277.0 | 278.0 | 3,147 | 3,677 | 16.84\% |
| Systems analysts |  | 352.0 | 347.0 | 352.0 | 506 | 1,025 | 102.57\% |
| General managers and top executives |  | 324.7 | 314.2 | 330.4 | 3,210 | 3,677 | 14.55\% |
| Salespersons, retail |  | 292.4 | 290.8 | 294.8 | 4,054 | 4,481 | 10.53\% |
| Registered nurses |  | 320.3 | 313.0 | 306.0 | 1,971 | 2,382 | 20.85\% |
| All other managers and administrators |  | 323.4 | 315.9 | 325.7 | 1,981 | 2,387 | 20.49\% |
| Truck drivers, light and heavy |  | 268.3 | 268.7 | 276.7 | 2,717 | 3,123 | 14.94\% |
| Home health aides |  | 244.0 | 232.0 | 234.0 | 498 | 873 | 75.30\% |
| Teacher aides and educational assistants |  | 284.0 | 271.0 | 276.0 | 981 | 1,352 | 37.82\% |
| Nursing aides, orderlies, and attendants |  | 251.5 | 248.0 | 244.0 | 1,312 | 1,645 | 25.38\% |
| Receptionists and information clerks |  | 302.0 | 299.0 | 292.0 | 1,074 | 1,392 | 29.61\% |
| Teachers, secondary school |  | 334.0 | 327.0 | 333.0 | 1,406 | 1,718 | 22.19\% |
| Child care workers |  | 264.0 | 250.0 | 255.0 | 830 | 1,129 | 36.02\% |
| All other helpers, laborers, and material movers, hand |  | 255.0 | 254.3 | 258.0 | 1,737 | 2,012 | 15.83\% |
| Clerical supervisors and managers |  | 302.2 | 297.7 | 301.3 | 1,370 | 1,630 | 18.98\% |
| Database admin., support specialists, and computer scientists |  | 351.0 | 362.0 | 354.0 | 212 | 461 | 117.45\% |
| Marketing and sales worker supervisors |  | 295.7 | 289.0 | 298.1 | 2,316 | 2,562 | 10.62\% |
| Maintenance repairers, general utility |  | 291.0 | 288.0 | 292.0 | 1,364 | 1,608 | 17.89\% |
| Teachers, special education |  | 325.0 | 316.0 | 324.0 | 407 | 648 | 59.21\% |
| Food counter, fountain, and related workers |  | 251.0 | 249.0 | 257.0 | 1,723 | 1,963 | 13.93\% |
| Computer engineers |  | 333.0 | 327.0 | 338.0 | 216 | 451 | 108.80\% |
| Food preparation workers |  | 258.7 | 257.0 | 255.0 | 1,255 | 1,487 | 18.49\% |
| Hand packers and packagers |  | 250.5 | 250.0 | 261.0 | 986 | 1,208 | 22.52\% |
| Guards |  | 274.0 | 269.0 | 273.0 | 955 | 1,175 | 23.04\% |
| Average Literacy Requirement Average Literacy Requirement | 1996 | 291.2 | 286.4 | 291.3 | 39,731 | 48,380 | 21.77\% |
|  | 2006 | 292.0 | 287.1 | 291.9 |  |  |  |

than what is reported here, where no such aggregation is employed.

In Table 3, we have summarized the information presented above in terms of the different sets of 25 occupations, their average literacy requirements, and the percent growth from 1996 to 2006, comparing them to the average for all jobs.

The final question is what is the overall net change from 1986 to 2006? The answer is that the many differences in the growth of individual occupations balance each other out, so that overall literacy requirements are stable over the 20-year period, from 1986 to 2006. (See chart above right.)

The above analysis is of the structure of the entire economy, of changes in literacy requirements as a result of change in the distribution of occupations. It does not answer the question of whether there are changes in the literacy requirements for those jobs new entrants to the labor force have taken, or will be taking, and this limits the data's usefulness for educational counseling. For example, new jobs accounted for only 14 percent of employment in 1996, and are projected to be 12 percent of employment in 2,006 . If requirements were advancing or declining for these new jobs, it would have little affect on averages for total employment, over short periods of time. In the next section, we focus on "new job openings."

Average Literacy Requirements

|  | Prose | Document | Quantitative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 294 | 290 | 294 |
| 1986 | 295 | 290 | 294 |
| 2006 | 295 | 291 | 295 |

Table 3
Summary Comparisons of Average Prose Literacy Requirements, 1996

|  | Average Prose Requirements in 1996 | Percentage Change, 1996-2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 Occupations with Highest |  |  |
| Literacy Requirement | 345 | +27\% |
| 25 Occupations with Greatest |  |  |
| Percentage Increase, 1996-2006 | 308 | +55\% |
| 25 Occupations with Greatest |  |  |
| Numeric al Increase, 1996-2006 | 291 | +23\% |
| Average for All Oc c upations | 295 | +14\% |
| 25 Occupations with Lowest |  |  |
| Literacy Requirement | 246 | +20\% |
| 25 Occupations with Greatest |  |  |
| Numerical Decrease, 1996-2006 | 292 | -32\% |
| 25 Occupations with Greatest |  |  |
| Percentage Decrease, 1996-2006 | 291 | -10\% |
| Source: Complete data tables are in appendix. |  |  |

## Section 3:

## Education,

Training, and

## Occupations

The previous section addressed the literacy requirements of occupations. H ere we look at the education and training levels typically required for employment in those occupations. Literacy level and formal education level are not the samething, nor can formal levels of education be equated to levels of literacy. So, we must look at them separately. In any event, a person does not enroll in an education program to attain a particular scale score on the literacy assessment to qualify for a job; he or she goes to a community college or a four-year college. Literacy is related to years of education: the higher the education level, the higher the average literacy score, on average. But each category of educational achievement shows a wide dispersion of literacy scores.

This dispersion is shown in Figure 4. Prose proficiency is shown by percentiles for each level of education. The shaded area shows the large overlap in prose proficiency of different levels of educational achievement. A large proportion of adults at all levels of education are in the scale score range of from about 230 to 340. There are several reasons for this. First, the level of educational achievement varies depending on the individual and on the quality of the institution a student attended. Also, the literacy

Figure 4
Percentile Distribution of Prose Literacy Proficiency by Education Level, 1992

assessment measures proficiency in performing day-to-day real-world tasks, not proficiency with subjectmatter material taught in schools. And different school subject areas will produce different proficiencies on the prose, document, and quantitative literacy scales. For example, a person with a degree in mathematics is likely to do better on the quantitative scale than would a student with a degree in art appreciation.

It is also interesting to note that earnings of adults vary with literacy proficiency, even within specific levels of education attainment. For example, individuals with a bachelor's degree who have higher literacy scores earn more than those who have lower literacy scores. This indicates that both education level and literacy proficiency level are important for success in the labor market. This can be seen clearly in Figure 5, which shows average weekly wages both by level of literacy proficiency and level of educational attainment.

The data used to examine educational requirements of occupations was obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). The BLS has long had the 0 ccupational O utlook H andbook, and makes available, in table format, the statistics it collects (or projects) for each of 510 occupations. ${ }^{10}$

The BLS identifies, for each occupation, "the education and

Figure 5
Doc ument Literacy and Weekly Wages, by Education


[^6]training needed by most workers to become fully qualified." It shows the employment in that occupation in 1996, and projects it to 2006. Importantly, it also shows the annual average job openings "due to growth plus total replacement needs," as well as openings due to growth plus net replacement needs. According to the BLS, the first measure provides "the broadest measure of opportunities and identifies the total number of employees needed annually to enter an occupation." The analyses this author has seen, of growth and educational requirements, have all been of the average change in the employment level, or "net new jobs," rather than of job openings that come about from growth, turnover, and retirements. As we will see, these are quite different, and it is the openings that are important- no one gets hired for a "net new job."

A summary of the BLS data is provided in Table 4, showing, by training and education require ments, employment in 1996 and in 2006, and the numerical and percentage increases. Figure 6 compares employment, by education level and training requirements, in 1996 and 2006. An inspection of Table 4 shows that, generally, the occupations that have the highest percentage increase are those that require the most education, but those that have the greatest growth in number of jobs generally require the least education and training. An exception that stands out are jobs requiring a four-year college

Table 4
Employment in 1996 and 2006, by Educ ation and Training Level Typically Required

| Training and Education <br> Required | Total <br> Employment <br> (in thousands) | Change <br> (in thousands) | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ |  |
| Short-term <br> on-the-job tra ining | 52,118 | 59,064 | $+6,946$ |

Figure 6
Employment in 1996 and 2006, by Education and Training Level Typicaly Required

degree, which are expected to jump by 4.2 million, higher than any category except those requiring only short-term on-the-job training, with a projected increase of almost 7 million. This is the typical way of looking at changes in educational requirements, irrespective of how these requirements are measured.

Table 5 shows how the net change (all increases) in employment by education/training category compares with annual job openings- the measure that represents actual job openings is several times higher than the measure that reflects change in employment level. In other cases, job openings are lower than the change in employment level. The third column shows the ratio between the two. For example, for jobs requiring long-term on-thejob training, the openings are three times the employment change, while the openings for jobs requiring a first professional degree such as a law degree are less than a third of the employment change. This reflects differences in turnover in that occupational group, as well as differences in retirements expected.

For any one level of education and training, what portion of all new jobs does any one such level represent? T hat can be seen in Figure 7, which shows the distribution of "new jobs" by education/ training level. H ere, we see the difference these ratios, shown in Table 5, make. W hile jobs requiring only short-term on-the-job training account for 40 percent of the employment increase, they

Table 5
Average Annual J ob Openings to Change in Total Employment, by Training and Educ ation

| Training and Education Required | Change in Total Employment (in thousands) | Average Openings 1996-2006 | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Short-term on-the-job tra ining | +6,946 | +14,731 | 2.1 |
| (Short-term on-the-job tra ining with high proportions of part-time positions)*$(+5,283)$ |  | $(+8,119)$ | 1.5 |
| Moderate levels of on-the-job tra ining | +1,261 | +3,025 | 2.4 |
| Long-term on-the-job tra ining | +1,130 | +3,370 | 3.0 |
| Postsecondary vocational preparation | 1 +595 | +1,067 | 1.8 |
| Associate degree | +916 | +468 | . 5 |
| Work experience plus degree | +1,596 | +1,178 | . 7 |
| Bachelor's degree | +4,212 | +2,199 | . 5 |
| Master'sdegree | +207 | +246 | 1.2 |
| First professional degree | +307 | +99 | . 3 |
| Doctoral degree | +191 | +150 | . 8 |
| Total | +17,361 | +26,533 | 1.5 |
| *Also included in Short-tem OJ Tcategory |  |  |  |

account for 56 percent of the new openings. Part-time jobs make up a high proportion of this category. The line underneath separates out the occupations with a very high proportion of part-time workers, with the percentages shown in parenthesis; they represent 18 percent of the employment increase and 30 percent of the annual job openings. Jobs requiring bachelor's degrees represent a whopping 24 percent of the employment increase, but just 8 percent of the annual job openings.

Figure 7 reveals that a modest proportion of annual job openings require advanced education, relative to the proportion they represent of the employment increase. O ccupations requiring an associate degree or higher represent 43 percent of the net employment increase, but just 16 percent of annual job openings. The distinction does make a difference in the picture of education and training requirements and projected growth in opportunities. For comparison purposes, the statistics show that over a fourth of 25 - to 29-year olds attain a bachelor's degree or higher, little changed in the last quarter century. Adding in associate's degrees would boost this to near a third.

In the above discussion, occupations have been aggregated by education/training requirement. In Table 6, all 25 occupations that have the highest numerical growth are shown, with the education/training requirement for each occupation.

Figure 7
Distribution of Employment Inc reases and Annual J ob Openings, 1996-2006, by Training and Education Typically Required


Table 6
25 Occupations with Greatest Numerical Growth, 1996 to 2006 Employment Job Openings, Typical Education/Training Level

| Occupation | Total employment (in thousands) |  | 1996-2006 Change in total employment |  | 1996-2006 <br> Average annual job openings (in thousands) |  | Education and training level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (in } \\ & \text { thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Due to growth and total replacement needs | Due to growth and net replacement needs |  |
| All other sales and related workers | 3,503 | 4,314 | 811 | 23.2 | 840 | 170 | Moderate level of O-J-T |
| Cashiers | 3,146 | 3,677 | 530 | 16.8 | 1,265 | 190 | Short-term O-J-T |
| Systems analysts | 506 | 1,025 | 520 | 102.8 | 133 | 55 | Bachelor's degree |
| General managers and top executives | 3,210 | 3,677 | 467 | 14.6 | 393 | 115 | Work experience plus degree |
| Registered nurses | 1,971 | 2,382 | 411 | 20.8 | 183 | 68 | Associate degree |
| Salespersons, retail | 4,072 | 4,481 | 408 | 10.0 | 1,272 | 170 | Short-term O-J-T |
| All other managers and administrators | 1,981 | 2,387 | 406 | 20.5 | 281 | 83 | Work experience plus degree |
| Truck drivers, light and heavy | 2,719 | 3,123 | 404 | 14.9 | 482 | 78 | Short-term O-J-T |
| Home health aides | 495 | 873 | 378 | 76.5 | 180 | 44 | Short-term O-J-T |
| Teacher aides and educational assistants | 981 | 1,352 | 370 | 37.7 | 296 | 50 | Short-term O-J-T |
| All other service workers | 1,112 | 1,453 | 341 | 30.7 | 302 | 62 |  |
| Nursing aides, orderlies, and attendants | 1,312 | 1,645 | 333 | 25.4 | 340 | 51 | Short-term O-J-T |
| Receptionists and information clerks | 1,074 | 1,392 | 318 | 29.7 | 336 | 52 | Short-term O-J-T |
| Teachers, secondary school | 1,406 | 1,718 | 312 | 22.2 | 131 | 73 | Bachelor's degree |
| Child care workers | 830 | 1,129 | 299 | 36.1 | 322 | 39 | Short-term O-J-T |
| All other helpers, laborers, and material movers, hand | 1,737 | 2,012 | 275 | 15.8 | 598 | 86 | Short-term O-J-T |

Table 6
25 Occupations with Greatest Numerical Growth, 1996 to 2006 Employment Job Openings, Typical Education/Training Level, cont.

| Occupation | Total employment (in thousands) |  | 1996-2006 Change in total employment |  | 1996-2006 <br> Average annual job openings (in thousands) |  | Education and training level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { (in } \\ & \text { thousands) } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Due to growth and total replacement needs | Due to growth and net replacement needs |  |
| Clerical supervisors and managers | 1,369 | 1,630 | 262 | 19.1 | 202 | 58 | Work experience in a related occupation |
| Database administrators, computer support specialists, and all other computer scientists | 212 | 461 | 249 | 117.8 | 60 | 27 | Bachelor's degree |
| Maintenance repairers, general utility | 1,362 | 1,608 | 246 | 18.0 | 223 | 52 |  |
| Marketing and sales working supervisors | 2,316 | 2,562 | 246 | 10.6 | 370 | 62 | Work experience in a related occupation |
| Food counter, fountain, and related workers | 1,720 | 1,963 | 243 | 14.1 | 841 | 125 | Short-term O-J-T |
| Teachers, special education | 407 | 648 | 241 | 59.1 | 49 | 30 | Bachelor's degree |
| Computer engineers | 216 | 451 | 235 | 109.1 | 59 | 25 | Bachelor's degree |
| Food preparation workers | 1,253 | 1,487 | 234 | 18.7 | 559 | 87 | Short-term O-J-T |
| Hand packers and packagers | 986 | 1,208 | 222 | 22.5 | 252 | 48 | Short-term O-J-T |

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, op. cit

## Section 4 :

## Looking Backward

The previous two sections address the present, the recent past, and the future. W hat were the trends before this? H ow have skill and education levels changed since, say, the World War II period? T here are reasonably good answers to those questions, within the limitations of the data sources available for analysis. While in many respects the data available for that time period is less adequate than recent data, the sophistication of the analysis at that time was more advanced than anything done since.

The principal work with which we will begin originated in a doctoral thesis by a student at H arvard, James G. Scoville, under the supervision of his adviser, John T. Dunlop, who is known (among many other things) for his work on the structure of jobs in firms and the U.S. economy. Scoville sets out to develop a methodology for analyzing the economy's job content, ${ }^{11}$ and begins with a definition of job families and clusters formulated by D unlop, for application at the factory level:
[It] is a stable group of job classifications or work assignments within a firm ... which are so linked together (a) by technology, (b) by the administrative organization of the productive process, including policies of transfer and promotions, or (c) by social custom that they
have common wage-making characteristics. ${ }^{12}$

Scoville applies his methodology to the avail lable data, although his aim is to stimulate better data collection and classification by the Census Bureau that would be more reflective of job content.

Scoville started with a different and expanded set of job families than was used by the Census, trying to achieve more homogeneous groupings. He arrived at the following 15:

Tools
a. specialized
b. non-specialized

Machines and Equipment
a. specialized
b. non-specialized

InsPECTION
Vehicle Operation
Farming
Sales
a. considerable knowledge of product
b. little knowledge of product

Clerical
Personal Services
Entertainment
Protection
Education and Training
Health Services
Welfare Services

Administration and
Organization
Research and Design
Having done this, Scoville asked the next question: "C an content levels be defined within [these] job families which are in some way comparable so they can be summed across job families?" To do so, he would have to develop a description of job requirements. And the only useful/ available source for this information was an ambitious undertaking of the United States Employment Service in its analysis of jobs drawn from its Dictionary of 0 ccupational Titles (DOT), and entitled Etimates of Worker TraitsCharacteristics for 4,000 Jobs, published in 1956. The jobs were rated for a number of characteristics, including aptitude, interests, temperaments, training time required (general and specific), as well as level of general education development. The 11 aptitudes referenced in this study included general intelligence, verbal ability, numerical ability, and spatial perception.

Scoville put these 4,000 jobs into his revised classification of Census jobs. These characteristics, he thought, should be related to "the sorts of training, abilities, skills, and responsibilities for which wages are presumably the reward," and he proceeded to estimate the market value for each

[^7]characteristic through a regression of such requirements on 1960 median occupational earnings.

The resulting analysis yielded an explanation of 33 percent of the variation in wages, and when other requirements were added (such as age- as proxy for experiencedesired by employers, for example) the model explained about 60 percent of the variation. This, then, became the basis for differentiating job content within job families, and permitted regrouping across job families on the basis of estimated content levels. Scoville regrouped the jobs into five levels. ${ }^{13}$

Further, Scoville applied his estimating process to the occupational projections for 1970, using those of the $N$ ational Planning Association. The following summary table results.

Table 7 shows a continual and gradual increase in the jobs with the highest content (LevelsI and II), and corresponding decline at the bottom (LevelsIV and V).

As noted above, the worker trait study included analysis of the required general educational development (GED) and years of specific vocational preparation (SVP). The GED is expressed in a scale but was converted to years of school by Richard S. Eckaus (seeTable 8). ${ }^{14}$

Table 7
J ob Content Levels, 1940-1950 (percentage)

| Content <br> Level | $\mathbf{1 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | 1970 <br> Projected |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 6.1 | 6.8 | 8.7 | 9.4 |
| II | 9.6 | 11.5 | 14.2 | 16.1 |
| III | 28.5 | 32.5 | 34.2 | 34.7 |
| IV | 24.5 | 20.8 | 17.0 | 15.8 |
| V | 31.6 | 28.4 | 25.9 | 24.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Level I is highest in skill content. |  |  |  |  |

## Table 8

General Educational Development, Specific Vocational Preparation Requirements, and J ob-Content Levels, 1940-1970
(Number of years required forgeneral educational development)

| Content <br> Level | $\mathbf{1 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ <br> Projected |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Total | 9.99 | 10.14 | 10.37 | 10.49 |
| I | 16.31 | 16.21 | 16.17 | 16.11 |
| II | 12.01 | 12.04 | 12.11 | 12.17 |
| III | 10.54 | 10.50 | 10.46 | 10.43 |
| IV | 9.75 | 9.63 | 9.48 | 9.43 |
| V | 7.85 | 7.89 | 7.93 | 7.96 |

(Number of years required forspecific vocational preparation)

| Content <br> Level | $\mathbf{1 9 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7 0}$ <br> Projected |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 1.78 | 1.82 | 1.86 | 1.88 |
| I | 5.40 | 5.30 | 5.27 | 5.23 |
| II | 3.21 | 3.19 | 3.18 | 3.19 |
| III | 1.92 | .82 | 1.63 | 1.49 |
| IV | 1.40 | 1.29 | 1.12 | 1.03 |
| V | .82 | .81 | .80 | .79 |
| Source: Scoville, op.cit. |  |  |  |  |

[^8]Again, we see a gradual rise in the average education and training required for total employment, although there were generally decreases within the five levels. The greater growth in the higher content levels accounts for the rise in the average, while a changing composition within the levels accounts for changes in averages for each level. Scoville-Eckaus estimate an increase from 10 years of education required, on average, in 1940, to 10.5 years in 1970a very modest rise. D uring that general period, the mean educational attainment of men rose from 8.6 years to 12.0 years, and for women from 9.8 years to 12.1 years.

In the recent past, the most widely quoted study of changing educational requirements of jobs was the 1987 report Workforce 2000, by W illiam B. Johnston and Arnold H. Packer. ${ }^{15}$ Johnston and Packer performed an analysis using the GED scale used in the U.S. D epartment of Labor's Dictionary of O ccupational Titles (DOT), marrying that information to occupational data and projections provided by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. As is frequently the case in such presentations, the analysis presented in the text of Workforce 2000 was of the most rapidly growing (in percentage terms) and most rapidly declining occupations. In this respect, the
findings were similar to those in this report that use the data from the $N$ ational Adult Literacy Survey and the Position Analysis Q uestionnaire - that the fastest growing occupations had higher requirements than the declining ones. Workforce 2000 summed it up this way: "R anking of all jobs according to the skills required on a scale of 1 to 6 , with 6 being the highest level of skill, indicated that the fastestgrowing jobs require much higher math, language, and reasoning capabilities than current jobs, while slowly growing jobs require less."

This author had looked closely at the Workforce 2000 analysis when writing WorkplaceC ompetencies: The N eed to Improve Literacy and Employment Readiness, with Irwin Kirsch. ${ }^{16}$ This work was commissioned and published by the U.S. Department of Education's O ffice of Educational Research and Improvement. W hile the detailed results of the Workforce 2000 anal ysis were not published in the report, they were available, and $I$ requested and received them. The question was this: Was this difference in these fast-growing and fast-declining occupations, a small percentage of total employment, enough to make any substantial difference in the total picture for all employment in the period from 1984 to 2000 (the period used in the Workforce 2000 projections)? 0 n a scale of 1 to 6
for educational development requirements, the average for all jobs in 1984 was 3.0; for the year 2000 it was projected to be 3.1, a difference so small as to be well within the margin of error in the projections, and in the measures of educational requirements. W hile this H udson Institute report has been frequently cited as saying education requirements were advancing rapidly, the analysis itself was very consistent with that performed for this report; but no one seems to have looked at the underlying analysis. The information provided about those fastgrowing jobs is useful to have, even if their numbers are relatively small, but it is important to have the full picture.

In September 1988, the Employment Standards Administration of the U.S. Department of Labor issued a report titled O pportunity 2000: Creative Affirmative Action Strategies for a Changing Workforce, prepared for the department by the H udson Institute. It states (p. 14) that "while most new jobs- especially those in the fastest growing categories- will demand much higher language, math and reasoning skills than many current jobs, the opposite is true for slower-than-averagegrowth job categories." Again, no mention of the overall employment picture is made, nor is there any mention that these

[^9]requirements were estimated to remain unchanged. The citation is from the Workforce 2000 report.

The Workforce 2000 analysis has another characteristic that makes such a conclusion problematic. It looks at net changes in average employment, the difference between the job growth and the job decline. But no one gets a "net new job." Job openings are a function of turnover- of job changers, of those entering the labor force, and of those retiring from it. To look at job opportunities one has to look at the annual job openings becoming available, and then at the requirements for these openings. This is the approach in the BLS analysis of job preparation requirements described in the section above, titled, "Education, Training, and O ccupations."

In 1997, the H udson Institute updated Workforce 2000 with Workforce 2020. ${ }^{17}$ A similar analysis was performed, and concluded, "In short, shrinking occupations overwhelmingly require modest skills, but high skills are called for by a significant component of the expanding occupations. The words of Workforce 2000 still ring true ..." No information was provided for the entire workforce for this period of time.

Russell W. Rumberger and H enry M. Levin have several times examined educational requirements of jobs. In 1989, in Schooling for the M odern W orkplace, their principal conclusion was:

The average educational requirement of future jobs will not be significantly different than current jobs, as both high-skilled and low-skilled jobs will continue to exist in thefuture economy. ${ }^{18}$

At various times over the past 25 years, this author has examined the studies available, and concluded that a very gradual shift was likely taking place toward higher requirements, but nothing drastic. Comparison of these shifts to the increasing educational-level schooling of the population suggested no reason why adjustment would not be smooth, in these terms, at least. ${ }^{19}$

[^10]
## Section: 5

## Putting it in Context

O ne of the largest limitations of all the studies presented or cited in this report is that they are dealing with the effect of compositional shifts among occupations. As was discussed in Section 2, these shifts do not affect changes in literacy requirements within an occupation over time. Similarly, examinations of small sectors of the workforce cannot disclose what is happening in the entire workforce. O bserving these trends over the years, one gets the impression that some jobs change to require more knowledge and skills, some change to require less, and some change their requirements very little. And for some workforce sectors, work tasks within an occupation may get more complex, while others get simpler.

For example, a clerk in a drug store in the 1940s would have found the items for a customer, added their prices by hand, and computed the tax and the change. The money that paid for the sale may have to gone into different drawers in the cash register. This author, when a clerk in such a drug store, also checked in the daily order from the wholesaler and put the retail price on the item. The markup was "onethird of the selling price," which the clerk (this author) was expected to calculate. These exercises, particularly the last one, would be fairly high on the quantitative literacy scale. In
the 1990s, the customer finds the merchandise, the scanner automatically inventories the item and registers its price, and the cash register computes the change. At a McD onald's the counter person pushes buttons on the cash register that have pictures of the items. At Jewell food market in Illinois, the cash register (not the clerk) says, "T hank you, have a nice day."

Secretaries now have to know how to use a personal computer and understand word-processing software, and are expected to learn ever-changing versions of software packages, or to learn different word-processing programs. But the computer does the spell checking, and more bosses are entering their own drafts into the computer rather than dictating to a secretary. $M$ aintenance repairers are likely dealing with much more complex equipment- a touchy copying machine or an appliance full of computer chips- and with technology that is constantly changing. Conversely, one of the occupations with the highest growth in numbers, truck drivers, probably takes no more time to learn today than it did 20 years ago.

Further, it is one thing to analyze an occupation through traditional job analysis techniques. It is another to determine what employers are actually looking for. An example is Laurie Bassi's point that "it is not clear whether employers are increasingly relying
on education credentials as a method of screening for the skills they need, or whether these credentials are merely a proxy for increasing importance for some necessary skill (perhaps the ability to learn quickly)."20

A lot of attention has been given to the trend of constantly rising skill requirements. It is a refrain heard over and over again. But since the industrial revolution, there has also been a trend of de-skilling jobs. The computer chip is likely skilling some jobs and de-skilling others. I see little evidence that one of these trends has predominated over the past several decades.

Of course, when job requirements change drastically as a result of technological or other changes, the name of the occupation itself often changes. Some occupations disappear while others are created. All in all, it is not at all a simple matter to track the education and training requirements for entry into the U.S. workforce. We do know that in the past several decades there have been large increases in the total number of bachelor's degrees conferred, more than doubling since 1965, and rising by almost a fourth since 1975. In the same period, awards of associate degrees advanced fivefold, from morethan 100 thousand to more than 500 thousand. Since 1975, the proportion of all adults with four years of

[^11]high school or more has risen from 63 percent to over 80 percent. The economy has absorbed the increases. W hile we will make no attempt here to match educational attainment and job requirements over this long period in any precise way-a task fraught with difficulties and pitfalls-we will make some observations.

In this process of absorption, what has been happening, decade by decade, is that more people with higher levels of education are in occupations that in the prior decade were occupied by those with less education. So college graduates are in occupations formerly occupied by high school graduates, and high school graduates are in jobs formerly occupied by people without a high school diploma. This trend was observed by demographers John Folger and Charles Nam in 1964. ${ }^{21}$ A decade later, D ouglas Adkins found that "if we take the 1940 level of educational attainment in individual occupations and (roughly) calculate the proportion of the total number of male college graduates in 1969 that would be needed to meet 1940 educational attainment standards for occupations, we will account for only

45\% of the stock of male college graduates in 1969." ${ }^{22}$

It is relatively simple to look at occupational titles and see that people in those occupations in prior years had less educational attainment than those in later years. But we don't know whether skill requirements for some of those jobs rose, or whether the more highly educated workers were more productive and therefore paid more. In the mid-1990s an attempt was made by $D$ aniel $E$. H ecker, of the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, to examine such trends, from 1970 to 1990. He pointed out, "It is not possible to precisely identify and measure the number of jobs that require a college degree." To make his estimates, he used surveys that asked workers what level of education they needed to qualify for their current jobs. In his analysis, he concluded that the percent of college graduates who were either in jobs that "do not require a college degree or are unemployed" rose from 11.7 percent in 1967 to 19.9 percent in 1990. H e asks, "If as some analysts contend, the rising relative wages of college graduates in the 1980s suggest a shortage of these workers, why did
one-fifth of them accept jobs that traditionally don't require a degree for entry?" ${ }^{23}$

In 1995, John Tyler, Richard M urname, and Frank Levy responded with an analysis that included a re-analysis of the data H eckman had used. They contested his claim that the demand for college graduates was weak in the 1980s, finding that the increase in taking jobs with less than college requirements had mostly occurred in the 1970s, when the economy was absorbing college graduates of the baby boom. From this and analysis of income changes, they concluded that "the labor market of the 1980s successfully absorbed new college graduates, even as the overall college labor supply rose by 60 percent." ${ }^{24}$ In his reply, H ecker pointed out some problems he had with the data Tyler, et al. used, but noted that they had agreed that about 25 percent of young college graduates were taking such jobs, and asked, "given the high and rising relative earnings of college graduates, why do so many end up taking lower level jobs?" ${ }^{25}$

N ot only has the economy absorbed the increasing number of college graduates, these college

[^12]graduates have also prospered in relation to those with less educa tion. Ultimately, it is the labor market that places the economic value on the level of educational attainment, not analytical studies of "real" job requirements. W hen employers in the 1980s hired college graduates for jobs previously filled by high school graduates, they found reason to pay them at a higher rate (at least relatively). There has been a clear demand shift toward college graduates; what is unclear is the reason why this has happened. This shift can be seen in Table 9.

Combining men and women, only those with a college degree gained in real earnings over the past quarter century. All the rest lost ground, including those with "some college." Women fared much better than men, gaining 8 percent among those with college degrees. College men, in this set of statistics, lost a little ground, unless they had advanced degrees. M en's losses for educations below the college level were huge. While there is agreement that the relative changes are about as shown in Table 9, comparisons of different time periods, and the use of different $C$ ensus samples, produce varying estimates of the absolute changes in the earnings of college graduates. For example, from 1974

## Table 9

Percent Change in Real Hourly Wage by Education, 1973-1995 ${ }^{26}$

| Education | Men | Women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than high school | -28 | -7 |
| High school | -19 | -3 |
| Some college | -15 | -1 |
| College | -4 | +8 |
| Advanced degree | +12 | +6 |

to 1997 the mean annual earnings of all males with a bachelor's degree only, age 18 and over, working full time for a full year, rose from $\$ 53,407$ to $\$ 55,832$ (in 1997 dollars). ${ }^{27}$

The reasons for these market results are not at all clear. The decline in real wages coincided with the decline in productivity, beginning in 1973. Productivity declines induce earnings declines, and the workers without college degrees bore the brunt of these declines. Economists do not understand why productivity growth rate declined. Nor do they understand how, in the past several years, we have been able to have continued economic growth without inflation.

The economist LaurieJ. Bassi, vice president for research at the American Society for Training and D evelopment, recently examined this labor market history and concluded that "very little is known
on a systematic basis that enables us to identify in a rigorous manner exactly what is behind the shift in demand for educated workers." W hat we do know, she says, suggests the following conclusions: ${ }^{28}$

First, education credentials are an increasingly important determinant of demand for labor, which in turn, affects wages. Second, it is not clear whether employers are increasingly relying on education credentials as a method of screening for the skills they need, or whether these credentials are merely a proxy of increasing importance for some necessary skill (perhaps the ability to learn quickly. Third, the demand (as evidenced by the growing wage premium) for mathematics skills has grown. It may be that these skills serve as a proxy for some other important skill (such as problem-solving ability). Fourth, since wage inequal ity has also increased within educational categories, some aspect of supply and demand (above and

[^13]beyond educational credentials) is at work in the labor market. T his could be some unmeasured competencies. Or it could beluck. Or it could be something else. Fifth, the use of computers is likely to be an important part of the "something else." Finally, it is simultaneously true that both educational credentials and something beyond educational credentials have become increasingly important in determining employers" demand for workers, and therefore, the wages that workers earn. It is likely that both competence and credentials are increasingly in demand by employers.

To add to the puzzlement, the favorable treatment of those with a college degree has not resulted in a higher proportion of young people getting one. There may have been a shift in demand, but it is hard to see that demand, overall, has been rising. After all, we are doing little more than maintaining real wages for college graduates, not increasing them, which would be expected if there was a growing demand. True, more young people have been enrolling in college, but more have not been completing college, at least until the past couple of years. This can be seen in Figure 8. For the past quarter century, the figures for those getting a four-year college degree or better have remained stable. Around a quarter of 20- to 29-year-olds have been getting fouryear degrees, or better, after a sustained period of increase. (H owever, there has been a slight increase recently, from 25 percent
in 1995 to 27 percent in 1996 and to 28 percent in 1997, suggesting some responses to earlier increases in relative earnings.) M oreover, the proportion of those getting at least a high school education has also been stable for this period of time, after rising throughout our history. In the 1990s, the high school completion rate, in terms of getting a diploma in four years at age 17 or 18 , has actually fallen. With so little understanding of why the demand for education is behaving as it is, and with no discernable shift toward occupations that require higher literacy or education, we need to be cautious about predicting the future. About all we can say is that in the recent past earnings of college graduates have exceeded earning of those with less education by wider margins.

Figure 8
Percentage of 25- to 29-Year-Olds Completing High School and College, 1940-1995


## Section 6:

## The Вотtom Line

Literacy, 1986 to 2006

- W hile the fastest-growing jobs, in terms of percentage increases, have higher literacy requirements than those decreasing, the numbers are relatively small. However, it is in these growing areas that new opportunities are being created for young labor market entrants.
- The largest growth in terms of numbers of jobs is in occupations with slightly lower average literacy requirements than for all "occupations." Literacy requirements for these 25 growing occupations is about the same as the 25 with the greatest decline in numbers.
- Those occupations with the highest literacy requirements and those with the lowest are both growing at rates well above the average, resulting in little net effect on overall literacy requirements.
- Averaging all occupations, the literacy requirements in 1986, 1996, and 2006 were the same. This reflects taking into account changes in the distribution of occupations, due to differences in their relative growth. H owever, with the fastest-growing occupations having literacy requirements higher than those declining, the bias, over long periods of time, is likely toward increasing skill requirements.
- Literacy requirements are examined from the standpoint of changes resulting from different growth patterns among occupations. Information about the net effect of changes within occupations is not available.


## Education and Training, 1984 to 2006

- Estimates were published in 1988 showing that average General Education D evelopment, on a scale of 1 to 6 , would be 3.0 in 1984 and 3.1 in 2000, basically unchanged. H owever, as was found in literacy, the occupations with
the highest growth, in percentage terms, had higher than average education requirements.
- W hile past studies have looked at projections of average employment, the more useful approach is using annual job openings, taking into account growth, turnover, and retirements.
- The distribution of the increase in annual job openings, from 1996 to 2006, by the level of preparation required (as judged by the Bureau of Labor Statistics) is detailed in the chart below:

| Level of education required <br> (According to Bureau of Labor Statistics) | Percentage of increase <br> in job openings, <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 2 0 0 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Short-term on-the-job training | $56 \%$ |
| Long-term on-the-job tra ining | $13 \%$ |
| Moderate-term on-the-job tra ining | $11 \%$ |
| Bachelor's degree | $8 \%$ |
| Postsecondary voc ational training | $4 \%$ |
| Work experience plus degree | $4 \%$ |
| Associate degree | $2 \%$ |
| Master's degree | $1 \%$ |
| Doctoral degree | $1 \%$ |
| First professional degree | Total |
|  |  |

## Job C ontent and Education, 1940 to 1970

- Jobs with the highest skill content grew more rapidly than those with the lowest, causing a gradual rise in skill content over these decades.
- Estimates are that the average years of schooling actually required for jobs rose from 10.0 in 1940 to 10.5 in 1970. During this period, the mean educational attainment of men rose from 8.6 years to 12.0 years, and for women from 9.8 years to 12.1 years.
*     *         * 

Piecing together the several in-depth studies and analyses, the following can be said. Based on market valuation of a large set of worker traits identified with different jobs, the "skill content" of jobs advanced gradually from 1940 to 1970. Based on the job analysis approach, the average education required for jobs advanced very modestly in the same period, from 10 years to 10 1/2 years; the actual educational attainment of adults considerably exceeded both the increase and the educational level. Analyses and projections of educational requi rements from the mid-1980s all show no change, on the average, including projections out to 2006. H owever, the rapidly increasing jobs, in percentage terms, have higher requirements, so the longterm bias is toward higher literacy
requirements. T he proportion of the increase in annual job openings (1996-2006) that require an associate degree or higher is just 16 percent. An additional 4 percent require advanced vocational preparation, and the rest require short-term, medium-term, or longterm on-the-job training, in the judgement of the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. H owever, who employers decide to actually hire is sometimes different than suggested by job analysis, and over the past 25 years, employer demand has shifted toward higher educational requirements, as revealed by falling relative incomes of those with less than a four-year college degree. Thus, the past is hard to interpret as to what accounts for employer behavior, and employer preference over the next four years may stay with the more highly educated, irrespective of projections that show such a high proportion of openings not needing people with college degrees, and stability in the average literacy requirements of jobs.
Appendix A:
Detailed table showing prose, DOCUMENT, AND QUANTITATIVE LITERACY BY OCCUPATION FOR, 1986, 1999, AND PROJECTED то 2006

| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of Positio | Ions | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose ${ }^{\text {P/ }}$ | Doc | Quant | Prose | Doc | Quant | Prose] | Doc | [Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Total, all occupations | 294.0 | 289.5 | 293.8 | 294.5 | 290.0 | 294.1 | 295.3 | 290.7 | 294.8 | 111,074 | 132,015 | 150,492 | 18,477 | 14.00\% |
| Executive, administrative, and managerial occupations | 324.4 | 316.8 | 326.6 | 324.4 | 316.6 | 326.7 | 324.4 | 316.6 | 326.7 | 10,569 | 13,545 | 15,866 | 2,321 | 17.14\% |
| Professional specialty occupations | 331.7 | 324.5 | 328.2 | 331.1 | 323.9 | 327.2 | 331.6 | 324.6 | 327.8 | 13,586 | 18,176 | 22,996 | 4,820 | 26.52\% |
| Technicians and related support occupations | 310.7 | 306.2 | 304.8 | 311.2 | 306.5 | 304.7 | 311.2 | 306.3 | 304.4 | 3,423 | 4,395 | 5,301 | 906 | 20.61\% |
| Marketing and sales occupations | 294.3 | 289.9 | 295.0 | 293.1 | 288.7 | 293.7 | 293.2 | 288.7 | 293.7 | 11,499 | 14,616 | 16,898 | 2,282 | 15.61\% |
| Administrative support occupations, including clerica | 293.3 | 288.1 | 290.6 | 294.4 | 289.5 | 291.6 | 294.7 | 289.8 | 291.8 | 20,872 | 24,023 | 25,828 | 1,805 | 7.51\% |
| Service occupations | 270.0 | 266.1 | 266.2 | 269.7 | 265.7 | 265.8 | 269.5 | 265.3 | 265.6 | 17,427 | 21,317 | 25,144 | 3,827 | 17.95\% |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and related occupations | 274.4 | 269.9 | 275.2 | 274.6 | 270.4 | 275.7 | 275.1 | 271.0 | 276.3 | 3,660 | 3,788 | 3,823 | 35 | 0.92\% |
| Precision production, craft, and repair occupations | 285.9 | 284.5 | 290.5 | 286.0 | 284.5 | 290.4 | 285.8 | 284.3 | 290.2 | 13,831 | 14,461 | 15,448 | 987 | 6.83\% |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers | 264.5 | 263.4 | 270.5 | 264.5 | 263.5 | 270.6 | 264.3 | 263.4 | 270.4 | 16,207 | 17,694 | 19,188 | 1,494 | 8.44\% |


| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of Positions |  | ons | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose | DOC | Quant | Prose | Doc | Quant | Prose\| | DOC | Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Executive, administrative, and managerial occupations | 324.4 | 316.8 | 326.6 | 324.4 | 316.6 | 326.7 | 324.4 | 316.6 | 326.7 | 10,569 | 13,545 | 15,866 | 2,321 | 17.14\% |
| Managerial and administrative occupations | 324.0 | 315.0 | 327.3 | 324.2 | 315.1 | 327.5 | 324.3 | 315.2 | 327.5 | 7,374 | 9,541 | 11,262 | 1,721 | 18.04\% |
| Management support occupations | 325.2 | 320.5 | 325.0 | 324.7 | 319.9 | 324.9 | 324.6 | 319.7 | 324.8 | 3,195 | 4,004 | 4,604 | 600 | 14.99\% |
| Professional specialty occupations | 331.7 | 324.5 | 328.2 | 331.1 | 323.9 | 327.2 | 331.6 | 324.6 | 327.8 | 13,586 | 18,176 | 22,996 | 4,820 | 26.52\% |
| Engineers | 340.6 | 335.0 | 353.3 | 340.6 | 335.0 | 353.2 | 340.8 | 335.1 | 353.4 | 1,378 | 1384 | 1633 | 249 | 17.99\% |
| Architects and surveyors | 335.5 | 325.0 | 339.9 | 335.9 | 325.4 | 340.2 | 336.1 | 325.1 | 340.1 | 191 | 212 | 232 | 20 | 9.43\% |
| Life scientists | 368.5 | 364.0 | 359.5 | 368.5 | 364.0 | 359.5 | 368.5 | 364.0 | 359.5 | 155 | 180 | 220 | 40 | 22.22\% |
| Computer, mathematical, and operations research occupations | 347.0 | 345.4 | 348.9 | 347.6 | 345.6 | 349.3 | 347.5 | 345.8 | 349.3 |  | 1,030 | 2,038 | 1,008 | 97.86\% |
| Physical scientists | 315.1 | 311.7 | 319.7 | 314.7 | 311.3 | 319.1 | 314.5 | 311.1 | 318.7 | 192 | 207 | 242 | 35 | 16.91\% |
| Social scientists | 335.2 | 328.6 | 335.1 | 335.3 | 328.7 | 335.3 | 335.2 | 328.6 | 335.2 | $\sim$ | 264 | 288 | 24 | 9.09\% |
| Social, recreational, and religious workers | 322.6 | 315.0 | 320.6 | 322.5 | 314.8 | 320.2 | 322.8 | 315.1 | 320.5 |  | 1,469 | 1,939 | 470 | 31.99\% |
| Lawyers and judicial workers | 347.0 | 340.5 | 347.5 | 347.0 | 340.5 | 347.5 | 347.0 | 340.5 | 347.5 | ~ | 700 | 820 | 120 | 17.14\% |
| Teachers, librarians, and counselors | 330.7 | 321.8 | 323.8 | 330.4 | 321.5 | 323.8 | 330.1 | 321.2 | 323.5 | 4,949 | 6,566 | 7,914 | 1,348 | 20.53\% |
| Health diagnosing occupations | 349.0 | 341.0 | 348.0 | 349.0 | 341.0 | 348.0 | 349.0 | 341.0 | 348.0 | ~ | 876 | 1037 | 161 | 18.38\% |
| Health assessment and treating occupations | 321.8 | 315.1 | 308.3 | 321.6 | 314.7 | 307.9 | 321.5 | 314.6 | 307.7 | 1,819 | 2,684 | 3,392 | 708 | 26.38\% |
| Writers, artists, and entertainers | 326.8 | 321.5 | 327.3 | 326.2 | 320.9 | 326.4 | 326.2 | 320.8 | 326.3 |  | 1,724 | 2,137 | 413 | 23.96\% |
| All other professional workers | 320.3 | 312.3 | 313.3 | 320.3 | 312.3 | 313.3 | 320.3 | 312.3 | 313.3 | $\sim$ | 880 | 1,104 | 224 | 25.45\% |
| Technicians and related support occupations | 310.7 | 306.2 | 304.8 | 311.2 | 306.5 | 304.7 | 311.2 | 306.3 | 304.4 | 3,423 | 4,395 | 5,301 | 906 | 20.61\% |
| Health technicians and technologists | 303.4 | 294.6 | 290.9 | 304.9 | 296.1 | 292.3 | 305.4 | 296.6 | 292.8 | 1,598 | 2,300 | 2,872 | 572 | 24.87\% |
| Engineering and science technicians and technologists | 311.5 | 309.7 | 311.4 | 310.9 | 309.1 | 310.7 | 310.8 | 309.0 | 310.6 | 1,037 | 1,007 | 1,085 | 78 | 7.75\% |
| Technicians, except health and engineering and science | 325.2 | 325.9 | 324.1 | 325.5 | 326.0 | 324.3 | 325.3 | 326.0 | 324.1 | 788 | 1,088 | 1,344 | 256 | 23.53\% |
| Marketing and sales occupations | 294.3 | 289.9 | 295.0 | 293.1 | 288.7 | 293.7 | 293.2 | 288.7 | 293.7 | 11,499 | 14,616 | 16,898 | 2,282 | 15.61\% |
| Administrative support occupations, including clerical | 293.3 | 288.1 | 290.6 | 294.4 | 289.5 | 291.6 | 294.7 | 289.8 | 291.8 | 20,872 | 24,023 | 25,828 | 1,805 | 7.51\% |
| Adjusters, investigators, and collectors | 306.6 | 305.5 | 308.2 | 304.1 | 302.4 | 305.3 | 303.1 | 301.1 | 304.4 | 735 | 1,284 | 1,607 | 323 | 25.16\% |
| Communications equipment operators | 285.4 | 278.6 | 279.0 | 285.8 | 278.7 | 279.3 | 285.1 | 278.4 | 278.8 | 361 | 327 | 296 | -31 | -9.48\% |
| Computer operators | 285.9 | 286.3 | 287.7 | 285.7 | 286.2 | 287.6 | 285.5 | 286.2 | 287.4 | 306 | 291 | 198 | -93 | -31.96\% |
| Information clerks | 301.6 | 297.9 | 294.7 | 301.9 | 298.4 | 294.4 | 301.8 | 298.4 | 294.0 | 1,111 | 1,591 | 1,958 | 367 | 23.07\% |
| Mail clerks and messengers | 273.1 | 271.1 | 284.1 | 273.6 | 271.6 | 284.6 | 273.6 | 271.6 | 284.6 | 236 | 268 | 291 | 23 | 8.58\% |
| Postal clerks and mail carriers | 291.0 | 285.5 | 291.5 | 291.0 | 285.5 | 291.5 | 291.0 | 285.5 | 291.5 | 639 | 403 | 443 | 40 | 9.93\% |
| Material recording, scheduling, dispatching, and distributing | 278.6 | 277.6 | 279.8 | 278.6 | 277.7 | 279.9 | 278.9 | 278.0 | 280.3 |  | 3,857 | 4,084 | 227 | 5.89\% |
| Records processing occupations | 296.6 | 291.3 | 297.4 | 296.6 | 291.3 | 297.2 | 296.3 | 291.0 | 296.7 | 3,336 | 3,869 | 3,888 | 19 | 0.49\% |
| Secretaries, stenographers, and typists | 295.5 | 287.0 | 291.9 | 298.7 | 291.0 | 295.2 | 299.8 | 292.1 | 296.1 |  | 4,159 | 4,080 | -79 | -1.90\% |
| Other clerical and administrative support workers | 297.9 | 291.9 | 293.2 | 297.7 | 291.5 | 293.0 | 297.4 | 291.0 | 292.7 | ~ | 7,974 | 8,983 | 1,009 | 12.65\% |
| Service occupations | 270.0 | 266.1 | 266.2 | 269.7 | 265.7 | 265.8 | 269.5 | 265.3 | 265.6 | 17,427 | 21,317 | 25,144 | 3,827 | 17.95\% |
| Cleaning and building service occupations, except private household | 266.2 | 261.7 | 256.9 | 266.2 | 261.7 | 256.9 | 266.2 | 261.8 | 256.9 | 3,052 | 3,555 | 3,713 | 158 | 4.44\% |
| Food preparation and service occupations | 263.4 | 261.0 | 262.0 | 263.4 | 261.0 | 261.9 | 263.1 | 260.8 | 261.8 |  | 8,406 | 9,571 | 1,165 | 13.86\% |
| Health service occupations | 258.0 | 254.0 | 250.3 | 260.1 | 256.2 | 252.4 | 261.8 | 257.9 | 254.1 | 1,542 | 2,168 | 2,872 | 704 | 32.47\% |
| Personal service occupations | 279.4 | 269.2 | 272.0 | 273.7 | 263.0 | 265.8 | 271.1 | 260.0 | 263.1 | 1,524 | 2,750 | 3,875 | 1,125 | 40.91\% |
| Private household workers | 247.5 | 244.0 | 237.5 | 247.5 | 244.0 | 237.5 | 247.5 | 244.0 | 237.5 | 982 | 802 | 681 | -121 | -15.09\% |
| Protective service occupations | 297.8 | 295.0 | 298.8 | 296.8 | 294.3 | 298.0 | 295.6 | 293.2 | 296.8 | $\sim$ | 2,524 | 2,979 | 455 | 18.03\% |
| All other service workers | 276.0 | 272.0 | 274.5 | 276.0 | 272.0 | 274.5 | 276.0 | 272.0 | 274.5 | $\sim$ | 1,112 | 1,453 | 341 | 30.67\% |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and related occupations | 274.4 | 269.9 | 275.2 | 274.6 | 270.4 | 275.7 | 275.1 | 271.0 | 276.3 | 3,660 | 3,788 | 3,823 | 35 | 0.92\% |




| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of Positions | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose ${ }^{1}$ | Doc | [Quant | Prose | Doc | \|Quant | Prose | DOC | [Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Professional specialty occupations | 331.7 | 324.5 | 328.2 | 331.1 | 323.9 | 327.2 | 331.6 | 324.6 | 327.8 | 13,586 | 18,176 | 22,996 | 4,820 | 26.52\% |
| Engineers | 340.6 | 335.0 | 353.3 | 340.6 | 335.0 | 353.2 | 340.8 | 335.1 | 353.4 | 1,378 | 1384 | 1633 | 249 | 17.99\% |
| Aeronautical and astronautical engineers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 53 | 53 | 57 | 4 | 7.55\% |
| Chemical engineers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 52 | 49 | 57 | 8 | 16.33\% |
| Civil engineers, including tratfic engineers | 334.0 | 321.0 | 355.0 | 334.0 | 321.0 | 355.0 | 334.0 | 321.0 | 355.0 | 199 | 196 | 231 | 35 | 17.86\% |
| Electrical and electronics engineers | 345.3 | 340.3 | 357.7 | 345.3 | 340.3 | 357.7 | 345.3 | 340.3 | 357.7 | 406 | 368 | 472 | 104 | 28.26\% |
| Industrial engineers, except safety engineers | 324.0 | 321.0 | 337.0 | 324.0 | 321.0 | 337.0 | 324.0 | 321.0 | 337.0 | 117 | 115 | 131 | 16 | 13.91\% |
| Mechanical engineers | 344.0 | 340.0 | 353.0 | 344.0 | 340.0 | 353.0 | 344.0 | 340.0 | 353.0 | 234 | 228 | 264 | 36 | 15.79\% |
| Metallurgists and metallurgical, ceramic, and materials engineers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 18 | 18 | 20 | 2 | 11.11\% |
| Mining engineers, including mine safety engineers | 337.0 | 333.0 | 347.0 | 337.0 | 333.0 | 347.0 | 337.0 | 333.0 | 347.0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Nuclear engineers | 337.0 | 331.0 | 351.0 | 337.0 | 331.0 | 351.0 | 337.0 | 331.0 | 351.0 | ~ | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Petroleum engineers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 21 | 14 | 11 | -3 | -21.43\% |
| All other engineers | 343.0 | 339.0 | 353.0 | 343.0 | 339.0 | 353.0 | 343.0 | 339.0 | 353.0 | $\sim$ | 326 | 373 | 47 | 14.42\% |
| Architects and surveyors | 335.5 | 325.0 | 339.9 | 335.9 | 325.4 | 340.2 | 336.1 | 325.1 | 340.1 | 191 | 212 | 232 | 20 | 9.43\% |
| Architects, except landscape and marine | 333.0 | 315.0 | 334.0 | 333.0 | 315.0 | 334.0 | 333.0 | 315.0 | 334.0 | 84 | 94 | 113 | 19 | 20.21\% |
| Landscape architects | 366.0 | 365.0 | 370.0 | 366.0 | 365.0 | 370.0 | 366.0 | 365.0 | 370.0 | 13 | 17 | 20 | 3 | 17.65\% |
| Surveyors | 333.5 | 328.5 | 341.0 | 333.5 | 328.5 | 341.0 | 333.5 | 328.5 | 341.0 | 94 | 101 | 99 | -2 | -1.98\% |
| Life scientists | 368.5 | 364.0 | 359.5 | 368.5 | 364.0 | 359.5 | 368.5 | 364.0 | 359.5 | 155 | 180 | 220 | 40 | 22.22\% |
| Agricultural and food scientists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 20 | 24 | 29 | 5 | 20.83\% |
| Biological scientists | 368.5 | 364.0 | 359.5 | 368.5 | 364.0 | 359.5 | 368.5 | 364.0 | 359.5 | 58 | 83 | 103 | 20 | 24.10\% |
| Foresters and conservation scientists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 37 | 43 | 6 | 16.22\% |
| Medical scientists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 35 | 44 | 9 | 25.71\% |
| All other life scientists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Computer, mathematical, and operations research occupations | 347.0 | 345.4 | 348.9 | 347.6 | 345.6 | 349.3 | 347.5 | 345.8 | 349.3 | $\sim$ | 1,030 | 2,038 | 1,008 | 97.86\% |
| Actuaries | 336.0 | 329.0 | 339.0 | 336.0 | 329.0 | 339.0 | 336.0 | 329.0 | 339.0 | $\sim$ | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Statisticians | 326.0 | 320.0 | 327.0 | 326.0 | 320.0 | 327.0 | 326.0 | 320.0 | 327.0 | $\sim$ | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Mathematicians and all other mathematical scientists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 16 | 17 |  |  |
| Computer engineers | 333.0 | 327.0 | 338.0 | 333.0 | 327.0 | 338.0 | 333.0 | 327.0 | 338.0 | $\sim$ | 216 | 451 | 235 | 108.80\% |
| Database admin, support specialists, and computer scientists | 351.0 | 362.0 | 354.0 | 351.0 | 362.0 | 354.0 | 351.0 | 362.0 | 354.0 | $\sim$ | 212 | 461 | 249 | 17.45\% |
| Systems analysts | 352.0 | 347.0 | 352.0 | 352.0 | 347.0 | 352.0 | 352.0 | 347.0 | 352.0 | 331 | 506 | 1,025 | 519 | 102.57\% |
| Operations research analysts | 361.0 | 355.0 | 360.0 | 361.0 | 355.0 | 360.0 | 361.0 | 355.0 | 360.0 | $\sim$ | 50 | 54 | 4 | 8.00\% |
| Physical scientists | 315.1 | 311.7 | 319.7 | 314.7 | 311.3 | 319.1 | 314.5 | 311.1 | 318.7 | 192 | 207 | 242 | 35 | 16.91\% |
| Chemists | 319.0 | 316.0 | 327.0 | 319.0 | 316.0 | 327.0 | 319.0 | 316.0 | 327.0 | 86 | 91 | 108 | 17 | 18.68\% |
| Geologists, geophysicists, and oceanographers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 43 | 48 | 54 | 6 | 12.50\% |
| Meteorologists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 7 | 8 | 1 | 14.29\% |
| Physicists and astronomers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 23 | 18 | 17 | -1 | -5.56\% |
| All other physical scientists | 305.7 | 301.3 | 302.3 | 305.7 | 301.3 | 302.3 | 305.7 | 301.3 | 302.3 | ~ | 43 | 55 | 12 | 27.91\% |
| Social scientists | 335.2 | 328.6 | 335.1 | 335.3 | 328.7 | 335.3 | 335.2 | 328.6 | 335.2 | ~ | 264 | 288 | 24 | 9.09\% |
| Economists | 330.0 | 324.0 | 331.5 | 330.0 | 324.0 | 331.5 | 330.0 | 324.0 | 331.5 | 37 | 51 | 60 | 9 | 17.65\% |
| Psychologists | 334.8 | 328.3 | 335.3 | 334.8 | 328.3 | 335.3 | 334.8 | 328.3 | 335.3 | 112 | 143 | 154 | 11 | 7.69\% |
| Urban and regional planners | 348.0 | 340.0 | 348.0 | 348.0 | 340.0 | 348.0 | 348.0 | 340.0 | 348.0 | 20 | 29 | 31 | 2 | 6.90\% |
| All other social scientists | 335.0 | 328.3 | 331.3 | 335.0 | 328.3 | 331.3 | 335.0 | 328.3 | 331.3 | ~ | 41 | 43 | 2 | 4.88\% |
| Social, recreational, and religious workers | 322.6 | 315.0 | 320.6 | 322.5 | 314.8 | 320.2 | 322.8 | 315.1 | 320.5 | $\sim$ | 1,469 | 1,939 | 470 | 31.99\% |
| Clergy | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 295 | 208 | 236 | 28 | 13.46\% |
| Directors, religious activities and education | 345.0 | 335.0 | 339.0 | 345.0 | 335.0 | 339.0 | 345.0 | 335.0 | 339.0 | 46 | 85 | 115 | 30 | 35.29\% |
| Human services workers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 178 | 276 | 98 | 55.06\% |
| Recreation workers | 314.0 | 307.0 | 311.0 | 314.0 | 307.0 | 311.0 | 314.0 | 307.0 | 311.0 | 163 | 233 | 285 | 52 | 22.32\% |
| Residential counselors | 334.0 | 325.0 | 337.0 | 334.0 | 325.0 | 337.0 | 334.0 | 325.0 | 337.0 | $\sim$ | 180 | 254 | 74 | 41.11\% |
| Social workers | 319.0 | 311.9 | 315.9 | 319.0 | 311.9 | 315.9 | 319.0 | 311.9 | 315.9 | 369 | 585 | 772 | 187 | 31.97\% |

Number of Positions in 1986, 1996, and 2006; and Change from 1986 to 1996 and from 1996 to 2006

| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numb | rot Pos | ons | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose | DOC | \|Quant | Prose\| | Doc | [Quant | Prose | DOC | Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Professional specialty occupations, Continued | 331.7 | 324.5 | 328.2 | 310.4 | 305.1 | 307.5 | 331.6 | 324.6 | 327.8 | 13,586 | 18,176 | 22,996 | 4,820 | 26.52\% |
| Lawyers and judicial workers | 347.0 | 340.5 | 347.5 | 347.0 | 340.5 | 347.5 | 347.0 | 340.5 | 347.5 | ~ | 700 | 820 | 120 | 17.14\% |
| Judges, magistrates, and other judicial workers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 38 | 78 | 79 | 1 | 1.28\% |
| Lawyers | 347.0 | 340.5 | 347.5 | 347.0 | 340.5 | 347.5 | 347.0 | 340.5 | 347.5 | 527 | 622 | 740 | 118 | 18.97\% |
| Teachers, librarians, and counselors | 330.7 | 321.8 | 323.8 | 330.4 | 321.5 | 323.8 | 330.1 | 321.2 | 323.5 | 4,949 | 6,566 | 7,914 | 1,348 | 20.53\% |
| Teachers, preschool and kindergarten | 322.5 | 312.5 | 312.5 | 322.5 | 312.5 | 312.5 | 322.5 | 312.5 | 312.5 | ~ | 499 | 596 | 97 | 19.44\% |
| Teachers, elementary | 332.0 | 323.0 | 329.0 | 332.0 | 323.0 | 329.0 | 332.0 | 323.0 | 329.0 | $\sim$ | 1,491 | 1,644 | 153 | 10.26\% |
| Teachers, secondary school | 334.0 | 327.0 | 333.0 | 334.0 | 327.0 | 333.0 | 334.0 | 327.0 | 333.0 | 1,128 | 1,406 | 1,718 | 312 | 22.19\% |
| Teachers, special education | 325.0 | 316.0 | 324.0 | 325.0 | 316.0 | 324.0 | 325.0 | 316.0 | 324.0 | 261 | 407 | 648 | 241 | 59.21\% |
| College and university faculty | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 754 | 864 | 1,026 | 162 | 18.75\% |
| Farm and home management advisors | 330.0 | 321.0 | 322.0 | 330.0 | 321.0 | 322.0 | 330.0 | 321.0 | 322.0 | ~ | 16 | 10 | -6 | -37.50\% |
| Instructors and coaches, sports and physical training | 316.0 | 309.0 | 296.0 | 316.0 | 309.0 | 296.0 | 316.0 | 309.0 | 296.0 | ~ | 303 | 427 | 124 | 40.92\% |
| Instructors, adult (nonvocational) education | 336.0 | 329.0 | 337.0 | 336.0 | 329.0 | 337.0 | 336.0 | 329.0 | 337.0 | 202 | 248 | 299 | 51 | 20.56\% |
| Teachers and instructors, vocational education and training | 319.0 | 308.0 | 313.0 | 319.0 | 308.0 | 313.0 | 319.0 | 308.0 | 313.0 | 225 | 311 | 383 | 72 | 23.15\% |
| All other teachers and instructors | 339.0 | 330.0 | 316.0 | 339.0 | 330.0 | 316.0 | 339.0 | 330.0 | 316.0 | ~ | 671 | 770 | 99 | 14.75\% |
| Curators, archivists, museum technicians, and restorers | 315.0 | 313.0 | 307.0 | 315.0 | 313.0 | 307.0 | 315.0 | 313.0 | 307.0 | 8 | 20 | 23 | 3 | 15.00\% |
| Librarians, professional | 341.5 | 323.5 | 330.5 | 341.5 | 323.5 | 330.5 | 341.5 | 323.5 | 330.5 | 136 | 154 | 162 | 8 | 5.19\% |
| Counselors | 320.0 | 306.0 | 311.0 | 320.0 | 306.0 | 311.0 | 320.0 | 306.0 | 311.0 | 122 | 176 | 209 | 33 | 18.75\% |
| Health diagnosing occupations | 349.0 | 341.0 | 348.0 | 349.0 | 341.0 | 348.0 | 349.0 | 341.0 | 348.0 | $\sim$ | 876 | 1037 | 161 | 18.38\% |
| Chiropractors | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 44 | 55 | 11 | 25.00\% |
| Dentists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 151 | 162 | 175 | 13 | 8.02\% |
| Optometrists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 37 | 41 | 46 | 5 | 12.20\% |
| Physicians | 349.0 | 341.0 | 348.0 | 349.0 | 341.0 | 348.0 | 349.0 | 341.0 | 348.0 | 491 | 560 | 678 | 118 | 21.07\% |
| Podiatrists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 13 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 9.09\% |
| Veterinarians and veterinary inspectors | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 36 | 58 | 71 | 13 | 22.41\% |
| Health assessment and treating occupations | 321.8 | 315.1 | 308.3 | 321.6 | 314.7 | 307.9 | 321.5 | 314.6 | 307.7 | 1,819 | 2,684 | 3,392 | 708 | 26.38\% |
| Dietitians and nutritionists | 338.0 | 321.0 | 311.0 | 338.0 | 321.0 | 311.0 | 338.0 | 321.0 | 311.0 | 40 | 58 | 69 | 11 | 18.97\% |
| Pharmacists | 334.0 | 337.0 | 334.0 | 334.0 | 337.0 | 334.0 | 334.0 | 337.0 | 334.0 | 150 | 172 | 194 | 22 | 12.79\% |
| Physician assistants | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 26 | 64 | 93 | 29 | 45.31\% |
| Registered nurses | 320.3 | 313.0 | 306.0 | 320.3 | 313.0 | 306.0 | 320.3 | 313.0 | 306.0 | 1,406 | 1,971 | 2,382 | 411 | 20.85\% |
| Occupational therapists | 320.0 | 314.0 | 315.0 | 320.0 | 314.0 | 315.0 | 320.0 | 314.0 | 315.0 | 29 | 57 | 95 | 38 | 66.67\% |
| Physical therapists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 58 | 115 | 196 | 81 | 70.43\% |
| Recreational therapists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 29 | 38 | 46 | 8 | 21.05\% |
| Respiratory therapists | 315.0 | 306.0 | 292.0 | 315.0 | 306.0 | 292.0 | 315.0 | 306.0 | 292.0 | 56 | 82 | 119 | 37 | 45.12\% |
| Speech-language pathologists and audiologists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 45 | 87 | 131 | 44 | 50.57\% |
| All other therapists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 40 | 67 | 27 | 67.50\% |
| Writers, artists, and entertainers | 326.8 | 321.5 | 327.3 | 326.2 | 320.9 | 326.4 | 326.2 | 320.8 | 326.3 | ~ | 1,724 | 2,137 | 413 | 23.96\% |
| Artists and commercial artists | 316.5 | 312.5 | 315.0 | 316.5 | 312.5 | 315.0 | 316.5 | 312.5 | 315.0 | 183 | 276 | 354 | 78 | 28.26\% |
| Athletes, coaches, umpires, and related workers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 42 | 49 | 7 | 16.67\% |
| Dancers and choreographers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 23 | 30 | 7 | 30.43\% |
| Designers, except interior designers | 332.3 | 327.7 | 337.7 | 332.3 | 327.7 | 337.7 | 332.3 | 327.7 | 337.7 |  | 279 | 351 | 72 | 25.81\% |
| Interior designers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 63 | 80 | 17 | 26.98\% |
| Musicians | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 189 | 274 | 366 | 92 | 33.58\% |
| Camera operators, television, motion picture, video | 300.0 | 298.0 | 296.0 | 300.0 | 298.0 | 296.0 | 300.0 | 298.0 | 296.0 | 10 | 20 | 23 | 3 | 15.00\% |
| Photographers | 320.0 | 318.0 | 318.0 | 320.0 | 318.0 | 318.0 | 320.0 | 318.0 | 318.0 | 98 | 134 | 157 | 23 | 17.16\% |
| Producers, directors, actors, and entertainers | 318.0 | 311.0 | 309.0 | 318.0 | 311.0 | 309.0 | 318.0 | 311.0 | 309.0 | 73 | 105 | 130 | 25 | 23.81\% |
| Public relations specialists and publicity writers | 330.0 | 321.0 | 324.0 | 330.0 | 321.0 | 324.0 | 330.0 | 321.0 | 324.0 | 87 | 110 | 140 | 30 | 27.27\% |
| Radio and TV announcers and newscasters | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 61 | 52 | 52 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Reporters and correspondents | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 62 | 60 | 58 | -2 | -3.33\% |
| Writers and editors, including technical writers | 335.8 | 328.8 | 339.6 | 335.8 | 328.8 | 339.6 | 335.8 | 328.8 | 339.6 | 214 | 286 | 347 | 61 | 21.33\% |
| All other professional workers | 320.3 | 312.3 | 313.3 | 320.3 | 312.3 | 313.3 | 320.3 | 312.3 | 313.3 | $\sim$ | 880 | 1,104 | 224 | 25.45\% |



| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of Positions |  | ons | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose | Doc | Quant | Prose | Doc | [Quant | Prose | Doc | Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Marketing and sales occupations | 294.3 | 289.9 | 295.0 | 293.1 | 288.7 | 293.7 | 293.2 | 288.7 | 293.7 | 11,499 | 14,616 | 16,898 | 2,282 | 15.61\% |
| Cashiers | 281.0 | 277.0 | 278.0 | 281.0 | 277.0 | 278.0 | 281.0 | 277.0 | 278.0 | 2,171 | 3,147 | 3,677 | 530 | 16.84\% |
| Counter and rental clerks | 245.0 | 239.0 | 247.0 | 245.0 | 239.0 | 247.0 | 245.0 | 239.0 | 247.0 | 178 | 374 | 458 | 84 | 22.46\% |
| Insurance sales workers | 316.0 | 311.0 | 316.0 | 316.0 | 311.0 | 316.0 | 316.0 | 311.0 | 316.0 | 463 | 409 | 426 | 17 | 4.16\% |
| Marketing and sales worker supervisors | 295.7 | 289.0 | 298.1 | 295.7 | 289.0 | 298.1 | 295.7 | 289.0 | 298.1 | ~ | 2,316 | 2,562 | 246 | 10.62\% |
| Brokers, real estate | 323.0 | 314.0 | 322.0 | 323.0 | 314.0 | 322.0 | 323.0 | 314.0 | 322.0 | 80 | 78 | 89 | 11 | 14.10\% |
| Real estate appraisers | 335.0 | 330.0 | 336.0 | 335.0 | 330.0 | 336.0 | 335.0 | 330.0 | 336.0 | 37 | 48 | 54 | 6 | 12.50\% |
| Sales agents, real estate | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 295 | 282 | 298 | 16 | 5.67\% |
| Salespersons, retail | 292.4 | 290.8 | 294.8 | 292.4 | 290.8 | 294.8 | 292.4 | 290.8 | 294.8 | 3,583 | 4,054 | 4,481 | 427 | 10.53\% |
| Securities and financial services sales workers | 328.0 | 316.5 | 329.0 | 328.0 | 316.5 | 329.0 | 328.0 | 316.5 | 329.0 | 197 | 263 | 363 | 100 | 38.02\% |
| Travel agents | 320.0 | 312.0 | 321.0 | 320.0 | 312.0 | 321.0 | 320.0 | 312.0 | 321.0 | 105 | 142 | 176 | 34 | 23.94\% |
| All other sales and related workers | 300.5 | 295.0 | 301.0 | 300.5 | 295.0 | 301.0 | 300.5 | 295.0 | 301.0 | ~ | 3,503 | 4,314 | 811 | 23.15\% |


| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of Positions |  |  | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose | Doc | Quant | Prose | Doc | Quant | Prose | Doc | Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Administrative support occupations, including clerical | 293.3 | 288.1 | 290.6 | 294.4 | 289.5 | 291.6 | 294.7 | 289.8 | 291.8 | 20,872 | 24,023 | 25,828 | 1,805 | 7.51\% |
| Adjusters, investigators, and collectors | 306.6 | 305.5 | 308.2 | 304.1 | 302.4 | 305.3 | 303.1 | 301.1 | 304.4 | 735 | 1,284 | 1,607 | 323 | 25.16\% |
| Adjustment clerks | 295.0 | 294.0 | 293.0 | 295.0 | 294.0 | 293.0 | 295.0 | 294.0 | 293.0 | 136 | 401 | 584 | 183 | 45.64\% |
| Bill and account collectors | 299.0 | 294.0 | 304.0 | 299.0 | 294.0 | 304.0 | 299.0 | 294.0 | 304.0 | 126 | 269 | 381 | 112 | 41.64\% |
| Insurance adjusters, examiners, and investigators | 326.0 | 321.0 | 333.0 | 326.0 | 321.0 | 333.0 | 326.0 | 321.0 | 333.0 | 118 | 165 | 203 | 38 | 23.03\% |
| Insurance claims clerks | 306.0 | 299.0 | 304.0 | 306.0 | 299.0 | 304.0 | 306.0 | 299.0 | 304.0 | 84 | 122 | 153 | 31 | 25.41\% |
| Insurance policy processing clerks | 308.0 | 319.0 | 313.0 | 308.0 | 319.0 | 313.0 | 308.0 | 319.0 | 313.0 | 149 | 180 | 171 | -9 | -5.00\% |
| Welfare eligibility workers and interviewers | 308.0 | 302.0 | 300.0 | 308.0 | 302.0 | 300.0 | 308.0 | 302.0 | 300.0 | 86 | 109 | 76 | -33 | -30.28\% |
| All other adjusters and investigators | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 38 | 39 | 1 | 2.63\% |
| Communications equipment operators | 285.4 | 278.6 | 279.0 | 285.8 | 278.7 | 279.3 | 285.1 | 278.4 | 278.8 | 361 | 327 | 296 | -31 | -9.48\% |
| Central office operators | 293.0 | 280.0 | 285.0 | 293.0 | 280.0 | 285.0 | 293.0 | 280.0 | 285.0 | 42 | 48 | 26 | -22 | -45.83\% |
| Directory assistance operators | 288.0 | 282.0 | 280.0 | 288.0 | 282.0 | 280.0 | 288.0 | 282.0 | 280.0 | 32 | 33 | 18 | -15 | -45.45\% |
| Switchboard operators | 284.0 | 278.0 | 278.0 | 284.0 | 278.0 | 278.0 | 284.0 | 278.0 | 278.0 | 279 | 237 | 246 | 9 | 3.80\% |
| All other communications equipment operators | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 12 | , |  | -3 | -33.33\% |
| Computer operators | 285.9 | 286.3 | 287.7 | 285.7 | 286.2 | 287.6 | 285.5 | 286.2 | 287.4 | 306 | 291 | 198 | -93 | -31.96\% |
| Computer operators, except peripheral equipment | 285.0 | 286.0 | 287.0 | 285.0 | 286.0 | 287.0 | 285.0 | 286.0 | 287.0 | 263 | 258 | 181 | -77 | -29.84\% |
| Peripheral computer equipment operators | 291.0 | 288.0 | 292.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 292.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 292.0 | 46 | 33 | 17 | -16 | -48.48\% |
| Information clerks | 301.6 | 297.9 | 294.7 | 301.9 | 298.4 | 294.4 | 301.8 | 298.4 | 294.0 | 1,111 | 1,591 | 1,958 | 367 | 23.07\% |
| Hotel desk clerks | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 108 | 143 | 174 | 31 | 21.68\% |
| Interviewing clerks, except personnel and social welfare | 294.0 | 289.0 | 293.0 | 294.0 | 289.0 | 293.0 | 294.0 | 289.0 | 293.0 | 104 | 98 | 115 | 17 | 17.35\% |
| New accounts clerks, banking | 297.0 | 292.0 | 299.0 | 297.0 | 292.0 | 299.0 | 297.0 | 292.0 | 299.0 | 94 | 110 | 115 | 5 | 4.55\% |
| Receptionists and information clerks | 302.0 | 299.0 | 292.0 | 302.0 | 299.0 | 292.0 | 302.0 | 299.0 | 292.0 | 682 | 1,074 | 1,392 | 318 | 29.61\% |
| Reservation and transportation ticket agents and travel clerks | 309.0 | 304.0 | 308.0 | 309.0 | 304.0 | 308.0 | 309.0 | 304.0 | 308.0 | 122 | 166 | 162 | -4 | -2.41\% |
| Mail clerks and messengers | 273.1 | 271.1 | 284.1 | 273.6 | 271.6 | 284.6 | 273.6 | 271.6 | 284.6 | 236 | 268 | 291 | 23 | 8.58\% |
| Mail clerks, except mail machine operators and postal service | 271.0 | 269.0 | 282.0 | 271.0 | 269.0 | 282.0 | 271.0 | 269.0 | 282.0 | 136 | 130 | 137 | 7 | 5.38\% |
| Messengers | 276.0 | 274.0 | 287.0 | 276.0 | 274.0 | 287.0 | 276.0 | 274.0 | 287.0 | 99 | 138 | 154 | 16 | 11.59\% |
| Postal clerks and mail carriers | 291.0 | 285.5 | 291.5 | 291.0 | 285.5 | 291.5 | 291.0 | 285.5 | 291.5 | 639 | 403 | 443 | 40 | 9.93\% |
| Postal mail carriers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 269 | 332 | 369 | 37 | 11.14\% |
| Postal service clerks | 291.0 | 285.5 | 291.5 | 291.0 | 285.5 | 291.5 | 291.0 | 285.5 | 291.5 | 370 | 71 | 74 | 3 | 4.23\% |
| Material recording, scheduling, dispatching, and distributinc | 278.6 | 277.6 | 279.8 | 278.6 | 277.7 | 279.9 | 278.9 | 278.0 | 280.3 | ~ | 3,857 | 4,084 | 227 | 5.89\% |
| Dispatchers, except police, fire, and ambulance | 296.0 | 293.0 | 297.5 | 296.0 | 293.0 | 297.5 | 296.0 | 293.0 | 297.5 | 123 | 148 | 165 | 17 | 11.49\% |
| Dispatchers, police, fire, and ambulance | 310.5 | 305.5 | 310.5 | 310.5 | 305.5 | 310.5 | 310.5 | 305.5 | 310.5 | 61 | 86 | 93 | 7 | 8.14\% |
| Meter readers, utilities | 278.0 | 276.0 | 283.0 | 278.0 | 276.0 | 283.0 | 278.0 | 276.0 | 283.0 | ~ | 55 | 56 | 1 | 1.82\% |
| Order fillers, wholesale and retail sales | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 195 | 227 | 255 | 28 | 12.33\% |
| Procurement clerks | 296.0 | 291.0 | 295.0 | 296.0 | 291.0 | 295.0 | 296.0 | 291.0 | 295.0 | ~ | 56 | 55 | -1 | -1.79\% |
| Production, planning, and expediting clerks | 302.0 | 294.0 | 293.0 | 302.0 | 294.0 | 293.0 | 302.0 | 294.0 | 293.0 | 210 | 239 | 254 | 15 | 6.28\% |
| Stock clerks | 268.5 | 266.0 | 264.5 | 268.5 | 266.0 | 264.5 | 268.5 | 266.0 | 264.5 | ~ | 1,844 | 1,898 | 54 | 2.93\% |
| Traffic, shipping, and receiving clerks | 282.0 | 287.0 | 295.0 | 282.0 | 287.0 | 295.0 | 282.0 | 287.0 | 295.0 | $\sim$ | 985 | 1,070 | 85 | 8.63\% |
| Weighers, measurers, checkers, and samplers, recordkeeping | 275.0 | 273.0 | 285.0 | 275.0 | 273.0 | 285.0 | 275.0 | 273.0 | 285.0 |  | 47 | 50 |  | 6.38\% |
| All other material recording, scheduling, and distribution workers | 300.0 | 297.0 | 302.0 | 300.0 | 297.0 | 302.0 | 300.0 | 297.0 | 302.0 | $\sim$ | 170 | 188 | 18 | 10.59\% |


| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numb | Ofos | ons | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pros | Doc | Quant | Prose\| | DOC | [Quant | Prose | DOC | Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Administrative support occupations, including clerical (Continued) | 293.3 | 288.1 | 290.6 | 297.8 | 291.4 | 295.2 | 294.7 | 289.8 | 291.8 | 20,872 | 24,030 | 25,825 | 1,795 | 7.47\% |
| Records processing occupations | 296.6 | 291.3 | 297.4 | 296.6 | 291.3 | 297.2 | 296.3 | 291.0 | 296.7 | 3,336 | 3,869 | 3,888 | 19 | 0.49\% |
| Advertising clerks | 300.0 | 296.0 | 301.0 | 300.0 | 296.0 | 301.0 | 300.0 | 296.0 | 301.0 |  | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Brokerage clerks | 292.0 | 287.0 | 287.0 | 292.0 | 287.0 | 287.0 | 292.0 | 287.0 | 287.0 | 59 | 76 | 91 | 15 | 19.74\% |
| Correspondence clerks | 299.0 | 293.0 | 295.0 | 299.0 | 293.0 | 295.0 | 299.0 | 293.0 | 295.0 | 25 | 31 | 41 | 10 | 32.26\% |
| File clerks | 307.0 | 303.0 | 303.0 | 307.0 | 303.0 | 303.0 | 307.0 | 303.0 | 303.0 | 239 | 293 | 315 | 22 | 7.51\% |
| Billing, cost, and rate clerks | 284.0 | 275.0 | 277.0 | 284.0 | 275.0 | 277.0 | 284.0 | 275.0 | 277.0 | 306 | 335 | 391 | 56 | 16.72\% |
| Billing, posting, and calculating machine operators | 278.7 | 276.7 | 283.0 | 278.7 | 276.7 | 283.0 | 278.7 | 276.7 | 283.0 | 104 | 102 | 100 | -2 | -1.96\% |
| Bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks | 300.2 | 295.0 | 303.0 | 300.2 | 295.0 | 303.0 | 300.2 | 295.0 | 303.0 | 2,113 | 2,251 | 2,147 | -104 | -4.62\% |
| Payroll and timekeeping clerks | 292.0 | 287.0 | 296.0 | 292.0 | 287.0 | 296.0 | 292.0 | 287.0 | 296.0 |  | 161 | 151 | -10 | -6.21\% |
| Library assistants and bookmobile drivers | 297.0 | 287.0 | 289.0 | 297.0 | 287.0 | 289.0 | 297.0 | 287.0 | 289.0 | 102 | 125 | 145 | 20 | 16.00\% |
| Order clerks, materials, merchandise, and service | 283.0 | 280.0 | 285.0 | 283.0 | 280.0 | 285.0 | 283.0 | 280.0 | 285.0 | 270 | 328 | 338 | 10 | 3.05\% |
| Personnel clerks, except payroll and timekeeping | 301.0 | 295.0 | 296.0 | 301.0 | 295.0 | 296.0 | 301.0 | 295.0 | 296.0 | 119 | 124 | 126 | 2 | 1.61\% |
| Statement clerks | 285.0 | 282.0 | 286.0 | 285.0 | 282.0 | 286.0 | 285.0 | 282.0 | 286.0 |  | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Secretaries, stenographers, and typists | 295.5 | 287.0 | 291.9 | 298.7 | 291.0 | 295.2 | 299.8 | 292.1 | 296.1 | $\sim$ | 4,159 | 4,080 | -79 | -1.90\% |
| Legal secretaries | 316.0 | 304.0 | 306.0 | 316.0 | 304.0 | 306.0 | 316.0 | 304.0 | 306.0 | 238 | 283 | 319 | 36 | 12.72\% |
| Medical secretaries | 306.0 | 295.0 | 299.0 | 306.0 | 295.0 | 299.0 | 306.0 | 295.0 | 299.0 | 180 | 239 | 314 | 75 | 31.38\% |
| Secretaries, except legal and medical | 305.0 | 300.0 | 303.0 | 305.0 | 300.0 | 303.0 | 305.0 | 300.0 | 303.0 | 2,814 | 2,886 | 2,794 | -92 | -3.19\% |
| Stenographers and/or court reporters | 292.0 | 284.5 | 280.0 | 292.0 | 284.5 | 280.0 | 292.0 | 284.5 | 280.0 |  | 98 | 101 | ${ }^{3}$ | 3.06\% |
| Typists, including word processing | 262.0 | 245.0 | 257.0 | 262.0 | 245.0 | 257.0 | 262.0 | 245.0 | 257.0 | 996 | 653 | 552 | -101 | -15.47\% |
| Other clerical and administrative support workers | 297.9 | 291.9 | 293.2 | 297.7 | 291.5 | 293.0 | 297.4 | 291.0 | 292.7 | $\sim$ | 7,974 | 8,983 | 1,009 | 12.65\% |
| Bank tellers | 301.0 | 297.0 | 299.0 | 301.0 | 297.0 | 299.0 | 301.0 | 297.0 | 299.0 | ~ | 545 | 550 | 5 | 0.92\% |
| Clerical supervisors and managers | 302.2 | 297.7 | 301.3 | 302.2 | 297.7 | 301.3 | 302.2 | 297.7 | 301.3 | 960 | 1,370 | 1,630 | 260 | 18.98\% |
| Court clerks | 298.0 | 291.0 | 292.0 | 298.0 | 291.0 | 292.0 | 298.0 | 291.0 | 292.0 | 40 | 53 | 57 | 4 | 7.55\% |
| Credit authorizers | 300.0 | 294.0 | 294.0 | 300.0 | 294.0 | 294.0 | 300.0 | 294.0 | 294.0 | 18 | 16 | 10 | -6 | -37.50\% |
| Credit checkers | 307.0 | 306.0 | 308.0 | 307.0 | 306.0 | 308.0 | 307.0 | 306.0 | 308.0 | ~ | 42 | 33 | -9 | -21.43\% |
| Loan and credit clerks | 311.0 | 304.0 | 307.0 | 311.0 | 304.0 | 307.0 | 311.0 | 304.0 | 307.0 | $\sim$ | 181 | 200 | 19 | 10.50\% |
| Loan interviewers | 305.0 | 298.0 | 313.0 | 305.0 | 298.0 | 313.0 | 305.0 | 298.0 | 313.0 | $\sim$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | 8.33\% |
| Customer service representatives, utilities | 294.0 | 289.0 | 294.0 | 294.0 | 289.0 | 294.0 | 294.0 | 289.0 | 294.0 | 102 | 152 | 206 | 54 | 35.53\% |
| Data entry keyers, except composing | 304.0 | 295.0 | 295.0 | 304.0 | 295.0 | 295.0 | 304.0 | 295.0 | 295.0 | $\sim$ | 418 | 453 | 35 | 8.37\% |
| Data entry keyers, composing | 294.0 | 293.0 | 291.0 | 294.0 | 293.0 | 291.0 | 294.0 | 293.0 | 291.0 | ~ | 18 | 10 | -8 | -44.44\% |
| Duplicating, mail, and other oftice machine operators | 278.0 | 276.0 | 273.0 | 278.0 | 276.0 | 273.0 | 278.0 | 276.0 | 273.0 | ~ | 196 | 149 | -47 | -23.98\% |
| General oftice clerks | 299.0 | 295.0 | 294.7 | 299.0 | 295.0 | 294.7 | 299.0 | 295.0 | 294.7 | 2,358 | 3,113 | 3,326 | 213 | 6.84\% |
| Municipal clerks | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 18 | 22 | 24 | 2 | 9.09\% |
| Proofreaders and copy markers | 293.0 | 289.0 | 292.0 | 293.0 | 289.0 | 292.0 | 293.0 | 289.0 | 292.0 | 28 | 26 | 16 | -10 | -38.46\% |
| Real estate clerks | 304.0 | 300.0 | 301.0 | 304.0 | 300.0 | 301.0 | 304.0 | 300.0 | 301.0 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 1 | 4.17\% |
| Statistical clerks | 289.0 | 284.5 | 286.0 | 289.0 | 284.5 | 286.0 | 289.0 | 284.5 | 286.0 | $\sim$ | 78 | 65 | -13 | -16.67\% |
| Teacher aides and educational assistants | 284.0 | 271.0 | 276.0 | 284.0 | 271.0 | 276.0 | 284.0 | 271.0 | 276.0 | 633 | 981 | 1,352 | 371 | 37.82\% |
| All other clerical and administrative support workers | 299.0 | 288.0 | 288.5 | 299.0 | 288.0 | 288.5 | 299.0 | 288.0 | 288.5 | ~ | 727 | 864 | 137 | 18.84\% |


|  |  |  |  | Average | NALS | Scores |  |  |  | Numb | r ot Posi | Ons | Change in | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation |  | 986 |  |  | 996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 1996 to | 2006 |
|  | Prose | Doc | Quant | Prose | Doc | Quant | Prose | Doc | [Quant] | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Service occupations | 270.0 | 266.1 | 266.2 | 269.7 | 265.7 | 265.8 | 269.5 | 265.3 | 265.6 | 17,427 | 21,317 | 25,144 | 3,827 | 17.95\% |
| Cleaning and building service occupations, except private householc | 266.2 | 261.7 | 256.9 | 266.2 | 261.7 | 256.9 | 266.2 | 261.8 | 256.9 | 3,052 | 3,555 | 3,713 | 158 | 4.44\% |
| Institutional cleaning supervisors | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 108 | 115 | 7 | 6.48\% |
| Janitors and cleaners, including maids and housekeeping cleaners | 266.0 | 261.5 | 256.5 | 266.0 | 261.5 | 256.5 | 266.0 | 261.5 | 256.5 | 2,622 | 3,144 | 3,262 | 118 | 3.75\% |
| Pest controllers and assistants | 277.0 | 274.0 | 277.0 | 277.0 | 274.0 | 277.0 | 277.0 | 274.0 | 277.0 | 47 | 60 | 73 | 13 | 21.67\% |
| All other cleaning and building service workers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 243 | 263 | 20 | 8.23\% |
| Food preparation and service occupations | 263.4 | 261.0 | 262.0 | 263.4 | 261.0 | 261.9 | 263.1 | 260.8 | 261.8 | $\sim$ | 8,406 | 9,571 | 1,165 | 13.86\% |
| Bakers, bread and pastry | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 124 | 183 | 231 | 48 | 26.23\% |
| Cooks, institution or cafeteria | 243.0 | 240.0 | 232.0 | 243.0 | 240.0 | 232.0 | 243.0 | 240.0 | 232.0 | 384 | 435 | 455 | 20 | 4.60\% |
| Cooks, restaurant | 271.0 | 267.0 | 261.0 | 271.0 | 267.0 | 261.0 | 271.0 | 267.0 | 261.0 | 514 | 728 | 833 | 105 | 14.42\% |
| Cooks, short order and fast food | 258.0 | 262.0 | 276.0 | 258.0 | 262.0 | 276.0 | 258.0 | 262.0 | 276.0 |  | 804 | 978 | 174 | 21.64\% |
| Food preparation workers | 258.7 | 257.0 | 255.0 | 258.7 | 257.0 | 255.0 | 258.7 | 257.0 | 255.0 | 948 | 1,255 | 1,487 | 232 | 18.49\% |
| Bartenders | 285.0 | 287.0 | 288.0 | 285.0 | 287.0 | 288.0 | 285.0 | 287.0 | 288.0 | 396 | 390 | 392 | 2 | 0.51\% |
| Dining room and cafeteria attendants and bar helpers | 246.0 | 240.0 | 235.0 | 246.0 | 240.0 | 235.0 | 246.0 | 240.0 | 235.0 | 433 | 439 | 501 | 62 | 14.12\% |
| Food counter, fountain, and related workers | 251.0 | 249.0 | 257.0 | 251.0 | 249.0 | 257.0 | 251.0 | 249.0 | 257.0 | 1,505 | 1,723 | 1,963 | 240 | 13.93\% |
| Hosts and hostesses, restaurant, lounge, or coffee shop | 277.0 | 274.0 | 278.0 | 277.0 | 274.0 | 278.0 | 277.0 | 274.0 | 278.0 | ~ | 260 | 278 | 18 | 6.92\% |
| Waiters and waitresses | 279.0 | 274.0 | 270.5 | 279.0 | 274.0 | 270.5 | 279.0 | 274.0 | 270.5 | 1,705 | 1,961 | 2,163 | 202 | 10.30\% |
| All other food preparation and service workers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 228 | 290 | 62 | 27.19\% |
| Health service occupations | 258.0 | 254.0 | 250.3 | 260.1 | 256.2 | 252.4 | 261.8 | 257.9 | 254.1 | 1,542 | 2,168 | 2,872 | 704 | 32.47\% |
| Ambulance drivers and attendants, except EMTs | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 18 | 25 | 7 | 38.89\% |
| Dental assistants | 276.0 | 268.0 | 263.0 | 276.0 | 268.0 | 263.0 | 276.0 | 268.0 | 263.0 | 155 | 202 | 278 | 76 | 37.62\% |
| Medical assistants | 290.0 | 286.0 | 281.0 | 290.0 | 286.0 | 281.0 | 290.0 | 286.0 | 281.0 | 131 | 225 | 391 | 166 | 73.78\% |
| Nursing aides, orderlies, and attendants | 251.5 | 248.0 | 244.0 | 251.5 | 248.0 | 244.0 | 251.5 | 248.0 | 244.0 | 1,211 | 1,312 | 1,645 | 333 | 25.38\% |
| Psychiatric aides | 257.0 | 250.0 | 254.0 | 257.0 | 250.0 | 254.0 | 257.0 | 250.0 | 254.0 | ~ | 103 | 112 | 9 | 8.74\% |
| Occupational therapy assistants and aides | 338.0 | 333.0 | 339.0 | 338.0 | 333.0 | 339.0 | 338.0 | 333.0 | 339.0 | 9 | 16 | 26 | 10 | 62.50\% |
| Pharmacy assistants | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 47 | 52 | 5 | 10.64\% |
| Physical and corrective therapy assistants and aides | 266.0 | 268.0 | 264.0 | 266.0 | 268.0 | 264.0 | 266.0 | 268.0 | 264.0 | 36 | 85 | 151 | 66 | 77.65\% |
| All other health service workers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 160 | 192 | 32 | 20.00\% |
| Personal service occupations | 279.4 | 269.2 | 272.0 | 273.7 | 263.0 | 265.8 | 271.1 | 260.0 | 263.1 | 1,524 | 2,750 | 3,875 | 1,125 | 40.91\% |
| Amusement and recreation attendants | 289.0 | 275.0 | 286.0 | 289.0 | 275.0 | 286.0 | 289.0 | 275.0 | 286.0 |  | 288 | 426 | 138 | 47.92\% |
| Baggage porters and bellhops | 253.0 | 252.0 | 256.0 | 253.0 | 252.0 | 256.0 | 253.0 | 252.0 | 256.0 | 31 | 38 | 40 | 2 | 5.26\% |
| Barbers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 59 | 54 | -5 | -8.47\% |
| Child care workers | 264.0 | 250.0 | 255.0 | 264.0 | 250.0 | 255.0 | 264.0 | 250.0 | 255.0 | 583 | 830 | 1,129 | 299 | 36.02\% |
| Hairdressers, hairstylists, and cosmetologists | 296.0 | 291.0 | 289.0 | 296.0 | 291.0 | 289.0 | 296.0 | 291.0 | 289.0 | 553 | 584 | 644 | 60 | 10.27\% |
| Manicurists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 25 | 43 | 62 | 19 | 44.19\% |
| Shampooers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 12 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Flight attendants | 321.0 | 315.0 | 311.0 | 321.0 | 315.0 | 311.0 | 321.0 | 315.0 | 311.0 | 79 | 130 | 178 | 48 | 36.92\% |
| Home health aides | 244.0 | 232.0 | 234.0 | 244.0 | 232.0 | 234.0 | 244.0 | 232.0 | 234.0 | 143 | 498 | 873 | 375 | 75.30\% |
| Personal and home care aides | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 59 | 202 | 374 | 172 | 85.15\% |
| Ushers, lobby attendants, and ticket takers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 40 | 65 | 82 | 17 | 26.15\% |
| Private household workers | 247.5 | 244.0 | 237.5 | 247.5 | 244.0 | 237.5 | 247.5 | 244.0 | 237.5 | 982 | 802 | 681 | -121 | -15.09\% |
| Child care workers, private household | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 275 | 250 | -25 | -9.09\% |
| Cleaners and servants, private household | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 505 | 421 | -84 | -16.63\% |
| Cooks, private household | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 8 | 3 | -5 | -62.50\% |
| Housekeepers and butlers | 247.5 | 244.0 | 237.5 | 247.5 | 244.0 | 237.5 | 247.5 | 244.0 | 237.5 | ~ | 14 | 7 | -7 | -50.00\% |


| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numb | er of Pos | ons | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose | Doc | \|Quant | Prose\| | Doc | [Quant | Prose | DOC | [Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Service occupations, Continued | 270.0 | 266.1 | 266.2 | 269.7 | 265.7 | 265.8 | 269.5 | 265.3 | 265.6 | 17,427 | 21,317 | 25,144 | 3,827 | 17.95\% |
| Protective service occupations | 297.8 | 295.0 | 298.8 | 296.8 | 294.3 | 298.0 | 295.6 | 293.2 | 296.8 | ~ | 2,524 | 2,979 | 455 | 18.03\% |
| Fire tighters | 311.0 | 314.0 | 320.0 | 311.0 | 314.0 | 320.0 | 311.0 | 314.0 | 320.0 | 223 | 225 | 238 | 13 | 5.78\% |
| Fire fighting and prevention supervisors | 335.0 | 329.0 | 338.0 | 335.0 | 329.0 | 338.0 | 335.0 | 329.0 | 338.0 | 45 | 54 | 54 | - | 0.00\% |
| Fire inspection occupations | 315.5 | 310.0 | 315.0 | 315.5 | 310.0 | 315.0 | 315.5 | 310.0 | 315.0 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 2 | 14.29\% |
| Correction officers | 283.0 | 287.0 | 291.0 | 283.0 | 287.0 | 291.0 | 283.0 | 287.0 | 291.0 | 176 | 320 | 423 | 103 | 32.19\% |
| Police and detective supervisors | 326.0 | 317.0 | 326.0 | 326.0 | 317.0 | 326.0 | 326.0 | 317.0 | 326.0 | 84 | 90 | 89 | -1 | -1.11\% |
| Police detectives and investigators | 337.5 | 330.5 | 328.5 | 337.5 | 330.5 | 328.5 | 337.5 | 330.5 | 328.5 | 57 | 70 | 75 | 5 | 7.14\% |
| Police patrol officers | 330.5 | 328.5 | 330.0 | 330.5 | 328.5 | 330.0 | 330.5 | 328.5 | 330.0 | 349 | 413 | 486 | 73 | 17.68\% |
| Sherifts and deputy sheriffs | 305.0 | 308.0 | 307.0 | 305.0 | 308.0 | 307.0 | 305.0 | 308.0 | 307.0 | 63 | 88 | 96 | 8 | 9.09\% |
| Other law enforcement occupations | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 32 | 43 | 47 | 4 | 9.30\% |
| Detectives and investigators, except public | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 58 | 69 | 11 | 18.97\% |
| Guards | 274.0 | 269.0 | 273.0 | 274.0 | 269.0 | 273.0 | 274.0 | 269.0 | 273.0 | $\sim$ | 955 | 1,175 | 220 | 23.04\% |
| Crossing guards | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 61 | 55 | -6 | -9.84\% |
| All other protective service workers | 301.3 | 295.0 | 299.0 | 301.3 | 295.0 | 299.0 | 301.3 | 295.0 | 299.0 | $\sim$ | 133 | 156 | 23 | 17.29\% |
| All other service workers | 276.0 | 272.0 | 274.5 | 276.0 | 272.0 | 274.5 | 276.0 | 272.0 | 274.5 | ~ | 1,112 | 1,453 | 341 | 30.67\% |


| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numb | OtPos | ons | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose | Doc | Quant | Prose | Doc | [Quant] | rose | DOC | Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and related occupations | 274.4 | 269.9 | 275.2 | 274.6 | 270.4 | 275.7 | 275.1 | 271.0 | 276.3 | 3,660 | 3,788 | 3,823 | 35 | 0.92\% |
| Animal caretakers, except farm | 271.0 | 268.0 | 270.0 | 271.0 | 268.0 | 270.0 | 271.0 | 268.0 | 270.0 | 81 | 130 | 158 | 28 | 21.54\% |
| Farmers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1,181 | 1,109 | 997 | -112 | -10.10\% |
| Farm managers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 149 | 184 | 178 | -6 | -3.26\% |
| Farm workers | 268.5 | 262.5 | 267.0 | 268.5 | 262.5 | 267.0 | 268.5 | 262.5 | 267.0 | $\sim$ | 873 | 798 | -75 | -8.59\% |
| Captains and other officers, fishing vessels | 312.0 | 308.0 | 321.0 | 312.0 | 308.0 | 321.0 | 312.0 | 308.0 | 321.0 | $\sim$ | 8 | 7 | -1 | -12.50\% |
| Fishers, hunters, and trappers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 39 | 30 | -9 | -23.08\% |
| Forest and conservation workers | 307.0 | 305.0 | 314.0 | 307.0 | 305.0 | 314.0 | 307.0 | 305.0 | 314.0 | 36 | 40 | 41 | 1 | 2.50\% |
| Fallers and buckers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 36 | 17 | 16 | -1 | -5.88\% |
| Logging tractor operators | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 23 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Log handling equipment operators | 267.0 | 264.0 | 267.0 | 267.0 | 264.0 | 267.0 | 267.0 | 264.0 | 267.0 | 15 | 33 | 34 | 1 | 3.03\% |
| All other timber cutting and related logging workers | 277.0 | 276.5 | 291.5 | 277.0 | 276.5 | 291.5 | 277.0 | 276.5 | 291.5 |  | 11 | 10 | -1 | -9.09\% |
| Gardeners, nursery workers and laborers, and landscaping | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 817 | 975 | 158 | 19.34\% |
| Lawn service managers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 55 | 67 | 12 | 21.82\% |
| Nursery and greenhouse managers | 314.0 | 308.0 | 322.0 | 314.0 | 308.0 | 322.0 | 314.0 | 308.0 | 322.0 | $\sim$ | 10 | 12 | 2 | 20.00\% |
| Pruners | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 26 | 30 | 4 | 15.38\% |
| Sprayers/applicators | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 18 | 21 | 3 | 16.67\% |
| Supervisors, farming, forestry, and agricutural related occupations | 293.7 | 289.3 | 296.0 | 293.7 | 289.3 | 296.0 | 293.7 | 289.3 | 296.0 | $\sim$ | 88 | 92 | 4 | 4.55\% |
| Veterinary assistants | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 33 | 42 | 9 | 27.27\% |
| All other agricultural, forestry, fishing, and related workers | 283.3 | 283.5 | 291.3 | 283.3 | 283.5 | 291.3 | 283.3 | 283.5 | 291.3 | 175 | 275 | 293 | 18 | 6.55\% |


|  |  |  |  | Averag | NALS | Scores |  |  |  | Numb | Of Pos | ons | Change in | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation |  | 986 |  |  | 99 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 1996 to | 2006 |
|  | Prose | Doc | [Quant\| | Prose | Doc | Quant | Prose | DOC | Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Precision production, craft, and repair occupations | 285.9 | 284.5 | 290.5 | 286.0 | 284.5 | 290.4 | 285.8 | 284.3 | 290.2 | 13,831 | 14,461 | 15,448 | 987 | 6.83\% |
| Blue collar worker supervisors | 297.7 | 299.3 | 309.1 | 297.7 | 299.3 | 309.1 | 297.7 | 299.3 | 309.1 | 1,818 | 1,902 | 1,947 | 45 | 2.37\% |
| Construction trades | 277.1 | 277.4 | 283.3 | 277.1 | 277.3 | 283.0 | 276.9 | 277.2 | 283.0 | 3,703 | 3,713 | 4,014 | 301 | 8.11\% |
| Bricklayers and stone masons | 263.0 | 267.0 | 271.0 | 263.0 | 267.0 | 271.0 | 263.0 | 267.0 | 271.0 | 156 | 143 | 162 | 19 | 13.29\% |
| Carpenters | 281.5 | 280.5 | 287.0 | 281.5 | 280.5 | 287.0 | 281.5 | 280.5 | 287.0 | 1,009 | 982 | 1,038 | 56 | 5.70\% |
| Carpet installers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 66 | 64 | 72 | 8 | 12.50\% |
| Ceiling tile installers and acoustical carpenters | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 19 | 16 | 18 | 2 | 12.50\% |
| Concrete and terrazzo finishers | 272.0 | 268.0 | 265.0 | 272.0 | 268.0 | 265.0 | 272.0 | 268.0 | 265.0 | 113 | 137 | 147 | 10 | 7.30\% |
| Drywall installers and finishers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 149 | 133 | 140 | 7 | 5.26\% |
| Electricians | 287.0 | 293.0 | 304.0 | 287.0 | 293.0 | 304.0 | 287.0 | 293.0 | 304.0 | 552 | 575 | 627 | 52 | 9.04\% |
| Glaziers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 46 | 36 | 38 | 2 | 5.56\% |
| Hard tile setters | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 25 | 29 | 30 | 1 | 3.45\% |
| Highway maintenance workers | 279.0 | 274.0 | 272.0 | 279.0 | 274.0 | 272.0 | 279.0 | 274.0 | 272.0 | ~ | 171 | 158 | -13 | -7.60\% |
| Insulation workers | 285.0 | 284.0 | 289.0 | 285.0 | 284.0 | 289.0 | 285.0 | 284.0 | 289.0 | 60 | 65 | 78 | 13 | 20.00\% |
| Painters and paperhangers, construction and maintenance | 265.0 | 265.0 | 271.0 | 265.0 | 265.0 | 271.0 | 265.0 | 265.0 | 271.0 | 410 | 444 | 509 | 65 | 14.64\% |
| Paving, surfacing, and tamping equipment operators | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 57 | 79 | 103 | 24 | 30.38\% |
| Pipelayers and pipelaying fitters | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 55 | 63 | 66 | 3 | 4.76\% |
| Plasterers | 275.0 | 273.0 | 277.0 | 275.0 | 273.0 | 277.0 | 275.0 | 273.0 | 277.0 | 27 | 32 | 36 | 4 | 12.50\% |
| Plumbers, pipefitters, and steamfitters | 276.0 | 274.7 | 280.0 | 276.0 | 274.7 | 280.0 | 276.0 | 274.7 | 280.0 | 398 | 389 | 406 | 17 | 4.37\% |
| Roofers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 138 | 144 | 6 | 4.35\% |
| Structural and reinforcing metal workers | 262.0 | 260.0 | 269.0 | 262.0 | 260.0 | 269.0 | 262.0 | 260.0 | 269.0 | 84 | 67 | 73 | 6 | 8.96\% |
| All other construction trades workers | 267.7 | 267.0 | 266.0 | 267.7 | 267.0 | 266.0 | 267.7 | 267.0 | 266.0 | ~ | 150 | 169 | 19 | 12.67\% |
| Extractive and related workers, including blasters | 244.0 | 247.0 | 253.0 | 244.0 | 247.0 | 253.0 | 244.0 | 247.0 | 253.0 | 245 | 219 | 219 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Roustabouts | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 55 | 28 | 18 | -10 | -35.71\% |
| All other oil and gas extraction occupations | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 37 | 34 | -3 | -8.11\% |
| Mining, quarrying, and tunneling occupations | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 21 | 16 | 12 | -4 | -25.00\% |
| All other extraction and related workers | 244.0 | 247.0 | 253.0 | 244.0 | 247.0 | 253.0 | 244.0 | 247.0 | 253.0 | $\sim$ | 138 | 155 | 17 | 12.32\% |
| Communications equipment mechanics, installers, and repairers | 322.2 | 310.9 | 309.5 | 322.3 | 311.2 | 309.2 | 322.6 | 311.3 | 309.2 | 90 | 116 | 120 | 4 | 3.45\% |
| Central office and PBX installers and repairers | 321.0 | 308.0 | 313.0 | 321.0 | 308.0 | 313.0 | 321.0 | 308.0 | 313.0 | 77 | 81 | 85 | 4 | 4.94\% |
| Radio mechanics | 300.0 | 300.0 | 308.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 308.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 308.0 |  | 8 | 7 | -1 | -12.50\% |
| All other communications equipment mechanics, installers, and repairers | 333.0 | 324.0 | 298.0 | 333.0 | 324.0 | 298.0 | 333.0 | 324.0 | 298.0 | $\sim$ | 27 | 28 | 1 | 3.70\% |
| Electrical and electronic equipment mechanics, installers, and repairers | 306.1 | 294.2 | 306.2 | 306.6 | 294.6 | 306.6 | 307.6 | 295.2 | 306.8 | $\sim$ | 563 | 628 | 65 | 11.55\% |
| Data processing equipment repairers | 316.0 | 312.0 | 314.0 | 316.0 | 312.0 | 314.0 | 316.0 | 312.0 | 314.0 | $\sim$ | 80 | 121 | 41 | 51.25\% |
| Electrical powerline installers and repairers | 294.0 | 286.0 | 297.0 | 294.0 | 286.0 | 297.0 | 294.0 | 286.0 | 297.0 | 107 | 108 | 111 | 3 | 2.78\% |
| Electronic home entertainment equipment repairers | 283.0 | 282.0 | 291.0 | 283.0 | 282.0 | 291.0 | 283.0 | 282.0 | 291.0 | ~ | 33 | 27 | -6 | -18.18\% |
| Electronics repairers, commercial and industrial equipment | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 79 | 60 | 67 | 7 | 11.67\% |
| Station installers and repairers, telephone | 315.0 | 307.0 | 320.0 | 315.0 | 307.0 | 320.0 | 315.0 | 307.0 | 320.0 | $\sim$ | 37 | 10 | -27 | -72.97\% |
| Telephone and cable TV line installers and repairers | 312.0 | 292.0 | 309.0 | 312.0 | 292.0 | 309.0 | 312.0 | 292.0 | 309.0 | $\sim$ | 201 | 242 | 41 | 20.40\% |
| All other electrical and electronic equipment mechanics... | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ .0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ .0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ .0 \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ | 44 | 50 | 6 | 13.64\% |
| Machinery and related mechanics, installers, and repairers | 291.1 | 287.7 | 289.7 | 291.1 | 287.8 | 290.0 | 291.1 | 287.8 | 290.1 | $\sim$ | 1,901 | 2,173 | 272 | 14.31\% |
| Industrial machinery mechanics | 289.0 | 285.0 | 282.0 | 289.0 | 285.0 | 282.0 | 289.0 | 285.0 | 282.0 | 420 | 459 | 489 | 30 | 6.54\% |
| Maintenance repairers, general utility | 291.0 | 288.0 | 292.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 292.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 292.0 | 1,014 | 1,364 | 1,608 | 244 | 17.89\% |
| Millwrights | 305.5 | 301.5 | 303.0 | 305.5 | 301.5 | 303.0 | 305.5 | 301.5 | 303.0 | ~ | 78 | 76 | -2 | -2.56\% |
| Vehicle and mobile equipment mechanics and repairers | 275.7 | 276.1 | 283.2 | 275.3 | 275.8 | 283.0 | 275.2 | 275.6 | 283.0 | 1,469 | 1,640 | 1,814 | 174 | 10.61\% |
| Aircraft engine specialists | 274.0 | 284.0 | 296.0 | 274.0 | 284.0 | 296.0 | 274.0 | 284.0 | 296.0 | ~ | 25 | 27 |  | 8.00\% |
| Aircraft mechanics | 254.0 | 255.0 | 269.0 | 254.0 | 255.0 | 269.0 | 254.0 | 255.0 | 269.0 | 89 | 111 | 128 | 17 | 15.32\% |
| Automotive body and related repairers | 263.0 | 261.0 | 268.0 | 263.0 | 261.0 | 268.0 | 263.0 | 261.0 | 268.0 | 214 | 225 | 254 | 29 | 12.89\% |
| Automotive mechanics | 280.0 | 282.0 | 292.0 | 280.0 | 282.0 | 292.0 | 280.0 | 282.0 | 292.0 | 750 | 774 | 871 | 97 | 12.53\% |
| Bus and truck mechanics and diesel engine specialists | 272.0 | 273.0 | 276.0 | 272.0 | 273.0 | 276.0 | 272.0 | 273.0 | 276.0 | 263 | 266 | 288 | 22 | 8.27\% |
| Farm equipment mechanics | 291.0 | 286.0 | 286.0 | 291.0 | 286.0 | 286.0 | 291.0 | 286.0 | 286.0 | 52 | 44 | 37 | -7 | -15.91\% |
| Mobile heavy equipment mechanics | 286.0 | 281.0 | 282.0 | 286.0 | 281.0 | 282.0 | 286.0 | 281.0 | 282.0 | 100 | 104 | 111 | 7 | 6.73\% |
| Motorcycle, boat, and small engine mechanics | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 45 | 49 | 4 | 8.89\% |
| Motorcycle repairers | 304.0 | 297.0 | 280.0 | 304.0 | 297.0 | 280.0 | 304.0 | 297.0 | 280.0 | $\sim$ | 12 | 13 | 1 | 8.33\% |
| Small engine specialists | 285.0 | 278.0 | 268.0 | 285.0 | 278.0 | 268.0 | 285.0 | 278.0 | 268.0 | $\sim$ | 34 | 36 | 2 | 5.88\% |


|  |  |  |  | Average | NALS | Scores |  |  |  | Numb | OfPos | ons | Change in | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation |  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 1996 | 2006 |
|  | se | DOC | Quant | Prose | DOC | Quant | Prose | DOC | [Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Precision production, craft, and repair occupations (Continued, | 285.9 | 284.5 | 290.5 | 272.5 | 272.3 | 281.5 | 285.8 | 284.3 | 290.2 | 13,831 | 14,461 | 15,448 | 987 | 6.83\% |
| Other mechanics, installers, and repairers | 288.1 | 285.9 | 288.8 | 287.0 | 284.9 | 288.0 | 286.3 | 284.2 | 287.4 | ~ | 1,059 | 1,206 | 147 | 13.88\% |
| Bicycle repairers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 27 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 30.77\% |
| Camera and photographic equipment repairers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 14 | 18 | 4 | 28.57\% |
| Coin and vending machine servicers and repairers | 308.0 | 303.0 | 296.0 | 308.0 | 303.0 | 296.0 | 308.0 | 303.0 | 296.0 | $\sim$ | 21 | 19 | -2 | -9.52\% |
| Electric meter installers and repairers | 303.0 | 298.0 | 299.0 | 303.0 | 298.0 | 299.0 | 303.0 | 298.0 | 299.0 | ~ | 12 | 8 | -4 | -33.33\% |
| Electromedical and biomedical equipment repairers | 330.0 | 325.0 | 320.0 | 330.0 | 325.0 | 320.0 | 330.0 | 325.0 | 320.0 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 1 | 10.00\% |
| Elevator installers and repairers | 300.0 | 298.0 | 296.0 | 300.0 | 298.0 | 296.0 | 300.0 | 298.0 | 296.0 | $\sim$ | 25 | 27 | 2 | 8.00\% |
| Heat, air conditioning, and refrigeration mechanics and installers | 302.0 | 301.0 | 307.5 | 302.0 | 301.0 | 307.5 | 302.0 | 301.0 | 307.5 | 220 | 256 | 300 | 44 | 17.19\% |
| Home appliance and power tool repairers | 320.0 | 316.0 | 309.0 | 320.0 | 316.0 | 309.0 | 320.0 | 316.0 | 309.0 | 75 | 70 | 73 | 3 | 4.29\% |
| Locksmiths and safe repairers | 295.0 | 290.0 | 289.0 | 295.0 | 290.0 | 289.0 | 295.0 | 290.0 | 289.0 | ~ | 25 | 29 | 4 | 16.00\% |
| Musical instrument repairers and tuners | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 9 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 11.11\% |
| Office machine and cash register servicers | 285.0 | 281.0 | 283.0 | 285.0 | 281.0 | 283.0 | 285.0 | 281.0 | 283.0 |  | 62 | 73 | 11 | 17.74\% |
| Precision instrument repairers | 305.0 | 301.0 | 305.0 | 305.0 | 301.0 | 305.0 | 305.0 | 301.0 | 305.0 | 49 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Riggers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 19 | 9 | 8 | -1 | -11.11\% |
| Tire repairers and changers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 94 | 101 | 7 | 7.45\% |
| Watchmakers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| All other mechanics, installers, and repairers | 266.0 | 264.5 | 268.5 | 266.0 | 264.5 | 268.5 | 266.0 | 264.5 | 268.5 | ~ | 394 | 467 | 73 | 18.53\% |
| Assemblers, precision | 271.4 | 271.0 | 281.2 | 271.2 | 270.8 | 281.2 | 271.0 | 270.6 | 281.1 | 348 | 380 | 382 | 2 | 0.53\% |
| Aircraft assemblers, precision | 279.0 | 278.0 | 284.0 | 279.0 | 278.0 | 284.0 | 279.0 | 278.0 | 284.0 | 23 | 25 | 27 | 2 | 8.00\% |
| Electrical and electronic equipment assemblers, precision | 261.0 | 262.0 | 279.0 | 261.0 | 262.0 | 279.0 | 261.0 | 262.0 | 279.0 | $\sim$ | 194 | 193 | -1 | -0.52\% |
| Electromechanical equipment assemblers, precision | 301.0 | 298.0 | 298.5 | 301.0 | 298.0 | 298.5 | 301.0 | 298.0 | 298.5 | ~ | 51 | 51 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Fitters, structural metal, precision | 291.0 | 288.0 | 278.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 278.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 278.0 |  | 15 | 12 | -3 | -20.00\% |
| Machine builders and other precision machine assemblers | 289.0 | 286.0 | 285.0 | 289.0 | 286.0 | 285.0 | 289.0 | 286.0 | 285.0 | 50 | 57 | 58 | 1 | 1.75\% |
| All other precision assemblers | 244.0 | 245.0 | 263.0 | 244.0 | 245.0 | 263.0 | 244.0 | 245.0 | 263.0 |  | 38 | 41 | 3 | 7.89\% |
| Food workers, precision | 278.1 | 279.4 | 282.7 | 278.1 | 279.4 | 282.7 | 278.0 | 278.9 | 282.4 | $\sim$ | 299 | 301 | 2 | 0.67\% |
| Bakers, manufacturing | 280.0 | 284.0 | 285.0 | 280.0 | 284.0 | 285.0 | 280.0 | 284.0 | 285.0 | $\sim$ | 44 | 47 | 3 | 6.82\% |
| Butchers and meatcutters | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 217 | 205 | -12 | -5.53\% |
| All other precision food and tobacco workers | 276.0 | 274.0 | 280.0 | 276.0 | 274.0 | 280.0 | 276.0 | 274.0 | 280.0 | $\sim$ | 38 | 49 | 11 | 28.95\% |
| Inspectors, testers, and graders, precision | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 694 | 636 | 610 | -26 | -4.09\% |
| Metal workers, precision | 274.9 | 270.6 | 277.6 | 274.7 | 270.3 | 277.2 | 274.5 | 270.1 | 276.9 | 946 | 935 | 922 | -13 | -1.39\% |
| Boilermakers | 291.0 | 288.0 | 293.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 293.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 293.0 |  | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Jewelers and silversmiths | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 31 | 32 | 31 | -1 | -3.13\% |
| Machinists | 264.0 | 258.0 | 265.0 | 264.0 | 258.0 | 265.0 | 264.0 | 258.0 | 265.0 | 383 | 387 | 384 | -3 | -0.78\% |
| Sheet metal workers and duct installers | 281.0 | 278.0 | 284.0 | 281.0 | 278.0 | 284.0 | 281.0 | 278.0 | 284.0 | ~ | 237 | 236 | -1 | -0.42\% |
| Shipfitters | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 13 | 10 | 9 | -1 | -10.00\% |
| Tool and die makers | 292.0 | 290.0 | 298.0 | 292.0 | 290.0 | 298.0 | 292.0 | 290.0 | 298.0 | 161 | 134 | 124 | -10 | -7.46\% |
| All other precision metal workers | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NA } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & . \end{aligned}$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 117 | 120 | 3 | 2.56\% |
| Printing workers, precision | 292.2 | 290.8 | 294.5 | 292.2 | 290.8 | 294.5 | 285.6 | 284.0 | 287.9 | $\sim$ | 139 | 125 | -14 | -10.07\% |
| Bookbinders | 284.0 | 282.0 | 284.0 | 284.0 | 282.0 | 284.0 | 284.0 | 282.0 | 284.0 | $\sim$ | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Compositors and typesetters, precision | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 6 | 3 | -3 | -50.00\% |
| Job printers | 280.0 | 277.0 | 280.0 | 280.0 | 277.0 | 280.0 | 280.0 | 277.0 | 280.0 | $\sim$ | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Paste-up workers | 327.0 | 326.0 | 331.0 | 327.0 | 326.0 | 331.0 | 327.0 | 326.0 | 331.0 |  | 15 | 4 | -11 | -73.33\% |
| Desktop publishing specialists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 30 | 53 | 23 | 76.67\% |
| Photoengravers | 311.0 | 310.0 | 303.0 | 311.0 | 310.0 | 303.0 | 311.0 | 310.0 | 303.0 | $\sim$ | 5 | 3 | -2 | -40.00\% |
| Camera operators | 302.0 | 301.0 | 304.0 | 302.0 | 301.0 | 304.0 | 302.0 | 301.0 | 304.0 | $\sim$ | 11 | 10 | -1 | -9.09\% |
| Film strippers, printing | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 26 | 7 | -19 | -73.08\% |
| Platemakers | 274.0 | 273.0 | 278.0 | 274.0 | 273.0 | 278.0 | 274.0 | 273.0 | 278.0 | $\sim$ | 14 | 12 | -2 | -14.29\% |
| All other printing workers, precision | 273.0 | 272.0 | 279.0 | 273.0 | 272.0 | 279.0 | 273.0 | 272.0 | 279.0 | $\sim$ | 13 | 14 | 1 | 7.69\% |



| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of Positions |  | ons | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  | 1986 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose | DOC | [Quant | Prose | DOC | Quant | Prose ${ }^{\text {\| }}$ | DOC | Quant |  | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers | 264.5 | 263.4 | 270.5 | 264.5 | 263.5 | 270.6 | 264.3 | 263.4 | 270.4 | 16,207 | 17,694 | 19,188 | 1,494 | 8.44\% |
| Numerical control machine tool operators and tenders | 285.0 | 284.0 | 288.0 | 285.0 | 284.0 | 288.0 | 285.0 | 284.0 | 288.0 | 57 | 92 | 117 | 25 | 27.17\% |
| Combination machine tool setters, set-up operators, operators | 286.0 | 283.0 | 286.0 | 286.0 | 283.0 | 286.0 | 286.0 | 283.0 | 286.0 | 92 | 97 | 113 | 16 | 16.49\% |
| Machine tool cut and form setters, operators, and tenders | 265.4 | 262.7 | 267.5 | 265.1 | 262.0 | 267.2 | 264.8 | 261.5 | 266.9 | 822 | 723 | 677 | -46 | -6.36\% |
| Drilling and boring machine tool setters and set-up operators | 263.0 | 262.0 | 265.0 | 263.0 | 262.0 | 265.0 | 263.0 | 262.0 | 265.0 | 63 | 46 | 36 | -10 | -21.74\% |
| Grinding machine setters and set-up operators, metal and plastic | 272.0 | 269.0 | 277.0 | 272.0 | 269.0 | 277.0 | 272.0 | 269.0 | 277.0 | ~ | 63 | 56 | -7 | -11.11\% |
| Lathe and turning machine tool setters and set-up operators | 273.0 | 273.0 | 273.0 | 273.0 | 273.0 | 273.0 | 273.0 | 273.0 | 273.0 | 96 | 71 | 61 | -10 | -14.08\% |
| Machine forming operators and tenders, metal and plastic | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 174 | 168 | -6 | -3.45\% |
| Machine tool cutting operators and tenders, metal and plastic | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 127 | 105 | -22 | -17.32\% |
| Punching machine setters and set-up operators, metal and plastic | 259.0 | 257.0 | 265.0 | 259.0 | 257.0 | 265.0 | 259.0 | 257.0 | 265.0 | 61 | 51 | 47 | -4 | -7.84\% |
| All other machine tool setters, set-up operators, metal and plastic | 262.0 | 257.0 | 263.0 | 262.0 | 257.0 | 263.0 | 262.0 | 257.0 | 263.0 | ~ | 191 | 204 | 13 | 6.81\% |
| Metal fabricating machine setters, operators, and related workers | 260.3 | 259.4 | 271.1 | 260.3 | 259.4 | 271.1 | 260.6 | 259.7 | 271.4 | $\sim$ | 157 | 162 | 5 | 3.18\% |
| Metal fabricators, structural metal products | 273.0 | 272.0 | 286.0 | 273.0 | 272.0 | 286.0 | 273.0 | 272.0 | 286.0 | ~ | 46 | 50 | 4 | 8.70\% |
| Soldering and brazing machine operators and tenders | 246.0 | 247.0 | 264.0 | 246.0 | 247.0 | 264.0 | 246.0 | 247.0 | 264.0 | $\sim$ | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Welding machine setters, operators, and tenders | 256.0 | 255.0 | 265.0 | 256.0 | 255.0 | 265.0 | 256.0 | 255.0 | 265.0 | $\sim$ | 100 | 101 | 1 | 1.00\% |
| Metal and plastic processing machine setters and operators | 266.5 | 265.1 | 276.3 | 267.1 | 265.6 | 276.8 | 267.5 | 266.1 | 277.3 | 389 | 466 | 528 | 62 | 13.30\% |
| Electrolytic plating machine operators and tenders and setters | 255.0 | 254.0 | 267.0 | 255.0 | 254.0 | 267.0 | 255.0 | 254.0 | 267.0 | 46 | 42 | 46 | 4 | 9.52\% |
| Foundry mold assembly and shakeout workers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Furnace operators and tenders | 248.0 | 246.0 | 267.0 | 248.0 | 246.0 | 267.0 | 248.0 | 246.0 | 267.0 | 20 | 21 | 20 | -1 | -4.76\% |
| Heat treating machine operators and tenders, metal and plastic | 268.0 | 265.0 | 266.0 | 268.0 | 265.0 | 266.0 | 268.0 | 265.0 | 266.0 | 20 | 21 | 20 | -1 | -4.76\% |
| Metal molding machine operators and tenders and setters | 248.0 | 248.0 | 256.0 | 248.0 | 248.0 | 256.0 | 248.0 | 248.0 | 256.0 | 35 | 45 | 49 | 4 | 8.89\% |
| Plastic molding machine operators and tenders, and setters | 281.0 | 279.0 | 292.0 | 281.0 | 279.0 | 292.0 | 281.0 | 279.0 | 292.0 | 147 | 183 | 216 | 33 | 18.03\% |
| All other metal and plastic machine setters and operators | 261.5 | 260.5 | 270.0 | 261.5 | 260.5 | 270.0 | 261.5 | 260.5 | 270.0 | ~ | 144 | 167 | 23 | 15.97\% |
| Printing, binding, and related workers | 279.0 | 277.2 | 281.5 | 279.0 | 277.2 | 281.5 | 277.4 | 275.8 | 280.6 | $\sim$ | 383 | 393 | 10 | 2.61\% |
| Bindery machine operators and set-up operators | 258.0 | 257.0 | 265.0 | 258.0 | 257.0 | 265.0 | 258.0 | 257.0 | 265.0 | ~ | 81 | 85 | 4 | 4.94\% |
| Photoengraving and lithographic machine operators and tenders | 282.0 | 279.0 | 277.0 | 282.0 | 279.0 | 277.0 | 282.0 | 279.0 | 277.0 |  | 6 | 5 | -1 | -16.67\% |
| Typesetting and composing machine operators and tenders | 320.0 | 314.0 | 306.0 | 320.0 | 314.0 | 306.0 | 320.0 | 314.0 | 306.0 |  | 14 |  | -11 | -78.57\% |
| Letterpress operators | 288.0 | 287.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 287.0 | 291.0 | 288.0 | 287.0 | 291.0 |  | 14 |  | -5 | -35.71\% |
| Offset lithographic press operators | 273.0 | 271.0 | 281.0 | 273.0 | 271.0 | 281.0 | 273.0 | 271.0 | 281.0 | $\sim$ | 76 | 80 | 4 | 5.26\% |
| Printing press machine setters, operators and tenders | 291.0 | 289.0 | 289.0 | 291.0 | 289.0 | 289.0 | 291.0 | 289.0 | 289.0 | $\sim$ | 119 | 129 | 10 | 8.40\% |
| All other printing press setters and set-up operators | 279.0 | 282.0 | 289.5 | 279.0 | 282.0 | 289.5 | 279.0 | 282.0 | 289.5 |  | 6 | 7 | 1 | 16.67\% |
| Screen printing machine setters and set-up operators | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 29 | 31 | 2 | 6.90\% |
| All other printing, binding, and related workers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 38 | 44 | 6 | 15.79\% |
| Textile and related setters, operators, and related workers | 256.1 | 253.4 | 261.2 | 255.7 | 253.0 | 260.6 | 255.3 | 252.7 | 259.9 | ~ | 935 | 776 | -159 | -17.01\% |
| Extruding and forming machine operators and tenders, fibers | 261.0 | 260.0 | 272.0 | 261.0 | 260.0 | 272.0 | 261.0 | 260.0 | 272.0 | 14 | 22 | 24 | 2 | 9.09\% |
| Pressing machine operators and tenders, textile, garment... | 256.0 | 255.0 | 256.0 | 256.0 | 255.0 | 256.0 | 256.0 | 255.0 | 256.0 | 87 | 78 | 80 | 2 | 2.56\% |
| Sewing machine operators, garment | 256.5 | 252.5 | 260.5 | 256.5 | 252.5 | 260.5 | 256.5 | 252.5 | 260.5 | 630 | 454 | 334 | -120 | -26.43\% |
| Sewing machine operators, non-garment | 242.0 | 238.0 | 236.0 | 242.0 | 238.0 | 236.0 | 242.0 | 238.0 | 236.0 | ~ | 130 | 128 | -2 | -1.54\% |
| Textile bleaching and dyeing machine operators and tenders | 255.0 | 255.0 | 267.0 | 255.0 | 255.0 | 267.0 | 255.0 | 255.0 | 267.0 | 22 | 26 | 28 | 2 | 7.69\% |
| Textile draw-out and winding machine operators and tenders | 261.0 | 261.0 | 275.0 | 261.0 | 261.0 | 275.0 | 261.0 | 261.0 | 275.0 | 218 | 184 | 155 | -29 | -15.76\% |
| Textile machine setters and set-up operators | 263.0 | 262.0 | 273.0 | 263.0 | 262.0 | 273.0 | 263.0 | 262.0 | 273.0 | ~ | 41 | 27 | -14 | -34.15\% |
| Woodworking machine setters, operators, and other related workers | 258.5 | 254.5 | 268.5 | 258.5 | 254.5 | 268.5 | 258.5 | 254.5 | 268.5 | 149 | 129 | 118 | -11 | -8.53\% |
| Head sawyers and sawing machine operators and tenders, and setters | 259.0 | 251.0 | 267.0 | 259.0 | 251.0 | 267.0 | 259.0 | 251.0 | 267.0 | 74 | 65 | 59 | -6 | -9.23\% |
| Woodworking machine operators and tenders, setters and set-up operators | 258.0 | 258.0 | 270.0 | 258.0 | 258.0 | 270.0 | 258.0 | 258.0 | 270.0 | 75 | 64 | 59 | -5 | -7.81\% |


| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numb | Ot Oos | ons | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  | 1986 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose | Oc | Quant | Prose\| | Doc | [Quant | Prose | DOC | [Quant] |  | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers (Continued) | 264.5 | 263.4 | 270.5 | 267.6 | 266.3 | 272.2 | 264.3 | 263.4 | 270.4 | 16,207 | 17,862 | 19,365 | 1,503 | 8.41\% |
| Other machine setters, set-up operators, operators, and tenders | 268.5 | 266.8 | 273.6 | 268.4 | 266.7 | 273.4 | 267.9 | 266.2 | 272.8 | $\sim$ | 1,919 | 2,068 | 149 | 7.76\% |
| Boiler operators and tenders, low pressure | 281.0 | 278.0 | 290.0 | 281.0 | 278.0 | 290.0 | 281.0 | 278.0 | 290.0 | ~ | 17 | 14 | -3 | -17.65\% |
| Cement and gluing machine operators and tenders | 244.0 | 244.0 | 268.0 | 244.0 | 244.0 | 268.0 | 244.0 | 244.0 | 268.0 | 42 | 35 | 30 | -5 | -14.29\% |
| Chemical equipment controllers, operators and tenders | 276.0 | 274.0 | 282.0 | 276.0 | 274.0 | 282.0 | 276.0 | 274.0 | 282.0 | 73 | 79 | 82 | 3 | 3.80\% |
| Cooking and roasting machine operators and tenders, food and tobacco | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 30 | 32 | 2 | 6.67\% |
| Crushing and mixing machine operators and tenders | 277.5 | 275.5 | 276.0 | 277.5 | 275.5 | 276.0 | 277.5 | 275.5 | 276.0 | 131 | 145 | 144 | -1 | -0.69\% |
| Cutting and slicing machine setters, operators and tenders | 272.0 | 269.0 | 271.0 | 272.0 | 269.0 | 271.0 | 272.0 | 269.0 | 271.0 | ~ | 95 | 103 | 8 | 8.42\% |
| Dairy processing equipment operators, including setters | 288.0 | 288.0 | 289.0 | 288.0 | 288.0 | 289.0 | 288.0 | 288.0 | 289.0 | 16 | 13 | 12 | -1 | -7.69\% |
| Electronic semiconductor processors | 279.0 | 277.0 | 280.0 | 279.0 | 277.0 | 280.0 | 279.0 | 277.0 | 280.0 | $\sim$ | 58 | 65 | 7 | 12.07\% |
| Extruding and forming machine setters, operators and tenders | 281.0 | 279.0 | 290.0 | 281.0 | 279.0 | 290.0 | 281.0 | 279.0 | 290.0 | 102 | 107 | 106 | -1 | -0.93\% |
| Furnace, kiln, or kettle operators and tenders | 276.0 | 273.0 | 278.0 | 276.0 | 273.0 | 278.0 | 276.0 | 273.0 | 278.0 | ~ | 28 | 25 | -3 | -10.71\% |
| Laundry and drycleaning machine operators and tenders, except pressing | 263.5 | 263.5 | 260.5 | 263.5 | 263.5 | 260.5 | 263.5 | 263.5 | 260.5 | $\sim$ | 180 | 219 | 39 | 21.67\% |
| Motion picture projectionists | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 8 | 5 | -3 | -37.50\% |
| Packaging and filling machine operators and tenders | 257.0 | 256.0 | 267.0 | 257.0 | 256.0 | 267.0 | 257.0 | 256.0 | 267.0 | 296 | 355 | 410 | 55 | 15.49\% |
| Coating, painting, and spraying machine operators, tenders, and setters | 275.0 | 272.0 | 277.0 | 275.0 | 272.0 | 277.0 | 275.0 | 272.0 | 277.0 | ~ | 122 | 127 | 5 | 4.10\% |
| Painters, transportation equipment | 228.0 | 226.0 | 235.0 | 228.0 | 226.0 | 235.0 | 228.0 | 226.0 | 235.0 | $\sim$ | 49 | 58 | 9 | 18.37\% |
| Paper goods machine setters and set-up operators | 281.0 | 282.0 | 296.0 | 281.0 | 282.0 | 296.0 | 281.0 | 282.0 | 296.0 | $\sim$ | 51 | 44 | -7 | -13.73\% |
| Photographic processing machine operators and tenders | 287.0 | 286.0 | 290.0 | 287.0 | 286.0 | 290.0 | 287.0 | 286.0 | 290.0 |  | 49 | 53 | 4 | 8.16\% |
| Separating and still machine operators and tenders | 273.0 | 273.0 | 279.0 | 273.0 | 273.0 | 279.0 | 273.0 | 273.0 | 279.0 | 26 | 19 | 17 | -2 | -10.53\% |
| Shoe sewing machine operators and tenders | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 27 | 11 | 6 | -5 | -45.45\% |
| Tire building machine operators | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 13 | 14 | 12 | -2 | -14.29\% |
| All other machine operators, tenders, setters, and set-up operators | 269.3 | 266.7 | 274.7 | 269.3 | 266.7 | 274.7 | 269.3 | 266.7 | 274.7 | ~ | 454 | 504 | 50 | 11.01\% |
| Hand workers, including assemblers and fabricators | 267.4 | 266.9 | 271.7 | 266.1 | 265.6 | 270.0 | 264.9 | 264.5 | 268.6 | 2,339 | 2,813 | 2,899 | 86 | 3.06\% |
| Cannery workers | 256.0 | 255.0 | 265.0 | 256.0 | 255.0 | 265.0 | 256.0 | 255.0 | 265.0 |  | 66 | 62 | -4 | -6.06\% |
| Coil winders, tapers, and finishers | 268.0 | 267.0 | 272.0 | 268.0 | 267.0 | 272.0 | 268.0 | 267.0 | 272.0 | 34 | 22 | 21 | -1 | -4.55\% |
| Cutters and trimmers, hand | 269.0 | 270.0 | 290.0 | 269.0 | 270.0 | 290.0 | 269.0 | 270.0 | 290.0 | $\sim$ | 46 | 48 | 2 | 4.35\% |
| Electrical and electronic assemblers | 285.7 | 284.0 | 286.0 | 285.7 | 284.0 | 286.0 | 285.7 | 284.0 | 286.0 | ~ | 229 | 226 | -3 | -1.31\% |
| Grinders and polishers, hand | 260.0 | 260.0 | 272.0 | 260.0 | 260.0 | 272.0 | 260.0 | 260.0 | 272.0 |  | 74 | 72 | -2 | -2.70\% |
| Machine assemblers | 285.0 | 284.0 | 292.0 | 285.0 | 284.0 | 292.0 | 285.0 | 284.0 | 292.0 | 50 | 59 | 57 | -2 | -3.39\% |
| Meat, poultry, and fish cutters and trimmers, hand | 224.0 | 224.0 | 218.0 | 224.0 | 224.0 | 218.0 | 224.0 | 224.0 | 218.0 | 102 | 152 | 186 | 34 | 22.37\% |
| Painting, coating, and decorating workers, hand | 259.3 | 259.3 | 270.3 | 259.3 | 259.3 | 270.3 | 259.3 | 259.3 | 270.3 | ~ | 31 | 34 | 3 | 9.68\% |
| Pressers, hand | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 14 | 13 | -1 | -7.14\% |
| Sewers, hand | 273.5 | 273.5 | 284.0 | 273.5 | 273.5 | 284.0 | 273.5 | 273.5 | 284.0 | 21 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Solderers and brazers | 280.0 | 279.0 | 284.0 | 280.0 | 279.0 | 284.0 | 280.0 | 279.0 | 284.0 | $\sim$ | 26 | 32 | 6 | 23.08\% |
| Welders and cutters | 270.3 | 270.3 | 274.5 | 270.3 | 270.3 | 274.5 | 270.3 | 270.3 | 274.5 | ~ | 352 | 384 | 32 | 9.09\% |
| All other assemblers, fabricators, and hand workers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 1,729 | 1,751 | 22 | 1.27\% |
| Motor vehicle operators | 269.1 | 269.4 | 278.1 | 269.1 | 269.3 | 278.0 | 269.0 | 269.3 | 278.0 | 3,080 | 3,771 | 4,345 | 574 | 15.22\% |
| Bus drivers, except school | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 144 | 166 | 192 | 26 | 15.66\% |
| Bus drivers, school | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 331 | 424 | 518 | 94 | 22.17\% |
| Taxi drivers and chauffeurs | 280.0 | 282.0 | 288.0 | 280.0 | 282.0 | 288.0 | 280.0 | 282.0 | 288.0 | 88 | 106 | 114 | 8 | 7.55\% |
| Driver/sales workers | 271.0 | 270.0 | 286.0 | 271.0 | 270.0 | 286.0 | 271.0 | 270.0 | 286.0 | ~ | 331 | 370 | 39 | 11.78\% |
| Truck drivers light and heavy | 268.3 | 268.7 | 276.7 | 268.3 | 268.7 | 276.7 | 268.3 | 268.7 | 276.7 | 2,206 | 2,717 | 3,123 | 406 | 14.94\% |
| All other motor vehicle operators | 282.0 | 277.0 | 275.0 | 282.0 | 277.0 | 275.0 | 282.0 | 277.0 | 275.0 | ~ | 27 | 28 | 1 | 3.70\% |
| Rail transportation workers | 279.3 | 280.8 | 294.3 | 276.1 | 278.9 | 294.0 | 277.8 | 281.6 | 296.0 | 117 | 82 | 79 | -3 | -3.66\% |
| Locomotive engineers | 284.0 | 292.0 | 304.0 | 284.0 | 292.0 | 304.0 | 284.0 | 29.0 | 304.0 | 17 | 21 | 23 | 2 | 9.52\% |
| Railroad brake, signal, and switch operators | 261.0 | 260.0 | 281.5 | 261.0 | 260.0 | 281.5 | 261.0 | 260.0 | 281.5 | $\sim$ | 18 | 13 | -5 | -27.78\% |
| Railroad conductors and yardmasters | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 29 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Rail yard engineers, dinkey operators, and hostlers | 297.0 | 292.0 | 297.0 | 297.0 | 292.0 | 297.0 | 297.0 | 292.0 | 297.0 | 11 | 5 | 4 | -1 | -20.00\% |
| Subway and streetcar operators | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 8 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 7.69\% |
| Water transportation and related workers | 255.0 | 254.0 | 261.0 | 255.0 | 254.0 | 261.0 | 255.0 | 254.0 | 261.0 | $\sim$ | 52 | 48 | -4 | -7.69\% |
| Able seamen, ordinary seamen, and marine oilers | 255.0 | 254.0 | 261.0 | 255.0 | 254.0 | 261.0 | 255.0 | 254.0 | 261.0 | $\sim$ | 22 | 20 | -2 | -9.09\% |
| Captains and pilots, ship | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |  | 14 | 13 | -1 | -7.14\% |
| Mates, ship, boat, and barge | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Ship engineers | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 9 | 8 | -1 | -11.11\% |


| Occupation | Average NALS Scores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Numb | OtPos | ons | Change in Number <br> of Positions <br> 1996 to 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1986 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prose] | DOC | Quant | Prose\| | Doc | [Quant | Prose | Doc | Quant | 1986 | 1996 | 2006 | Number | Percent |
| Operators, fabricators, and laborers (Continued) | 264.5 | 263.4 | 270.5 | 261.7 | 260.7 | 267.2 | 264.3 | 263.4 | 270.4 | 16,207 | 17,862 | 19,365 | 1,503 | 8.41\% |
| Material moving equipment operators | 273.3 | 271.0 | 279.1 | 273.3 | 271.0 | 278.8 | 273.1 | 270.9 | 278.8 | 1,173 | 1,099 | 1,211 | 112 | 10.19\% |
| Crane and tower operators | 284.0 | 280.0 | 284.0 | 284.0 | 280.0 | 284.0 | 284.0 | 280.0 | 284.0 | 57 | 46 | 45 | -1 | -2.17\% |
| Excavation and loading machine operators | 290.0 | 286.0 | 279.0 | 290.0 | 286.0 | 279.0 | 290.0 | 286.0 | 279.0 | 69 | 98 | 107 | 9 | 9.18\% |
| Grader, bulldozer, and scraper operators | 285.0 | 280.0 | 281.0 | 285.0 | 280.0 | 281.0 | 285.0 | 280.0 | 281.0 | ~ | 107 | 111 | 4 | 3.74\% |
| Hoist and winch operators | 288.0 | 283.0 | 288.0 | 288.0 | 283.0 | 288.0 | 288.0 | 283.0 | 288.0 | $\sim$ | 9 | 10 | 1 | 11.11\% |
| Industrial truck and tractor operators | 268.0 | 265.0 | 275.0 | 268.0 | 265.0 | 275.0 | 268.0 | 265.0 | 275.0 | ~ | 479 | 536 | 57 | 11.90\% |
| Operating engineers | 275.0 | 278.0 | 294.0 | 275.0 | 278.0 | 294.0 | 275.0 | 278.0 | 294.0 | 147 | 158 | 180 | 22 | 13.92\% |
| All other material moving equipment operators | 267.0 | 265.0 | 273.0 | 267.0 | 265.0 | 273.0 | 267.0 | 265.0 | 273.0 | ~ | 202 | 222 | 20 | 9.90\% |
| All other transportation and material moving equipment operators | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 151 | 173 | 22 | 14.57\% |
| Helpers, laborers, and material movers, hand | 257.5 | 256.8 | 262.5 | 257.4 | 256.7 | 262.4 | 257.1 | 256.4 | 262.2 | 4,558 | 4,976 | 5,654 | 678 | 13.63\% |
| Freight, stock, and material movers, hand | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | ~ | 808 | 849 | 41 | 5.07\% |
| Hand packers and packagers | 250.5 | 250.0 | 261.0 | 250.5 | 250.0 | 261.0 | 250.5 | 250.0 | 261.0 | ~ | 986 | 1,208 | 222 | 22.52\% |
| Helpers, construction trades | 268.0 | 268.5 | 268.0 | 268.0 | 268.5 | 268.0 | 268.0 | 268.5 | 268.0 | 510 | 548 | 596 | 48 | 8.76\% |
| Machine feeders and offbearers | 278.0 | 275.0 | 279.0 | 278.0 | 275.0 | 279.0 | 278.0 | 275.0 | 279.0 | ~ | 265 | 263 | -2 | -0.75\% |
| Parking lot attendants | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | $\sim$ | 68 | 86 | 18 | 26.47\% |
| Refuse collectors | 243.0 | 241.0 | 256.0 | 243.0 | 241.0 | 256.0 | 243.0 | 241.0 | 256.0 | $\sim$ | 116 | 123 | 7 | 6.03\% |
| Service station attendants | 253.0 | 253.0 | 264.0 | 253.0 | 253.0 | 264.0 | 253.0 | 253.0 | 264.0 | ~ | 174 | 174 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| Vehicle washers and equipment cleaners | 265.0 | 263.0 | 270.0 | 265.0 | 263.0 | 270.0 | 265.0 | 263.0 | 270.0 | $\sim$ | 274 | 343 | 69 | 25.18\% |
| All other helpers, laborers, and material movers, hand | 255.0 | 254.3 | 258.0 | 255.0 | 254.3 | 258.0 | 255.0 | 254.3 | 258.0 | $\sim$ | 1,737 | 2,012 | 275 | 15.83\% |

## Appendix B:

M ethodology for combining estimated NALS scores and BLS employment occupation data

Step 0 ne: C reating a crosswalk between the data contained in the PDQ study (Rock and Latham) to the data contained in the Department of Labor files.

The PD Q report lists observed (from the 1992 N ALS data and corrected for sampling issues) prose, document, and quantitative literacy scores for 239 occupations, as well as predicted scores, based on job attributes, for an additional 522 occupations not directly measured. Dictionary of O ccupational Titles (DOT) job classifications were used in this study, although it should be noted that the authors used a crosswalk from C ensus data job classifications to the D OT classifications. From this data the estimated prose, document, and quantitative literacy scores for all 761 D OT classifications was extracted.

The job classifications used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) for their employment projections are not based on D OT titles but instead based on O ccupational Employment Statistics (O ES) codes and titles. U sing a crosswalk developed by the D epartment of Labor and found at their Web site, it was possible to manually merge the DOT and PDQ files with the employment projection data. In some instances no appropriate match could be found, and in those cases the tables include an " NA " for not available
where the PD Q score would be located. In other instances a single O ES code included more than one DOT code. In those cases the PD Q score was averaged across all matches as no means of determining a more appropriate weighting was available. In some instances the best match of DOT and OES codes resulted in only a portion of the appropriate PD Q data being available. It should be noted that some OES codes included in the crosswalk are not included in the projection data, presumably having been placed in final catch-all categories.

O nce the prose, document, and quantitative literacy score data was merged with the 1996 employment figures and the 2006 employment projections, the resulting file was merged with the 1986 employment figures. H owever, not all categories used in 1996/2006 were used in 1986, so 1986 employment figures are missing for a number of occupations, due in part to the catch-all categories being much more inclusive in 1986. The changing nature of the catch-all categories led to their not being included in the tables, as any comparison between 1986 and 1996/2006 would have been misleading. R ather than having questions arise as to why the data for those categories was not reported in 1986, it seemed to make more sense to ignore them. This decision should not have a material impact on the final numbers.

Step Two: Creating the tables. The current tables include:

- Occupation: TheOES job classification used in the Department of Labor Projections
- Average Projected N ALS scores for 1986, 1996, and 2006: For rows in bold, these scores are computed and can change each year. C hanges in these scores indicate that the proportions of jobs making up the category changed over time. R ows not in bold have consistent score values.

The computed means were created using the weighted average scores of all the individual occupations in that category. O ccupations without prose, document, or quantitative scores were omitted from the weighted average, although they are still listed in the tables for completeness. For 1986, if no employment-level data was available for a specific occupation, a proxy value was computed at 84 percent of the 1996 employment level. This represents the average difference from 1986 to 1996 across all occupations. This proxy value was used to eliminate as much as possible inaccurate changes in the computed scores due to comparing what otherwise would be nonequivalent job baskets.

- Number of Positions: D ata taken directly from the D epartment of Labor. D ata from 1986 and 1996 is measured while the 2006 data is projected.
- Changes in N umber of Positions: The raw number is the increase/ decrease from year $A$ to year $B$. The "Percent" column indicates the percent increase/decrease from year $A$ to year $B$. The "Percentage" column indicates what portion of the overall increase in a given year can be attributed to that job classification. It is this data that is used to create the Top 25 tables.
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